

May 25, 1984

DPR 016

Docket No. 50-289

Distribution: TMI Site Pouch
 Docket File NRC PDR EBlackwood
 L PDR ORB#4 Rdg HOrnstein
 DEisenhut. OELD.
 CMiles LHarmon
 ACRS 10 TBarnhart 4
 EJordan JNGrace
 WJones DBrinkman
 RDiggs OThompson
 JVanVliet RIngram
 Gray Files 4 HDenton
 TPointexter BSnyder

Mr. Henry D. Hukill, Vice President
 and Director - TMI-1
 GPU Nuclear Corporation
 P. O. Box 480
 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Mr. Hukill:

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT NO.95 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-50

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No.95 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-50 for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application (Change Request No. 99) dated April 24, 1981.

This amendment makes four changes in the Technical Specifications, all of an administrative nature:

1. Technical Specification Section 4.11, "Site Environmental Radioactivity Survey" refers to Section 6.4 of Appendix B which does not exist. Therefore, Section 4.11 has been deleted. This change is administrative because the subject survey was incorporated into Appendix A in an earlier amendment.
2. Specification 4.8.2 is revised to specify a closure time of less than 120 seconds for main steam isolation valves in lieu of 112 seconds previously specified. This change is administrative because the 120 second limit is consistent with the TMI-1 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Section 10.3.1.2; the 112 second limit was obtained from a test procedure that was subsequently corrected to 120 seconds. Additionally, we have corrected a typographical error in the FSAR in reference on page 4-51 from FSAR Section 10.2.1.3 to Section 10.3.1.2.
3. Table 4.1.3, item 6, requires a twice weekly boron concentration check of the Boric Acid Mix Tank or the Reclaimed Boric Acid Tanks. The specification is revised by a footnote that allows relief from surveillance on a tank that is empty. A tank will be empty only when permitted by operability requirements which have not been changed. Because relief is granted only when operability is not required and when a tank is empty, this change is administrative.
4. Specification 4.4.2.1.1, "Containment Tendons," is revised to show that tendon surveillance was done in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.35, Rev. 1, at the five-year interval as well as the one- and three-year intervals previously specified. This change is administrative because it reflects what was actually done, and the standards applied were at least as stringent as those associated with the five-year test. The requested changes are acceptable because they apply to administrative details and do not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility.

8406140295 840525
 PDR ADOCK 05000289
 P PDR

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next monthly Federal Register Notice.

Sincerely,

/ S /

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
Amendment No. 95 to DPR-50

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

***See previous concurrence

*** ORB#4:DL RIngram 05/14/84	ORB#4:DL <i>OT</i> OThompson;ef 05/24/84	*** ORB#4:DL JVanVliet 05/15/84	ORB#4:DL <i>JF</i> JFStolz 05/24/84	**@ELD JGoldberg 05/16/84	AD:ORB#4:DL GLainas 05/24/84
-------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	------------------------------------



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-289

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 95
License No. DPR-50

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by GPU Nuclear Corporation, et al (the licensees) dated April 24, 1981, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8406140298 840525
PDR ADOCK 05000289
P PDR


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.c.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-50 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 95, are hereby incorporated in the license. GPU Nuclear Corporation shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 25, 1984

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 95

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-50

DOCKET NO. 50-289

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

iv
4-9
4-10
4-35
4-51
4-53
4-54

Insert

iv
4-9
4-10
4-35
4-51
4-53*
4-54

*Overleaf page included for document completeness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.7	<u>REACTOR CONTROL ROD SYSTEM TESTS</u> 4-48
4.7.1	CONTROL ROD DRIVE SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TESTS 4-48
4.7.2	CONTROL ROD PROGRAM VERIFICATION 4-50
4.8	<u>MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVES</u> 4-51
4.9	<u>EMERGENCY FEEDWATER SYSTEM PERIODIC TESTING</u> 4-52
4.9.1	TEST 4-52
4.9.2	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA 4-52a
4.10	<u>REACTIVITY ANOMALIES</u> 4-53
4.11	intentionally blank 4-54
4.12	<u>AIR TREATMENT SYSTEMS</u> 4-55
4.12.1	EMERGENCY CONTROL ROOM AIR TREATMENT SYSTEM 4-55
4.12.2	REACTOR BUILDING PURGE AIR TREATMENT SYSTEM 4-55b
4.12.3	AUXILIARY AND FUEL HANDLING EXHAUST AIR TREATMENT SYSTEM 4-55d
4.13	<u>RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS SOURCES SURVEILLANCE</u> 4-56
4.14	REACTOR BUILDING PURGE EXHAUST SYSTEM 4-57
4.15	<u>MAIN STEAM SYSTEM INSERVICE INSPECTION</u> 4-58
4.16	<u>REACTOR INTERNALS VENT VALVES SURVEILLANCE</u> 4-59
4.17	<u>SHOCK SUPPRESSORS (SNUBBERS)</u> 4-60
4.18	<u>FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS</u> 4-72
4.18.1	FIRE PROTECTION INSTRUMENTS 4-72
4.18.2	FIRE SUPPRESSION WATER SYSTEM 4-73
4.18.3	DELUGE/SPRINKLER SYSTEM 4-74
4.18.4	CO ₂ SYSTEM 4-74
4.18.5	HALON SYSTEMS 4-75
4.18.6	HOSE STATIONS 4-76
4.19	<u>OTSG TUBE INSERVICE INSPECTION</u> 4-77
4.19.1	STEAM GENERATOR SAMPLE SELECTION AND INSPECTION METHODS 4-77
4.19.2	STEAM GENERATOR TUBE SAMPLE SELECTION AND INSPECTION 4-77
4.19.3	INSPECTION FREQUENCIES 4-79
4.19.4	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA 4-80
4.19.5	REPORTS 4-81
4.20	<u>REACTOR BUILDING AIR TEMPERATURE</u> 4-86
4.21.1	RADIOACTIVE LIQUID EFFLUENT INSTRUMENTATION 4-87
4.21.2	RADIOACTIVE GASEOUS PROCESS AND EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION 4-90
4.22.1.1	LIQUID EFFLUENTS 4-97
4.22.1.2	DOSE 4-102
4.22.1.3	LIQUID WASTE TREATMENT 4-103
4.22.1.4	LIQUID HOLDUP TANKS 4-104
4.22.2.1	DOSE RATE 4-105
4.22.2.2	DOSE, NOBLE GAS 4-110
4.22.2.3	DOSE, RADIOIODINES, RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL IN PARTICULATE FORM AND RADIONUCLIDES OTHER THAN NOBLE GASES 4-111
4.22.2.4	GASEOUS RADWASTE TREATMENT 4-112
4.22.2.5	EXPLOSIVE GAS MIXTURE 4-113
4.22.2.6	GAS STORAGE TANKS 4-114
4.22.3.1	SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE 4-115
4.22.4	TOTAL DOSE 4-116
4.23.1	MONITORING PROGRAM 4-117
4.23.2	LAND USE CENSUS 4-121
4.23.3	INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM 4-122

TABLE 4.1-3

MINIMUM SAMPLING FREQUENCY

<u>Item</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
1. Reactor Coolant	a. Radio-Chemical Analysis(1)	Monthly
	b. E determination (2)	Semiannually
	c. 15 Min. Gross Degassed Beta-Gamma Activity (1)	5 times/week when Tavg is greater than 200°F
	d. Tritium Radioactivity	Monthly
	e. Chemistry (Cl, F and O ₂)	5 times/week when Tavg is greater than 200°F
	f. Boron Concentration	2 times/week
2. Borated Water Storage Tank Water Sample	Boron Concentration	Weekly and after each makeup when reactor coolant system pressure is greater than 300 psig or Tavg is greater than 200°F
3. Core Flooding Tank Water Sample	Boron Concentration	Monthly and after each makeup when RCS pressure is greater than 700 psig
4. Spent Fuel Pool Water Sample	Boron Concentration	Monthly and after each makeup
5. Secondary Coolant	a. Gross Activity	Weekly when reactor coolant system pressure is greater than 300 psig or Tavg is greater than 200°F
	b. Iodine Analysis (3)	
6. Boric Acid Mix Tank or Reclaimed Boric Acid Tank	Boron Concentration	Twice weekly (4)
10. Sodium Hydroxide Tank	Concentration	Quarterly and after each makeup

TABLE 4.1-3 (Continued)

<u>Item</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
11. Deleted		
12. Condenser Partition Factor	I^{131} Partition Factor	Once if primary/secondary leakage develops, i.e.: Gross Beta-Gamma on secondary side of OTSG is greater than 2×10^{-8} micro curies per cc and evidence of fission products is present
<p>(1) When radioactivity level is greater than 10 percent of the limits of Specification 3.1.4, the sampling frequency shall be increased to a minimum of 5 times per week.</p> <p>(2) \bar{E} determination will be started when the 15 minute gross degassed beta-gamma activity analysis indicates greater than 10 $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and will be redetermined each 10 $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ increase in the 15 minute gross degassed beta-gamma activity analysis. A radio chemical analysis for this purpose shall consist of a quantitative measurement of 95 percent of radionuclides in reactor coolant with half lives of >30 minutes.</p> <p>(3) When the gross activity increases by a factor of two above background, an iodine analysis will be made and performed thereafter when the gross activity increases by 10 percent.</p> <p>(4) The surveillance of either the Boric Acid Mix Tank or the Reclaimed Boric Acid Tank is not necessary when that respective tank is empty.</p>		

4.4.2 Structural Integrity

Specification

4.4.2.1 Inservice Tendon Surveillance Requirements

The surveillance program for structural integrity and corrosion protection conforms to the recommendations of the U. S. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.35, proposed Revision 3, "Inservice Surveillance of UngROUTED Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containment Structures." The detailed surveillance program for the prestressing system tendons shall be based on periodic inspection and mechanical tests to be performed on selected tendons, as specified hereafter.

4.4.2.1.1 Containment Tendons

Tendon surveillance was completed for one, three and five years following initial structural integrity using a Tech. Spec. based on Regulatory Guide 1.35 Rev. 1. The containment tendon structural integrity shall be demonstrated at five year intervals thereafter by:

- a. Determining that for a representative sample* of at least 23 tendons (6 dome, 7 vertical, and 10 hoop) each tendon has a lift off force equalling, or exceeding, its lower limit predicted for the time of the test as defined in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.35, "Inservice Inspection for UngROUTED Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containments", Proposed Revision 3, April, 1979.

If the lift off force of a selected tendon in a group lies between the prescribed lower limit and 90% of that limit, one tendon on each side of this tendon shall be checked for their lift off forces. If the lift off forces of the adjacent tendons are equal to, or greater than, their prescribed lower limits at the time of the test, the single deficiency shall be considered unique and acceptable. If the lift off force of either of the adjacent tendons lies below the prescribed lower limit for that tendon, the condition is reportable per T.S. 6.9.2.A3.

If the lift off force of any one tendon lies below 90% of its prescribed lower limit, the tendon shall be considered a defective tendon. It shall be completely detensioned and a determination made as to the cause of the occurrence. The condition is reportable per T.S. 6.9.2.A3.

If the inspections performed at one, three, and five years indicate no abnormal degradation of the post-tensioning system, the number of tendons checked for lift off force during subsequent tests may be reduced to a representative sample of at least 11 tendons (3 dome, 3 vertical, and 5 hoop).

*For each inspection, the tendons shall be selected on a random but representative basis so that the sample group will change somewhat for each inspection; however, to develop a history of tendon performance and to correlate the observed data, one tendon from each group (dome, vertical, and hoop) may be kept unchanged after the initial selection.

4.8 MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVES

Applicability

Applies to the periodic testing of the main steam isolation valves.

Objective

To specify the minimum frequency and type of tests to be applied to the main steam isolation valves.

Specification

- 4.8.1 A check of valve stem movement, up to 10 percent, shall be performed on a monthly basis when the unit is operational and under normal flow and load conditions.
- 4.8.2 The main steam isolation valves shall be tested at intervals not to exceed the normal refueling outage. Closure time of < 120 seconds shall be verified. This test will be performed under no flow and no load conditions.

Bases

Since a portion of the main steam lines and the steam lines to the main feed pump turbines are located in the turbine hall which is not protected against hypothetical tornado, missile, or aircraft incident; main steam isolation stop check valves are provided and located in the hardened portion of the intermediate building. These stop check valves are remotely closed by the operator from the control room, close in less than two minutes, and are tight closing ⁽¹⁾ for long term containment isolation. Their ability to close upon signal should be verified at intervals not to exceed each scheduled refueling shutdown, and valve stem freedom should be checked on a monthly basis.

References

- (1) FSAR, Section 10.3.1.2

4.10 REACTIVITY ANOMALIES

Applicability

Applies to potential reactivity anomalies.

Objective

To require the evaluation of reactivity anomalies of a specified magnitude occurring during the operation of the unit.

Specification

- 4.10.1 Following a normalization of the computed boron concentration as a function of burnup, the actual boron concentration of the coolant shall be periodically compared with the predicted value. If the difference between the observed and predicted steady-state concentrations reaches the equivalent of one percent in reactivity, an evaluation will be made to determine the cause of the discrepancy.

Bases

To eliminate possible errors in the calculations of the initial reactivity of the core and the reactivity depletion rate, the predicted relation between fuel burnup and the boron concentration, necessary to maintain adequate control characteristics, must be adjusted (normalized) to accurately reflect actual core conditions. When full power is reached initially, and with the control rod groups in the desired positions, the boron concentration is measured and the predicted curve is adjusted to this point. As power operation proceeds, the measured boron concentration is compared with the predicted concentration and the slope of the curve relating burnup and reactivity is compared with that predicted. This process of normalization should be completed after about 10 percent of the total core burnup. Thereafter, actual boron concentration can be compared with prediction, and the reactivity status of the core can be continuously evaluated. Any reactivity anomaly greater than one percent would be unexpected, and its occurrence would be thoroughly investigated and evaluated.

The value of one percent is considered a safe limit since a shutdown margin of at least one percent with the most reactive rod in the fully withdrawn position is always maintained.

INTENTIONALLY

BLANK