PASZZ88

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Title:CONFERENCE CALL TO TDISCUSS THEMATTER OF PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC

		0 <b>0</b> ,	
Location:	Rockville, Maryland		
Date:	Wednesday, October 4, 2000		

Pages: 1 - 20

(Ĵ

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

Template = SECY-032

Stayor

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
3	X		
4	In the Matter of: :		
5	CONFERENCE CALL TO DISCUSS THE :		
6	MATTER OF PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC :		
7	X		
8			
9			
10	Wednesday, October 4, 2000		
11			
12	The above-entitled conference call commenced		
13	pursuant to notice.		
14			
15	BEFORE :		
16	JUDGE BOLLWERK		
17	JUDGE LAMM		
18	JUDGE KLINE		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034		

	2	
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2		
3	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. This is Judge	
4	Bollwerk. We're here this morning at approximately 10:45	
5	Eastern time for a telephone conference in the Private Fuel	
6	Storage case. With me in the room is Judge Lamm. Also	
7	Judge Gary Kline is on a separate line but is part of the	
8	conference.	
9	Why don't we go ahead and have the parties	
10	identify themselves, if they could, starting with the state?	
11	MS. CHANCELLOR: [Inaudible].	
12	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. And Private Fuel	
13	Storage?	
14	MR. GOKLER: Paul Gokler and Ernie Blake.	
15	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. And the NRC staff.	
16	MR. TERK: Sherman Terk and Catherine Marco.	
17	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.	
18	And as I mentioned to all the parties a second	
19	ago, we are tape-recording this. We'll try to get it	
20	transcribed if it comes through clearly enough for the court	
21	reporter. We weren't able to obtain one on short notice.	
22	But I would ask that everyone identify themselves as they're	
23	speaking. And also obviously if this doesn't work, you need	
24	to be taking notes because we won't have a transcript at	
25	that point.	

•

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034 So, all right, I received a call yesterday afternoon from Mr. Terk who wanted to talk -- I guess the parties wanted to talk with the Board about scheduling matters, and we have made ourselves available, and I don't know who wishes to speak first, but I'll simply open the floor for whomever wants to talk with the Board.

3

MR. GOKLER: Your Honor, Paul Gokler.

The purpose of the call is to talk about the Board's order of October 2nd and October 3rd with respect to filing responses or opinions with respect to the model service agreement.

Yesterday, as Mr. Terk indicated, we talked with counsel for the state and counsel for the staff in terms of the different pleadings and reports called for by the order.

15 We have been advised by the state that they intend at this point in time to file a motion to reopen the record. 16 17 We have also been advised that they -- I believe they have general concerns with respect to the service agreement 18 [inaudible] the commitments that PFS had made with respect 19 20 to financial qualifications; however, they don't believe 21 that they can get a comprehensive or detailed list of those concerns done by October 10th in the time frame of ten days. 22

We discussed it among ourselves. PFS doesn't believe -- PFS would probably move for summary disposition, but it has to wait to see what the concerns are

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

specifically. But PFS does not believe that it's efficient for it to move for summary disposition prior to the time that they have a detailed listing of their specific concerns in terms of how it believes we did not implement the financial assurance commitments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Our September 29th pleading set forth in general terms why we believe the model service agreement meets the commitments, and absent some more detail from the state, a summary disposition pleading at this point in time would just be maybe another [inaudible] what we filed September 29th and we believe would not advance the resolution of the issues.

With that in mind, like I said, we talked yesterday and we believe that one alternative would be for the state to file everything that it proposes to file and we picked a date, a proposed date of that for November 7th, which reflects the fact that the last two weeks in October are currently scheduled for about twelve depositions on [inaudible].

The state would file at that point in time any motion to reopen the record, it would file at that point in time a detailed listing of its specific concerns or objections in terms of why it believes that the model service agreement does not implement the commitment that PFS made to the Board and to the Commission. Any new

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

contentions they would file at that time as well.

PFS would then reply with respect to the motion to reopen the record and any new contentions by November 17th, which is the Friday before Thanksgiving.

With respect to the -- then PFS would probably file its motion for summary disposition December 1st, and we believe right now we would file, but obviously we need to 7 see the particular issues raised by the state, and we would file any motion for a summary disposition December 1st, which is the Friday following Thanksqiving, the week following Thanksgiving.

The state and NRC staff would file responses to our motion for a summary disposition December 21st and then the state would file any reply or anybody would file a reply that were entitled to file a reply by January 5th, 2001.

That's the schedule that we talked to and would 16 propose for the resolution of the issues. Any issues 17 18 [inaudible] that would be held over for the June hearing.

The other matter, and this one, I did not have a 19 20 chance to talk to the state, but I talked to Mr. Terk, the 21 Board's order yesterday requested us to identify any other 22 variations in the service agreement or the representations 23 made to the Board in our pleadings for summary disposition 24 and in our hearing testimony.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

We still believe we should file that before the

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

state files whatever they're going to file on November 7th per our proposed schedule, but we would request that we be able to file that October 17th. I know the staff has no objection to that, but I've not been able to talk to the state, reach the state this morning on that.

That, Your Honor, summarizes generally what the 7 parties discussed yesterday. I'm sure [inaudible] has something to add to that in terms of the state's perspective, but that summarizes generally what the parties discussed and generally the proposed schedule that we 10 developed with the state and the staff yesterday. 11

MS. CHANCELLOR: Denise Chancellor, Your Honor. 12 Ι think that Mr. Gokler adequately captures what we talked 13 about. 14

15 With respect to what it is the state would file on November the 7th, I was a little confused by the order that 16 you sent out. From the Commission's order, I thought we 17 18 would be filing mostly objections to the service agreement such that it didn't meet the summary disposition -- the 19 20 summary disposition wasn't appropriate, and in addition to 21 that, there would be, as Paul said, a motion to reopen the record to the extent that the service agreement changes the 22 testimony, and thirdly, there could be new contentions, but 23 that would be more unlikely. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Well, anything the

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

staff wants to say or anything more you want to say on this 1 2 subject? The staff, Your Honor? MR. TERK: 3 4 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Yes. MR. TERK: I think that the proposed approach 5 probably is a good one. I think it's good for everyone to 6 7 see what the state is concerned about before responses get filed. I think that should be the taking-off point. 8 9 I'm a little concerned about the November 17th 10 date proposed by Mr. Gokler for responses, any motion to reopen or new contentions. Without seeing what comes in, 11 I'm a little hesitant to commit to that. 12 I would be a little more comfortable if the date 13 was in the following week, like November 21st or 22nd, at 14 least for the staff. 15 16 MR. GOKLER: We have no problem with -- we would 17 like to have the same day as the staff. There's no problem with the 20th or the 21st. 18 MS. CHANCELLOR: Of course, Your Honor, the state 19 20 would want the same [inaudible]. SPEAKER: No, this is with respect to the motion 21 22 to reopen the record and --23 MS. CHANCELLOR: Oh, that's right. We wouldn't be [inaudible]. That's okay. 24 25 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. So I'm now hearing a ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

request for the 20th or the 21st? 1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. TERK: Actually, mine was for the 21st or 2 22nd, which would be Tuesday or Wednesday. 3

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.

MR. GOKLER: The 21st or 22nd.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. All right.

I just wanted to say one thing in response to Ms. Chancellor, I quess. In looking at this, in looking at the Commission's order, it seemed to us that what the Commission was trying to find out was would what Private Fuel Storage filed or submitted be adequate to take care of the state's concern, and that was why we were asking for the joint report and for that specifically to be addressed, and then moving on from there, if it didn't, that's why we were trying to respond, then, with whatever we thought would be the appropriate procedural motions to move forward.

I guess the one thing we didn't anticipate, at least not initially anyway, was that there would be some changes in the language of the service agreement. So that's sort of where we were, at least from what apparently had been -- what had been represented to us previously. 21

22 So I think this schedule captures our concern, which was a way to deal with this procedurally, and probably 23 24 moving the summary disposition motion until after the state 25 has filed whatever, you know, submissions it wants to make

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

is probably a good idea. So I don't have a problem with that. I have to talk with Judge Kline and Judge Lamm to see if it's all right with them, but from my perspective, that sounds reasonable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

All right. Let me ask you one other question. We put some page limitations in that order. I didn't hear anything, that anybody had a problem with those. Do you want to address that at this point?

MS. CHANCELLOR: That was 25 pages?

JUDGE BOLLWERK: It was 25 pages for the summary disposition and then 15 pages for contentions, per contention. Now, in terms of a motion to reopen, you know, I'm willing to -- I don't know what you think you need, Ms. Chancellor.

MS. CHANCELLOR: Well, [inaudible] basically glanced at the agreement, but because there are significant differences between what was represented in the hearing and what PFS has represented [inaudible], I think it's going to take -- it may take more pages than that just because we need to cite to the record and describe the various ways in which it differs.

> JUDGE BOLLWERK: Do you think 25? MS. CHANCELLOR: Yes, I think 25.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Okay. Let's put down 25. If there is a problem with that, we're always willing to listen

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

to, you know, extensions or additions to the page 1 2 limitations. Judge Bollwerk? 3 MS. CHANCELLOR: JUDGE BOLLWERK: Yes. 4 MS. CHANCELLOR: I'm still a little unclear about 5 6 contentions versus deficiencies that the state sees in the 7 service agreement such that the Board could withhold [inaudible] summary disposition given the Commission's 8 [inaudible]. 9 10 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Right. MS. CHANCELLOR: I saw those as basically the 11 state's issues or objections rather than contentions, and I 12 13 don't know whether the same standards are going to apply, but I'm just a little fuzzy as to how to style that. 14 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Well, I don't want to 15 -- I guess all I'm going to say in that respect -- does the 16 staff or the state want to say -- I'm sorry -- PFS want to 17 say anything about that before I respond? 18 I was [inaudible]. 19 MR. GOKLER: Yes. I was 20 thinking the point of the Commission's order was that they 21 were to identify any objections or concerns they had with respect to the model service agreement as it related to the 22 23 issues they raised in the [inaudible], which were very broad to begin with. 24 25 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Mr. Terk, anything you want to ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014

Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

say?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

2 MR. TERK: I have nothing to add to that, Your 3 Honor.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.

Let me just say, the only thing I was trying to anticipate -- I would agree that the Commission obviously sent -- was working within the context of contention E, which, as Mr. Gokler points out, is broadly worded in some respects.

The only thing I was trying to anticipate is if the state saw something that it thought merited an additional contention -- I'm not trying to urge you to file them, but simply I just wanted procedurally to have a date by which you needed to deal with that.

So I'm not saying there are additional contentions there or urging you to file additional contentions; all I was trying to do as a procedural matter is, if for whatever reason you decided to file additional contentions, that that was the date by which that needed to be done.

MS. CHANCELLOR: Okay. [Inaudible] I think what the state will do is file probably two documents, possibly three, one a motion to reopen, the second one dealing with our objections or the issues we see, and [inaudible] a separate document.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

MS. CHANCELLOR: That's generally the way I see 1 2 it, but [inaudible]. JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 3 Mr. Gokler had mentioned a date about the 17th to 4 get his, I quess, filing -- the PFS filing out detailing any 5 other changes. Is that an acceptable date for you, October 6 7 17th? MS. CHANCELLOR: All the changes in the service 8 agreement or would this be identifying what is different? 9 MR. GOKLER: What is different from [inaudible] we 10 11 made previously, yes. 12 MS. CHANCELLOR: Sure, we can live with that. JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 13 All right. Then just to review the bidding, we 14 15 now have a proposal to I guess essentially to quash, for want of a better word, the joint report that was due a week 16 from -- well, next Tuesday, then go into a filing schedule 17 that has the state making filings with respect to objections 18 to the service agreement and/or motions to reopen or however 19 20 else they wish to style it and any new contentions by the 21 7th of November. Staff and Applicant reply to that, those 22 documents, by the 21st or 22nd. Then Private Fuel Storage 23 then would look toward filing a summary disposition motion if it felt it was appropriate by the 1st of December, with 24 25 responses from the staff and/or the state on the 21st of

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

December, and then any replies by the state to, I quess, to 1 the staff's response would be by the 5th of January. 2 MR. GOKLER: That's correct. 3 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Is that it? 4 MS. CHANCELLOR: Correct. 5 6 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Anything I've missed? And also 7 Private Fuel would then be filing by the 17th of October its listing of additional, if there are any changes or 8 9 variations from the representations they have made relative to the service agreement. 10 11 All right. All right. I need to talk with Judge Kline, obviously, off line. We certainly will try to get 12 back to you today and issue something to deal with this 13 matter, all right? 14 Judge Kline, maybe we'll need to talk with you, 15 give you a call immediately after this is over. 16 17 JUDGE KLINE: Yes. Just call back when you're ready. 18 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Okay. At this point, 19 anything else you need to bring to the Board's attention? 20 MS. CHANCELLOR: I have one issue, Your Honor. 21 22 PFS filed a response justifying withholding information and cited to [inaudible] support its justification [inaudible]. 23 [Inaudible] asked to file a response to anything that PFS 24 25 files with respect to justification and I don't know whether ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014

> Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

that -- whether you still have that before you, but I'd like 1 to repeat that request because the state believes that it 2 has information that would help the Board make that 3 decision, that we have some conflicting information from 4 what PFS has submitted previously [inaudible]. 5 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 6 7 Any objection from Private Fuel? MR. GOKLER: We would like to have -- no 8 9 objection, but we would like to have the opportunity to respond to any information or argument that they'd make. 10 At least have the opportunity to respond. 11 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 12 13 Anything the staff wants to say on this subject? MR. TERK: I'm a little unclear. She's talking 14 15 about the [inaudible] Creek application? 16 MS. CHANCELLOR: No, I'm talking about Contention 17 E and what part of the record should be open or not, and PFS filed a pleading justifying why part of the record should be 18 closed and they relied in our [inaudible] as a competitor to 19 20 support their proprietary claim. 21 MR. TERK: In part. 22 MS. CHANCELLOR: [Inaudible]. 23 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 24 MR. TERK: We have nothing on that, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Okay. How quickly do you think ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

you can file it, Ms. Chancellor? 1 2 MS. CHANCELLOR: Probably within a week. JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. This is the 4th. 3 Do you think you can file it by the 11th? 4 5 MS. CHANCELLOR: Sure. 6 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 7 MS. CHANCELLOR: We're in depositions after that, 8 so that will be fine. 9 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Mr. Gokler, how long do you want to file a 10 11 response? 12 MR. GOKLER: About a week. So the 18th? JUDGE BOLLWERK: 13 That would be fine. 14 MR. GOKLER: 15 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. MR. BLAKE: Judge Bollwerk? 16 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Yes. 17 18 MR. BLAKE: This is Mr. Blake. 19 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Yes, sir. 20 MR. BLAKE: I would hope that the state would 21 follow our practice and raise with us if they have It may not require Board attention. 22 something. 23 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. I don't have a problem with that, certainly. Is that something you need to 24 25 do off-line, then, I take it? ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 842-0034

MR. BLAKE: Yes, I think that would be best. 1 2 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Okav. MS. CHANCELLOR: [Inaudible]. 3 JUDGE BOLLWERK: I think I should mention -- well, 4 let me ask first, anything else, then, from any of the 5 6 parties? I think I should mention -- I need to 7 All right. 8 talk with Judge Kline and Judge Lamm -- I suspect that what's gone on here has put the Board's decision in 9 Contention E sort of in somewhat limbo right now. We need 10 to talk about that, but it would not shock me if we do not 11 12 issue something by the end of this month. MS. CHANCELLOR: We [inaudible], Your Honor, given 13 what we have to file. 14 15 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Right. I think that may be 16 obvious to everyone, but I just wanted to put you on notice 17 there is a schedule out there, and generally we try to be 18 pretty straightforward about how we're doing, and given 19 everything that has happened, I think there may be some 20 question about what Contention E can go forward at this 21 But that's something the Board is still assessing. point. 22 Okav. Anything anybody wants to say about that? 23 [No response.] 24 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 25 Judge Kline, anything you want to say? ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

JUDGE KLINE: No, not for the moment. 1 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. 2 Judge Lamm? 3 JUDGE LAMM: No. 4 JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Then you should 5 expect an order from us with respect to the matters we've 6 7 talked about here hopefully -- if I can get it out in the next couple of hours, I'll do so. If not, certainly by 8 9 first thing tomorrow morning. If there's nothing else, then I thank you all for 10 your time, and Judge Kline, we'll be calling you back in a 11 couple of minutes. 12 13 JUDGE KLINE: Fine. SPEAKER: Your Honor, I may mention something 14 since we're all on, at least the [inaudible] parties and the 15 Board are on --16 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Mr. Terk -- I did have --17 actually, what about the SER? Maybe that's the question or 18 maybe that's what you were going to say something about. 19 20 MR. TERK: That's exactly what I was going to mention. 21 22 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Okay. MR. TERK: The SER has been completed and it will 23 be distributed shortly. I'm hoping to see it actually out 24 25 today, but there are logistics problem that we have to ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters

17

Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034 overcome.

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

MS. CHANCELLOR: Can I put in a request that [inaudible].

MR. TERK: Yes.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Yes, I think that was a problem with that last time. We probably want to avoid that again.

MR. TERK: What I'm going to be doing is taking
copies and mailing them personally to the parties and Board.
JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.

10 MR. TERK: So that will be separate from the staff 11 distribution.

12 Incidentally, the SER does -- comes to a 13 conclusion on the two matters that have been discussed 14 before. One item, the PFS request for a seismic exemption, 15 the staff recommended that they grant it; and the aircraft 16 crash has now been resolved along with the cruise missile 17 and other munitions-related issues. And the discussion of 18 those matters will appear in the SER.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.

20 MS. NOKAHARA: Chairman, this is Connie Nokahara. 21 Are you going to issue a provision on the military 22 aircraft crashes? The position you issued last summer 23 reserved that issue for a later statement.

24 MR. TERK: The SER discussion of aircraft crash is 25 extensive, it's on the order of I would say about 60 pages.

> ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

	19		
1	MS. NOKAHARA: You're not going to issue a		
2	separate position like you always do?		
3	MR. TERK: I don't think there's a need to. I		
4	think the SER is so detailed that it would just be		
5	redundant.		
6	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.		
7	The process we set up before was for something in		
8	I shouldn't say in lieu of, but before the SER. If		
9	you've [inaudible] the SER, you've gotten essentially the		
10	same thing. Is that what you're saying, Mr. Terk?		
11	MR. TERK: Yes.		
12	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.		
13	Is that document in electronic form? Is that		
14	something you send electronically, or is it going to be put		
15	on the agency's Web site, or how is it going to be handled?		
16	MR. TERK: I don't know the answer to that, but		
17	I'm sure that we do it electronically as well as hard copy.		
18	I know that it's in electronic form now for Wordperfect		
19	processing purposes.		
20	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right. Well, if that's		
21	something that, even notwithstanding the fact you're putting		
22	it in the mail, if you could send it to the parties		
23	electronically and they want to receive it that way, that		
24	probably would speed things up by several days.		
25	MR. TERK: Okay. I don't know if I can do that		
	ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. Court Reporters		

1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034

			20
	1	before [inaudible], but I'll see what we can do.	
	2	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.	
	3	All right. Anything else, then from anyone?	
	4	[No response.]	
	5	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right, then. I appreciate	
	6	your time this morning. I'm glad we were able to get	
	7	together on such short notice, and if there's nothing	
	8	further, then we'll adjourn for today.	
	9	Thank you very much.	
	10	MR. GOKLER: Thank you.	
	11	MS. CHANCELLOR: Thank you, Your Honor.	
	12	MR. TERK: Thank you, Your Honor.	
	13	[Whereupon, the conference call adjourned.]	
-	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
		ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	
		Court Reporters 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1014 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 842-0034	

•

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: CONFERENCE CALL TO DISCUSS THE MATTER OF PRIVATE FUEL STORAGE, LLC

Docket Number:

Place of Proceeding: Rockville, MD

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission transcribed by me from recorded tapes provided by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings to the best of my belief and ability.

Mary Carpenter /ac

Mary Carpenter ' Transcriber Ann Riley & Associates, Ltd.