

November 25, 1986

Docket No. 50-412

DISTRIBUTION

Mr. J. J. Carey, Vice President
Duquesne Light Company
Nuclear Group
Post Office Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077

Docket File	OGC
NRC PDR	EJordan
Local PDR	BGrimes
PAD#2 R/F	JPartlow
TNovak	TBarnhart (4)
CRossi	ACRS (10)
ORAS	OPA
DMiller	SECY
PTam	CMiles,LFMR
Gray File	

Dear Mr. Carey:

Subject: Order Extending Construction Completion Date - Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2

In response to your request of July 30, 1986, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued an Order extending the construction completion date for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2. The referenced Order extends the latest construction completion date specified in CPPR-105 to December 31, 1987.

Copies of the Order and the staff's evaluation are enclosed for your information. The Order has been transmitted to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Novak, Acting Director
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Order
- 2. Evaluation

cc w/enclosures
See next page

LA:RAM-2
DM:PTer
10/20/86

PM:PAD-2
PTam:ai
10/30/86

D:PAD-2
LRubenstein
10/17/86

OGC
Acting PWR-A
TNovak
10/20/86
11/12/86

8612050029 861125
PDR ADOCK 05000412
A PDR

Mr. J. J. Carey
Duquesne Light Company

Beaver Valley 2 Power Station

cc:

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Jay E. Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. R. E. Martin, Manager
Regulatory Affairs
Duquesne Light Company
Beaver Valley Two Project
P. O. Box 328
Shippingport, Pennsylvania 15077

Mr. C. W. Ewing, Quality Assurance
Manager
Quality Assurance Department
Duquesne Light Company
P. O. Box 186
Shippingport, Pennsylvania 15077

Zori Ferkin
Assistant Counsel
Governor Energy Council
1625 N. Front Street
Harrisburg, PA 15105

Director, Pennsylvania Emergency
Management Agency
Room B-151
Transportation & Safety Building
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. T. J. Lex
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Power Systems
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Bureau of Radiation Protection
PA Department of Environmental
Resources
Attn: R. Janati
P. O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. P. RaySircar
Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation
P. O. Box 2325
Boston, Massachusetts 02107

BVPS-2 Records Management Supervisor
Duquesne Light Company
Post Office Box 4
Shippingport, Pennsylvania 15077

Mr. J. Beall
U. S. NRC
P. O. 181
Shippingport, Pennsylvania 15077

John A. Lee, Esq.
Duquesne Light Company
1 Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15279

Mr. Thomas E. Murley, Regional Admin.
U. S. NRC, Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 15229

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY ET AL
BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-412

ORDER EXTENDING CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION DATE

Duquesne Light Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Ohio Edison Company, and Toledo Edison Company (permittees) are the holders of Construction Permit No. CPPR-105 issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on May 3, 1974 for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2. This facility is presently under construction at the applicant's site in Shippingport, Pennsylvania.

Upon the permittees' request, the Commission has, on January 30, 1980, extended the Construction Permit (CP) to December 31, 1984. Under a second request, the Commission has, on March 14, 1984, extended the CP to December 31, 1986.

By letter dated July 30, 1986, Duquesne Light Company, on behalf of itself and the other permittees, filed a request for extension of the latest construction completion date for the facility. This request is to extend the latest completion date from December 31, 1986 to December 31, 1987.

Duquesne Light Company stated that this extension is requested because construction has been delayed due to the following:

1. At the time the permittees applied for the earlier extension of the Construction Permit in 1984, the projected Central Area Power Coordinating Group (CAPCO) summer peak load forecast for 1986 was 11550MW. Since that time, the demand for power in the CAPCO area has fallen below anticipated levels. The

8612050031 861125
PDR ADDCK 05000412
A PDR

projected CAPCO summer peak load forecast for 1987 (the present anticipated year of commercial availability) is 11135MW. The general decline of CAPCO load growth justifies deferral of fuel load until April, 1987.

2. As a result of the TMI-2 accident in March 1979, a large number of new regulatory requirements applicable to the design and construction of BVPS-2 have been promulgated. These requirements include the emergency response facilities, combustible gas control, human factors review, and containment design. The implementation of these changes has significantly delayed the critical path construction schedules. Although it is difficult to assess their individual impact, the permittees estimate that the cumulative effect of these changes has resulted in additional delays in the scheduled completion of BVPS-2.
3. The BVPS-2 construction schedule has been affected by financial considerations. In January of 1985, the members of CAPCO (which includes the permittees) took several steps to improve their financial position. Planned cash expenditures by the permittees for BVPS-2 were reduced by \$100 million and transferred to Perry-1 in order to complete its construction program. This reduction in funds resulted in a 10-month delay in scheduled completion of BVPS-2.
4. The permittees need additional time to fully test and evaluate completed portions of the project as they are turned over by the contractors. The permittees believe additional time spent on evaluation and testing is necessary to ensure that the plant will perform as specified. The permittees' original schedule underestimated the amount of time required to complete planned activities.

The staff has performed an evaluation of the request for extension. Based on this review, the staff has determined that the above factors have resulted in significant delays in construction completion and that the request is for a reasonable period of time when considering the nature of the delays. In addition, the extension of the latest completion date in the construction permit does not involve any significant hazards consideration since the extension will not allow any work to be performed that is not already allowed by the existing construction permit.

Prior public notice of this extension was not required since the Commission has determined that this action involves no significant hazards consideration; good cause has been shown for the delays; and the requested extension is for a reasonable period of time.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that this action will not result in any significant environmental impact (51 FR 42664, November 25, 1986).

The NRC staff's evaluation of the request for extension of the construction permit is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20555, and at the B. F. Jones Memorial Library, 663 Franklin Avenue, Aliquippa, Pennsylvania 15001.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the latest completion date for CPPR-105 be extended from December 1986 to December 31, 1987.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Thomas M. Novak, Acting Director
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Date of Issuance: November 25, 1986



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
EVALUATION OF A REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF
CONSTRUCTION PERMIT NO. CPPR-105
FOR THE BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT 2
DOCKET NO. STN 50-412

Introduction

Construction Permit No. CPPR-105 for Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2 was issued on May 3, 1974 to the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Duquesne Light Company, Ohio Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company and Toledo Edison Company. The latest date expiration date of this permit is December 31, 1986. By letter dated July 30, 1986, Duquesne Light Company filed a request for extension of the latest construction completion date for Beaver Valley Unit 2 to December 31, 1987.

Discussion

In its application for extension of the construction completion date, Duquesne Light Company indicated that the following factors are responsible for the delay in completion of the construction activities:

1. At the time the permittees applied for the earlier extension of the Construction Permit in 1984, the projected Central Area Power Coordinating Group (CAPCO) summer peak load forecast for 1986 was 11550MW. Since that time, the demand for power in the CAPCO area has fallen below anticipated levels. The projected CAPCO summer peak load forecast for 1987 (the present anticipated year of commercial availability) is 11135MW. The general decline of CAPCO load growth justifies deferral of fuel load until April, 1987.
2. As a result of the TMI-2 accident in March 1979, a large number of new regulatory requirements applicable to the design and construction of BVPS-2 have been promulgated. These requirements include the emergency response facilities, combustible gas control, human factors review, and containment design. The implementation of these changes has significantly delayed the critical path construction schedules. Although it is difficult to assess their individual impact, the permittees estimate that the cumulative effect of these changes resulted in additional delay in schedule completion of BVPS-2.

8612050035 861125
PDR ADDCK 05000412
A PDR

3. The BVPS-2 construction schedule has been affected by financial considerations. In January of 1985, the members of CAPCO (which includes the permittees) took several steps to improve their financial position. Planned cash expenditures by the permittees for BVPS-2 were reduced by \$100 million and transferred to Perry-1 in order to complete its construction program. This reduction in funds resulted in a 10-month delay in scheduled completion of BVPS-2.
4. The permittees need additional time to fully test and evaluate completed portions of the project as they are turned over by the contractors. The permittees believe additional time spent on evaluation and testing is necessary to ensure that the plant will perform as specified. The permittees' original schedule underestimated the amount of time required to complete planned activities.

Collectively, these factors have led the permittees to conclude that construction of Beaver Valley Unit 2 would not be completed within 1986 and Duquesne Light Company has requested a 12-month extension of the latest completion date to December 31, 1987.

The NRC staff has reviewed the information provided in the Duquesne Light Company's submittal. Based on that review, the staff concurs with the permittees as to the reasonableness of time estimated for the delay. Therefore, the staff concludes that the factors discussed above constitute good cause for granting the requested extension.

As a result of the staff's review of the Final Safety Analysis Report to date, and considering the nature of the delays, the staff has identified no areas of significant safety consideration in connection with the extension for the construction completion date for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2. The only change proposed by the permittees to the existing construction permit is an extension of the latest construction completion date. This extension will not allow any work to be performed involving new safety concerns of a type that were not considered by previous Commission safety reviews of the facility and that are not already allowed by the existing construction permit. Therefore, the staff finds that (1) the extension of the latest completion date in the construction permit does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) prior public notice of this action is not required, (3) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by the requested extension of the construction completion date, and (4) good cause exists for issuance of an order extending the construction completion date.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the staff has determined that this Order will not result in any significant environmental impact (51 FR 42664, November 25, 1986).

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the staff concludes that issuance of an Order extending the latest construction completion date for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2, as set forth in CPPR-105, to December 31, 1987, is reasonable and should be authorized.

Dated: November 25, 1986