

44

NRC FORM 689  
(9-2004)



DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE **71**  
(65 FR 44360)

### NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: September 20, 2000

Meeting Title: Major Revision to 10 CFR Part 71

Expires: 08/30/2000

Public Protection Notification: If a person used to improve on information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold in half with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

3150-0167

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE **71**

### BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NAIEM S TANIOUS  
MAIL STOP T9F31  
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL SAFETY  
AND SAFEGUARDS  
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20277-2904

NO POSTAGE  
NECESSARY  
IF MAILED  
IN THE  
UNITED STATES



The NRC recognizes the public's interest in the proper regulation of nuclear activities and is committed to understanding and including public input into our decisions. The NRC seeks to elicit public involvement early in the regulatory process so that safety concerns that may affect a community can be resolved in a timely and practical manner. This process is considered vital to assuring the public that the NRC is making sound, balanced decisions about nuclear safety. If you would like more information about NRC, please visit our web site at [www.nrc.gov](http://www.nrc.gov).

1. Why did you attend this meeting?

- a. I am a local resident
- b. I work for an interested organization
- c. I am concerned about environmental issues
- d. I am concerned about economic issues
- e. Other \_\_\_\_\_

2. Were you familiar with the meeting topic prior to coming today?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

3. How did you find out about this meeting?

- a. NRC mailing list
- b. Newspaper
- c. Radio/TV
- d. Internet
- e. Other \_\_\_\_\_

4. Have you attended an NRC meeting before?

- a. Never
- b. 1 or 2 times
- c. 3 to 5 times
- d. More than 5 times

5. Was sufficient notice given in advance of the meeting?

- a. Yes
- b. No

6. How well do you feel you understand the NRC's role with regard to the issues discussed today?

- a. Very well
- b. Somewhat *very little*
- c. Not at all

7. Were you able to find all of the supporting information you wanted prior to the meeting?

- a. Yes
- b. I did not try to find any information
- c. No

8. Was the purpose of the meeting made clear in the preliminary information you received?

- a. Yes
- b. No

9. In your opinion, were people's questions answered clearly, completely and candidly?

- a. Yes
- b. No

10. Was the written material useful in understanding the topic?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

11. Were NRC's presentations and material presented in clear, understandable language?

- a. Yes
- b. No

12. In your opinion, did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?

- a. Yes  
 b. No

13. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?

- a. Greatly  
 b. Somewhat *very little*  
 c. Not at all

14. How well did NRC staff respond to your concerns at this meeting?

- a. My concerns were directly addressed  
 b. I was provided an alternate source of information to address my concerns  
 c. I did not raise my concerns at this meeting  
 d. I raised my concerns but am not satisfied with the response

15. Was adequate time allotted for discussion with NRC staff on the topic of today's meeting?

- a. Yes  
 b. No

16. How satisfied are you overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?

- a. Very  
 b. Somewhat  
 c. Not at all

17. Were the next steps in this process clearly explained, including how you can continue to be involved?

- a. Yes  
 b. No

If you would like someone to contact you, please provide your name and phone number or email.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_ E-Mail \_\_\_\_\_

NRC is striving to improve its communications with the public and would appreciate any additional comments you may have on today's meeting:

*what information I got was highly technical & difficult to follow.*

*Technical people do not always know how to explain things to the public but in this case I believe there was an attempt not to convey the public dangers from radiation. Further, by lessening protection to the public, by changing the packaging & allowing longer times to be in contact or near irradiated material the public and workers will be in greater danger than before.*

*I believe the technical people*

may have been trying to follow  
the letter of the law but the law  
or regulations are improper.

It also seemed that each agency  
attempted to duck responsibility  
by ~~the~~ saying another agency  
was responsible, especially in  
transportation.

Making printed reports very  
expensive is no way to win the  
public's confidence but increases  
suspicion. Not everyone has a  
high tech computer or the patience  
to decipher technical language.

Thank you for your feedback.