

From: Nanette Gilles
To: Donald Hoffman
Date: Fri, Nov 17, 2000 2:02 PM
Subject: Editorial Corrections to TSTF-360

Don,

As you requested, I'm sending you a list of the errors I found in TSTF-360. Keep in mind that I reviewed Rev. 1 markups very carefully to ensure they would be correctly incorporated into all NUREGs for Rev. 2.

First of all, it appears that the package got shuffled during copying or transmittal. It took me awhile to figure out that the WOG and CEOG Bases pages that were included in Attachment 7 were actually suppose to be part of Attachment 6. Also, there was an extraneous page B 3.8-66 for WOG in Attachment 7 and there were two copies of what appeared to be the same clean version of the TS in Attachment 7. You might want to fix your copy if it is in the same condition.

Here are the editorial errors I found in the TSTF-360 markups:

- 1) INSERT: 3.8.4 ACTION Bases - 5th paragraph, second sentence, parenthetical statement at end of sentence, comma missing after "e.g.". This is our fault as it was missing when we sent you the insert.
- 2) INSERT: 3.8.5 ACTIONS Bases - The paragraph for the Reviewer's Note is missing from the insert. If you look at the Bases insert markup included in our 8/11/00 letter, you should see what I mean.
- 3) INSERT: 3.8.6 ACTION Bases, ACTIONS A.1, A.2, and A.3 - There is a paragraph missing at the end of this section that the staff had asked to be included in our 8/11/00 markup. It begins, "If SR 3.8.6.1 is failed . . ."
- 4) All OGs LCO 3.8.4 markup, Condition C (formerly Condition A) - The brackets around the "or B" portion of the Condition are missing (see the clean version in Attachment 7).
- 5) All OGs LCO 3.8.5 markup, Condition B (formerly Condition A) - The "or more required" phrase and the "s" on the word "subsystems" should be deleted so that the Condition reads "One DC electrical power subsystem inoperable . . ." (see the clean version in Attachment 7).
- 6) All OGs LCO 3.8.5 markup, Condition B (formerly Condition A), second half of the "OR" statement - "Required Actions" should be singular to be consistent with the singular "Completion Time" and in accordance with the Writer's Guide.
- 7) All OGs LCO 3.8.5 markup, SR 3.8.5.1 - The vertical listing of the SR #'s in the markup appears as a horizontal listing "SR 3.8.4.1, SR 3.8.4.2, and SR 3.8.4.3" in the clean version and in several previous versions. I don't care which we use, but make the versions consistent.
- 8) All OGs LCO 3.8.6 markup - The word "cell" should be deleted from the LCO.
- 9) WOG LCO 3.8.4 markup, SR 3.8.4.2 (formerly SR 3.8.4.6) - The NOTE should be deleted, as it is in all the other markups.
- 10) WOG Bases 3.8.6 markup, Action E.1 (formerly B.1) - The "E.1" should be "F.1" and the box labeled "INSERT 3.8.6 E.1 BASES" should be labeled "INSERT 3.8.6 F.1 BASES".
- 11) BWR/6 LCO 3.8.6 markup - The word "cell" should also be deleted in the title and header.

I also have a couple of questions related to other minor editorial issues that have not come up in previous versions. They are:

- 1) INSERT 5.5.[X] PROGRAM - Why is the "[and]" bracketed after item "a"?
- 2) Do we want to keep the identifier as "5.5.[X]" for this program in the STS or do we want to give the program the next number in the sequence of programs for each vendor? We have never used a 5.5.[X] convention before. The staff just used it for its generic applicability in discussions and generic markups.
- 3) BWR/6 Bases 3.8.4, ACTIONS E.1 and E.2 (formerly C.1 and C.2) - Should the word "inoperable" be added in the first sentence before the phrase "DC electrical power subsystem," as it is in all the other NUREGs?

I also noted the following errors in the clean version in Attachment 7:

- 1) LCO 3.8.5, Completion Time for Required Action A.1 - There should not be brackets around the "2".
- 2) LCO 3.8.5, Condition B - The brackets are missing that go before the word "for" and at the end of the Condition.
- 3) LCO 3.8.6, Condition D - The words "design limits" are missing at the end of the Condition.
- 4) LCO 3.8.6, Condition F - The second portion of the "OR" statement is from an old version. It should read "One [or two] batter[y][ies on one {PWR: train BWR: division}] with one or more battery cells float voltage < [2.07] V and float current > [2] amps."

I agree that a replacement of our Rev. 1 pages with errors is the simplest way to go if you have not distributed the package yet. Please feel free to call me if you need any additional clarification on these items, otherwise, I'll look forward to receiving the corrections and the answers to my questions.

Nan

CC: Dan Williamson, Robert Dennig

Mail Envelope Properties

(3A1580C3.53D : 20 : 21456)

Subject: Editorial Corrections to TSTF-360
Creation Date: Fri, Nov 17, 2000 2:02 PM
From: Nanette Gilles

Created By: OWFN_DO.OWF4_PO:NVG

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
Post Office Internet donalddh (Donald Hoffman)	Transferred	11/17 2:02 PM
Post Office Internet dan CC (Dan Williamson)	Transferred	11/17 2:02 PM
Post Office OWFN_DO.owf4_po RLD CC (Robert Dennig)	Delivered Opened	11/17 2:02 PM 11/17 2:32 PM

Domain.Post Office
Internet
Internet
OWFN_DO.owf4_po

Delivered

11/17 2:02 PM

Route
Internet:excelsvcs.com
Internet:williamson.net
OWFN_DO.owf4_po

Files
MESSAGE

Size
6675

Date & Time
Friday, November 17, 2000 2:02 PM

Options

Auto Delete: No
Expiration Date: None
Notify Recipients: Yes
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

To Be Delivered: Immediate
Status Tracking: Delivered & Opened