

From: William Ward
To: GWIA:brad@[barak-online.net]
Date: Fri, Dec 1, 2000 4:34 PM
Subject: Additional questions for the Scopus Light USA, Inc. gun & archery sights

Dear Mr. Fisher:

I have reviewed your application for a sealed source or device registration and your application for an exempt materials license, both dated June 27, 2000 and concerning the distribution of gun and archery sights containing tritium. In reviewing application, I found that some information needed for us to reach a decision is lacking. Therefore, it is requested that you address the issues outlined below. The issues listed are likely easily addressed and may only be typographical errors. I expect I will be able to complete the registration shortly after receiving your response. I have attached a WordPerfect 8 version of the additional questions as well as placing them at the end of the email.

When I last spoke with Bruce Carrico on 11/29, he stated he had not yet received a copy of the license from New York. When he has the license and the registrations, he can complete the exempt license processing.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-7038, or by email at WRW1@NRC.GOV.

Sincerely,
William R. Ward, P.E.

Additional questions for the Scopus Light USA, Inc. gun and archery sights applications

Cross-references

1. In Section IV.A., page S-2 of your application for the device registration, you provided engineering drawings (d.) for the various basic sight arrangements. However, the cross-reference information (a., b., c., e., and f.) needed to determine which sight design applied to each device number and actual sight model number was not provided, but it was included with the exempt materials license application as "Appendix A, Sight Information". In that cross-reference material, several errors were noted. The errors and our request are detailed below.

1.1 On page 1, lines for ML-115O and ML-115P are missing. Please confirm that the missing lines would look like the following:

	ML-115O	NONE	ML-115O
ML-115P	NONE	ML-115P	

1.2 On page 2, the model ML-250 is listed under device ML-260. However, in the table on page 11, no information is provided for the model ML-250. Please provide the information detailed in the table for the model ML-250 sight.

1.3 On page 3, new model number ML-12740 is cross-referenced to old model number ML-740. However, the table entries for the ML-740 on page 11, and the

ML-12740 on page 12 disagree. Please provide us with either corrected table entries, if they are incorrect, or provide an explanation describing why these two models can have different data, but be cross-referenced as the same unit.

1.4 On page 3, new model number ML-22736 is cross-referenced to old model number ML-736. However, the table entries for the ML-736 on page 11, and the ML-22736 on page 13 disagree with regard to the rear sight type. Please provide us with either corrected table entries, if they are incorrect, or provide an explanation describing why these two models can have different data, but be cross-referenced as the same unit.

Drawing references

2. On the legend, page 16 of the cross-reference, "Appendix A, Sight Information" from your exempt materials license application, there is no listing for a rear sight, B3. Additionally, there is no corresponding drawing for a rear sight type, B3, in either application. However, on page 10, the rear sight type, B3, is listed for model numbers ML-33110, ML-33111, and ML-39520. Please provide us with either corrected table entries for those model numbers, if they are incorrect, or provide us with a corrected page 16, showing the B3 type and a drawing for the B3 rear sight type.

Chemical Form

3. Page S-2, Section III.A. concerning the chemical form for the sights provides a statement including watches and altimeters. Please verify that this statement should read that the sights contain H-3 gas encapsulated in borosilicate glass.

Labeling

4. In Section IV.E of Scopus Light USA's application, you state that devices distributed by Scopus Light USA, Inc. will be marked with (see wordperfect version attached). Based on a review of HESCO, Inc.'s labeling as detailed in the applications for certificates of registration numbers NR-352-D-101-E, NR-352-D-102-E and NR-352-D-103-E, the devices distributed by HESCO have a marking similar to (see wordperfect version attached). The difference between the two symbols being that HESCO's is solid, whereas Scopus Light USA's is hollow. Please verify that this is the how the devices are labeled.

CC: J. Bruce Carrico

Mail Envelope Properties

(3A28196B.C3A : 2 : 50081)

Subject: Additional questions for the Scopus Light USA, Inc. gun & archery sights
Creation Date: Fri, Dec 1, 2000 4:34 PM
From: William Ward

Created By: OWFN_DO.OWF1_PO:WRW1

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
Post Office GWIA brad (GWIA:brad@[barak-online.net])	Transferred	12/01 4:34 PM
Post Office TWFN_DO.twf4_po JBC CC (J. Bruce Carrico)	Delivered Opened	12/01 4:34 PM 12/03 11:47 AM
Domain.Post Office GWIA TWFN_DO.twf4_po	Delivered 12/01 4:34 PM	Route GWIA:[barak-online.net] TWFN_DO.twf4_po

Files	Size	Date & Time
NR-1119-D-101-E deficiency RAI encl.wpd 4:27 PM		27948 Friday, December 1, 2000
MESSAGE	13043	Friday, December 1, 2000 4:34 PM

Options

Auto Delete: No
Expiration Date: Sun, Dec 31, 2000
Notify Recipients: Yes
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

To Be Delivered: Immediate
Status Tracking: All Information

Additional questions for the Scopus Light USA, Inc. gun and archery sights applications

Cross-references

1. In Section IV.A., page S-2 of your application for the device registration, you provided engineering drawings (d.) for the various basic sight arrangements. However, the cross-reference information (a., b., c., e., and f.) needed to determine which sight design applied to each device number and actual sight model number was not provided, but it was included with the exempt materials license application as “Appendix A, Sight Information”. In that cross-reference material, several errors were noted. The errors and our request are detailed below.
 - 1.1 On page 1, lines for ML-115O and ML-115P are missing. Please confirm that the missing lines would look like the following:

ML-115O	NONE	ML-115O
ML-115P	NONE	ML-115P
 - 1.2 On page 2, the model ML-250 is listed under device ML-260. However, in the table on page 11, no information is provided for the model ML-250. Please provide the information detailed in the table for the model ML-250 sight.
 - 1.3 On page 3, new model number ML-12740 is cross-referenced to old model number ML-740. However, the table entries for the ML-740 on page 11, and the ML-12740 on page 12 disagree. Please provide us with either corrected table entries, if they are incorrect, or provide an explanation describing why these two models can have different data, but be cross-referenced as the same unit.
 - 1.4 On page 3, new model number ML-22736 is cross-referenced to old model number ML-736. However, the table entries for the ML-736 on page 11, and the ML-22736 on page 13 disagree with regard to the rear sight type. Please provide us with either corrected table entries, if they are incorrect, or provide an explanation describing why these two models can have different data, but be cross-referenced as the same unit.

Drawing references

2. On the legend, page 16 of the cross-reference, “Appendix A, Sight Information” from your exempt materials license application, there is no listing for a rear sight, B3. Additionally, there is no corresponding drawing for a rear sight type, B3, in either application. However, on page 10, the rear sight type, B3, is listed for model numbers ML-33110, ML-33111, and ML-39520. Please provide us with either corrected table entries for those model numbers, if they are incorrect, or provide us with a corrected page 16, showing the B3 type and a drawing for the B3 rear sight type.

Chemical Form

3. Page S-2, Section III.A. concerning the chemical form for the sights provides a statement including watches and altimeters. Please verify that this statement should read that the sights contain H-3 gas encapsulated in borosilicate glass.

Additional questions for the Scopus Light USA, Inc. gun and archery sights applications

Labeling

4. In Section IV.E of Scopus Light USA's application, you state that devices distributed by Scopus Light USA, Inc. will be marked with (). Based on a review of HESCO, Inc.'s labeling as detailed in the applications for certificates of registration numbers NR-352-D-101-E, NR-352-D-102-E and NR-352-D-103-E, the devices distributed by HESCO have a marking similar to (). The difference between the two symbols being that HESCO's is solid, whereas Scopus Light USA's is hollow. Please verify that this is the how the devices are labeled.