

From: <WhyteRose3@aol.com>  
To: <tjn@nrc.gov>  
Date: Sun, Nov 12, 2000 10:25 PM  
Subject: NUCLEAR WASTE

65 FR 44843

7-19-00

172

To whom it may concern,  
I would like you to know that I am adamantly opposed to any scenario that involves releasing radiologically contaminated soil for public use. In my mind, there is no realistic reuse scenarios involving such agents. As a frequent reader of the medical and scientific research, I am well aware of the paucity of information currently available on the interaction of nuclear materials and the human body. I am alarmed at the hubris of such a plan, one that quickly brings to mind the thalidomide disaster in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Similar assurances of safety were given, but tragically proven to inaccurate. I do not understand why you would want to put the public, and your own agency, at risk by going forward with such a plan. Radiologically contaminated soil is nuclear waste. It should be isolate and treated as such, not spread around public parks and golf courses. In closing, as you ponder the wisdom of going forth with the plan that NUREG-1725 seems to intimate, I have two words for you to consider:  
Love Canal.

Sincerely,  
Gary Piscopo, ND, LAc

ADM-013

ADM03

ERUFS 03  
add Tom Nicholson  
TJN