PERFORMANCE INDICATORS CRITERIA / METRICS

					N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ΛTA
		METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
	a.	Independent PI verification using IP 71151	M		M	M	m	M	М		IIPB / REG	Count
OP1	b.	Count discrepancies in reporting and interpretation questions	М		М	М	m	М	M	m	IIPB	Count
RP		Risk Informed				No I	Metrics					
LID4	a.	Understandable				See	OP1.a					
UP1	b.	Understandable	See OP1.b									
PP1	a.	Predictable	See OP1.a									
PP1	b.	Predictable										
PP2	a.	Count changes completing the change process				M		M	m	m	IIPB	Count
MP1	a.	Track/trend PIs that cross multiple thresholds					M	M	m		IIPB	Count
MDO	a.	Reports of unintended consequences of PIs					М	М	m		IIPB, IOLB, REG	Count
MP2	b.	Survey licensees regarding Pls driving undesirable decisions					M	M	m		IIPB	Survey
EP1	a.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism				See	OP1.a					
EFI	b.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism				See	OP1.b					

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS CRITERIA / METRICS

					N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			D/	\TA
		METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
EP2	a.	Track late PI postings to the Web					m	M	М		IIPB	Count
EP3	a.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism				See	PP2.a					
EP4	a.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism		See MP1.a								
EP5	a.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism				See	e MP2					
EDG	a.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism		See MP3.a								
EP6	b.	Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Realism				See	MP3.b					
	a.	Enhance Public Confidence				See	OP1.a					
CP1	b.	Enhance Public Confidence				See	OP1.b					
	c.	Enhance Public Confidence				See	EP2.a					
BP1	a.	Survey stakeholders perception of overlap between PIs and inspection	N							М	IIPB	Survey (Internal/ External)
BP2	a.	Survey licensee regarding perceived overlap between reporting requirements	N.								IIPB	Survey (External)

INSPECTION PROGRAM CRITERIA / METRICS

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ΛTA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
OI1	a. No. of IR's documenting findings within program guidance	M	m	m			m			IIPB	Audit
	a. Risk Informed				See	OS1.b					
RI1	b. Risk Informed				See	Ol1.a					
KII	c. Risk Informed				See	ES5.a					
	d. Risk Informed See ES5.b										
RI2	a. No. of changes to inspection program documents relating to improve risk informed aspects	m	М			m				IIPB	Count
	b. No. of "no color" findings IAW guidance	m	M			m				IIPB	Audit
RI3	a. No. of changes to program documents affecting scope or frequency of inspections		М			М	М			IIPB	Count
UI	JI Understandable See Overall ROP Metrics								erall ROP trics		
	a. Rates of baseline inspection completion				M	M	m			IIPB	Analysis
PI1	b. Propulation of and reasons for inspection schedule changes				М	М	М			REG	Count

INSPECTION PROGRAM CRITERIA / METRICS

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ATA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
PI2	a. Frequency comparison of baseline inspections to program requirements by inspector type				М	m				IIPB	Analysis
	b. No. and justification of approved deviations from baseline inspection program				M	m				IIPB / REG	Count
MI	Maintain Safety				See	RI3.a					
EI1	a. See Pl2.a				See	PI2.a					
	a. Compare actual FTE to estimated FTE						M			IIPB	Analysis
El2	b. Track/trend contracted inspection support						M			IIPB	Analysis
	c. Inspection schedule changes				М	М	М			REG	Count
FIG	a. IR's issued within program goals						М			IIPB / REG	Audit
EI3	b. TI completion by completion date						М			IIPB / REG	Audit
El4	Number of change notices for significant program changes						М			IIPB	Count
C12	a. Timeliness of posting inspection results on Web and availability of ADAMS							М		IIPB	
	b. Number of inaccuracies on Web Site							M		IIPB / REG	Audit

INSPECTION PROGRAM CRITERIA / METRICS

	METRIC			N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ATA
			OBJECTIVE RISK UNDER-STANDABLE PREDIC-TABLE SAFETY EFFICIENT ENHANCE UNNECESSARY REGULATORY EFFECTIVE CONFIDENCE BURDEN							COLLECTED BY	METHOD
BI1	a. Industry perspective	See Overall ROP Metrics								erall ROP trics	

SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION PROCESS CRITERIA / METRICS

				N	//EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ιΤΑ
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDICT- ABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
OS1	a. Number of SDP packages that are returned to the region by SDP panel due to not meeting established standards	М		m			m			IIPB	Count
	 b. Independent Audit of green findings agrees that the selected findings meet established standards 	М		m			m			SPSB, IOLB	Audit
RS	Risk Informed		See US1.a, US1.b, MS1, ES5.a & ES5.b								
US1	Degree to which an auditor can trace through the available documentation and reach the same result		m	М	М		m			SPSB, IOLB, RES	
	 Stakeholder feedback indicating ability/inability to reconstruct SDP outcomes 		m	М	М		m			IIPB	Survey (Int & Ext)
US2	Trending inspector and SRA feedback over time			M			M			IIPB, REG	Survey (Internal)
PS1	a. Predictable				See	US1.a					
PS2	a. Number of substantive change notices issued on program guidance, tables, or worksheets			m	М	m	m			IIPB	Count

SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION PROCESS CRITERIA / METRICS

				N	//EASURE	ED CRITE	ERIA			DA	ιΤΑ
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDICT- ABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
PS3	Number of worksheet changes due to errors in the worksheets as a result of not reflecting plant design and operating practices			m	М	m	m			IIPB	Count
PS4	a. Observe trends in survey				М		m			IIPB	Survey (Int & Ext)
MS1	The numbers of over- conservative and non- conservative SDP results	m M M m								+ IIPB, - SPSB, - IOLB	Count
ES1	Number of times the NRC must interact with the licensee to produce the desired result						М	m	m	REG	Count
	b. Stakeholder feedback on appropriateness of resource expenditure					М	М	m		IIPB	Survey
ES2	a. Efficient and Effective				See	MS1.a					
ES3	a. Determine whether timeliness goals were met				m		M	М		REG	Count
ES4	a. Efficient and Effective				See	US2.a					
	a. Number of appeals		m				M	m	m	REG	Count
ES5	b. Proportion of appeals that are successful		m				M	m	m	REG	Count

SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION PROCESS CRITERIA / METRICS

	METRIC			N	MEASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	λTA
			RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDICT- ABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
CS1	Accuracy of facts and color of finding is accurately communicated			m				М		IIPB	Audit
BS1	a. Reduce Unnecessary Regulatory Burden	See ES1.b									

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ATA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
	Number and type/scope of deviations from the action matrix	M			m					IIPB	Count
OA1	b. Number of challenges and percent of successful challenges of assessment outcomes	М	m		m					REG	Count
OA2	a. Number of significant departures from requirements in IMC 0305	M								IIPB	Audit
RA1	Actions taken on plants is at the appropriate level for the significance of the issue		М							IIPB	Audit
	b. Risk Informed				See	OA1.b					
UA	Understandable			Se	e Overal	I ROP Me	etrics				erall ROP trics
PA1	Regions arrive at same Action Matrix column and take similar actions with similar inputs				М					IIPB	Audit / Observa- tion
	a. Predictable				See	OA1.a					
	b. Predictable				See	OA1.b					
PA2	c. Resources expended are appropriate and consistent across regions				M					IIPB	Count

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			D/	ATA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
	d. Actions recommended by the Agency Action Review meeting beyond the actions already taken per the ROP program				М	m	m			IIPB	Audit
	Instances in which timeliness goals established in IMC 0305 were not met				М		m			RGN	Count
	b. Predictable				See	CA4.a					
PA3	c. Stakeholder feedback to determine acceptability of timeliness goals and information distribtion methods				М		m			IIPB	Surver
PA4	Revisions to IMC 0305 beyond those already planned				М		m			IIPB	Count
MA1	Feedback on appropriateness of actions					М	m			IIPB	Survey (Int & Ext)
	b. Maintain Safety				See	PA2.d					
MA2	a. Lag time between issuance of an assessment letter discussing an other than very low safety significance issue and completion of the supplemental inspection					М	m			REG	Count

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			D/	ATA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
EA1	Effectiveness is achieved if measures in Maintains Safety are met				See MA	1 and MA	A2				
	a. Stakeholder feedback on									IIPB	Audit
EA2	appropriateness of resources expended	m			m		M		m	RGN (IIPB validate)	Survey (Int & Ext)
	b. Track deviations between the job level of people involved in NRC actions vs. the job levels specified in the Action Matrix	M m							RGN	Count	
EA3	a. Efficient and Effective				See	PA2.d					
	a. Efficient and Effective				See	MA2.a					
EA4	b. Efficient and Effective				See PA3	3.a & CA4	1.a				
	c. Efficient and Effective				See	PA3.c					
EA5	a. Efficient and Effective				See	PA4.a					
CA1	a. All other metrics and criteria have been essentially met	Satisfied using all other assessment metrics.									
CA2	a. Enhance Public Confidence	See PA2.a									
CA3	Reports are written in plain language							М		IIPB	Survey (Ext)
CAS	b. Specific feedback from stakeholders							М		IIPB	Survey (Int & Ext)

				N	1EASURE	D CRITE	RIA			DA	ATA
	METRIC	OBJECTIVE	RISK INFORMED	UNDER- STANDABLE	PREDIC- TABLE	MAINTAIN SAFETY	EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE	ENHANCE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE	UNNECESSARY REGULATORY BURDEN	COLLECTED BY	METHOD
CA4	Timeliness of web posting and availability via ADAMS for assessment letters				m		m	М		IIPB / RGN	Audit
CA5	Assessment and assessment follow up letters are consistent with inspection reports							М		IIPB	Audit
BA1	a. Feedback from licensees								М	IIPB	Survey (Ext Industry)
	Program Office review of assessment letters for									IIPB	Audit
BA2	consistency and compliance against IMC 0305						m		М	IIPB / RGN	
	b. Unnecessary Regulatory Burden	See EA2.b									