

Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant N490, State Highway 42 Kewaunee, WI 54216-9511 920-388-2560

Operated by Nuclear Management Company, LLC



November 27, 2000

10 CFR 50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Ladies/Gentlemen:

Docket 50-305 Operating License DPR-43 Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant Reportable Occurrence 2000-010-01

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73, "Licensee Event Report System," the attached Licensee Event Report (LER) for reportable occurrence 2000-010-01 is being submitted. This report does not contain any new commitments.

Sincerely,

Kenneth H. Weinhauer Assistant Site Vice President

RDS

Attach.

cc - INPO Records Center US NRC Senior Resident Inspector US NRC, Region III



| NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998) | | | | | | F | APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 Estimated burden per response to compty with this mandatory information | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|---|--------------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|--|-------|--|
| LICEN | SEE | EVENT | RE | POI | RT (LER) | | | | | C H | collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory | | | | | | | |
| (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) | | | | | | | | C F I t | Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection. | | | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY | FACILITY NAME (1) | | | | | | | | - Tr | DOCK | ET NU | JMBER (2 | ?) | ł | PAGE (3) | | | |
| Kewau | nee N | luclear Po | wer | Plar | nt | | | | | | | 05(| 00030 | 5 | | 1 (| OF 10 | |
| TITLE (4) Testing Believe | and d | Evaluatio | n De | etern | nine the Serv | /ice Wa | ater Sys | tem | has | a Low | ver C |)esiç | gn Basi | is Temperatu | | | | |
| EVEN | IT DA | TE (5) | | L | ER NUMBER (6 | <u>})</u> | R | EPOR | T DA | TE (7) | | | | OTHER FACIL | | | | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YEA | | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | | | пн с | YAC | YEA | AR | FACI | LITY NAM | E | l | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| 06 | 12 | 2000 | 200 | | - 010 | 01 | 11 | | 27 | 200 | | FACILITY NAME | | | 1 | DOCKET NUMBER 05000 | | |
| OPERAT | INC | | | Tł | IS REPORT IS | SUBMIT | TED PUR | SUAN | NT TO | O THE | REQU | JIREN | MENTS (| OF 10 CFR §: | (Check c | | | |
| MODE | | 0 | 20.2201(b) | | 20.22 | 20.2203(a)(2)(v) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(i) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii) | | | | |
| POW | B | | | 20.2 | 203(a)(1) | | 20.22 | 20.2203(a)(3)(i) | | | | X 50.73(a)(2)(ii) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | |
| LEVEL | | 0 | 20.2203(a)(2)(i) | | | | 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | <u>+</u> - | 73.71 | | | | |
| | | | | | 203(a)(2)(ii) | | | 20.2203(a)(4) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | | | | OTHER | | |
| | | | | | 203(a)(2)(iii) | _ | | 6(c)(1) 6(c)(2) | | | | | 50.73(a | a)(2)(v) a)(2)(vii) | | Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A | | |
| | | | | 20.2 | 203(a)(2)(iv) | | ENSEE CO | 6(c)(2) | | OR THE | IS I FF | | | G/(2/(VII) | | | | |
| NAME | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | <u> </u> | TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) | | | | | | | |
| | Scot | t – Senio | r Sh | ift Te | echnical Adv | isor | | | | | 920-388-8638 | | | | | | | |
| | | | (| OMP | LETE ONE LINE | FOR E | ACH COM | IPONE | ENT F | AILUR | RE DE | SCRI | BED IN ' | THIS REPORT | (13) | | | |
| | | | REPORT | | | CAL | USE | SI | YSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUF | ACTURER | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | | | | |
| | | | Γ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | S | UPPL | EMEN | NTAL REPORT | EXPECT | ED (14) | | | | | | EXP | PECTED | MONTH | DAY. | YEAR | |
| YE (If | S Ves, c | omplete EX | (PEC | red s | UBMISSION D | ATE). | | X | NO | > | | SUBMISSION DATE (15) | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On June 12, 2000, during the review of service water system testing performed during the 2000 refueling outage, it was determined that both emergency diesel generators were out of service for a short period of time in 1991 due to elevated lake temperatures. Additionally, both trains of local area fan coil units located on the fan floor and mezzanine levels in the auxiliary building were out of service at separate times. The fan coil units maintain post accident environmental conditions to ensure safety related equipment located in these areas remain operable.

Prior to commencing power operation after refueling, compensatory actions were taken to ensure operability of all equipment. After the outage, design changes were implemented to increase the SW flow to safety related components. Additional design changes are being evaluated to further increase the flow to the emergency diesel generators. These modifications are scheduled for the 2001 refueling outage.

| NRC FORM 366A | | U.S. | NUCLEAR RE | GULATORY | COMMISSION |
|--|------------|------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| (6-1998) LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CONT | | ER) | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | LER NUMBER | 6) | PAGE (3) |
| | 05000005 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 2 of 10 |
| Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | 2000 | 010 | 01 | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On June 12, 2000, during the review of service water system testing performed during the 2000 refueling outage, it was determined that both emergency diesel generators were out of service for a short period of time in 1991 due to elevated lake temperatures. Additionally, both trains of local area fan coil units located on the fan floor and mezzanine levels in the auxiliary building were out of service at separate times. The fan coil units maintain post accident environmental conditions to ensure safety related equipment located in these areas remain operable.

The original design of the service water system (SW) [BI] assumed a single train (two pumps [P] per train) provided sufficient safeguards cooling during a design basis accident assuming an inlet temperature of 66° F. During an internal audit in 1990, it was determined that lake temperature had exceeded 66° F in the past. Questions arose as to the basis for this temperature. Testing and an evaluation performed in 1990 and 1991 demonstrated that an inlet temperature of 80° F was acceptable. This testing involved placing each service water train in its design bases accident line-up separately. This was consistent with the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR) assumption that the worst case single failure was a loss of an entire train.

During the 1999-2000 operating cycle it was identified that the backpressure caused by common discharge piping [PSP] reduced service water flow through serviced components when both trains were operating. To gain a better understanding of this phenomenon, to address other questions concerning the service water system performance, and to obtain data to develop a service water flow model, additional testing was scheduled for the 2000 refueling outage. Unlike the previous tests and evaluations, a loss of a single train was not assumed to be the worst case. The test and evaluation during the 2000 outage included the affects on service water flow and pressure due to:

- 1) Failures of single components and not a whole train,
- 2) Back pressure caused by common discharge piping,
- 3) Plugging of service water pump discharge strainers [FLT],

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | PAGE (3) | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | 05000005 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 3 of 10 |
| Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | 2000 | 010 | 01 | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) {17}

4) Failure of containment fan coil unit [FCU] discharge throttle valves [V] to fully open, and

5) The effects of component cooling water heat exchanger [HX] control valves failing open (refer to Fig. 1).

Each emergency diesel [DG] jacket water cooler is supplied by its associated train of service water. These separate trains of service water discharge into a common header after exiting the jacket water coolers (refer to Fig. 2). During the 1990 and 1991 tests, the cooler in the train not under test had no flow established. This line-up maximized flow through the train under test but did not reflect reduced flow associated with a backpressure. This reduced flow would have caused elevated diesel generator jacket water temperature under design basis accident conditions with a service water inlet temperature of 80° F. Calculations determined that during accident conditions, assuming measured fouling factors, both diesels remained operable as long as service water inlet temperature remained less than or equal to 75.7° F. Because the elevated jacket water temperators were conservatively assumed to be out of service whenever lake temperature exceeded 75.7° F. This occurred on August 8, 1991 from 19:21 hours to 20:11 hours.

All of the local area fan coil units are also supplied by separate trains of service water and discharge into a common header. The testing performed in 1990 and 1991 did not include service water flow to the fan coil units in the opposite train. Like the emergency diesel generators, there is a backpressure effect of having both trains of service water supplying the fan coil units which have common discharge piping. Additional complications occur with the local area fan coil units because the air side of the units also discharge into a common air duct [DUCT]. This causes a reduction in air flow similar to that found for common service water discharge piping. Analysis of the fan coil units was performed using test data that simulated various failures.

The analysis determined that two sets of local area fan coil units experience significant reduction in flow as a result of increased backpressure due to sharing a common discharge. These fan coil units are located on the fan floor and mezzanine levels of the auxiliary building.

| FORM 366A 998) FACILITY NAME (1) | <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u> | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|
| LICENS | EE EVENT REPORT (LI TEXT CONTINUATION | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | LER NUMBER | 6) | PAGE (3) | | |
| Kausan Nuslaas Dawas Diast | 05000305 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 4 of 10 | | |
| Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | 2000 | 010 | 01 | 40110 | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

, ,

For the fan floor fan coil units, a failure of cooling water to one train while both trains are running was found to be worst case. This occurs because airflow is reduced due to a backpressure in the air duct and service water is supplied to only one unit (refer to Fig. 3). Analysis revealed that the fan floor fan coil units would not have removed the required heat load on several occasions because of high lake water temperature and assuming a failure of the service water supply valve to one train. Based on historical service water temperature data, both fan floor fan coil units have been out of service 11 times since 1990. The maximum time both fan coil units were out of service was 36 hours on August 22 to August 23, 1995.

The mezzanine area fan coil units do not have a control valve in the service water supply line like the fan floor area fan coil units (refer to Fig. 4). Therefore, a failure of one fan with both trains of service water available is the worst case for these units. Analysis revealed that the mezzanine area fan coil units would not have removed the required heat load on three occasions because of high lake water temperature and assuming a fan failure. Both mezzanine fan coil units have been out of service three times since 1990. The worst case was for eight hours and 47 minutes, which occurred on August 17, 1995 from 14:32 hours to 23:19 hours.

The testing performed this outage also revealed that the margin on containment fan coil units and component cooling water heat exchangers were less than expected. The containment fan coil units are used to reduce containment pressure following a design basis accident. Containment fan coil units [BK] were re-analyzed at a reduced flow and found to have adequate heat removal.

CAUSE OF THE EVENT:

This event was caused by a failure to recognize a design interaction during original plant construction and the assumption that a loss of a whole train was a limiting failure. When the plant was built, the designers did not anticipate that backpressure from opposite trains components would negatively affect flow. Due to the length of time since plant design, we can not determine if they did not realize the potential for this effect or if they did not consider it part of the plants design basis to have both trains operating post accident. Previous testing

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | LER NUMBER (6) | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------|--------------------|----|---------|--|
| | 05000005 | YEAR | YEAR SEQUENTIAL RE | | 5 of 10 | |
| Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | 2000 | 010 | 01 | 5 01 10 | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

failed to identify this vulnerability because each service water train was tested separately, as assumed in the design basis. Therefore the backpressure effect was not observed.

ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT:

This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition that was outside of the design basis of the plant. Contrary to the design basis of the plant both diesel generators were out of service for approximately 50 minutes. This event was also reported in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i)(D) at 9:15 CST on June 12, 2000.

Kewaunee's probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) was reviewed to determine the significance of this event. The analysis found an increase in the core damage frequency of 1.8E-07 as a result of both diesels being inoperable for 50 minutes.

It is important to note that both diesels would only be inoperable if both started to mitigate an event. If only one diesel started, there would be no flow reduction due to backpressure in the common discharge because the non-operating diesel would not be discharging into the common header. Furthermore, although the diesel generator coolers are the same model, there is difference in their performance. Therefore, if design basis event had occurred and both diesels started, an alarm on the B diesel would have been expected to come in first, the calculated maximum allowable service water temperature for diesel generator B was 71.7 degrees F. Therefore, the operators would shutdown this diesel first. Once the B diesel was shutdown, the backpressure effect would be eliminated. Therefore the actual increase in core damage frequency would be less than calculated.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

 Administrative actions were taken to declare the affected equipment inoperable when lake temperature is greater than 73° F. An annunciator to alert the Operators when lake temperature exceeded 73° F was installed to aid the operators in diagnosing high lake temperatures. These actions were relaxed after

| NRC F((6-1998 | DRM 366A | | U.S | . NUCLEAR RE | GULATORY | COMMISSION | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | | | PAGE (3) | | | | |
| V | unee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 6 of 10 | | | | |
| .ewa | | | 2000 | 010 | 01 | | | | | |
| IEXT (| If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A | | | | | | | | | |
| | changes were made to the fan coil units and serv | | - | | - | | | | | |
| | exchangers, see corrective action 2, 5, 6 and 7. | | | | | | | | | |
| | time the Operator is instructed to remove a diese | el generator from | m servic | e, see corr | ective acti | on 8. The | | | | |
| | alarm setpoint is 76.2 degrees to provide warning | g to the Operat | ors of i | ncreasing s | ervice wat | er | | | | |
| | temperature. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) | The air filters were removed on the Auxiliary Bu | uilding mezzani | ine fan o | coil units to | increase | airflow. | | | | |
| 3) | A temporary change (TCR) was implemented to | o fail open the | train A | strainer b | ackwash v | valves. Th | | | | |
| | backwash valves flush debris from the strainers when differential pressure across the strainers reaches | | | | | | | | | |
| | predetermined value. Placing the strainers in continuous backwash prevents a high differential pressur | | | | | | | | | |
| | from developing in case of instrument failure. A high differential pressure would reduce the margin of | | | | | | | | | |
| | the containment fan coil units. When the desig | n change to ad | ld margi | in was con | pleted (se | æ correctiv | | | | |
| | action 5), this temporary change was removed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) | Administrative action was taken to ensure that se | ervice water to | the turb | ine buildin | g is suppl | ied by the | | | | |
| | train of service water. Train A of service has le | ess margin due | to supp | lying spent | fuel pool | cooling an | | | | |
| | other components at a higher elevations. Becaus | se only one trai | n of ser | vice water | can supply | y the turbir | | | | |
| | header at a time, having it supplied by train B ensures sufficient flow to train A safeguards equipment | | | | | | | | | |
| | Analysis has shown that train B has sufficient margin to supply the turbine header and its associate | | | | | | | | | |
| | safeguards components. When the design chang | ge to add marg | in to the | e containm | ent fan co | oil units wa | | | | |
| | completed (see corrective action 5), this tempora | ary change was | remove | ed. | | | | | | |
| 5) | To add margin to the containment fan coil units, | , a design chang | ge was p | performed t | o change | the safety | | | | |
| | injection signal to the component cooling water | heat exchanger | (HX) S | W outlet v | alves. Ea | ch | | | | |
| | component cooling HX has two SW outlet valve | s; a throttle val | lve used | for norma | l operation | 1 and a | | | | |
| | motor operated gate valve that opens on a safety injection signal (Fig. 1). Testing has demonstrated that | | | | | | | | | |
| | for immediate emergency operation, the throttle | | | | | | | | | |
| | components. The gate valve would be required f | | | | | | | | | |
| | recirculation. Previously, the gate valve receive | | | | | | | | | |
| | temperature controlled. The design change resu | | | | | | | | | |

I

• •

| NRC F | ORM 366A | | U.S | . NUCLEAR RE | GULATORY | COMMISSIO | | | | | |
|------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | LICENSEE EV | ENT REPORT (L ONTINUATION | ER) | | | | | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | | | | PAGE (3 | | | | | |
| Kowa | aunee Nuclear Power Plant | 05000305 | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 7 of 10 | | | | | |
| Kewa | | | 2000 | 010 | 01 | | | | | | |
| TEXT | (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 3 accident with the gate valve closed. The gate | | ed as re | quired whe | en contain | ment sum | | | | | |
| | recirculation is started. This adds margin to the | ne containment far | n <mark>coil</mark> un | its while n | naintainin | g sufficier | | | | | |
| | flow through the heat exchangers for immedia | ate emergency loa | ds. The | increase in | service v | water | | | | | |
| | pressure is required to ensure maximum heat | removal without f | lashing | in the servi | ice water | lines duri | | | | | |
| | maximum containment temperature. Containr | nent temperature | will have | e been redu | ced signi | ficantly by | | | | | |
| | the time containment sump recirculation is required allowing the gate valve to be opened without | | | | | | | | | | |
| | flashing in the service water lines. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | The service water discharge piping for the mezzanine fan coil units was split. This will eliminate the | | | | | | | | | | |
| | backpressure effect thereby increasing service | water flow to bot | th units. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | A temporary design change was implemented to fail open the inlet valves to the fan floor fan coil unit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A permanent design change is being impleme | nted to remove the | ese valvo | es. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | A design change will be implemented at the next refueling outage, which will split the discharge head | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of the diesel generator cooler outlet. This wi | ll remove the back | cpressure | e effect and | i allow re | moving th | | | | | |
| | final administrative action (corrective action 1 | l). | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>ADD</u> | DITIONAL INFORMATION: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Simi | lar Events: | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Faui | pment Failures: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | e | | | | | | | | | | |
| Othe | r Information: | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | | |

. .







