

JANUARY 2 1979

Docket Nos. 50-3
and 50-247

Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr.
Vice President
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 21 to Facility License DPR-5 for the Indian Point Station Unit No. 1 and Amendment No. 45 to Facility License DPR-26 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letters dated July 13, 1977 and March 22, 1978.

These amendments revise the Environmental Technical Specifications for environmental sampling stations for drinking water, and revise the submission time period for the radiological report.

Copies of our Environmental Impact Appraisal and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 21 to DPR-5
2. Amendment No. 45 to DPR-26
3. Environmental Impact Appraisal
4. Notice of Issuance/Negative Declaration

cc: w/enclosures
See next page

Distribution
Docket Files 50-3
and 50-247

NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB1 Rdg
V. Stello
D. Eisenhower
C. Parrish
A. Schwencer
L. O'lsan
OELD
I&E (5)
B. Jones (8)

B. Scharf (15)
D. Brinkman
B. Harless
R. Ballard
W. Pasciak
B. Grimes
ACRS (16)
TERA
J. Buchanan
OPA (Clare Mil)

Subject to changes at slip
J. MOORE 1/22/78
1901160/83

OFFICE	DOR:ORB1	DOR:ORB1	OELD	DOR:ORB1
SURNAME	LO'lsan:jb	CSParrish		ASchwencer
DATE	11/.../78	11/.../78	12/22/78	1.../78

Consolidated Edison Company of
New York, Inc.

- 2 -

January 2, 1979

cc: White Plains Public Library
100 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601

Joseph D. Block, Esq.
Executive Vice President -
Administration
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Edward J. Sack, Esq.
Law Department
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

Anthony Z. Roisman
Natural Resources Defense Council
917 - 15th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

Paul S. Shemin, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
State of New York
Department of Law
Two World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047

Sarah Chasis, Esquire
Natural Resources Defense Council
122 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017

Carl R. D'Alvia, Esquire
Attorney for the Village of
Buchanan, New York
395 South Riverside Avenue
Croton-on-Hudson, New York 10520

Jeffrey C. Cohen, Esquire
New York State Energy Office
Swan Street Building
CORE 1- Second Floor
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Honorable George Begany
Mayor, Village of Buchanan
188 Westchester Avenue
Buchanan, New York 10511

Director, Technical Development
Programs
State of New York Energy Office
Agency Building 2
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Chief, Energy Systems
Analyses Branch (AW-459)
Office of Radiation Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Room 645, East Tower
401 M Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Theodore A. Rebelowski
USNRC
P. O. Box 38
Buchanan, New York 10511



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-3

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 21
License No. DPR-5

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The applications for amendment by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee) dated July 13, 1977, and March 22, 1978 comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

7901160186


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 21, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 2, 1979



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-247

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 45
License No. DPR-26

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The applications for amendment by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee) dated July 13, 1977, and March 22, 1978 comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-26 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 45, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 2, 1979

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 21 AND 45

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-5, DPR-26

DOCKET NOS. 50-3, 50-247

Replace the following pages of the Appendix B Environmental Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove

4.2-6
4.2-7
4.2-8
4.2-9
4.2-13
5.6-3

Replace

4.2-6
4.2-7
4.2-8
4.2-9
4.2-13
5.6-3

TABLE 4.2-1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

INDIAN POINT STATION-RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SURVEY

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Sample Location</u>	<u>Method of Collection</u>	<u>Sampling Frequency</u>	<u>Type of Analysis</u>
1. Hudson River Water	Inlet pipe into plant-Point 9 Discharge canal - Point 10.	Continuing flow regulated to fill 2 gallon drums. Representative sample taken once a week and drums emptied	Monthly Quarterly	Composite for GSA [*] Composite for T, ⁹⁰ Sr once per year ^{****}
2. Hudson River Aquatic Vegetation	Points 10, 15, 16, 17 and 22.	Grab samples along shoreline.	Once each in Spring and Summer	GSA.
3. Hudson River Bottom Sediment (including Benthos)	Same as item 2.	Same as item 2.	Same as item 2.	Same as item 2.
4. Hudson River Crabs/Clams	Point 25	Catch or grab samples	Once in the Summer or Fall.	GSA, ⁹⁰ Sr once per year ^{****}
5. Hudson River Fish	Point 25	Same as item 4	Monthly	GSA on edible portions, ⁹⁰ Sr once per year ^{****}
6. Fallout (Rain water)	Points 1, 23, and 24	Open pot type collector ^{***}	Monthly	GSA, T
7. Drinking water	Points 7, 8 and 26	Grab samples	Monthly	GSA, ¹³¹ I, ⁹⁰ Sr once per year ^{****}
8. Air Particulate	Points 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 for one week periods consecutively	Membrane filter preceding charcoal cartridge - continuous sampling	Weekly Monthly/Quarterly	GBC, ^{**} Composite for GSA, and ⁹⁰ Sr.
9. Radioiodine	Same as item 8.	Charcoal cartridge	Same as item 8.	¹³¹ I

* GSA = Gamma Spectrum Analysis

** GBC = Gross Beta Gamma. If the weekly analysis indicates results which are three times higher than previous results, then additional weekly analysis shall be carried out to determine the cause of high results and corrective action taken to reduce levels.

*** Modified to reduce evaporation effects.

**** Analysis for Sr-89 + Sr-90 shall also be performed in those months when the gamma spectrum analysis reveals the presence of Cs-137 in the following quantities: Liquids - 100 pCi/l; Aquatic vegetation, crabs, fish - 1 pCi/g.

TABLE 4.2-1 (Sheet 2 of 2)

INDIAN POINT STATION-RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING SURVEY

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Sample Location</u>	<u>Method of Collection</u>	<u>Sampling Frequency</u>	<u>Type of Analysis</u>
10. Surface Lake Water	Points 11, 12 and 13.	Grab 1 liter sample offshore.	Monthly Quarterly	GSA, Composite for T, ⁹⁰ Sr once per year ^{AAAA}
11. Well Water	Points 6 and 18	Grab sample from deep-well pumps.	Quarterly	Composite for GSA and T.
12. Lake Aquatic Vegetation	Points 11, 12 and 13	Same as item 2.	Same as item 2.	GSA,
13. Soil	Points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 18 19, 20 and 21	Grab	Once per 3 yrs.	GSA, ⁹⁰ Sr, ¹³⁷ Cs
14. Direct Gamma	Along principal roads within a 5 mile radius of plant.	Spotchecks.	Annually	GCB* (Ion Chamber)
15. Direct Gamma	Selected locations in Buchanan, Verplanck, Montrose, Puckskill, and at a number of points onsite at plant perimeter.	Continuous.	Quarterly	GCB (TLD)**
16. Milk	Selected locations of cows as determined from Spec. 4.2.1.2 Points 39, 40 and 42	Grab samples.	Monthly (when in pasture)	GSA, ⁸⁹ Sr, ⁹⁰ Sr, ¹³¹ I, ¹³⁴ Cs, ¹³⁷ Cs.
17. Grass ^{AAA}	Same as item 16.	Same as item 16.	Same as item 16.	Same as item 16.
18. Leafy Green Vegetables ^{AAAA} (Food Products)	Appropriate locations in critical wind sectors	Grab samples at point of source.	At time of harvest.	GSA, ¹³¹ I

* GCB = Gross Gamma Background

** TLD = Thermoluminescent Dosimeters

*** See Specification 4.2.1.2

**** See Specification 4.2.1.4

***** Analysis for Sr-89 + Sr-90 shall also be performed in those months when the gamma spectrum analysis reveals the presence of Cs-137 in the following quantities: Liquids - 100 pCi/l; Aquatic vegetation, crabs, fish - 1 pCi/gm.

T = Tritium

4.2-7

Amendment No. 21, Unit 1
Amendment No. 45, Unit 2
Amendment No. 21, Unit 3

TABLE 4.2-2
(Sheet 1 of 2)

INDIAN POINT STATION - LOCATION OF
SAMPLING STATION POINTS

<u>Sample Station Points</u>	<u>Location/Distance</u>	<u>Sample Types</u>
1	Environmental Laboratory, Onsite - SSE	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
2	Standards Brands, 0.6 mi - NNE	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
3	Service Building, Onsite - SSE	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
4	Algonquin Gas Line, 0.25 mi - S	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
5	NYU Tower, 1 mi - SSE	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
6	Camp Smith, 2.5 mi - NNE	Well Water Soil
7	Camp Field Reservoir, 3.5 mi - NE	Drinking Water
8	New Croton Reservoir, 7 mi - ESE	Drinking Water
9	Inlet pipe into plants - NNE	HR* Water
10	Discharge Canal, Onsite - SW	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Water HR Bottom Sediment
11	Iroquois Lake, Onsite - E	Surface Lake Water Lake Aquatic Vegetation
12	Trap Rock Lake, 0.75 mi - SSE	Surface Lake Water Lake Aquatic Vegetation
13	Lake Meahagh, 1 mi - SSE	Surface Lake Water Lake Aquatic Vegetation
14	Water Meter House, Onsite - E	Direct Gamma
15	Peekskill Bay, 1.5 mi - NE	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Bottom Sediment
16	Tompkins Cove, 1.5 mi - WSW	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Bottom Sediment
17	Off Verplanck, 1 mi - SSW	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Bottom Sediment
18	Indian Point, Onsite - SE	Soil Well Water
19	St. Mary's Cemetery, 0.75 - SSE	Soil


*HR = Hudson River

TABLE 4.2-2 (Continued)
(Sheet 2 of 2)

<u>Sample Station Points</u>	<u>Location/Distance</u>	<u>Sample Types</u>
20	Montrose Marina, 1.5 mi - S	Soil
21	George's Island, 2.5 mi - SSE	Soil
22	Lovett, 1.5 mi - WSW	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Bottom Sediment
23	Roseton,* 20 mi - W	Fallout Air Particulate Radioiodine Food Products
24	Eastview,* 15 mi - SE	Fallout
25	Where available near site	Fish
26	New York City Aqueduct, Onsite (tap water)	Drinking Water
27	Croton Point, 7.5 mi - SSE	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
28	Lenc's Cove, 0.5 mi - NE	HR Aquatic Vegetation Bottom Sediment Direct Gamma
29	Grassy Point, 3 mi - S	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
30	Dock, Onsite - W	Direct Gamma
31	Onsite Pole - S	Direct Gamma
32	Factory St. SS, 1 mi - ESE	Direct Gamma
33	Hamilton St. SS, 3 mi - NNE	Direct Gamma
34	SE Corner Onsite - SE	Direct Gamma
35	Seakley & Broadway, Onsite - E	Direct Gamma
36	Old Dump, 0.5 mi - ENE	Direct Gamma
37	NE Corner, Onsite - NE	Direct Gamma
38	Furnace Dock, 3.5 mi - SE	Direct Gamma
39	Strawtown Dairy, 7 mi - SSW	Milk
40	Guard Hill Farm, near Bedford 10 mi - ESE	Milk
41	Appropriate locations in critical wind sections	Food Products (leafy green vegetables)
42	Crowley Milk Co. Howburgh	Milk

*Control Station

Amendment No. 221 , Unit 1
Amendment No. 45 , Unit 2
Amendment No. 21 , Unit 3



5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

5.6 Plant Reporting Requirements (Continued)

Part B: Radiological Report. A report on the radiological environmental surveillance programs for the previous 12 months of operation shall be submitted to the Director of the Region I Office of Inspection and Enforcement (with copies to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation) as a separate document within 120 days after January 1 of each year.

The reports shall include summaries, interpretations, and statistical evaluation of the results of the radiological environmental surveillance activities for the report period, including a comparison with preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous environmental surveillance reports and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment.

Results of all radiological environmental samples taken shall be summarized on an annual basis following the format of Table 5-1. In the event that some results are not available within the 120 day period, the report shall be submitted noting and explaining the reasons for the missing results. The missing data shall be submitted as soon as possible in a supplementary report.

5.6.1.2 Semiannual, and/or Special Environmental Operating Reports

A. Non-radiological

A Progress Report and/or Annual Report shall be submitted by the licensee to the Director of Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations, Washington, D.C. 20555, by the end of July and the end January, or as otherwise specified below, describing activities of the Thermal Plume Mapping and Ecological Survey Program, Entrainment Studies, Impingement Studies, and Special studies for the prior six-month interval. Information to be presented will include the following:

- a. Effects of chlorine and other chemical discharges on the ecosystem of the Hudson River in accordance with Sections 2.3 and 3.3 and 4.1.2a(2).
- b. Reduction in frequency of chlorination and reduction in concentration of free and combined residual chlorine in the discharge canal.
- c. Thermal plume model verification and mapping (near and far field) in accordance with Section 4.1.1.a.
- d. Ecological effects of thermal discharges in accordance with Section 4.1.2.a(2).



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT APPRAISAL

BY

THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING

AMENDMENT NO. 21 TO LICENSE NO. DPR-5 (UNIT NO. 1)
AMENDMENT NO. 45 TO LICENSE NO. DPR-26 (UNIT NO. 2)
AMENDMENT NO. 21 TO LICENSE NO. DPR-64 (UNIT NO. 3)

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
INDIAN POINT UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-3 AND 50-247

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
INDIAN POINT UNIT NO. 3
DOCKET NO. 50-286

Introduction

By letter dated July 13, 1977, LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby and MacRae, as counsel for Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee), requested an amendment to Facility License Nos. DPR-5 and DPR-26 for the Indian Point Station Unit Nos. 1 and 2. However, since the receipt of this letter the December 5, 1975 application, which requested that the Indian Point Unit No. 2 Environmental Technical Specifications (ETS) be replaced by the site ETS for Indian Point Unit No. 3, has been approved. Thus, the letter dated July 13, 1977 from LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby and MacRae, as counsel for the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY) contains the application that is discussed in this environmental impact appraisal. These amendments would modify the ETS for environmental sampling stations for drinking water.

Also, by letters dated March 22, 1978 from Consolidated Edison and PASNY the licensees have requested an amendment to the ETS to revise the submission time period for the radiological and non-radiological annual report.

The amendment includes the deletion of the Verplanck well sample location and the addition of the New York City Aqueduct as a sample location (tap-water), because the latter would be more representative of the area's drinking water. The New York City Aqueduct is currently the major source of drinking water for the area. Since well samples from two other sample locations, Camp Smith and on-site, will continue to monitor ground water, the licensee states that it would be difficult and unnecessary to select another well in the particular area.

Evaluation

The staff has reviewed the proposed deletion of the Verplanck well and substitution of the New York City Aqueduct tap water as a drinking water sample location in Environmental Technical Specification 4.2.

There are three wells sampled by the licensee for the purpose of monitoring the radioactivity in drinking water: the Verplanck well, Camp Smith well and one well on-site. Measured levels of radioactivity in water samples taken from these wells are given in Table 1. These data from Annual Operating Environmental Reports for the years 1970-1976 show no significant change in the measured radioactivity over the seven year period. These data also show a consistently higher measured value in the samples from the Verplanck well than from the other two wells. The proximity of this well to Trap Rock Quarry, which has a relatively high natural radium content, is probably causing this higher radioactivity. Because of this and the fact that there was no significant change in the measured radioactivity over seven years, we conclude that there is no significance to the consistently higher gross radioactivity in the Verplanck well sample. Earlier hydrology studies by the staff and the licensee show that the natural ground water flow and potential plant effluents is toward the Hudson River, away from all three well sample locations. The Verplanck well and the majority of other wells in the Verplanck area have been sealed and the major source of drinking water is the New York City Aqueduct. The licensee will continue sampling the on-site well and the Camp Smith well to detect any significant increases in ground water contamination.

1. Consolidated Edison Company - Indian Point 1 Semi-Annual Reports 1971, 1972, 1973 - Well Water Descriptions
2. Final Environmental Statement - Related to Operation of Indian Point Nuclear Generation Plant Unit No. 2, September 1972.
3. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. - Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 Final Facility Description and Safety Analysis Report, Section 2.5.

Therefore, we conclude that the sample of tap water from the New York City Aqueduct is an adequate replacement for the Verplanck well water sample for the purpose of monitoring the drinking water for the Verplanck area, and that the Verplanck well sample location may be replaced by a New York City Aqueduct tap water sample location in the Environmental Technical Specification 4.2 for Indian Point Station Units 1, 2, and 3.

The licensee has also requested an amendment which changes the submission time period for the radiological and nonradiological reports from 90 days to 120 days. Based on the large volume of data the licensee is required to evaluate, we believe that this change is acceptable. Furthermore, our Appendix I guidance letter to the licensee dated April 11, 1978 would allow until May 1 of each year (essentially 120 days) for the submission of the radiological report.

Conclusion for Negative Declaration

We have reviewed the proposed Environmental Technical Specification changes associated with this amendment. We have found that the environmental impact of operation of Indian Point Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 under these specification changes will be no greater than that evaluated in the Final Environmental Statement for Unit No. 3, that the changes will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and that a Negative Declaration is appropriate.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the above considerations, that the Verplanck well sample location may be replaced by a New York City Aqueduct tap water sample location in the Environmental Technical Specification 4.2 for Indian Point Station Units 1, 2 and 3. We also have concluded that (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: January 2, 1979

Table 1

Well Water Samples¹⁾
 (10⁻⁹ μ Ci/ml) Gross Beta

		<u>Indian Point Site</u>	<u>Verplanck</u>	<u>Camp Smith</u>
1970	2nd Half	8.5	6.7	8.2
1971	1st Half	9	6	6.3
	2nd Half	6.5	23.5	3.5
1972	1st Half	9.2	29.3	10.0
	2nd Half	6.4	24.2	4.7
1973 ²⁾	1st Half	5.4	13.6	7.4
	3rd Quarter	11.2	15.8	5.1
	4th Quarter	23.5	14.1	6.7
1974	1st Quarter	4.9	15.7	1.4
	2nd Quarter	4.84	6.29	1.64
	3rd Quarter	6.6	8.8	3.8
	4th Quarter	4.3	8.2	2.8
1975 ³⁾	1st Quarter	5.3	9.9	2.6
	2nd Quarter	4.3	12.6	2.2
	3rd Quarter	8.23		4.78
	4th Quarter	8.0		5.92
1976	1st Quarter	6.83		3.64
	2nd Quarter	6.46		2.57
	3rd Quarter	6.89		2.32
	4th Quarter	8.22		3.42

1) from Semi-Annual Reports 1970 through 1976

2) change in Recording Policy

3) closing of Verplanck Sampling Well

7590-01

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
DOCKET NOS. 50-3, 50-247 AND 50-286
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO OPERATING LICENSES
AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued to Consolidated Edison Company of New York Inc. (Con Ed), Amendment No. 21 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-5 for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 1, and Amendment No. 45 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-26 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 and has issued to the Power Authority of the State of New York, Amendment No. 21 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. These amendments revised Technical Specifications for operation of Indian Point Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 located in Buchanan, Westchester County, New York. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments revise the provisions in the Environmental Technical Specifications for environmental sampling stations for drinking water and submission time for the annual radiological and non-radiological reports.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act),

and the Commission's regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for the revised Technical Specifications and has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no environmental impact attributable to the action other than that which has already been predicted and described in the Commission's Final Environmental Statement for the facility.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendments transmitted by letters dated July 13, 1977 and March 22, 1978, (2) Amendment No. 21 to License No. DPR-5, (3) Amendment No. 45 to DPR-26, (4) Amendment No. 21 to DPR-64, and (5) the Commission's Environmental Impact Appraisal. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. and at the White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains,

7590-01

- 3 -

New York. A copy of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 2nd day of January, 1979.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors