Blu/40 Received w/Ltr Dated

CNWRA PROGRAM MANAGER'S PERIODIC REPORT

ON ACTIVITIES OF THE

CENTER FOR NUCLEAR WASTE REGULATORY ANALYSES

FOR THE FISCAL REPORTING PERIOD

January 20, 1990 - February 16, 1990

PMPR No. 90-05

March 2, 1990

426.1

9003070293 900306 PDR WASTE PTU Ph

.

. سر

÷

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Υ.

. k

,

,

د لا

ф 2 •

<u>Page</u>

1.	SUMMAR	RY																		
	1.1	Technical Status											•						-	1-1
	1.2	Major Problems .										•						•		1-6
	1.3	Forecast for Next	Period						•						•				-	1-6
	1.4	Summary Financial	Status						•			•				•				1-8
2.	CNWRA	OPERATIONS																		
	2.1	Technical Status		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•		2-1
	2.2	Major Problems .		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		2-2
	2.3	Forecast for Next	Period		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		2-2
	2.4	Element Financial	Status.	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	·	٠	•	•	٠	•	·	٠	•		2 - 3
3.	WASTE	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	G & INTEG	GR/	\T]	ION	1													
	3.1	Technical Status																		3-1
	3.2	Major Problems .																		3-3
	3.3	Forecast for Next	Period																	3-3
	3.4	Financial Status																		3-4
	- • •			•	·	•	•	•												
4.	QUALI	TY ASSURANCE																		
	4.1	Technical Status			•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•		4-1
	4.2	Major Problems .						•			•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•		4 - 2
	4.3	Forecast for Next	Period		•		•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•		4 - 2
	4.4	Financial Status		•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	·	·	•		4-2
5	GEOLO	STC SETTING																		
51	5.1	Technical Status																		5-1
	5.2	Major Problems		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·									5-2
	53	Forecast for Next	Period	•	•	•	•	•												5-2
	5.4	Financial Status	101100	•	•	•	•		•											5-3
			• • • • •	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	-	•		-				
6.	ENGIN	EERED BARRIER SYSTE	M																	
	6.1	Technical Status		•		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	·	•		6-1
	6.2	Problems		•	•	•	•	•	•	·	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•		6-3
	6.3	Forecast for Next	Period	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠		6 - 3
	6.4	Financial Status		•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		6-3
7.	SPECI	AL PROJECTS																		
	7.1	Technical Status																		7-1
	7.2	Problems																		7-1
	7.3	Forecast for Next	Period																	7-1
	7.4	Financial Status			•	•		•			•	•		•		•	•			7-1
8	REPOS	TTORY DESIGN CONST	RUCTION	Δ.	ND	0.	PF.	R۵	TΤ	лN	S									
5.	8 1	Technical Status	ROUTION,	А		0.			× + '		-									8-1
	8 2	Major Probleme		•	·	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		8-1
	0.2 8 २	Forecast for Nove	Poriod	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•		8-2
	g /.	Forecast for Next	reriod	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•		8_2
	0.4	rinancial Status	· · · ·		•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		0-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

· ____,

र. ३ रि

,

1

4

			<u>Page</u>
9.	PERFOR	MANCE ASSESSMENT	
	9.1	Technical Status	9-1
	9.2	Major Problems	9-2
	9.3	Forecast for Next Period	9-2
	9.4	Financial Status	9-3
10.	TRANSI	PORTATION RISK STUDY	
	10.1	Technical Status	10-1
	10.2	Major Problems	10-2
	10.3	Forecast for Next Period	10-2
	10.4	Subelement Financial Status	10-2
11.	RESEA	RCH	
	11.1	Technical Status	11-2
	11.2	Major Problems	11-6
	11.3	Forecast for Next Period	11-7
	11.4	Element Financial Status	11-7

CNWRA PROGRAM MANAGER'S PERIODIC REPORT ON ACTIVITIES OF THE CENTER FOR NUCLEAR WASTE REGULATORY ANALYSES

<u>TITLE</u> : Center for Regulatory	Nuclear Waste Analyses	<u>FIN</u> : D1035-8	
NRC CNWRA PROGRAM N	MANAGER: Jesse 1 (301)	L. Funches <u>CONTRACTOR</u> : SwRI 492-3324	
NRC CNWRA DEPUTY PI	ROGRAM MANAGER:	Shirley L. Fortuna (301) 492-0427	
<u>CENTER PRESIDENT</u> :	John E. Latz (512) 522-5154	CONTRACT NO: NRC-02-88-00	5
ESTIMATED BUDGET:	\$42,550,000	<u>SITE</u> : 6220 Culebra Road San Antonio, Texas	
	10/0/ /07	10/06/00	

<u>PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE</u>: 10/26/87 - 10/26/92 <u>PERIOD OF THIS REPORT</u>: 01/20/90 - 02/16/90

1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

NMSS Element 1 - CNWRA Operations

Discussions were held regarding NRC comments on the preliminary draft Center Management Plan which was transmitted to the NRC last period. Corporate and individual conflict of interest matters were also discussed.

The current status of Center staffing is indicated in the attached tables which reflect changes that are being made to the Staffing Plan in accordance with the Operations Plans. Additional changes are being planned to accommodate budget adjustments resulting from the DOE program stretch-out. Intensive interviewing and recruitment efforts continued for positions in the geosciences, rock mechanics, and performance assessment. Drs. E. Pearcy and G. Stirewalt, and Mr. S. Young will join the Center staff next period.

Comments were received on the revised Division of High Level Waste Operations Plans, and comments are awaited on the Overall Research Project Plan for FY90-91. These documents were transmitted to the NRC for approval December 1, 1989, and November 30, 1989, respectively. These Plans will be updated, as appropriate during Period 7. Preparation of the Center Five Year Plan continued with inputs provided by each of the other Elements and Projects.

Quality Assurance activities focused on (a) implementation of the key Program Architecture development guidance documents, with emphasis on analysis of regulatory and institutional uncertainties (particularly, WSEI Major Milestone 110), (b) review of several technical products within the Elements (see accomplishments of those Elements), and (c) revisions to the CQAM. In addition, an internal audit was conducted of selected research activities.

NMSS Element 2 - Waste Systems Engineering and Integration

ĩ

Further efforts were directed toward the identification of Regulatory and Institutional Uncertainties in 10 CFR Part 60, and the development of rationale and references for those uncertainties (Section 3). Comments received from the NRC staff were used to guide refinement of these analyses and revision of the draft report "Identification and Evaluation of Regulatory and Institutional Uncertainties in 10 CFR Part 60" (Milestone 110, CNWRA 90-003) which was transmitted in draft form on January 5, 1990. A meeting was held January 30, 1990, to discuss remaining staff comments on the report.

Discussions were held on January 22-23, 1990, on the requirements document for Versions 2.0 and 3.0 of PASS, which was transmitted to NRC November 30, 1989. Although a tentative approval for Version 2.0 was received, formal comments and approval are awaited.

Extensive support activities related to the Technical Positions on Thermal Load and Retrievability, as well as development of information related to the Regulatory Requirements concerning "Substantially Complete Containment" and "Adverse Condition--Extreme Erosion," continued. Regulatory analysis activities associated with the LARS and EIRS are reported in the Special Projects Element section, and those associated with the PARS are reported in the Performance Assessment section of this report.

NMSS Element 3 - External Quality Assurance

The CNWRA Director of QA participated in the NRC/DOE Bimonthly QA Meeting at White Flint. No audit or surveillance work was conducted this period (Section 4).

NMSS Element 4 - Geologic Setting

Staff participated in the February 6-7, 1990, DOE/NRC/NV Technical Exchange Meeting on calcite-silica vein deposits (Section 5). Review comments on the DOE Study Plan on Characterization of the Yucca Mountain Quaternary Regional Hydrology were completed and forwarded to NRC February 15. As part of this review, discussions were held regarding the mathematical formulations in the Szymanski report.

Geologic Setting Element activities included work on Steps 1, 3, 4, and 7 of the Natural Resources technical position. A major working group meeting on this topic is planned for next period. Extensive testing of graphics workstations continued this period, including demonstrations by the vendors and "benchmark" testing by the Center staff. NRC-HLW staff members D. Chery and M. Blackford participated in demonstrations of this equipment and software, as well as use of the Site and Engineering Properties Database on the SwRI VAX computer on January 22, 1990.

NMSS Element 5 - Engineered Barrier Systems

A supplement and revision to the EBS Program Element Operations Plan for FY90 was received from the NRC on February 9, 1990. An impact assessment and cost estimate will be prepared on this next period (Section 6).

Activities related to the potential rulemaking on "Substantially Complete Containment" continued. The workshop on this topic is planned for April 2-4, 1990, with a total of nine peers to participate. The draft report "Technical Considerations for Evaluating Substantially Complete Containment of HLW within the Waste Package" was extensively revised during this period. In addition, the first-level review of the companion report "Uncertainty Evaluation Methods for Waste Package Performance Assessments" was completed and comments were incorporated in the draft. These two reports form the technical basis for the potential rulemaking.

Work continued in the area of codes and methods development. Staff participated in the ASTM Subcommittee meetings on Repository Materials and Nuclear Waste which were held in Las Vegas, NV, on January 22-24, 1990. CNWRA participation in round-robin testing to evaluate the variability in the "Nuclear Waste Glass Product Consistency Test Method" was discussed. In addition, models pertinent to uniform and localized corrosion were reviewed and evaluated. The results of this study will be documented in an Appendix to the Milestone 49 report which was transmitted to NRC last year.

NMSS Element 6 - Special Projects

Work in this Subelement focused on development of the format and contents for the License Application Review Strategy (LARS) and the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) review strategy (Section 7). An analysis of interfaces between environmental statutes other than the National Environmental Policy Act and the EIS review was completed, and the assessment of the NRC role in EIS review continued.

NMSS Element 7 - Repository Design, Construction, and Operations

Activities aimed at development of the technical positions on thermal loads and waste retrievability continued this period (Section 8). A technical exchange and progress review meeting was held with the cognizant NRC staff January 22, 1990, to discuss the work to date on Program Architecture and the technical position on thermal loads. Extensive support was provided to conduct related regulatory analysis (Program Architecture) activities under the WSE&I Element (see Section 3).

NMSS Element 8 - Performance Assessment

Comments were provided on the revised scope of work for the technical position on scenario identification and evaluation.

Work continued on development of the proposed rulemaking that was formerly referred to as the design basis accident. Several cycles of comments on both the work plan for this activity and the draft of this proposed rulemaking were prepared and discussed with the cognizant NRC staff. In addition, a draft assessment of the 'implementability' of the proposed rule was prepared and forwarded to the NRC.

The first Performance Assessment Workshop, was held February 15-16, 1990, in Bethesda. In addition to NRC NMSS and RES, both SNL staff and members of the EBS, GS, and PA Program Elements of the Center participated. A joint meeting on technology transfer was held with NRC and SNL.

NMSS Element 9 - Transportation Risk Study

NRC made a decision to suspend all Center TRS activities as a result of the DOE program stretch out (Section 10). Orderly phase out of the project is underway with a planned completion of May 30, 1990.

Extraction of shipment data from the entries to SAND84-7174 is essentially complete. Preliminary analyses of maximum and median doses from incident-free transportation were completed. Analyses of scenarios for incident-free transportation continue using dose calculations done in NUREG-0170 with scenarios developed from the SAND84-7174 database.

Research Project 1 - Overall Research

Comments are awaited on the revised Overall Research Plan for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 which was transmitted to the NRC on November 30, 1989.

Research Project 2 - Geochemistry

Revisions and additions were completed to the annual milestone report "Progress in Experimental Studies on the Thermodynamic and Ion Exchange Properties of Clinoptilolite" and the document was forwarded to the NRC (Section 11).

Characterization of clinoptilolite from several locations was completed. Based on these evaluations, the material from Death Valley Junction, CA, has been selected for use in the experiments. A technical review, including a demonstration of techniques developed, was conducted for the Task 1 activity "Application of Cryo-Electron Microscopy and X-Ray Microanalysis to Geochemical Characterization of Pore Waters".

The computer program SOLCALC, previously developed by R. Pabalan at Berkeley, was revised and validated against data available in the literature. The code will be used to derive thermodynamic exchange constants from published experimental ion exchange data.

Research Project 3 - Thermohydrology

Development, assembly, and adaptation of the gamma-ray densitometer traversing system was completed, and it was calibrated and subjected to shake-down testing this period. The initial separate effect experiment commenced February 20, 1990.

Testing was conducted on the TOUGH code (for modeling unsaturated flow) which was installed and made operational on the SwRI VAX 8700.

Research Project 4 - Seismic Rock Mechanics

A technical report on the qualification study of the code HONDO II against closed-form solutions was completed and transmitted to the NRC February 21, 1990. Qualification studies of the three-dimensional finite element code SPECTROM 331 were initiated. The paper "Verification Studies on the UDEC Computational Model of Jointed Rock" was completed and submitted for presentation at the International Conference on Mechanics of Jointed and Faulted Rock, which will be conducted in Vienna, Austria, April 18-20, 1990.

Negotiations continued regarding instrumented field studies at the Lucky Friday Mine in Idaho. The proposal calls for field studies of (a) dynamic effects on underground openings and (b) seismic effects on the hydrologic regime. A site visit was conducted to select the preferred test locations and to negotiate with the drilling contractor.

Large-diameter core drilling for acquisition of jointed welded tuff specimens from the Apache Leap Site, Arizona, was completed. These specimens will be prepared for testing of rock joint properties.

The report "Development of a Rock Joint Dynamic Shear Test Apparatus" was completed and forwarded to the NRC February 2, 1990. In addition, development of appropriate procedures for conducting such tests was completed.

Research Project 5 - Integrated Waste Package Experiments

Studies to investigate the statistical variation in pitting parameters continued. This series of tests will use 304L stainless steel as a baseline material and three concentrations of chloride. Staff visited with Prof. Bryan Wilde to discuss the status of hydrogen absorption studies that are being conducted for the Center at the Ohio State University. Staff also visited with Cortest (a NRC contractor) while in the Columbus area.

Research Project 6 - <u>Stochastic Analysis of Large-Scale Flow and</u> <u>Transport in Unsaturated Fractured Rock</u>

Staff participated in the February 5-7, 1990, INTRAVAL meeting in Las Vegas, NV, presenting information on the stochastic analysis of hydrologic data relevant to the Las Cruces Trench Experiment.

Research Project 7 - Geochemical Analogs

The final Research Project Plan for this project was completed and forwarded to the NRC for approval.

1.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

None to report.

1.3 Forecast for Next Period

The schedule for revising the Division of High Level Waste Operations Plans and the Overall Research Project Plan will be established and work will commence on their revision during the next period. It may be necessary to rerun the budgets and schedules to (a) reflect experience to date (i.e. the first five fiscal periods have elapsed since the Plans were drafted) and (b) address the impacts of the DOE program stretch-out and attendant budget reductions.

Numerous briefings, interactions, and meetings are planned during the next period for both the NMSS and RES components of the program.

Revision of the Center Management Plan and continued development of the Five-Year Plan will take place. Staffing will continue to be a high priority activity. Implementation of Revision 1 of the Center Quality Assurance Manual will continue, as will development of Revision 2. Emphasis will continue on the oversight of the Program Architecture development and review, and preliminary research project activities. The internal QA audit of selected research projects should be completed.

Development of the Program Architecture and PASS will continue. The Milestone 110 report on Regulatory and Institutional Uncertainties in 10 CFR Part 60 (CNWRA 90-003) will be revised and transmitted in final form. Interactions with the NRC concerning "baselining" the Program Architecture will continue, as will development of supporting technical operating procedures. Primary training of Center and support staff in the new procedures will continue. Development of Version 2.0 of PASS will be accelerated. Extensive effort will be devoted to development of the statutory and regulatory basis for rulemakings and technical positions.

Center and SwRI quality assurance professionals will participate in audit observation and other quality assurance activities, as requested.

The Geologic Setting Element activities will continue to focus on technical assistance on the Natural Resources Technical Position, and preparation of plans for the commencement of work on various other TPs. Staff will support DOE/NRC Technical Exchange Meetings, as appropriate.

The EBS Element will conduct technical assistance work related to the Regulatory Requirement "Substantially Complete Containment." The two draft reports will be revised in accordance with peer review comments. Preparations for the workshop on SCC will be completed. The Appendix to Milestone 49 will be completed. Activities will continue regarding EBS performance assessment with a focus on mechanistic and thermal modeling.

Activities in the SP Element will focus on the LARS and EIRS.

Activities within the RDCO Element will be related primarily to technical positions on retrievability and thermal loads. In addition to the base activities in Program Architecture, increased activity on the technical positions is anticipated. A meeting on this subject involving NRC, Center, and contractor personnel will be held early next period.

Within the PA Element, the scope of the scenario identification and evaluation technical position will be finalized. Review of pertinent SNL documents will continue. Work on the proposed rulemaking on design basis accident dose limit will continue. The scope of Center participation in the second phase of the iterative performance assessment will be established.

The Transportation Risk Study staff will continue the RADTRAN analyses of representative shipments and related sensitivity analyses. Risk results should be available by the end of next period.

Work will continue in the Geochemistry, Thermohydrology, Seismic Rock Mechanics, and Integrated Waste Package Experiments Projects in accordance with approved plans. Work will continue on approved portions of the Stochastic Modeling and Geochemical Natural Analog Projects; the Plan for the former project will be revised to reflect NRC comments and the latter will be approved. Recommended revisions to the IWPE Project Plan will be outlined and submitted to the NRC.

1.4 <u>Summary Financial Status</u>

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the overall Center program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$410,040. Similar data are presented for each Element/Project in the respective sections of this periodic report. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Underruns experienced to date result from (a) continuation of planning activities (which are less labor-intensive than the conduct of the associated technical work), (b) staffing being somewhat under plan, (c) lack of activity in Task 1 of the HLW Operations Plans due to the DOE program stretch-out, and (d) slower than anticipated start up of the new research projects (due in large part to staffing constraints as noted in item b). With the exception of the Center Operations and WSE&I Elements, all of the HLW Elements have been influenced by these factors. The TRS project has been essentially unaffected; expenditures and progress being influenced somewhat by the priority work load in WSE&I. For projects that were approved prior to this fiscal year, research activities and associated expenditures are essentially on or ahead of plan. Planning for significant revisions to the IWPE Project have led us to reduce expenditures in this area until the revised scope of work is approved.

It appears to be appropriate to revise the spending plans and associated scope of work to reflect the impacts of the factors identified above. This matter will be discussed with the NRC CNWRA Program Management in the coming weeks.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$	-0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$4, [*]	799,104
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$4,	799,104
Funds Costed to Date	\$2,	777,487
Funds Uncosted	\$2,	021,617
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	Ş	-0-

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

Notes:

.

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in corresponding the Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

CENTER CORE STAFF -- HIRING PROFILE

	FY 88	FY	89		FY 9	0		FY 91	FY 92	TOTAL	
EXPERTISE/EXPERIENCE				10	20	30	40			REQUIRED	(2ND QTR)
ADMINISTRATION	5	••••	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
DATA BASE MANAGEMENT AND DATA PROCESSING	1		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
ELECTROCHEMISTRY			••••	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
ENGINEERING GEOLOGY/GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING (b)			• • • •		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GEOCHEMISTRY (b) (a) (d)	2		2	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	2
GEOHYDROLOGY (b) (a)			2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	2
GEOLOGY	1		1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
GEOMORPHOLOGY (b)					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GEOSTATISTICS (b)				••••	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
HEALTH PHYSICS	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
MATERIAL SCIENCES	2		2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
MECHANICAL, INCLUDING DESIGN & FABRICATION				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
METEOR/CLIMATOLOGY (b)					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MINING ENGINEERING	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
NUMERICAL MODELING (b) (a)				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT (b) (a) (d)			1	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	2
QUALITY ASSURANCE	1		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
RADIOCHEMISTRY / ISOTOPE GEOCHEMIST (b) (d)					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
REGULATORY AND POLICY ANALYSIS (e)	2		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
RELIABILITY	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
ROCK MECHANICS (b) (d) (a)			1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY (e)					1	1	1	1	1	1	0
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING (b)	1		1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
TRANSPORTATION	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
VOLCANOLOGY/IGNEOUS GEOLOGY (b) (a)					1	1	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL REQUIRED	21		28	36	50	50	51	51	51	51	15
Notes: (a) Interview scheduled next period. (b) Resumes being solicited.		Sta	ffina	Summ	ary						

	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(b)	Resumes being solicited.
(c)	Offer made.
(d)	Offer pending.
(e)	Offer accepted.
	 A static size second

x ,

*

, .

.

(f) Position re-opened.
(g) Negative number indicates early hire.
* Plan being revised downward.

Current

Planned This Date* Planned End of FY90

Professional

34 42 51

Support

10

9

Total

44

51 60

CENTER CORE STAFF -- CURRENT PROFILE (02/16/90)

•

EXPERTISE/EXPERIENCE	
ADMINISTRATION	J. Latz, R. Adler, H. Garcia, W. Patrick, A. Whiting
DATA BASE MANAGEMENT AND DATA PROCESSING	S. McFaddin, M. Pape
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	G. Cragnolino
ENGINEERING GEOLOGY/GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING	
GEOCHEMISTRY	W. Murphy, R. Pabalan, E. Pearcy
GEOHYDROLOGY	R. Ababou, R. Green
GEOLOGY	J. Russell, M. Miklas
GEOMORPHOLOGY	
GEOSTATISTICS	
HEALTH PHYSICS	J. Kageman
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	R. Johnson, R. Marshall
MATERIAL SCIENCES	P. Nair, H. Manaktala, N. Sridhar
MECHANICAL, INCLUDING DESIGN & FABRICATION	C. Tschoepe
METEOR/CLIMATOLOGY	
MINING ENGINEERING	S-M. Hsiung
NUMERICAL MODELING	
PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	B. Sagar
QUALITY ASSURANCE	B. Mabrito, R. Brient
RADIOCHEMISTRY	
REGULATORY AND POLICY ANALYSIS	P. LaPlante (Env Sci), S. Spector (Law), G. Stirewalt (Geology)
RELIABILITY	3. Wu
ROCK MECHANICS	A. Chowdhury
STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY	S. Young
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	D. T. Romine
TRANSPORTATION	R. Weiner (Risk Analyst)
VOLCANOLOGY/IGNEOUS GEOLOGY	

3700-000 CENTER COMPOSITE Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
<pre>JESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %</pre>]667121]481422]185699] 27.8	814499 515564 298935 36.7	764150 593272 170878 22.4	716994 515124 201870 28.2	726774 672104 54670 7.5	767969 0 0 0.0	707476 0 0 0.0	727194 0 0.0	758610 0 0 0.0	772104 0 0.0	767863 0 0.0	746922 0 0.0	746252 0 0.0]3689538]]2777486]] 912052]] 24.7]
<pre>JEST. FY CUMUL COST JACTUAL FY CUMUL COST JPERCENT COMPLETE, % JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %</pre>]667121]481422] 0.130]185699] 27.8	1481620 996986 0.270 484634 32.7	2245769 1590257 0.431 655512 29.2	2962764 2105381 0.571 857382 28.9	3689538 2777486 0.753 912052 24.7	4457507 0.000 0.00 0.0	5164984 0 0.000 0 0.0	5892178 0 0.000 0 0.0	6650788 0 0.000 0 0.0	7422892 0 0.000 0.0	8190755 0 0.000 0 0.0	8937677 0 0.000 0 0.0	9683929 0 0.000 0.0	

1 -

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

4. TRS Estimates are taken from the Year 2 Project Plan submitted on 04/04/89 (Revision 1).

3700-000 CENTER COMPOSITE - FY 90





2. <u>CNWRA OPERATIONS</u>

NRC Program Element Manager: Shirley L. Fortuna

NRC Project Officers: Mark S. Delligatti

CNWRA Element Manager: Henry F. Garcia

<u>Key Personnel</u>: J. E. Latz, H. F. Garcia, A. R. Whiting, R. D. Johnson, W. C. Patrick, R. E. Adler, B. E. Mabrito

<u>Subcontractors/Consultants</u>: Advisory Board Committee: F.P. Cotter, A.P. Rollins, Jr., G. T. McBride, Jr., and P.T. Flawn Consultant: A. Greenberg

2.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

The tasks associated with this Element cover a variety of administrative functions, including the numerous management and staff activities described in the now-current Operations Plan. All projects and/or programs (i.e., management meetings and related discussions, selected internal training sessions, personnel recruitment, and development of various plans and programmatically related issues) in each respective task are proceeding consistent with resource availability and time constraints.

Task 1 - Management and Technical Support

Effective coordination of work activities continued during this period. Informal discussions were held relative to the changes in the Center Management Plan. Administrative and fiscal matters which affect the management of the Center, e.g., communications, subcontract modifications, conflict of interest and budgets, were reviewed with both the Program Management, Office of General Counsel and Contracts Administration staff pursuant to the execution, both directly and peripherally, of program-related deliverables.

Task 2 - Develop and Sustain Technical and Analytical Capabilities

The Center is maintaining its input of various documents into the Technical Document Index, and will begin input into the Correspondence Control Index next period.

Task 3 - Staffing Activities

The Center's recruitment efforts continued with Drs. Asad Chowdhury, John Russell, and Budhi Sagar reviewing selected applications and arranging for interviews for promising applicants in rock mechanics, the geosciences, and performance assessment. A few applicants were selected for interviews, especially those in a senior technical capacity. Drs. E. Pearcy and G. Stirewalt, and Mr. S. Young will join the Center next period. National advertisements for employment opportunities at the Center continue to be administered by the SwRI's Personnel Department.

Task 4 - Operations Plans and Five Year Plan Development

The budget revision, both for the Division of High Level Waste and the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, has occasioned a reassessment of the aggregate Center resource base, and cognizant Center staff have been asked to scrutinized further their respective sections of the Operations and Project plans in anticipation of necessary modifications. The Center Five-Year Plan continues to be developed, and will be presented in draft form next period.

Task 5 - CNWRA Internal QA

The development and implementation of the Center Quality system continued with Technical Operating Procedure and Quality Assurance Procedure preparation, COI Management Committee activities, changes being written for the Center Quality Assurance Manual Revision 2, Quality System Indoctrination and Training, and a quality survey being conducted at the University of Arizona. Center final products are being reviewed by cognizant Center QA personnel to ensure that technical or peer reviews have taken place and appropriate criteria have been met. The Center QA staff has developed a standard set of quality requirements for organizations performing research and analysis activities which will be incorporated in a QA procedure.

The third Center Internal Audit of CY89 was completed during this reporting period. Participating in Center Internal Audit 89-C-3 were J. Russell, S. Svedman, M. Lewis, R. Green, and R. Brient (CNWRA Quality Assurance). B. Englehardt of the SwRI Quality Assurance Department conducted the internal audit. The status of certain deliverable reports and documents were examined as were certain programmatic elements of the research project. The experiments accomplished to date were audited for their programmatic relevance, and a finding was made regarding maintenance of laboratory notebooks.

2.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

None to report.

2.3 Forecast for Next Period

The schedule for submitting the final version of the above mentioned Operations and Research Project Plans will be discussed during a management meeting. The Center will host and participate in various Center management-related meetings, e.g., Research Management, Todreas Committee, NRC Commissioners. The PMPR will be produced for the sixth period. Attendance at professional development events and participation in professional/technical society activities will be encouraged. The Center's recruitment efforts, especially in the geosciences, performance assessment and rock mechanics disciplines, continues in earnest. The draft Five-Year Plan will continue in production. The Center's QA staff will conduct the required quality surveys, maintain their reviews to ensure that applicable reports, plans or other documents have received the appropriate technical reviews, and continue working with COI/Qualification matters.

2.4 Element Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$4,700. Spending is slightly over target for the established budgets, as a result of continued emphasis on preparation of Operations and 5-Year Plans. No changes to budget or schedule are recommended at this time.

Prior Year Unfunded Cost	\$	-0-
FY90 Funds Allocated	\$1,	228,189
Total FY90 Funds Available	\$1,	228,189
Funds Costed to Date	\$	856,945
Funds Uncosted	\$	371,244
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	\$	- 0 -

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

Notes:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
<pre>}ESTIMATED PERIOD COST ACTUAL PERIOD COST VARIANCE, \$ VARIANCE, %</pre>]140713]176910]-36203]-25.3	198121 158050 40071 20.2	178713 166556 12156 6.8	133643 131875 1767 1.3	137487 223547 - 86061 - 62 . 6	175201 0 0.0	138081 0 0 0.0	131358 0 0 0.0	134454 0 0.0	155737 0 0.0	156011 0 0.0	138507 0 0.0	136492 0 0 0.0	788676] 856945] -68270] 8.7]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 140713] 176910] 0.224] - 36203] - 25.	338834 334966 0.425 3868 1.1	517546 501522 0.636 16024 3.1	651189 633398 0.803 17791 2.7	788676 856945 1.087 -68270 -8.7	963877 0.000 0.000 0.0	1101958 0 0.000 0 0.0	1233316 0.000 0.00 0.0	1367770 0.000 0.00 0.0	1523507 0.000 0.0	1679518 0 0.000 0 0.0	1818025 0 0.000 0.0	1954517 0 0.000 0 0.0]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]

. .

2-4

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

3702-070 CNWRA OPS - FY 90



FISCAL PERIOD

3. WASTE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION

NRC Program Element Manager: Philip M. Altomare

NRC Project Officer for Program Architecture: Michael P. Lee

CNWRA Element Manager: D. Ted Romine

Key Personnel: R. Adler, R. Johnson, J. Latz, W. Patrick, A. Whiting,

Subcontractors/Consultants: None

3.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Major efforts in pursuit of the WSE&I Major Milestone 110, "Identification and Evaluation of Regulatory and Institutional Uncertainties in 10 CFR Part 60," continued during this period with the objective of producing a final version of the Milestone 110 (CNWRA 90-003) report for the NRC by February 28, 1990.

Task 1 - Statutory and Regulatory Analysis

Major emphasis this period included working toward finalizing and submitting the final report of the Major Milestone 110 scheduled to be received by the NRC on February 28, 1990.

The NRC internal technical review continued on the CNWRA's January 5 Milestone 110 draft document, with final comments provided at a meeting held January 30, 1990, at White Flint where the Center's position on the relationship of sections 112 and 122 in 10 CFR Part 60 was discussed. At that meeting, a schedule was finalized for producing the Milestone 110 (CNWRA 90-003) Final Report by February 27, and having it in the NRC's hands by the 28th of February. (Reference Minutes of January 30, 1990 CNWRA/NRC Meeting Report by W. Patrick).

Throughout this period, the Center has continued, in a minor way, reviewing comments that have been received to date on "Erosion" and "Substantially Complete Containment". NRC conditionally approved TOP-001-02 and the submittals of "Erosion" and "Substantially Complete Containment" for baselining the Program Architecture. A meeting will be scheduled in the future for the Center to brief the NRC on these items and Program Architecture in general.

Center work on developing a "functional" system evaluation to / determine the "sufficiency" of 10 CFR Part 60 continued to be deferred to maximize the effort on the CNWRA 90-003 Report.

During this period, limited work continued on the development of TOP-001-05 "Procedure for Attribute Analysis."

Throughout this period, the above effort was supported by the PASS and other user systems maintained and serviced by the IMS staff.

Task 2 - Program Architecture Development and Support System

Loading of the 1989 revisions to the 10 CFR Part 60 regulations into the PASS continued this period. The Center received an approval to a formal written request of January 1, 1990, to WESTLAW which will allow downloading other regulations and statutes for use in the PA Database. The design of Version 2.0 of the PASS continued in accordance with the Requirements Definition Report which was submitted November 30, 1989. Development of a PASS user's manual for Version 1.0 continued throughout this period.

At a meeting held January 22 and 23, discussions were held with NRC staff regarding the OS/2 and the PASS development for Versions 2.0 and 3.0. This meeting was held at the CNWRA offices in San Antonio, with representatives from the NRC NMSS and IRM Offices attending. During the meeting time a tentative "go-ahead" was received by the CNWRA to start programming PASS Version 2.0. Based on this approval, work on revising the Version 2.0 schedule was initiated.

Training in the use of the PASS and the PADB was provided for Center and contractor staff.

Task 3 - HLWM Program Analysis and Integration

Due to the press of Milestone 110 (CNWRA 90-003 Report) activities and the changing work priorities and resource availabilities, caused by the resource constraints identified in the January 16-17, NRC/CNWRA Management Meeting, limited development of the key milestone interface points and schedules for technical positions and rulemaking efforts between the Center and the NRC was accomplished this period. The transient state of these items was discussed during a meeting held on January 24 at the San Antonio offices of the CNWRA with P. Altomare in attendance. It was felt that more definitive information would be available during the next reporting period on which to provide more realistic schedules.

Task 4 - <u>RDCO Related Program Architecture Development for</u> <u>Technical Positions and Rulemaking Basis</u>

Program Architecture activities on thermal loads and waste retrievability continued during this reporting period. A. Chowdhury, S. Hsiung and C. Tschoepe of the Center, T. Brandshaug and L. Lorig of Itasca, and M. Logsdon and B. Basse of ABC performed these activities. T. Brandshaug, M. Logsdon, and B. Basse visited the Center during the week of February 5, 1990, to work on Program Architecture on thermal loads. Regulatory Analysis of 10 CFR Part 60 relevant to RDCO continued during this reporting period in support of the generation of a Draft Technical Position scheduled to be provided to the NRC by the RDCO Element in early March. Phil Altomare is scheduled to visit the CNWRA on February 22, to review the Program Architecture aspect of the activity.

Task 5 - <u>GS Related Program Architecture Development for Technical</u> <u>Positions and Rulemaking Basis</u>

A low level of activity was continued this period on the Adverse Geochemical Processes Regulatory Requirement Topic (RRT).

Task 6 - <u>EBS Related Program Architecture Development for Technical</u> <u>Positions and Rulemaking Basis</u>

Activities in this area are discussed in the EBS Element portion of this report.

Task 7 - Special Projects Related Program Architecture Development

Activities in this area are discussed in the SP Element portion of this report.

Task 8 - <u>Performance Assessment Program Architecture Development</u> for Technical Positions and Rulemaking Basis

Activities in this area are discussed in the PA Element portion of this report.

3.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

None.

3.3 Forecast for Next Period

Element activities during the next period will be focused on:

- Finalization of the WSE&I Major Milestone 110 deliverable
 (CNWRA 90-003 Report) and anticipated attendant briefings.
- Completion of the Technical Operating Procedure TOP-001-05
 "Procedure for Attribute Analysis."
- Preparation of a revised schedule for the delivery of Version 2.0 of the PASS consistent with the results from the meeting held January 22-23 at the CNWRA.
- o Establishment of a schedule for the "functional" system evaluation of 10 CFR Part 60.
- Establishment of a firm date for briefing the NRC on TOP 001-02, "Erosion" and "Substantially Complete Containment."
- o Establishment of a revised schedule for the delivery of the Systems Engineering Management Plan.
- o Establishment of a revised schedule for developing the statutory and regulatory basis for the Rulemakings and Technical Positions being worked by RDCO, GS, EBS, and PA Elements, consistent with newly established priorities and schedules. (Reflecting the effect of any reduction in scope or schedule brought about by resource constraints defined in the NRC-CNWRA Management Meeting held at White Flint on January 16-17, 1990)

- Continued PADB training of Center and contractor staff on Version 2.0 and preparation of PASS Users Manual for Version 1.0.
- Continued loading of 1989 revisions of regulations having received official approval for downloading from WESTLAW.
- Continue to develop the AS/PMC programs to include expanded capabilities for commitment control, as well as support for the 5-Year Plan for the Center and interface to other scheduling levels.
- o Finalizing the development of the 5-Year Plan.
- o Participating in a Revision of the Operations Plans consistent with the resource constraints identified in the January 16-17 meeting.

3.4 Element Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$99,036. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Taking commitments into account, spending is on target; no changes in budget are recommended at this time.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$	-0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$1	,332,040
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$1	,332,040
Funds Costed to Date	\$	657,355
Funds Uncosted	\$	674,685
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	\$	-0-

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.

- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
JESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %]112865]129467]-16602]-14.7	181601 148656 32945 18.1	162590 161537 1053 0.6	163849 109168 54681 33.4	171634 108528 63106 36.8	160558 0 0 0.0	145964 0 0.0	130761 0 0 0.0	137264 0 0.0	154709 0 0.0	148767 0 0.0	149756 0 0.0	122271 0 0 0.0] 792537]] 657355]] 135182]] 17.1]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST }PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 112865] 129467] 0.163]-16602] -14.7	294465 278123 0.351 16343 5.5	457055 439659 0.555 17396 3.8	620904 548828 0.692 72076 11.6	792537 657355 0.829 135182 17.1	953095 0.000 0.0 0.0	1099060 0.000 0.000 0.0	1229820 0.000 0.000 0.0	1367085 0 0.000 0 0.0	1521794 0 0.000 0 0.0	1670561 0 0.000 0 0.0	1820317 0.000 0.00 0.0	1942589 0 0.000 0 0.0	

· ·

3-5

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YID total.

3702-030 WSE&I - FY 90



, ,

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE

NRC Program Element Manager: Mark S. Delligatti

NRC Project Officer for External QA Task: James E. Kennedy

CNWRA Element Manager: Bruce E. Mabrito

<u>Key Personnel</u>: Bruce E. Mabrito, Robert D. Brient, Thomas C. Trbovich, Robert E. Engelhardt, Michael R. Gonzalez

Subcontractors/Consultant: William M. Bland, Jr., P.E., John H. Doyle

4.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

The Director of QA attended the NRC/DOE Bimonthly QA Meeting at the White Flint offices. Periodic teleconference meetings were held with the NRC NMSS QA staff to discuss quality assurance matters and developments which affect the HLW program.

Task 1 - Audit DOE QA Program for Site Characterization

During this period the Center Director of Quality Assurance continued oversight and coordination of this phase of this Element.

The Director of Quality Assurance, along with NRC QA staff, interfaced with DOE, State of Nevada, and Edison Electric Institute representatives during and after the NRC/DOE Bimonthly QA Meeting February 15, 1990. Plans by the DOE affecting the NRC Quality Assurance workload were reviewed by the appropriate NRC staff and Center QA resources to support such activities were discussed.

Task 2 - Conduct Quality Assurance On-Site Visits

Discussions continue to take place with the NRC QA staff on the best approach and most efficient manner to make QA on-site visits beneficial to the HLW program. These discussions took place during the visit to the White Flint NRC office and during the periodic teleconference meetings amongst the NRC QA staff and Center QA personnel. Additionally, NMSS QA staff kept Center QA personnel appraised of field activities affecting the DOE HLW QA program.

Task 3 - <u>Update QA Review Plan and Staff Technical Positions</u> (Unfunded)

No activity this period.

Task 4 - <u>Review Management Control Documents and QA Plan Revisions</u> (Unfunded)

No activity this period.

4.2. Major Problems

None.

4.3 Forecast for Next Period

Activities will continue to focus on the DOE and NRC schedules for auditing and surveillance of DOE contractors involved in the HLW site characterization program. Attendance at the next NRC/DOE Bimonthly QA meeting March 21, 1990 by the Center QA Director is forecast, along with Center assistance on the Los Alamos National Laboratory Audit Observation Team and possibly Center participation in the DOE Sample Management Facility on-site visit.

4.4 Element Financial Status

Table 1 below, indicates the financial status of this Element in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and a cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$700. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

The rate of expenditure to date has been about one third of the planned rate. This is a direct result of there being limited audit observation work during the first fiscal quarter. No change in budget is recommended at this time.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$ -0-	
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$ 45,008	
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$ 45,008	
Funds Costed to Date	\$ 15,273	
Funds Uncosted	\$ 29,735	
Recommended Adjustment to	\$ -0-	
Complete (+/-)		
See the enclosed Element Status	Cost Report	•

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

I ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
IESTIMATED PERIOD COST ACTUAL PERIOD COST IVARIANCE, \$ IVARIANCE, %] 12139] 2549] 9589] 79.0	7263 4472 2791 38.4	9892 3627 6264 63.3	8615 2366 6249 72.5	9221 2258 6963 75.5	14801 0 0 0.0	7252 0 0 0.0	11544 0 0 0.0	11862 0 0.0	7723 0 0.0	13786 0 0.0	14051 0 0.0	11366 0 0 0.0] 47129]] 15273]] 31856]] 67.6]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 12139] 2549] 0.054] 9589] 79.0	19402 7021 0.149 12381 63.8	29293 10648 0.226 18645 63.6	37908 13014 0.276 24894 65.7	47129 15273 0.324 31856 67.6	61930 0.000 0.00 0.0	69182 0 0.000 0 0.0	80725 0 0.000 0.0 0.0	92588 0 0.000 0 0.0	100311 0 0.000 0 0.0	114097 0.000 0.00 0.0	128148 0 0.000 0 0.0	139513 0 0.000 0 0.0	

, ·

4-3

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YID total.



5. <u>GEOLOGIC SETTING</u>

NRC Program Element Manager: David Brooks

NRC Project Officer for Task 1: John Trapp

NRC Project Officer for Tasks 2 and 4: Tin Mo

NRC Project Officer for Subtask 4.1: William Ford

NRC Project Officer for Task 3: Jeff Pohle

CNWRA Element Manager: John L. Russell

Key Personnel: M. Board, A. Brown, R. Hart, M. Logsdon, L. Lorig, J. Russell, W. Murphy, R. Pabalan, M. Miklas, R. Ababou R. Green

<u>Subcontractors/consultants</u>: Itasca Consulting Group, Inc., Adrian Brown Consultants, Inc.

5.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

In addition to those activities discussed below, other major work activities during the period were the development of the Geologic Setting Program Element components of the Center's 5-Year Plan and recruitment of technical staff. These activities are reported in Overall Operations Program Element. Center the Center geoscientists conducted work for the RDCO and EBS Program Elements promoting integration of technical input from the geosciences into technical assistance activities of the RDCO and EBS Program Elements. M. Miklas predominately performed work related to Program Architecture development conducted within the WSE&I Program Element.

Task 1 - Prelicensing Activity

W. Murphy, R. Pabalan, and L. McKague represented the Center at the NRC/DOE Technical Exchange Meeting on calcite-silica vein deposits at Yucca Mountain and vicinity. The Center representatives provided technical assistance to the NRC by participation in meetings held in Las Vegas on February 6, and through participation in discussions which occurred during a field trip to the Yucca Mountain area on February 7. Information obtained during the technical exchange was considered in the review of the "Characterization of the Quaternary Regional Hydrology Study Plan."

J. Russell, M. Miklas, R. Green, W. Murphy, and R. Pabalan reviewed portions of the DOE Study Plan 8.3.1.5.2.1 (Characterization of the Yucca Mountain Quaternary Regional Hydrology) which was selected by the NRC for Center review and prepared point papers for comments generated from the review. The final submittal of the review comments in point paper format were delivered to the NRC on February 15. R. Ababou conducted preliminary discussions with Rex Wescott regarding the adequacy of development in the Szymanski (1989) report, of mathematical formulations to numerically estimate groundwater flow and levels as a function of regional tectonic extensional strain and geothermal effects. This activity is associated with the review of the DOE Study Plan 8.3.1.5.2.1 (Characterization of the Yucca Mountain Quaternary Regional Hydrology).

Task 2 - Regulatory and Technical Guidance Development

Technical assistance work was conducted to support the development of the Natural Resources Technical Position. The work was performed by the Center, including its subcontractor, Adrian Brown Consultants, Inc. Work was accomplished on Steps 1, 3, 4, and 7 of the technical direction from the NRC to the Center.

The contouring, 3-D net, and true 3-D modeling capabilities of Dynamic Graphics software installed on a Silicon Graphics Iris 3-D computer workstation which is at the Center on a demonstration/ evaluation basis, was demonstrated to D. Chery and M. Blackford on January 22, 1990. The ability to use DOE's Site and Engineering Properties Database (SEPDB), which is installed on a SwRI VAX computer, was also demonstrated. M. Blackford was given a tour of SwRI, emphasizing the Institute's capabilities in geophysics and related disciplines.

Task 3 - Analysis, Codes, and Methods

No activity. This task is held in reserve for potential future activity. No funding presently exists for this task.

Task 4 - Review Plan Preparation

No activity. This task is held in reserve for potential future activity. No funding presently exists for this task.

Task 5 - <u>Support Development and Maintenance of Program</u> Architecture

This task is reported by the Waste Systems Engineering and Integration Program Element.

5.2 Major Problems

None.

5.3 Forecast for Next Period

A major activity will be technical assistance supporting the development of a Natural Resources Assessment Methodology Technical Position. Work on other TPs will commence and support will be provided to technical exchange meetings, as appropriate. J. Russell will continue to discuss with D. Brooks and other NRC staff detailed planning for tasks designated in the Operations Plan for FY90 and 91.

5.4 <u>Element Financial Status</u>

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$33,990. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Expenditures are significantly under plan, although the rate of work and associated expenditures has increased significantly over the last three periods. Staff additions during Periods 5 and 6 will substantially increase the capacity to perform work within this Element. Adjustments to the budget may be appropriate when the Operations Plans are revised.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$ -0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$484,609
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$484,609
Funds Costed to Date	\$159,352
Funds Uncosted	\$325,257
Recommended Adjustment to	\$ -0-

Complete (+/-)

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b).
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

۰۰۰۰۰۰ ۱ TTEM	1 1	2		4	- 5	6	 7	8	 9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
JESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %] 86956] 4921] 82035] 94.3	65597 27704 37893 57.8	52228 32741 19486 37.3	66451 48320 18130 27.3	74610 45665 28945 38.8	76227 0 0.0	89411 0 0 0.0	114906 0 0.0	116869 0 0.0	111008 0 0.0	129603 0 0.0	117059 0 0.0	110230 0 0.0] 345841]] 159352]] 186489]] 53.9]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 86956] 4921] 0.014] 82035] 94.3	152553 32625 0.094 119928 78.6	204780 65366 0.189 139414 68.1	271231 113686 0.329 157545 58.1	345841 159352 0.461 186489 53.9	422068 0 0.000 0 0.0	511479 0.000 0.00 0.0	626385 0.000 0.0 0.0	743255 0.000 0.00 0.0	854262 0 0.000 0 0.0	983866 0.000 0.00 0.0	1100925 0 0.000 0 0.0	1211154 0 0.000 0 0.0	

.

.

Ś 4

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.






6. ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM

NRC Program Element Manager: Jerome R. Pearring

NRC Project Officer for Tasks 1-4: Kien C. Chang

CNWRA Element Manager: Prasad K. Nair

<u>Key Personnel</u>: G. Cragnolino, H Manaktala, P. Nair, W. Patrick, N. Sridhar, E. Tschoepe, A. Whiting, and Y. Wu

Subcontractors/Consultants: Systems Support, Inc.

6.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

During this reporting period the EBS staff continued its support to the WSE&I Program Element in the development and review of the Regulatory and Institutional Uncertainty Report. EBS staff also supported the development of the Technical Position on Thermal Loads under the WSE&I Program Element.

Task 1 - Prelicensing Activities

A supplement and revision to the EBS Program Element Operations Plan for FY90 was received from NRC on February 9, 1990. The request will be part of the Task 1.4 activity. The Center is preparing an impact assessment and developing a spending plan to be submitted in the next period.

Task 2 - Regulatory and Technical Guidance Development

The major activity under this task for this reporting period was the continuation of the feasibility study being conducted for the potential rulemaking on Substantially Complete Containment (SCC). The Center requested and NRC approved revised dates for the intermediate and major milestones for the SCC activity. The new dates for the seminar/workshop are April 2-4, 1990. Dr. Spencer Bush, an internationally recognized consultant in the areas of material sciences, reliability engineering, nuclear regulatory assessment and plant life extension has been selected by the Center to be the Chairman of the Peer Review Group to attend the SCC seminar/workshop. A total of nine peers is planned. The Center is currently in the process of completing the roster for the Peer Review Group. A draft of the peer review charter will be prepared in the next period.

(A) <u>Technical Considerations Report</u>

The draft report entitled, "Technical Considerations for Evaluating Substantially Complete Containment (SCC) of HLW within the Waste Package," prepared by H. Manaktala (CNWRA) and C. Interrante (NRC) was revised during this reporting period. The latest draft incorporates comments received from the three external peer reviewers, the NRC staff, revisions to the Environmental section by CNWRA's Geologic Setting Group, and a new sub-section on Tectonics and Seismic Hazards contributed by the Itasca Consulting Group. In addition, new sections on Metallurgical Stability of Joints and Closure Welds, Uniform Corrosion, and Localized Corrosion have been added. References have been added to a number of sections. Additional pertinent references are not available at this time, but will be added in two future installments prior to releasing the draft report for the workshop and prior to releasing the final report (after the workshop).

(B) <u>Uncertainty Evaluation Methodology Report</u>

The first level external peer review comments and comments from the NRC and Center staff on the preliminary draft report, "Uncertainty Evaluation Methods for Waste Package Performance Assessments" were incorporated in the report. The report is co-authored by Y.-T. Wu, A. Journel (Stanford University), L. Abramson (NRC), and P. Nair. The report is being partially revised to improve the flow and to integrate the varying depths of the technical details in the Appendices.

Task 3 - Analysis Codes and Methods

P. Nair and Y. Wu participated in the Performance Assessment (PA) workshop held at Bethesda on February 15-16, 1990. At the workshop P. Nair presented the technical aspects of the EBS Performance Assessment Code (EBSPAC) and Y. Wu discussed the Fast Probabilistic Performance Assessment (FPPA) technology being developed for EBSPAC. Preliminary results using the FPPA methodology for corrosion analyses were also presented at the seminar. The workshop was attended the staff from NRC, Sandia Labs, and CNWRA. This workshop was conducted to exchange information on the status of system and subsystem performance assessment activities. An area for the EBS Element to focus in the future with the system PA is the source term development.

Manaktala participated in the ASTM Sub-committee C-26.13 Η. (Repository Materials) and Sub-committee C-26.07 (Nuclear Waste) meetings in Las Vegas, Nevada, January 22-24, 1990. The main purpose of attending the meetings was to review a draft standard practice, "ASTM Standard Practice for Prediction of the Long-Term Behavior of Waste Package Materials Including Waste Forms Used in Geological Disposal of High-Level Nuclear Wastes," and resolve the negative sub-committee ballot votes on the referenced draft standard practice. There were three (3) negative and fourteen (14) positive votes; remaining sub-committee members either abstained from voting or had not responded prior to the meeting. The comments in the negative votes were resolved, and it was determined re-balloting the Standard Practice required at that the sub-committee level. Additional details are provided in a separate trip report issued on the subject.

During the above described sub-committee meetings, the subject of CNWRA's participation in the next round of round-robin tests to

determine the variability in the "Nuclear Waste Glass Product Consistency Test (PCT) Method" developed at the Savannah River Laboratory was discussed. Based on the discussions, a program plan has been prepared to develop capability at CNWRA for conducting leaching tests on vitrified wasteforms. Additional details and schedule of proposed activities through September 1991 will be submitted to the NRC for technical guidance.

Several models pertinent to localized corrosion and uniform corrosion were reviewed. These were classified as mechanistic and probabilistic models, and initiation and propagation models. A preliminary analysis of the models was completed and the salient features of selected models were documented in a report to be submitted as part of an Appendix to the EBS Milestone [49] report.

Task 4 - Review Plan Preparation

No currently planned activities.

Task 5 - <u>Support Development and Maintenance of Program</u> Architecture

This task is reported by the Waste Systems Engineering and Integration Program Element.

6.2 Problems

None.

6.3 Forecast for Next Period

Review of the ongoing wasteform studies will continue. Details of a plan for conducting preliminary wasteform studies will be submitted to the NRC PEM for technical guidance.

The Appendix to EBS Program Element Milestone [49] will be completed and submited to NRC.

Revised versions of the two SCC related reports will be transmitted to NRC. Arrangements for the peer review panel for the SCC workshop on April 2-4, 1990 will be completed.

Impact and cost estimates for the new scope of work under Task 1.4 of the EBS Program Element Operations Plan will be prepared.

The review of mechanistic modelling and the development of thermal modelling capability will continue.

6.4 <u>Financial Status</u>

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$11,231. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Current allocations and expenditure rates are consistent with the plan. Recent increases in activities in the Element have more than halved the cumulative percentage variance in the past three periods. An adjustment to the early-time budgets may be made when the Operations Plans are revised.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	Ş -0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$296,406
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$296,406
Funds Costed to Date	\$196,182
Funds Uncosted	\$100,224
Personmended Adjustment to	

Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-) \$ -0-

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM]	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		9	10	11	12	13]	TOTAL]
<pre>]ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 65] 25] 40] 6	915 455 460 1.4	70137 33175 36962 52.7	42960 49114 -6154 -14.3	37039 39165 -2126 -5.7	45348 49273 - 3925 - 8.7	42744 0 0 0.0	43598 0 0 0.0	39883 0 0.0	33398 0 0.0	32934 0 0.0	32828 0 0.0	27937 0 0 0.0	20219] 0] 0] 0.0]	261400] 196182] 65218] 24.9]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 65] 25] 0.0] 40] 6	915 455 097 460 1.4	136052 58630 0.224 77422 56.9	179012 107744 0.412 71268 39.8	216052 146909 0.562 69143 32.0	261400 196182 0.751 65218 24.9	304144 0 0.000 0 0.0	347742 0 0.000 0 0.0	387625 0.000 0.00 0.0	421023 0.000 0.00 0.0	453956 0.000 0.00 0.0	486784 0.000 0.00 0.0	514721 0.000 0.00 0.0	534940] 0] 0.000] 0] 0.0]	

, ·

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.



3702-010 FBS - FY 90

SPECIAL PROJECTS ELEMENT

7.

NRC Program Element Manager: Mark S. Delligatti

NRC Project Officers: Robert L. Johnson, Julia A. Corrado

CNWRA Subelement Manager: John P. Hageman

Key Personnel: J. Hageman, S. Spector, R. Weiner, P. LaPlante

Subcontractors/Consultants:

7.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Task 1 - Prelicensing Support

Discussions were held among J. Hageman, R. Weiner (Center), R. Johnson and M. Delligatti (NRC) on the format and contents of the License Application Review Strategy (LARS). It was suggested that Section 1 should list what the NRC should do for a review strategy and Section 2 should present the rationale for each recommended alternative of the review strategy.

J. Wolf (NRC) in a teleconference addressed specific comments and questions on the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Review Strategy. A brief synopsis of this teleconference and a previous one with J. Wolf was informally forwarded to the Project Officer, R. Johnson and Program Element Manager, M. Delligatti. S. Spector has completed a preliminary analysis of environmentally related statutes other than the National Environmental Policy Act that may be incorporated within EIS Review Strategy. Also, the potential NRC role in the EIS activities from the proposed and final rule changes to 10 CFR Parts 2, 51, and 60 is being summarized as the bases for the EIS Review Strategy. This summary will first present the NRC role and the rationale for an EIS Review Strategy. The NEPA with amendments and interpretive notes and decisions is also being summarized to help clarify the DOE's role in the EIS preparation and the NRC's role as a commenting agency.

7.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

None this period.

7.3 Forecast for Next Period

Strategy meetings and teleconferences on the LARS outline and specific LARS topic agenda items are pending. Preliminary drafts of the EIS Review Strategy and the interface and requirements of other environmentally related statutes are planned for completion.

7.4 Element Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition,

7-1

variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$500. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Cost under runs, particularly those that occurred in Period 1, have been minimized on a period-by-period basis as work effort intensified in subsequent periods. Once the outlines for the strategy documents are completed, and other technical exchange meetings have occurred, more intensive efforts can be devoted to these tasks. No change in budget is recommended at this time.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$ -0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$153,105
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$153,105
Funds Costed to Date	\$ 76,336
Funds Uncosted	\$ 76,769
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	\$-0-

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.

- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)

d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as

"(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL
<pre>]ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 28252] 9087] 19165] 67.8	20585 16951 3634 17.7	23006 14712 8293 36.0	19573 16785 2788 14.2	26054 18801 7253 27.8	26565 0 0 0.0	22781 0 0 0.0	28568 0 0 0.0	33472 0 0 0.0	29108 0 0 0.0	26011 0 0 0.0	36127 0 0.0	40717 0 0 0.0] 117469] 76336] 41133] 35.0
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 28252] 9087] 0.077] 19165] 67.8	48837 26038 0.222 22799 46.7	71843 40750 0.347 31093 43.3	91415 57535 0.490 33880 37.1	117469 76336 0.650 41133 35.0	144035 0 0.000 0 0.0	166815 0 0.000 0 0.0	195383 0 0.000 0 0.0	228856 0.000 0.00 0.0	257964 0 0.000 0 0.0	283975 0 0.000 0 0.0	320102 0.000 0.00 0.0	360819 0 0.000 0 0.0	

7-3

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.







.

8. REPOSITORY DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND OPERATIONS

<u>NRC Program Element Manager</u>: Jerome R. Pearring

NRC Project Officer for Tasks 1-4: John Buckley

CNWRA Element Manager: Asadul H. Chowdhury

<u>Key Personnel</u>: A. Chowdhury, S. Hsiung, L. Lorig, T. Brandshaug, J. Daemen

Subcontractors/consultants: Itasca, ABC

8.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Task 1 - Prelicensing Activities

Some planning activities have been carried out during this reporting period.

Task 2 - Regulatory and Technical Guidance Development

The technical position development activities that have been performed during this reporting period include work on an approach/ methodology to reduce technical uncertainties relevant to thermal loads and waste retrievability. A. Chowdhury (CNWRA), S. Hsiung (CNWRA), C. Tschoepe (CNWRA), T. Brandshaug (Itasca), L. Lorig (Itasca), M. Logsdon (ABC), and B. Basse (ABC) performed these activities. A. Chowdhury and T. Brandshaug attended a meeting at NRC on January 22, 1990, to review and discuss with NRC technical personnel the Program Architecture and technical position activities to date on thermal loads. A meeting report on this has been submitted to NRC during this period. T. Brandshaug, M. Logsdon, and B. Basse visited the Center during the week of February 5, 1990, to work on the technical position on thermal loads.

Task 3 - Analysis Codes and Methods

Not funded in FY90.

Task 4 - Review Plan Preparation

Not funded in FY90.

Task 5 - <u>Support Development and Maintenance of Program</u> <u>Architecture</u>

This activity is reported on in the WSE&I Element report.

8.2 Major Problems

None.

8.3 Forecast for Next Period

Program Architecture and technical position activities on thermal loads and waste retrievability will continue during the next reporting period. A. Chowdhury, S. Hsiung, C. Tschoepe, T. Brandshaug, L. Lorig, M. Logsdon, and B. Basse, will perform these activities. T. Brandshaug, B. Basse, J. Buckley (NRC), and P. Altomare (NRC) will visit the Center on February 22, 1990, for a meeting on Program Architecture and technical position on thermal loads. L. Lorig will visit the Center on March 6-7, 1990, to work on Program Architecture on waste retrievability.

During the next period, the RDCO Program Element will perform work on the Center Five-Year Plan and Uncertainty Analysis for 10 CFR Part 60 requirements.

8.4 Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Element program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$40,800. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

This Element has been expending resources at a rate significantly below plan. This is a direct result of (a) focus of work in related supporting activities that are funded in the WSE&I Element and (b) lack of activities in Task 1 as a result of DOE program stretch out. It is anticipated that the rate of expenditure will increase as effort is focused on the Technical Positions in the coming periods.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted b) FY90 Funds Allocated c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$ -0 \$155 \$155	, 324 , 324
Funds Costed to Date Funds Uncosted	\$ 32 \$122	,678 ,646
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	\$	-0-

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

.

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

ITEM	1 1			4	 5	6	 7	8	 9	10	 11	12	13	j T	OTAL]
JESTIMATED PERJOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %] 41951] 8976] 32974] 78.6	42260 6710 35549 84.1	41961 5385 36575 87.2	38293 5019 33275 86.9	31783 6587 25196 79.3	31931 0 0 0.0	35447 0 0.0	29907 0 0.0	27313 0 0.0	22511 0 0.0	29708 0 0.0	29452 0 0.0	25607 0 0.0] 1]] 1]	96248] 32678] 63570] 83.3]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 41951] 8976] 0.046] 32974] 78.6	84210 15687 0.080 68524 81.4	126171 21072 0.107 105099 83.3	164465 26091 0.133 138374 84.1	196248 32678 0.167 163570 83.3	228179 0.000 0.00 0.0	263627 0 0.000 0 0.0	293534 0.000 0.00 0.0	320847 0 0.000 0 0.0	343358 0.000 0.00 0.0	373066 0.000 0.00 0.0	402518 0 0.000 0.0	428125 0 0.000 0 0.0]]]]]]]]

.

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

3702--020 RDCO - FY 90





9. PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

.

NRC Program Element Manager: Pauline Brooks

NRC Project Officers: None Assigned

CNWRA Element Manager: Budhi Sagar

<u>Key Personnel</u>: R. Ababou, R. Green, J. Hageman, W. Murphy, P Nair, Y. WU

Subcontractors/Consultants: R. Fields

9.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Task 1 - Prelicensing Reviews

No activity this period.

Task 2 - Regulatory and Technical Guidance Development

Subtask 2.1 - Conforming Amendment to the EPA Standard

No activity this period.

Subtask 2.2 - Implementing the EPA Standard

No activity this period.

Subtask 2.3 - <u>Development of a Methodology for Scenario</u> <u>Identification and Evaluation</u>

Commented on the revised scope of work. Comments have been incorporated by NRC.

Subtask 2.4 - <u>Development of Guidance for Evaluating Data and</u> <u>Parameter Uncertainty</u>

Review of SNL documents continued.

Subtask 2.5 - <u>Development of Guidance for Verification and</u> <u>Validation of Computer Programs Used in Performance</u> <u>Assessment</u>

Review of SNL documents related to this Subtask continued.

Subtask 2.6 - <u>Development of Guidance for Formal Use of Expert</u> Judgment

No activity this period.

Subtask 2.8 - Design Basis Accident Dose Limit Rulemaking

J. Hageman, and R. Field continued their participation along with the NRC staff in developing a draft of the proposed rulemaking. Three parallel activities are going on under this subtask: 1) development of a work plan for completing the subtask; 2) writing of an 'implementability' document for the proposed rule; and 3) drafting of the rule. Discussions among working group members on the draft rule took place via two teleconferences. J. Hagman held several discussions with P. Brooks on the work plan. An 'implementability document' was prepared and submitted to the NRC for review.

Task 4 - Review Plan Preparation

Subtask 4.2 - Performance Assessment Review Strategy

No activity this period

Task 5 - Iterative Performance Assessment

The first workshop on Performance Assessment was held at the Maryland National Bank Building on February 15-16, 1990. The workshop was attended by staffs of NRC (NMSS and RES), CNWRA, and SNL. NRC staff discussed in detail results of the recently completed MOU1 exercise. The SNL staff presented summaries of the new performance assessment codes (unsaturated flow code and NEFTRAN-III) that are under development at Sandia. Participants from the Center gave presentations on PA technology currently under development. These included discussion on EBSPAC (for engineered barrier system PA), hydrology, geochemistry, and total system PA. It was decided that a smaller subgroup will meet later to decide on the work to be done during the second iteration of performance assessment.

B. Sagar discussed the work that the Center staff may perform for the second iteration of performance assessment in a teleconference with S. Coplan, P. Brooks, J. Randall, and N. Eisenberg. It was decided that further discussions will be needed to define this work.

9.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

We expect to do work on PARS (Subtask 4.2) which has no funding. Discussions are underway to transfer funds from Task 5 to Task 4.

9.3 Forecast for Next Period

R. Weiner will work with P. Brooks and D. Fehringer and other NMSS staff to finalize the scope of the TP on scenario identification and evaluation, and to write a work plan for this activity. Review of SNL documents produced under FIN All65 on techniques for determining probabilities and of evaluating scenarios will continue. Work on Subtask 2.8 will continue.

Center staff participation in the second iteration of the total system performance assessment will be finalized.

9.4 <u>Element Financial Status</u>

Table 1 below, indicates the financial status of this Element in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and a cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. These data do not include commitments in the amount of \$6,297. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

The rate of expenditure in this Element has been significantly under plan due to (a) concentration on planning activities during initiation of tasks under this Element, (b) low staff availability as hiring continues in this crucial area, and (c) lack of activity in Task 1 due to DOE program stretch out. This situation has begun to be rectified as activities in Tasks 4 and 5 intensify.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted \$ -0b) FY90 Funds Allocated \$252,299
c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$252,299
Funds Costed to Date \$136,952

Funds Uncosted \$115,347 Recommended Adjustment to \$ -0-

Complete (+/-)

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	. 12	13	1	TOTAL]
)ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %] 50673] 17497] 33176] 65.5	49527 18629 30899 62.4	49705 21922 27783 55.9	49822 30415 19408 39.0	56358 48489 7869 14.0	62611 0 0.0	45675 0 0.0	56920 0 0.0	60311 0 0.0	51962 0 0.0	50768 0 0.0	40802 0 0.0	59047 0 0.0]	256086] 136952] 119135] 46.5]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 50673] 17497] 0.068] 33176] 65.5	100201 36126 0.141 64075 63.9	149906 58047 0.227 91858 61.3	199728 88462 0.345 111266 55.7	256086 136952 0.535 119135 46.5	318697 0.000 0.00 0.0	364372 0 0.000 0.0	421292 0.000 0.00 0.0	481603 0.000 0.00 0.0	533565 0.000 0.00 0.0	584333 0.000 0.00 0.0	625135 0.000 0.00 0.0	684182 0.000 0.00 0.0]]]]

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.



10. TRANSPORTATION RISK STUDY

NRC Program Element Manager: John Cook

NRC Program Subelement Manager: Russell R. Rentschler

CNWRA Subelement Manager: John P. Hageman

Key Personnel: R. Weiner (P.I.), P. LaPlante, J. Buckingham

Subcontractor/Consultant:

10.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Task 1 - Completion of Overview and Scoping

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

Task 2 - <u>Evaluation and Assessment of Data, Models, and Codes</u> -<u>Recommendations and Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis</u>

Subtask 2.1 - Evaluation of Data and Databases

J. Buckingham has almost completed extracting shipment-based and package-based data from the original entries to the SAND84-7174 database.

Subtask 2.2 - Evaluation of Models and Codes

Preliminary RADTRAN analysis of maximum and median doses from incident-free transportation is complete. RADTRAN analysis continues.

Subtask 2.3 - Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

Task 3 - <u>Analysis of Regulations Governing Radioactive Materials</u> <u>Transportation</u>

Responses to NRC comments on the preliminary draft of Chapter 2 of the TRS (the analysis of transportation regulations) awaited Center internal review when the project was terminated. There will be no redraft of Chapter 2 - it remains as submitted.

Task 4 - Discussion and Analysis of Transportation Alternatives

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

Task 5 - <u>Analyses of Radiological Effects of Radioactive</u> <u>Materials Transportation</u>

Subtask 5.1 - <u>Radiological Effects and Risk Analysis of Normal</u> <u>Transportation</u>

Analysis of scenarios for normal (incident-free) transportation is continuing, using the dose calculations done in NUREG-0170 with scenarios developed from the SAND84-7174 database. Comparison with Table 4-16 in NUREG-0170 is complete. R. Weiner will present a paper on preliminary results at Waste Management 90, Tucson, AZ, February 26, 1990.

Subtask 5.2 - <u>Radiological Effects and Risk Analysis of</u> <u>Transportation Accidents</u>

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

Subtask 5.3 - Security and Safeguards Considerations

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

Subtask 5.4 - Radiation Dose and Risk Analysis

Construction of scenarios for representative shipments continues.

Task 6 - <u>Analysis of Non-Radiological Impacts of Radioactive</u> <u>Materials Transportation, and Consideration of Human</u> <u>Factors</u>

No further activity assigned. Project is suspended as of May 31, 1990.

10.2 Major Problems

None.

.

10.3 Forecast for Next Period

R. Weiner and P. LaPlante will continue the RADTRAN analysis of representative shipments. It is anticipated that there will be risk results to report at the end of the next period.

10.4 Subelement Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of this Element in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the

NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis.

Allocation and expenditure of funds appears to be appropriate at this time but will be reevaluated in light of NRC's decision regarding curtailment of transportation-related activities.

Table 1. Financial Status

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted \$ -0b) FY90 Funds Allocated \$ 88,479 c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$ 88,479 Funds Costed to Date \$ 62,288 Funds Uncosted \$ 26,191 Recommended Adjustment to \$ -0-Complete (+/-)

See the enclosed Element Status Cost Report.

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Subelement page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

} ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
<pre>]ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 15235] 9382] 5853] 38.4	15035 12154 2881 19.2	16369 16785 - 416 - 2.5	15601 10897 4704 30.2	21213 13070 8143 38.4	21154 0 0.0	24456 0 0.0	22411 0 0.0	23887 0 0.0	22559 0 0.0	22554 0 0.0	27844 0 0.0	29871 0 0 0.0	83453] 62288] 21165] 25.4]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 15235] 9382] 0.112] 5853] 38.4	30270 21535 0.258 8735 28.9	46639 38320 0.459 8319 17.8	62240 49218 0.590 13022 20.9	83453 62288 0.746 21165 25.4	104607 0.000 0.00 0.0	129063 0.000 0.00 0.0	151474 0.000 0.00 0.0	175361 0.000 0.0 0.0	197920 0.000 0.00 0.0	220474 0 0.000 0 0.0	248318 0 0.000 0 0.0	278189 0 0.000 0 0.0	

10-4

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

4. TRS Estimates are taken from the Year 2 Project Plan submitted on 04/04/89 (Revision 1).







11. <u>RESEARCH</u>

NRC Program Element Manager: William R. Ott

<u>NRC Project Officer for</u> <u>Geochemistry Research Project</u>: George F. Birchard

<u>NRC Project Officer for</u> <u>Thermohydrology Research Project</u>: Linda A. Kovach

<u>NRC Project Officer for</u> <u>Geochemical Analogs Research Project</u>: Linda A. Kovach

<u>NRC Project Officer for</u> <u>Stochastic Analyses Research Project</u>: Thomas Nicholson

<u>NRC Project Officer for</u> <u>Seismic Rock Mechanics Research Project</u>: Jacob Philip

<u>NRC Project Officer for Integrated Waste</u> <u>Package Experiments Research Project</u>: Phillip R. Reed

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Overall Research Project</u>: Prasad Nair

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Geochemistry Research Project</u>: John L. Russell

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Thermohydrology Research Project</u>: John L. Russell

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Geochemical Analogs Research Project</u>: John L. Russell

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Stochastic Analysis Research Project</u>: John L. Russell

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for</u> <u>Seismic Rock Mechanics Research Project</u>: Asad Chowdhury

<u>CNWRA Project Manager for Integrated</u> <u>Waste Package Experiments Research Project</u>: Prasad Nair

<u>Key Personnel</u>: B. Brady, G. Cragnolino, F. Dodge, C. Freitas, S. Hsiung, D. Kana, M. Lewis, F. Lyle, H. Manaktala, W. Murphy, P. Nair, R. Pabalan, J. Russell, N. Sridhar, B. Vanzant, A. Chowdhury, R. Ababou, R. Green

<u>Subcontractors/Consultants</u>: Itasca, ABC, Inc., Ohio State University, University of Arizona, University of Texas-San Antonio

11.1 <u>Technical Status</u>

Laboratory experiments for three Center Research Projects continued to be carried out in Building 57. The experimental facilities for the Seismic Rock Mechanics project are set up in the high bay area within the Engineering and Material Sciences Division's Building 128. The Center is also using analytical equipment and analyses from the Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Division at the Institute.

Research Project 1 - Overall Research Plan

A revised Overall Research Plan for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991, was submitted to the NRC on November 30, 1989. The status of the Research Project Plans, as identified in the Overall Research Project Plan, is shown below.

		<u>Revised Plan</u>	<u>Approval</u>
Proje	ect <u>Title</u>	Completion Date	<u>Status</u>
Res.	1-Overall Research Plan	11/30/89	Pending Approval
Res.	2-Geochemistry	01/13/89	Approved
Res.	3-Thermohydrology	05/12/89	Approved
Res.	4-Seismic Rock Mechanics	10/30/89	Approved
Res.	5-Integrated Waste Package	12/30/88	Revision planned
Res.	6-Stochastic Analysis of	10/26/89	Revision planned
	Unsaturated Flow and		for 03/30/90
	Transport		
Res.	7-Geochemical Analog of	01/4/90	Pending Approval
	Contaminant Transport		
Res.	8-Long Term Climatological	SOW received	Project Plan
	Effects on Ground-Water		development
	Recharge and Site Hydrold	ogy	pending

Research Project 2 - Geochemistry

Revisions and additions requested by G. Birchard on the annual milestone report titled, "Progress in Experimental Studies on the Thermodynamic and Ion Exchange Properties of Clinoptilolite," for the experimental task of the project were made by R. Pabalan. The report was reviewed for technical and programmatic content by the Center, and was submitted to the NRC during Period 5. The report summarizes the theoretical background for the experimental studies, the data generated in sample characterization, and procedures for experimental work.

Characterization of zeolite samples from several localities in the U.S. was completed. X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive x-ray spectrometry, and petrographic microscopy were used to characterize the samples. Based on the sample characterization investigations, samples from Death Valley Junction, California were chosen as the clinoptilolite samples which will be used to conduct the experiments proposed in the Geochemistry Research Project Plan. Work was initiated to prepare sufficient quantities of powdered samples of different grain sizes to provide ample material for the experiments. Procedures to eliminate mineral impurities in the samples using heavy liquids were tested.

A technical review of the status of the Task 1 activity entitled, "Application of cryo-electron microscopy and x-ray microanalysis to geochemical characterization of pore waters," was conducted on February 1. J. Russell, W. Murphy, and R. Pabalan visited the University of Texas-San Antonio facilities where the research is being conducted, and where given a demonstration of the techniques which have been utilized by S. Birnbaum. Although completion of the work has previously been hampered by delays associated with equipment breakdowns, the techniques for quench freezing pore waters to an amorphous state and for transferring the sample to a cyrogenic on a scanning electron microscope stage were demonstrated. Sublimation of the amorphous "ice" allowed scanning electron microscope observation of the distribution of the "ice" and energy dispersive x-ray spectrometry was used to demonstrate the capability of determining selected chemical elements in the "ice."

W. Murphy wrote a review of a paper submitted to Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta on hydrothermal kaolinite-muscovite reactions at the the request of the author. W. Murphy also briefed G. Cragnolino, a new Center staff member working in the EBS area, on the Yucca Mountain groundwater chemistry.

The computer program SOLCALC, which was developed by R. Pabalan at the University of California-Berkeley, was revised. Ion interaction parameters for aqueous electrolyte mixtures NaCl-KCl. NaCl-CaCl₂, NaCl-SrCl₂, and CaCl₂-SrCl₂ available in the literature were reviewed and added to SOLCALC. Validation of the program was conducted by comparing calculated activity coefficients, osmotic coefficients, and/or mineral solubilities with experimental data available in the literature. This program will be used to derive thermodynamic exchange constants from published experimental ion exchange data, for example, by Ames (1964).

Research Project 3 - Thermohydrology

The traversing tracking and data acquisition system for the separate effects experiments is operational and has been used during "shake down" runs. The completed system has performed as designed. A gamma-ray densitometer has been incorporated onto the traversing tracking and data acquisition system to provide x-y distributions of water versus gas saturation of the media in the test apparatus. The assembled tracking system was calibrated by comparing it to a standard Starrett scale. Tensiometers were calibrated by checking the pressure transducer using a manometer. Thermistors were calibrated at five temperatures.

The initial separate effect experiment was commenced on February 20, 1990, using a 24 in. high, 24 in. wide, and 3 in. depth test chamber containing 160 micron diameter spherical glass beads.

Water was mixed with the beads to achieve an approximately 35% initial saturation of the pore space. The initial experiment is being conducted under isothermal conditions. There are a total of nine thermistors and five tensiometers installed in the test chamber for this experiment. One additional thermistor is used to monitor the ambient temperature external to the test chamber. After monitoring initial conditions, water was injected at one location. Temperature, pressure and moisture content were initially recorded every 30 minutes. The interval was later increased to one hour. The purpose of this experiment is to check the equipment for reliablility and to observe the possible movement of water under the above mentioned conditions. The experiment will have a duration of approximately eight days.

An internal QA audit of the thermohydrology project was performed on January 24, 1990. A single unsatisfactory finding regarding compliance with quality assurance requirements was identified during the audit.

The TOUGH code has been installed on both a VAX 8700 (a SwRI based computer) and was installed on a Silicon Graphics work station (on loan to the CNWRA). The program appears to perform as intended when run using the VAX 8700, however, it was not made operational on the work station. Additional adaptation and verification of the program would be required to use a Silicon Graphics work station to run the program.

R. Green provided much Center input for the planning of a meeting to discuss certain aspects of thermohydrology with technical investigators at Lawrence Berkeley and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories. He also traveled to Tucson, Arizona where he discussed research related to thermohydrology with individuals at the University of Arizona who are conducting hydrologic research. He visited the Apache Leap Field Experiments Site on January 30, with representatives from the University of Arizona.

Research Project 4 - Seismic Rock Mechanics Studies

The major activities related to the Seismic Rock Mechanics Research Project that took place during this reporting period include: (i) the qualification studies of computer codes, (ii) instrumented field study effort, (iii) the tuff specimens acquisition effort, and (iv) seismic rock mechanics experimental apparatus and instrumentation development and calibration.

A technical report for the completed qualification studies of the two-dimensional finite element code HONDO II against some benchmark analytical problems was prepared during this reporting period and was submitted to NRC on February 21, 1990. Qualification studies of the three-dimensional finite element code SPECTROM 331 was initiated during this period. A technical paper entitled "Verification Studies on the UDEC Computational Model of Jointed Rock", has been submitted for presentation at the International Conference on Mechanics of Jointed and Faulted Rock to be held in Vienna, Austria, April 18-20, 1990. Negotiation continued between the Center and the Lucky Friday Mine, Idaho, to permit the Center to conduct instrumented field studies at the Lucky Friday Mine for (a) dynamic effects on underground openings and (b) seismic effects on the hydrologic regime. Α. Chowdhury of CNWRA, B. Brady, M. Board and W. Blake (on-site consultant) of Itasca visited the Lucky Friday Mine, Mullan, Idaho February 6, 1990 to discuss the project planning and on instrumentation location selection in detail. The 5200 Station and 5700 Station of the Lucky Friday Mine were selected for instrumented field studies for (i) dynamic effects on underground openings, and (ii) seismic effects on the hydrologic regime, Discussion took place with Lucky Friday Mine respectively. Geologist T. Devoe concerning coordination between the Lucky Friday Mine, Fausett Diamond Drilling Company and the Center for carrying out drilling work and site preparation. Negotiation between the Fausett Diamond Drilling Company and the Center is continuing concerning the contract for drilling 1200 ft. long, 3 in. diameter bore hole for studying seismic effects on the hydrologic regime. On February 7, 1990 A. Chowdhury and B. Brady visited the Spokane Research Center of the Bureau of Mines.

Collection of jointed tuff specimens from the Apache Leap Site, Arizona has been completed during this reporting period. S. Hsiung (CNWRA) supervised the rock specimen collection activities at the site.

A technical report for the custom-made rock joint dynamic shear test apparatus was prepared during this period and was submitted to NRC on February 5, 1990. This also included the preparation of the Center Technical Operating Procedure TOP-007: "Procedure for Assembling and Testing Jointed-Rock Tuff Specimens Using a Dynamic Simulator which Produces Dynamic Shear and Compressive Normal Loads".

A. Chowdhury reviewed a research proposal for NSF entitled "Development of a Viscoplastic Constitutive Model for Cohesive Soils Applicable to Monotonic and Cyclic Loading."

Research Project 5 - Integrated Waste Package Experiments

The new experimental program plan is near completion. It is anticipated that it will be submitted in March 1990. Essentially, this will divide the overall program in to five tasks: Localized Corrosion, Stress Corrosion Cracking, Materials Stability, Microbiologically Induced corrosion, and Other Degradation Modes (Including Hydrogen Embrittlement and Internal Canister Corrosion).

The EG&G Model 273 potentiostat was received from PAR after repairs. After receipt, ASTM G-5 and G-61 tests were conducted and the results were found to be in reasonable agreement with ASTM curves. A report documenting these evaluations was prepared.

Experiments on the statistical variability of potentiodynamic polarization parameters were started. It was found after the

initial run that, in Simulated J-13 water, the open-circuit potential showed considerable variation (50 - 100 mV) with the position of the reference electrode and the solution used in the Although this is expected because of the low salt bridge. conductivity of the simulated J-13 water, it emphasizes the point that even seemingly minor details can have a significant impact on Use of a slightly more concentrated experimental results. electrolyte in the salt bridge eliminated the problem. Another problem was the EG&G salt bridge design which may introduce unknown thermal liquid-junction potentials. Other experimental design changes included accommodation of a condenser and changes in sparger. It was felt that an overhaul of the cell design was necessary to conduct this experiment reproducibly and accurately. The glassware and samples for the modified cell design are being manufactured.

Samples of all the alloys have been submitted to Division 6 metallography lab for optical and SEM analysis. The main purpose is to document the starting microstructures and surface chemical compositions of the alloys.

N. Sridhar and P. Reed (NRC) visited Prof. Bryan Wilde at Ohio State University to discuss status of the Hydrogen absorption program and further action items. It appears that this program should continue to its contracted conclusion at the end of this year. Some assistance was given to Dr. Wilde's students in procuring material and in some of the experimental details. While at Columbus, N. Sridhar visited the Cortest labs (Dr. John Beavers) for discussion on some of the IWPE related experimental studies being conducted at Cortest.

Research Project 6- <u>Stochastic Analysis of Large-Scale Flow and</u> <u>Transport in Unsaturated Fractured Rock</u>

R. Ababou participated during February 5-7, 1990, in an INTRAVAL meeting which occurred at Las Vegas. He presented information on stochastic analysis of hydrologic data pertinent to the Las Cruces trench experiment and other related topics at the meeting. Graphic representations of flow and transport data used in the presentation at the meeting were made at the Center utilizing a Silicon Graphics Iris workstation using Dynamic Graphics software.

Research Project 7 - Geochemical Analogs

The final revised Geochemical Analogs Research Project Plan was prepared by W. Murphy, approved by the Center, and submitted to the NRC for approval. Work on planning a Geochemical Analogs Workshop was accomplished in the Overall Research Project.

11.2 <u>Major Problems</u>

None.

11.3 Forecast for Next Period

An outline of recommended changes to the IWPE project plan will be prepared and submitted to the NRC. The Plan for Project 7 will be approved and the Project 6 Plan will be revised to reflect NRC comments. Other research activities will continue in accordance with the approved Project Plans.

11.4 Element Financial Status

Table 1, below, indicates the financial status of the Research program in the context of "allocated" and "available" funds established by the NRC. Table 2 displays planned and actual costs to date on both a per period and cumulative basis. In addition, variances are shown on both a dollar and percentage basis. There are outstanding subcontractor commitments totalling \$212,786 related to these projects. The attached figure displays the estimated cumulative spending plan and the actual cumulative costs to date.

Delays in new project start-ups, primarily due to need for additional staff in geosciences, has resulted in underruns in the Overall Research Project. The Geochemistry project is somewhat under target at this time. Although the Thermohydrology and Seismic Rock Mechanics Projects indicate that expenditures are substantially greater than planned, this is not the case. The revised spending plans for these projects will accommodate the indicated expenditures. Spending in the IWPE Project has been purposely reduced, pending establishment and approval of a revised Project Plan.

Table 1. Financial Status

<u>Overall</u>

a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted	\$	-0-
b) FY90 Funds Allocated	\$11	L0,865
c) Total FY90 Funds Available	\$11	L0,865
Funds Costed to Date	\$ (50,773
Funds Uncosted	\$:	50,092
Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)	\$	-0-

Geochemistry a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted -0-\$ \$104,419 b) FY90 Funds Allocated c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$104,419 \$ 76,691 Funds Costed to Date \$ 27,728 Funds Uncosted -0-Recommended Adjustment to \$ Complete (+/-) Thermohydrology a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted -0-\$ b) FY90 Funds Allocated \$108,484 c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$108,484 \$124,845 Funds Costed to Date Funds Uncosted \$(16,361) Recommended Adjustment to \$ -0-Complete (+/-) Seismic_Rock Mechanics -0a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted Ŝ \$200,755 b) FY90 Funds Allocated c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$200,755 \$195,505 Funds Costed to Date Funds Uncosted \$ 5,250 -0-Ŝ Recommended Adjustment to Complete (+/-)

Integrated Waste_Package a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted \$ -0b) FY90 Funds Allocated \$201,522 c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$201,522 Funds Costed to Date \$119,217 Funds Uncosted \$ 82,305 -0-Recommended Adjustment to \$ Complete (+/-)Stochastic Analysis a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted \$ -0b) FY90 Funds Allocated \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000 c) Total FY90 Funds Available 5,590 Funds Costed to Date Ś 4,410 Funds Uncosted Ŝ - 0 -Recommended Adjustment to Ŝ Complete (+/-)Geochemical Analogs a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted \$ - 0 -\$ 27,600 b) FY90 Funds Allocated c) Total FY90 Funds Available \$ 27,600 Funds Costed to Date \$ 1,505 Funds Uncosted \$ 26,095 -0-Recommended Adjustment to Ś Complete (+/-)

<u>Notes</u>:

- a) The current unspent amount from previous portions of each FIN.
- b) See "Total Contract Amount" in the corresponding Element page of the "Project Status Report."
- c) Sum of (a) and (b)
- d) Prior Year Funds Uncosted, stated in the PMPR for Period 1 as "(a) Prior Year Funds Uncosted" reflects the expenditure of monies from this category of funding for those commitments outstanding in FY89 under the then current Elements/Projects.

Element Status Cost Report

1 TTFM	1 1	2		4	5	 6	 7		9	10	 11	12	13] TOTAL]
JESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %] 5491] 13507] -8016]-146.0	36066 11781 24285 67.3	48114 11352 36762 76.4	36359 12086 24273 66.8	11733 12047 -315 -2.7	11185 0 0 0.0	14787 0 0 0.0	18746 0 0 0.0	14624 0 0.0	13949 0 0.0	5076 0 0.0	8067 0 0.0	34459 0 0.0] 137762]] 60773]] 76989]] 55.9]
JEST. FY CUMUL COST JACTUAL FY CUMUL COST JPERCENT COMPLETE, % JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %] 5491] 13507] 0.098] -8016]-146.0	41557 25288 0.184 16268 39.1	89670 36640 0.266 53030 59.1	126030 48726 0.354 77304 61.3	137762 60773 0.441 76989 55.9	148947 0 0.000 0 0.0	163734 0 0.000 0 0.0	182480 0.000 0.00 0.0	197104 0.000 0.00 0.0	211053 0.000 0.00 0.0	216129 0.000 0.00 0.0	224195 0.000 0.0 0.0	258654 0 0.000 0 0.0	

.

1

NOTES:
NOTES:
All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee.
Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan.
TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

3704-000 OVERALL - FY 90


] ITEM]	 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13]	TOTAL
<pre>]ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>]	18219 19634 - 1415 - 7.8	19041 14425 4616 24.2	19041 18742 299 1.6	17041 9889 7152 42.0	17041 14000 3040 17.8	19041 0 0.0	19041 0 0.0	18928 0 0.0	18928 0 0.0	18928 0 0.0	20928 0 0.0	18928 0 0.0	20684 0 0 0.0]	90382] 76691] 13691] 15.1]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>]	18219 19634 0.217 -1415 -7.8	37260 34060 0.377 3200 8.6	56301 52802 0.584 3499 6.2	73341 62691 0.694 10651 14.5	90382 76691 0.849 13691 15.1	109423 0 0.000 0 0.0	128464 0 0.000 0 0.0	147392 0 0.000 0 0.0	166320 0.000 0.00 0.0	185249 0.000 0.00 0.0	206177 0 0.000 0 0.0	225105 0.000 0.0 0.0	245789 0.000 0.0 0.0]]]]

11-12

3704-010 GEOCHEM - FY 90



Element Status Cost Report

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] T	OTAL]
ESTIMATED PERIOD COST ACTUAL PERIOD COST VARIANCE, \$ VARIANCE, %] 1593] 1732] -138] -8.	8 16795 4 28853 6 -12058 7 -71.8	17511 25863 -8352 -47.7	18272 25372 -7100 -38.9	17067 27433 - 10366 - 60.7	17442 0 0.0	16982 0 0 0.0	17020 0 0.0	23977 0 0.0	25583 0 0 0.0	20807 0 0.0	22964 0 0 0.0	24971 0 0.0]] 1] -	85582] 24845] 39262] -45.9]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 1593] 1732] 0.20] -138] -8.	8 32733 4 46177 2 0.540 6 -13445 7 -41.1	50243 72040 0.842 -21797 -43.4	68516 97412 1.138 -28896 -42.2	85582 124845 1.459 -39262 -45.9	103024 0 0.000 0 0.0	120006 0.000 0.000 0.0	137026 0 0.000 0 0.0	161003 0.000 0.00 0.0	186586 0.000 0.00 0.0	207394 0 0.000 0 0.0	230357 0 0.000 0 0.0	255328 0 0.000 0 0.0]	

11-14

3704-020 THERMO - FY 90



Estimate vs. Actual

] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL]
IESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ IVARIANCE, %] 31113] 39249] -8136] -26.1	32745 26008 6737 20.6	26748 34001 -7254 -27.1	27748 42840 - 15093 - 54.4	25973 53407 -27434 -105.6	30038 0 0 0.0	26860 0 0.0	26172 0 0.0	28516 0 0.0	27376 0 0.0	26860 0 0.0	30995 0 0 0.0	23984 0 0 0.0] 144326]] 195505]] -51178]] -35.5]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VAHIANCE, %</pre>] 31113] 39249] 0.272] -8136] -26.1	63858 65257 0.452 -1398 -2.2	90606 99258 0.688 -8652 -9.5	118353 142098 0.985 -23745 -20.1	144326 195505 1.355 -51178 -35.5	174365 0.000 0.00 0.0	201225 0 0.000 0.0	227396 0 0.000 0.0	255912 0 0.000 0.0	283289 0.000 0.00 0.0	310149 0 0.000 0 0.0	341144 0 0.000 0 0.0	365127 0 0.000 0 0.0	

.

3704-030 SEISMIC - FY 90



] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TO	DTAL]
<pre>lESTIMATED PERIOD COST lACTUAL PERIOD COST iVARIANCE, \$ jVARIANCE, %</pre>] 32092] 7456] 24636] 76.8	36030 7996 28034 77.8	36030 29768 6263 17.4	37030 30925 6105 16.5	34030 43071 -9041 -26.6	37030 0 0.0	35143 0 0.0	36143 0 0.0	36143 0 0.0	35143 0 0 0.0	35143 0 0.0	37143 0 0.0	42401 0 0.0] 17] 11] 5]	75214] 19217] 55997] 32.0]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 32092] 7456] 0.043] 24636] 76.8	68122 15453 0.088 52670 77.3	104153 45220 0.258 58933 56.6	141183 76146 0.435 65038 46.1	175214 119217 0.680 55997 32.0	212244 0 0.000 0 0.0	247387 0 0.000 0 0.0	283530 0.000 0.0 0.0	319673 0 0.000 0 0.0	354816 0 0.000 0 0.0	389959 0.000 0.00 0.0	427102 0.000 0.00 0.0	469503 0.000 0.0 0.0]]]	

1

¥

NOTES: 1. All Estimated and actual costs exclude award fee. 2. Estimates are taken from September 1989 Draft Operations Plan. 3. TOTAL column reflects YTD total.

.

3704-040 WASTE PACKAGE - FY 90

,

.



Element Status Cost Report

														• -	
] ITEM	j 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13]	TOTAL]
<pre>]ESTIMATED PERIOD COST]ACTUAL PERIOD COST]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] (] (] (] (] 0.() 3375) 0) 3375) 100.0	14235 0 14235 100.0	22585 0 22585 100.0	22507 5590 16917 75.2	16499 0 0 0.0	16948 0 0 0.0	19056 0 0.0	18919 0 0.0	17960 0 0.0	17415 0 0.0	17067 0 0.0	18322 0 0.0]	62703] 5590] 57114] 91.1]
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] (] 0.00(] 0.00(] 0.0) 3375) 0 0 0.000) 3375) 100.0	17611 0 0.000 17611 100.0	40196 0.000 40196 100.0	62703 5590 0.089 57114 91.1	79202 0 0.000 0 0.0	96150 0.000 0.000 0.0	115206 0.000 0.00 0.0	134125 0.000 0.00 0.0	152086 0.000 0.00 0.0	169501 0.000 0.00 0.0	186568 0.000 0.00 0.0	204890 0.000 0.00 0.0]	}
11-20															

5

¢

3704-050 STOCH MODELING - FY 90

ſ



Estimate vs. Actual

.

	.													
] ITEM] 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13] TOTAL
JESTIMATED PERIOD COST JACTUAL PERIOD COST JVARIANCE, \$ JVARIANCE, %] 956]] 956] 100.	9 20321 0 0 9 20321 0 100.0	25049 1167 23882 95.3	25072 0 25072 100.0	24717 338 24379 98.6	24941 0 0 0.0	25050 0 0.0	24873 0 0.0	38671 0 0.0	44913 0 0 0.0	31597 0 0.0	30224 0 0.0	25612 0 0.0] 104728] 1505] 103223] 98.6
<pre>]EST. FY CUMUL COST]ACTUAL FY CUMUL COST]PERCENT COMPLETE, %]VARIANCE, \$]VARIANCE, %</pre>] 956]] 0.00] 956] 100.	9 29890 0 0.000 9 29890 0 100.0	54939 1167 0.011 53772 97.9	80011 1167 0.011 78844 98.5	104728 1505 0.014 103223 98.6	129669 0 0.000 0 0.0	154719 0 0.000 0 0.0	179592 0 0.000 0.0 0.0	218263 0.000 0.00 0.0	263176 0.000 0.00 0.0	294773 0 0.000 0.0	324997 0.000 0.00 0.0	350609 0.000 0.00 0.0]

11-22

3704-060 GEOCHEMICAL ANALOGS - FY 90

ŗ

