

March 1990

Docket No. 50-412

Mr. J. D. Sieber, Vice President
Duquesne Light Company
Nuclear Group
P.O. Box 4
Shippingport, Pennsylvania 15077

Dear Mr. Sieber,

SUBJECT: BEAVER VALLEY UNIT 2 - CORRECTION OF ERROR IN
AMENDMENT NO. 27 (TAC 74573)

By letter dated February 20, 1990, we issued Admendment No. 27. We inadvertently included an error in the Safety Evaluation associated with that amendment. Enclosed please find corrected page 3. We apologize for any inconvenience this error may have caused.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure
See next page

OFC	:LA:PDI-4	:PM:PDI-4	:PD:PDI-4	:	:	:	:
NAME	:SMorris	:PTam:rlc	:JSto	:	:	:	:
DATE	:3/6/90	:3/6/90	:3/6/90	:	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: ERR IN ADMENDMENT NO. 27

9003160031 900306
PDR ADDCK 05000412
P PNU

DF01
11

CP-1

The signal selector has the capability for on-line testing. The MSS monthly functional test consists of varying the three (one at a time) input voltages and verifying the output voltage to determine whether or not the median signal is being passed. Calibration of the selector will also be performed once every 36 months.

Based on the discussion above, the licensee stated that the reactor trip initiated by steam/feedwater flow mismatch and low steam generator water level will no longer be necessary or required. Specifically, the licensee proposed to eliminate all requirements in the TS associated with set points, operability and surveillance of the subject trip function:

- (1) Item 14a. and b of Table 2.2.1
- (2) Note 6 of Table 2.2.1
- (3) BASES section on page B2-6
- (4) Item 15 of Table 3.3-1
- (5) Item 15 of Table 3.3-2
- (6) Item 15 of Table 4.3-1

We have reviewed the licensee's justification for the amendment. We agree with the licensee that, since no credit is taken for the steam/feedwater flow mismatch trip function in the FSAR accident analyses, and the MSS provides a sufficient safeguard against an adverse control/protection system interaction, there would be no change nor any adverse effect to any safety analyses addressed in the FSAR upon removal of the flow mismatch trip function. Therefore, we find the proposed changes involving the elimination of the steam/feedwater flow mismatch and low steam generator water level reactor trip to be acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes requirements with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. We have determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. We have previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

Revision issued by
letter dated 3/6/90

9003160032 900306
PDR ADOCK 05000412
P PNU

March 6, 1990

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC & Local PDR

Plant File

S. Varga (14E4)

B. Boger (14A2)

J. Stolz

S. Norris

Peter S. Tam

OGC

D. Hagan (MNBB 3302)

E. Jordan (MNBB 3302)

G. Hill(4) (P1-137)

W. Jones (P-130A)

J. Calvo (11F23)

ACRS (10)

GPA/PA

ARM/LFMB