

NRC FORM 659
(8-2000)



given by Pat Ortinger
DOCKETED
@ the end of the
meeting
SEP 27 AM 10:06

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

PR 71
(65FR44360)

8

NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: September 20, 2000

Meeting Title: Major Revision to 10 CFR Part 71

3100-0107

Expires: 08/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold in half with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02

The NRC recognizes the public's interest in the proper regulation of nuclear activities and is committed to understanding and including public input into our decisions. The NRC seeks to elicit public involvement early in the regulatory process so that safety concerns that may affect a community can be resolved in a timely and practical manner. This process is considered vital to assuring the public that the NRC is making sound, balanced decisions about nuclear safety. If you would like more information about NRC, please visit our web site at www.nrc.gov.

1. Why did you attend this meeting?

- a. I am a local resident
- b. I work for an interested organization
- c. I am concerned about environmental issues
- d. I am concerned about economic issues
- e. Other want chance to register concern since there are so few public meetings

2. Were you familiar with the meeting topic prior to coming today?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

3. How did you find out about this meeting?

- a. NRC mailing list
- b. Newspaper
- c. Radio/TV
- d. Internet
- e. Other heard about it through friend

4. Have you attended an NRC meeting before?

- a. Never
- b. 1 or 2 times
- c. 3 to 5 times
- d. More than 5 times

5. Was sufficient notice given in advance of the meeting?

a. Yes

b. No *I knew, but those in the area did not. (except for outreach we did on our bus)*

6. How well do you feel you understand the NRC's role with regard to the issues discussed today?

a. Very well

b. Somewhat

c. Not at all

7. Were you able to find all of the supporting information you wanted prior to the meeting?

a. Yes

b. I did not try to find any information

c. No *some, not all. Wasn't easy to access*

8. Was the purpose of the meeting made clear in the preliminary information you received?

a. Yes

b. No

9. In your opinion, were people's questions answered clearly, completely and candidly?

a. Yes

b. No *But its the nature of the meeting. Many comments outside*

10. Was the written material useful in understanding the topic?

a. Very

b. Somewhat *Good to have it, hard to plow through*

c. Not at all

11. Were NRC's presentations and material presented in clear, understandable language?

a. Yes

b. No *missed presentation*

state of this role making

12. In your opinion, did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?

- a. Yes
 b. No

13. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?

- a. Greatly
 b. Somewhat
 c. Not at all

14. How well did NRC staff respond to your concerns at this meeting?

- a. My concerns were directly addressed
 b. I was provided an alternate source of information to address my concerns
 c. I did not raise my concerns at this meeting
 d. I raised my concerns but am not satisfied with the response

15. Was adequate time allotted for discussion with NRC staff on the topic of today's meeting?

- a. Yes *in one sense. We could discuss this for 100 years*
 b. No

16. How satisfied are you overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?

- a. Very
 b. Somewhat
 c. Not at all

17. Were the next steps in this process clearly explained, including how you can continue to be involved?

- a. Yes *- Though it wasn't good news!*
 b. No

If you would like someone to contact you, please provide your name and phone number or email.

Name _____

Telephone _____ E-Mail _____

NRC is striving to improve its communications with the public and would appreciate any additional comments you may have on today's meeting:

Background paper was very helpful. But it is daunting to track down every reference to existing regulations. And even then they are in regulatory language. Suggest footnotes when existing reg. are mentioned & footnote should be in plain language. i.e.: This regulation would do x y z.