

**From:** "DAVIS, Jim" <jwd@nei.org>  
**To:** "Tracy, Glen (NRC)" <gmt@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Fri, Sep 8, 2000 2:25 PM  
**Subject:** Action items from Sept 6 meeting

Our review of the September 6 meeting showed the following action items. Hopefully this agrees with your list. Also provided is the SWG answer to the one industry action item.

NRC Action:

1. NRC staff look at making two documents available to the industry, RER lessons learned and OSRE lessons learned.
2. How will the industry be informed of future changes to the OSRE process?
3. Can other licensees attend to the pre-OSRE meeting?
4. Should the contractors be at the pre-meeting.
5. The procedure for use of the Significance Determination Process needs to be provided as soon as a Commission decision is made.
6. The NRC will consider issuing a Regulatory Issue Summary to clearly define which personnel listed in the security plan can be used in a OSRE drill.
7. The NRC was asked by one participant to indicate what action would be taken for a compromise of a drill scenario.
8. When will the final drill scenario be given to the licensee to allow adequate time to prepare the scenario, brief the mock adversary, and brief controllers?

The industry was asked how long was needed to properly prepare a drill package.

Answer:. The Industry's Security Working Group reviewed this issue and believes that three weeks is need to prepare the type of detailed scenario that the NRC staff is currently expecting.

Added issue:

9. Questions 13, 16, and 22(d) on the NEI list provided before the meeting were not addressed in the discussion. Can the NRC provide an answer to these three issues?

Jim Davis  
NEI  
202-739-8105

**CC:** "Arildsen, Jesse (NRC)" <jaa@nrc.gov>