

April 6, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: James M. Taylor  
Executive Director for Operations

FROM: John C. Hoyle, Secretary \s\

SUBJECT: STAFF REQUIREMENTS - AFFIRMATION/DISCUSSION  
AND VOTE, 11:45 A.M., THURSDAY, APRIL 6,  
1995, COMMISSIONERS' CONFERENCE ROOM, ONE  
WHITE FLINT NORTH, ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND (OPEN  
TO PUBLIC ATTENDANCE)

I SECY-95-067 - Final Amendment to the Nuclear Power Plant  
License Renewal Rule (10 CFR Part 54)

The Commission (with all Commissioners agreeing) has approved publication of the final amendment to the license renewal rule (10 CFR Part 54) subject to the following comments.

1. The staff should add a statement early on in the SOC that clearly indicates that there are structures and components with only active functions, structures and components with only passive functions, and structures and components with both active and passive functions (similar to the wording starting the third full paragraph on page 34 of the SOC).
2. The aging management review should focus on the passive functions of structures and components and not result in a subcomponent piece-part aging management demonstration. The following text should be added to the SOC to clearly indicate that passive piece-parts of structures and components with only active functions do not require aging management review. "Passive parts of structures and components that only perform active functions do not require aging management review. Structures and components that perform both passive and active functions require an aging management review for their intended passive function only." The staff should include an example to illustrate this. In addition, the staff should review the Statement of Considerations (SOC) and remove the words "portions of" and similar wording when it could be misinterpreted to mean a subcomponent piece-part demonstration. The staff should acknowledge in the SOC receipt of the NEI comment letter and the clarifications made to address this point.

3. The examples identified in 54.21 (a)(1)(i) and those identified in the SOC should be the same. The additional examples in the SOC should be added to the rule.

The Federal Register Notice should be revised as noted, reviewed by the Rules Review and Publications Branch, ADM, and returned to SECY along with a final markup of the package indicating all changes made. (SECY will provide Commissioners an information copy of the package before forwarding it to the Federal Register for publication.)

(EDO)

(SECY Suspense: 4/28/95)

cc: The Chairman  
Commissioner Rogers  
Commissioner de Planque  
OGC  
OCA  
OIG  
Office Directors, Regions, ACRS, ACNW, ASLBP (via E-Mail)  
PDR - Advance  
DCS - OP1-24