

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE ~~PA~~ 2
(65 FR 50937)

(2)

Clark County is pleased to submit the following comments on the Level One and Level Two Functional Requirements to the LSN. These comments address only the technical issues given in the revised draft, comments of a more general nature may be submitted by D. Bechtel.

LSN 2.14.02

SNMP of the monitoring function could be a problem for Clark County. Log files (LSN 2.20.07) could be made available, but this availability will most likely not be in real time, but be subject to a time delay of no more than 24 hours. Further clarification of this issue may be required before it can be settled.

LSN 2.14.04

See comments for above.

LSN 2.20.05

In order to meet the web crawler access criteria the current planning is to have a separate server, or logical area in front of the Clark County firewall. This would allow both for access by the crawler and the public. If this does not provide sufficient security for the material then further discussion is required.

LSN 2.25.02

Clark County will need to scan most of their documentary material for the LSN. The error rates given in this requirement are rather stringent and will require manual correction of the OCR'd documents. Given this the required error rates should be obtainable.

E. von Tiesenhausen
500 South Grand Central Pkwy Com Planning
Las Vegas, NV 89106

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02