

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station 5485 U.S. Highway 61 P. O. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 225 336 6225 Fax 225 635 5068

Rick J. King Director Nuclear Safety Assurance

November 13, 2000

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: River Bend Station Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47 Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 00-015-00

File Nos. G9.5, G9.25.1.3

RBG-45556 RBF1-00-0243

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73, enclosed is the subject Licensee Event Report. The subject event is being reviewed through the corrective action review process.

Sincerely,

RJK/dlm

enclosure



Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 00-015-00 November 13, 2000 RBG-45556 RBF1-00-0243 Page 2 of 2

ъ

¥

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center E-Mail

Mr. Jim Calloway Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3326

Mr. Prosanta Chowdhury Program Manager – Surveillance Division Louisiana DEQ Office of Radiological Emergency Planning and Response P. O. Box 82215 Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2215

NRC F COMM	ORM	366 DN	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory						
(6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory						
(See reverse for required number of								Commission, wasnington, DC 2000-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does						
digits/characters for each block)						Ì	The second secon				PAGE (3)			
River Bend Station							05000-458				1 of 3			
TITLE (4) Inadequate Surveillance Test Procedure Results In Failure to Fully Perform Required Surveillance														
EVE	EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)				REPORT DATE (7)			HER FACIL	TIES	INVOLVED (8)	
MON	DĂ	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUEN	REVIS	MONT	DA	YEA	< F	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NOMBER	
10	12	2000	2000	015	00	11	13	2000) F	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER	
SPER	≜7 8,	1	1207	S REPORT IS	SUBMIT		JANT 1 3(a)(2		EQUI	REMENTS X 50.73(OF 10 CFR §: a)(2)(i)	(Check o	1 50.73	(<u>a)(11)</u> (a)(2)(viii)
POW	- (8) /ER		20.2	203(a)(1)		20.220	3(a)(3	3)(i)	Ť.	50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73	3(a)(2)(x)
			20.2	203(a)(2)(i)		20.220	3(a)(3	3)(ii) 4)	-	50.73(a)(2)(iii)				
			20.2	203(a)(2)(II 203(a)(2)(II	+	50.360	c)(1)	+)	-+	50.73(a)(2)(lV) 50.73(a)(2)(v)		— †s	Specify in Abstract	
			20.2	203(a)(2)(i	v)	50.36	c <u>)(2)</u>			50.73	(a)(2)(vii)		below or in NRC	
					LICE	NSEE CON	TACT	FOR THIS	LER			D (Inclu	de Are	a Code)
J. W. I	NAME J. W. Leavines, Manager – Licensing							I ELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 225-381-4642						
			COMPLI	ETE ONE LIN		CH COMPO	ONENT	FAILUR	EDES		THIS REPOR	T (13)	CTURE	
CAU	SE	SYSTEM			R	TO EPD				STOTEM	T	F		
													<u></u>	
	_	-				T .			_					
		ELIDD				CTED /4/	E	*810 		FXP	ECTED	MONT	DAY	YEAR
Y (I	ES f yes,	complete	EXPECT	DATE).	DATE).									
ABST	RACI	F (Limit to	o 1400 sp	aces, i.e., a	pproxim	ately 15 s	ingle-	spaced	type	written lin	es) (16			
On Oc throug	tober h pos	12, 2000 t-mainten	, at 1535 ance revi	with the pla iew of docu	int in Mo mentatio	de 1 (Pov on for both	ver Op emei	peration rgency o) at 1 liesel	100 perce	nt power, a s ors (EDG) (*	system e *DG**) ti 'E_8	enginee hat all d	r determined liesel start
functio	ons w	ere not ve	ritied for	Logic Syste	m runci		i (Lor	- i j surv	singu	ice hutho:	ses uuning R	. .		
On Ap	oril 1, 2	2000, whi	le perforn	ning surveil	lance tes	sting durin	g RF-	-09, a sy	stem	n enginee	r questioned	the res	ponse o	of the did not
startin	g air : on as	system (** required	"LC""). A This was	n investigat	and the	rminea m surveillan	at one ce wa	e set of i Is succe	ssfull	lly comple	ted, and is n	lock) c	table.	During the
same	testin	g, the Los	s of Pow	er (LOP) po	ortion of	the test w	as als	so obser	ved a	and both f	anks succes	ssfully re	duced	in pressure
as exp	pected	. Theref	ore, the L	OP contact	s perforr	med as re	quirec	d and the	e sur	veillance	requirement	s were r	net in R	KF-09.
In a subsequent review of the LOP/LOCA test data, it was realized that for the LOP portion of the test, the LOP contacts were														
not directly monitored and a failure of a contact to close would not have been detected without the previously described														
monitoring of the air banks (**ACC**). Further review revealed that there was no data to substantiate that the LOP contacts performed correctly in RF-08. The absence of this provision in the procedure resulted in a failure to adequately perform the required surveillance.														
However, the technical specification surveillance requirements for LOP functions were satisfactorily performed on March 24, 2000 for Division II and on April 5, 2000 for Division I. The LOP related circuits operated satisfactorily and would have														
perfor	performed their safety function. The safety significance of the reported procedure inadequacy is minimal.													

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	ISEE EVENT REPORT (LER)				
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LI	PAGE (3)	
Biver Bond Stat	tion	05000-458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	LOF 3
River bend Sta			00	- 015 - 00	

REPORTED CONDITION

On October 12, 2000, at 1535 with the plant in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, a system engineer determined through post-maintenance review of documentation for both emergency diesel generators (EDG) (**DG**) that all diesel start functions were not verified for Logic System Functional Test (LSFT) surveillance purposes during RF-8. This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(b) as operation prohibited by technical specifications.

BACKGROUND

. ち

2

Surveillance test procedures (STP) are used at River Bend Station (RBS) to perform technical specification (TS) related surveillances. The STPs are expected to fulfill the requirements necessary to assure that the tested equipment is operable and verify that safety functions work properly. Each of the three EDG divisions has its own STPs to permit ease of scheduling and tracking the testing.

Division I and II EDGs have both a forward and a rear air start system (**LC**) each of which is capable of starting the unit. The logic for actuating each of these starting systems has plant monitoring circuitry feeding a set of parallel contacts, one set for loss of power (LOP) and one set for loss of coolant accident (LOCA). The LOP and LOCA contacts are physically in parallel in each circuit so that a signal from either will permit the EDG to start and perform its safety function. The LOP instrumentation is required to ensure the engineered safety features function during any accident with a loss of offsite power.

Technical Specifications (TS) surveillance requirement (SR) 3.3.8.1.4 requires an LSFT for EDG start logic every eighteen months. The SR is required to prove the operability of the actuation logic of a particular channel. Table 3.3.8.1-1 in the TS requires all channels be tested for under voltage and degraded voltage functions. To ensure the division logic instrumentation is operable, each set of contacts must be verified to operate. An LSFT is a test of all required logic components of a logic circuit from as close as possible to the initiating sensor through the circuit to as close as possible to the activated device to verify operability. The LSFT may be performed by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total system steps so that the entire logic system is tested. For example, parallel circuits, which perform the same actuation, are to be tested separately to ensure all portions of the circuit are tested.

INVESTIGATION

On February 3, 1997, a condition was identified during STP review that questioned the capability of the procedure to satisfy the LSFT requirements and a condition report was written. The STP had verified that the combined LSFT function occurred (LOCA and LOP) but did not test the LOP contacts in the redundant EDG start circuits. The corrective actions from that condition report required a procedure revision to correct the deficiency. On December 26, 1997, the procedure changes were issued. The revised procedures were used during RF-08 during performances of this surveillance.

On April 1, 2000, while performing surveillance testing during RF-09, a system engineer questioned the response of the starting air system in that only one air bank (**ACC**) reduced in pressure. A condition report (CR) was written and the event was investigated. One LOCA contact did not operate as evidenced by the failure of the air start valve (**FSV**) to open and draw pressure from one air bank. This deficiency was corrected and retested satisfactorily. The RF-09 LOP surveillances were determined to be acceptable because both air banks reduced in pressure indicating proper operation of the circuits. Thus, the LSFT was fully demonstrated for RF-09.

The disposition of the April, 2000 CR determined the apparent cause of the problem to be technical inaccuracies in the LOP/LOCA procedure in that the procedure did not satisfactorily perform the required LSFT surveillance for the LOP

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998)								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
-	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	L	PAGE (3)				
River Bend Stat	ion	05000-458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	30F 3			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		00	- 015 - 00				

contacts. A corrective action was issued to perform a review of RF-08 test data to determine if data was available to confirm compliance with the LOP LSFT surveillance requirements.

On October 12, 2000, the review of RF-08 information was completed. The LOCA related contacts are properly monitored by the STPs but the LOP related contacts are not because the testing methodology does not differentiate between the LOP and LOCA contacts as the source of signal initiation. The review determined that the corrective action from 1997 provided guidance to test the LOP contacts, but did not provide specific technical guidance on how to accomplish the action. When the procedure was revised, the location of the steps in the procedure was deficient and therefore, did not correct the problem.

CAUSE ANALYSIS AND IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The root cause of the 1997 condition was determined to be an inadequate procedure and corrective actions were issued to amend the procedure. However, these actions taken to ensure that both the LOP and LOCA contacts were tested during surveillance testing of the EDGs were inadequate in that the procedure did not provide clear instructions to enable technicians to correctly perform the testing of the air starting system logic. The root cause of this inadequate procedure was a failure to adequately implement corrective actions.

The performance of the subject tests during RF-09 provided the necessary information to meet the surveillance because of the additional monitoring of the air bank pressures. This monitoring was not specifically part of the LSFT surveillance test and was not performed in RF-08.

Additional actions are being addressed through the corrective action process.

PREVIOUS OCCURRENCE EVALUATION

A review of previous licensee event reports (LER) from 1998 to the present indicated that a similar event has been reported. LER 99-012-00 reported that the EDG differential current trip function circuitry might not have been fully tested. The causes of this event were related to complex wording, insufficient information and inadequate change management.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

. . 1

The technical specification surveillance requirements were satisfactorily performed on March 24, 2000 for Division II and on April 5, 2000 for Division I. LOP contacts operated satisfactorily and therefore, there is no reason to believe that the components were not functional during the period of inadequate surveillance testing prior to RF-09. The required functions were available; engineering judgment and the successful tests indicate that the components would have performed their safety functions if needed. The safety significance of the reported procedure inadequacy is minimal.

(Note: Energy industry component identification codes are annotated in the text as (**XXX**).)