

From: dianed@igc.org
To: <chairman@nrc.gov>, <sfs@nrc.gov>, <nst@nrc.gov>, ...
Date: Fri, Sep 29, 2000 3:34 PM
Subject: Extension Request Radioactive Transport (additional signatories) '00 001-2 10:57

Sept 29, 2000

This is a resubmission of our extension request with the complete list of signatories.

Sept 28, 2000

Dear DOT and NRC:

Attached is our request for a 6 month extension on the comment periods for DOT and NRC on new radioactive transport regulations, and provision of all proposed regulations and relevant documentation and support accessible to the public.

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

71

(65FR 44360)

Thank you,

Diane D'Arrigo, Mary Olson
NIRS/WISE amst

Marvin Resnikoff
Radioactive Waste Management Associates

Damon Moglen
Greenpeace

Susan Gordon
Alliance for Nuclear Accountability

Judith Johnsrud
Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Pollution, NECNP

Alice Slater
Global Resource Action Center for the Environment

Dan Hirsch
Committee to Bridge the Gap

Janet Zeller
Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League

Dave Kraft
Nuclear Energy Information Service

Courtney Cuff
Friends of the Earth

Dan Becker
Sierra Club

Anna Aurilio
US Public Interest Research Group

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02

Date: September 28, 2000

TO: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.
Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications staff

TO: US Department of Transportation, 400 7th Street SW Washington, D.C. 20590-0001
Attention: Dockets Management System

cc:

DOT Chairman Slater	chairman@nrc.gov
NRC Chairman Meserve	sfs@nrc.gov
Susan Shankman	nst@nrc.gov
Naiem S. Tanius	fxc@nrc.gov
Francis X. Cameron	gam@nrc.gov
George Mulley	rick.boyle@rspa.dot.gov
Rick Boyle	fred.ferate@rspa.dot.gov
Fred Ferate	shane.kelley@rspa.dot.gov
Shane Kelley	kelley.coyner@rspa.dot.gov
Kelley Coyner	

FROM:

Nuclear Information and Resource Service/	<dianed@nirs.org>	<nirs.se@mindspring.com>
World Information Service on Energy (Amst.)		<radwaste@rwma.com>
Radioactive Waste Management Associates	<bredl@skybest.com>	
Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League	<johnsrud@csrlink.net>	
Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power	<neis@forward.net>	
Nuclear Energy Information Service	<CBGHirsch@aol.com>	
Committee to Bridge the Gap	<aslater@gracelinks.org>	
Global Resource Action Center for the Environment	<susangordon@earthlink.org>	
Alliance for Nuclear Accountability	<damon.moglen@wdc.greenpeace.org>	
Greenpeace	<asquared@pirg.org>	
US Public Interest Research Group	<dan.becker@sierraclub.org>	
Sierra Club	<ccuff@foe.org>	
Friends of the Earth		

RE: REQUEST to US NRC and US DOT FOR EXTENSION ON TRANSPORT COMMENT PERIODS
and for Provision of Applicable Documentation

US NRC:

10 CFR Part 71, Major Revision to 10 CFR Part 71: Compatibility With ST-1--The IAEA Transportation Safety Standards--And Other Transportation Safety Issues, Issues Paper, and Notice of Public Meetings; Proposed Rule. Federal Register: July 17, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 137 Pages 44359-44397.)

US DOT:

1) Hazardous Materials Regulations; Compatibility with the Regulations of the International Atomic Energy Agency; Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Docket No. RSPA-99-6283 (HM-230) RIN 2137-AD39. Federal Register December 28, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 248, Pages 72633-72636.), and

2) portions of the notice of proposed rulemaking (Internal Docket Number HM-215D) that pertain to radioactive materials and exemptions and exemption levels for radioactive materials. Before ST-1 is incorporated, in full or in part(s), by the US, for international shipments and consistency with ICAO and IMO and IAEA, the American public must be afforded significant time and understanding of the implications.

WE REQUEST a 6-month extension on public comment periods beginning with public access to documents:

Our organizations are formally requesting a 6-month extension on the comment periods for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Department of Transportation proposed adoption of all or part of the UN IAEA ST-1 standards, and additional proposals in addition to the IAEA issues. NIRS and BREDL made these requests at the August 10, 2000 meeting and the September 20, 2000 meeting.

We are also requesting that clear (plain language) information and documentation of the proposals and the basis for their adoption be provided to the public, immediately, and that the extended comment period be restarted once the

relevant materials are publicly accessible.

Such provisions as those in ST-1 should by no means be incorporated by reference, especially when the referenced document(s) are so inaccessible. The DOT and NRC should publish what they intend to adopt and make it clear to the public. It took weeks for a colleague to get a copy of ST-1 from the contractor listed in the Federal Register announcement and it cost \$80. The backup documents took longer to obtain and are not readily available to the general public. Multiple issues are being listed by NRC and DOT but neither is providing a comprehensive publication of the proposed regulations. Citizens in a democracy have the right to know and impact the regulations that affect them (us). Provide the proposals, the materials and opportunity to review and comment for all members of the public that have the interest and concern to do so.

"Harmonization" is the justification given by both agencies (NRC and DOT) for the adoption of some or all of ST-1, the "International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Safety Standards Series: Regulations for the Safe Transportation of Radioactive Material, 1996 Edition, Requirements No. ST-1." There is no urgency here because the world community is already harmonized in using the previous IAEA regulations, SS-6.

United States agencies should not adopt recommended international standards without the public's full knowledge and consent. The public has the right to know and affect the regulations in this country. We have the right to know how we are being represented by our federal agencies internationally and to be represented in a democratic way when they participate in development of international standards. Neither DOT nor NRC has the authority to encourage reduction in public protection on an international level, which could result in preemption or superceding of more protective existing national standards.

The full scope of the proposed regulations has not been made public. The implications have not been fully assessed or determined.

For example, it appears that DOT and NRC plan to adopt new standards that will facilitate the "clearance" or exemption of radioactive materials from regulatory control, despite the clear mandate by the United States public against "BRC" (below regulatory control) deregulation of radioactive materials.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission proposes reducing the already-inadequate requirements for Type B transport containers (used for irradiated fuel) without fully informing or involving all of the communities along the potential transport routes for irradiated fuel, the very communities that will be most directly impacted.

The NRC also proposes to weaken the requirements for containment of plutonium at the very point in time that we face major increases in the amount of plutonium transport.

Our organizations and numerous other groups are not sufficiently aware and familiar with the proposed changes and need adequate time to obtain, consider and comment on them.

Based on the extensive scope, the lack of clarity and justification for the proposed changes in transportation regulations, we respectfully request that the public be afforded more time and more precise, straightforward information on the regulatory changes and their implications for public policy.

Sincerely,

Diane D'Arrigo, Mary Olson
NIRS/WISE amst

Dr. Marvin Resnikoff
RWMA

Dave Kraft
NEIS

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
ECNP, NECNP

Dan Becker
Sierra Club

Damon Moglen
Greenpeace

Janet Zeller
BREDL

Dan Hirsch
CBG

Anna Aurilio
US PIRG

Alice Slater
GRACE

Susan Gordon
ANA

Courtney Cuff
Friends of the Earth