



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 20, 1999

Distribution: w/o encl 2  
WTravers  
CPaperiello  
FMiraglia  
PNorry  
JBlaha  
GTracy, OEDO  
SCollins, NRR  
BKane, NMSS  
ATHadani, RES  
RBorchardt, OE  
PBird, HR  
HMiller, RI  
LReyes, RII  
JDyer, RIII  
EMerschhoff, RIV  
KFitch, CFO  
✓199800208  
EDO r/f

The Honorable James M. Inhofe, Chairman  
Subcommittee on Clean Air, Wetlands,  
Private Property and Nuclear Safety  
Committee on Environment and Public Works  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year 1999 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Senate Report 105-206, directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide a monthly report on the status of its licensing and regulatory duties. I am pleased to transmit the ninth report which covers the month of August (Enclosure 1).

The July report provided information on several important staff activities. In particular, the Commission's approval of the staff's proposal for risk-informing our reactor regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, marks an important opportunity to permit both licensees and NRC to focus resources on issues commensurate with their importance to public health and safety. We also concluded in our July report that, based on licensee reports, independent NRC inspection, and ongoing regulatory oversight activities, the Year 2000 problem will not adversely affect the continued safe operation, or if necessary, the safe shutdown, of any of the 103 operational U.S. nuclear power plants.

Since our July report, the Commission has approved a final rule which amends 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." This final rule eliminates the requirement that individual license renewal applicants address the generic and cumulative environmental impacts associated with transportation operations in the vicinity of a high-level waste repository site. This rule further streamlines the license renewal process and results in resource savings for both the NRC and the industry in processing future license renewal applications.

In analyzing the environmental impact of transporting spent fuel and waste in the vicinity of a single repository, the NRC evaluated the impact in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and specifically the impacts in the vicinity of Las Vegas, Nevada. The NRC elected to evaluate the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain because Yucca Mountain is the only location currently being evaluated for a repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The NRC's analysis of the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in this instance does not prejudice the eventual licensing of Yucca Mountain as a repository. Rather, it reflects NRC's existing license renewal process by reflecting current repository activities and policies. If an application is filed by the Department of Energy (DOE), the licensing process for a repository in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain will constitute an entirely separate regulatory action from the Part 51 final rule. Furthermore, if, based on technical or national policy considerations, some site other than Yucca Mountain is selected in the future for study as a repository, the NRC will evaluate the

Originated by: [GTracy, OEDO]

applicability of the generic environmental impact statement for the license renewal process to other proposed repository sites.

In addition to activities associated with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission has initiated a proposal to amend its regulations to add three fuel storage cask designs to the list of approved cask designs that utilities may use -- under a general NRC license and without site-specific approval -- to store spent fuel at nuclear power plants. This proposal should provide licensees with additional flexibility in managing the safe storage of spent fuel at their facilities.

Since the last report, the Commission and NRC staff also:

- began the review of a request by South Texas Project to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment, normally required by 10 CFR Part 50. In a June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum, the Commission directed the staff to develop guidance in order to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring this special treatment.
- published in the Federal Register a request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process that began on May 30, 1999, at nine pilot nuclear power plants. In addition, the NRC staff published in the Federal Register a change to the Enforcement Policy addressing the manner enforcement matters should be addressed for the nine pilot plants.
- conducted a public meeting between NRC senior managers and stakeholders, including the Chief Nuclear Officers of the pilot plants of the revised reactor oversight process, to discuss the new oversight program.
- met with staff from the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The NRC staff has the lead for preparing this request for additional information.

These issues are discussed more fully in Enclosure 1. In addition, the NRC staff also has:

- issued an amendment to the Kerr-McGee Corporation to authorize remediation of its refinery in Cushing, Oklahoma.
- begun review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued by the Department of Energy on the impacts of disposing nuclear waste in a possible future repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- revised regulations that govern uranium enrichment plants leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The amended regulations will provide NRC staff flexibility on whether a Federal Register Notice is needed whenever an application for certificate renewal is received, allow approval of amendment requests at a lower level of management than is currently required, and make other changes that improve effectiveness and efficiency.

- approved an amendment to the International Uranium Corporation's White Mesa uranium mill license, authorizing the company to receive and process uranium bearing material from the Formerly Utilized Site Remedial Action Plan site in St. Louis, Missouri.
- held a stakeholder meeting on August 20, 1999, to receive input and comment on the Nuclear Reactor Safety Chapter of the NRC Strategic Plan.
- issued a precedent-setting, risk-informed license amendment to Farley Unit 1 that granted the licensee's request to delete a mid-cycle outage for steam generator inspections. The unique aspect of this licensing action involved the licensee's quantification of the risk impact associated with the proposed change and the staff's reliance on Regulatory Guide 1.174, "An Approach for using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions On Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," in judging the acceptability of the proposed change.
- issued a proposed change to regulations for certain facilities that possess and process large quantities of certain types of uranium and plutonium. The proposed rule, developed through an enhanced public involvement process and published for public comment, will require affected facilities to identify carefully potential accidents and will thus clarify the safety basis used by NRC to license those facilities.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

1. August Monthly Report
2. Tasking Memorandum

cc: Senator Bob Graham.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 20, 1999

The Honorable Joe Barton, Chairman  
Subcommittee on Energy and Power  
Committee on Commerce  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year 1999 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Senate Report 105-206, directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide a monthly report on the status of its licensing and regulatory duties. I am pleased to transmit the ninth report which covers the month of August (Enclosure 1).

The July report provided information on several important staff activities. In particular, the Commission's approval of the staff's proposal for risk-informing our reactor regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, marks an important opportunity to permit both licensees and NRC to focus resources on issues commensurate with their importance to public health and safety. We also concluded in our July report that, based on licensee reports, independent NRC inspection, and ongoing regulatory oversight activities, the Year 2000 problem will not adversely affect the continued safe operation, or if necessary, the safe shutdown, of any of the 103 operational U.S. nuclear power plants.

Since our July report, the Commission has approved a final rule which amends 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." This final rule eliminates the requirement that individual license renewal applicants address the generic and cumulative environmental impacts associated with transportation operations in the vicinity of a high-level waste repository site. This rule further streamlines the license renewal process and results in resource savings for both the NRC and the industry in processing future license renewal applications.

In analyzing the environmental impact of transporting spent fuel and waste in the vicinity of a single repository, the NRC evaluated the impact in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and specifically the impacts in the vicinity of Las Vegas, Nevada. The NRC elected to evaluate the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain because Yucca Mountain is the only location currently being evaluated for a repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The NRC's analysis of the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in this instance does not prejudge the eventual licensing of Yucca Mountain as a repository. Rather, it reflects NRC's existing license renewal process by reflecting current repository activities and policies. If an application is filed by the Department of Energy (DOE), the licensing process for a repository in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain will constitute an entirely separate regulatory action from the Part 51 final rule. Furthermore, if, based on technical or national policy considerations, some site other than Yucca Mountain is selected in the future for study as a repository, the NRC will evaluate the

applicability of the generic environmental impact statement for the license renewal process to other proposed repository sites.

In addition to activities associated with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission has initiated a proposal to amend its regulations to add three fuel storage cask designs to the list of approved cask designs that utilities may use -- under a general NRC license and without site-specific approval -- to store spent fuel at nuclear power plants. This proposal should provide licensees with additional flexibility in managing the safe storage of spent fuel at their facilities.

Since the last report, the Commission and NRC staff also:

- began the review of a request by South Texas Project to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment, normally required by 10 CFR Part 50. In a June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum, the Commission directed the staff to develop guidance in order to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring this special treatment.
- published in the Federal Register a request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process that began on May 30, 1999, at nine pilot nuclear power plants. In addition, the NRC staff published in the Federal Register a change to the Enforcement Policy addressing the manner enforcement matters should be addressed for the nine pilot plants.
- conducted a public meeting between NRC senior managers and stakeholders, including the Chief Nuclear Officers of the pilot plants of the revised reactor oversight process, to discuss the new oversight program.
- met with staff from the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The NRC staff has the lead for preparing this request for additional information.

These issues are discussed more fully in Enclosure 1. In addition, the NRC staff also has:


- issued an amendment to the Kerr-McGee Corporation to authorize remediation of its refinery in Cushing, Oklahoma.
- begun review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued by the Department of Energy on the impacts of disposing nuclear waste in a possible future repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- revised regulations that govern uranium enrichment plants leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The amended regulations will provide NRC staff flexibility on whether a Federal Register Notice is needed whenever an application for certificate renewal is received, allow approval of amendment requests at a lower level of management than is currently required, and make other changes that improve effectiveness and efficiency.

- approved an amendment to the International Uranium Corporation's White Mesa uranium mill license, authorizing the company to receive and process uranium bearing material from the Formerly Utilized Site Remedial Action Plan site in St. Louis, Missouri.
- held a stakeholder meeting on August 20, 1999, to receive input and comment on the Nuclear Reactor Safety Chapter of the NRC Strategic Plan.
- issued a precedent-setting, risk-informed license amendment to Farley Unit 1 that granted the licensee's request to delete a mid-cycle outage for steam generator inspections. The unique aspect of this licensing action involved the licensee's quantification of the risk impact associated with the proposed change and the staff's reliance on Regulatory Guide 1.174, "An Approach for using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions On Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," in judging the acceptability of the proposed change.
- issued a proposed change to regulations for certain facilities that possess and process large quantities of certain types of uranium and plutonium. The proposed rule, developed through an enhanced public involvement process and published for public comment, will require affected facilities to identify carefully potential accidents and will thus clarify the safety basis used by NRC to license those facilities.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

1. August Monthly Report
2. Tasking Memorandum

cc: Representative Ralph M. Hall



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 20, 1999

CHAIRMAN

The Honorable Ron Packard, Chairman  
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year 1999 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Senate Report 105-206, directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide a monthly report on the status of its licensing and regulatory duties. I am pleased to transmit the ninth report which covers the month of August (Enclosure 1).

The July report provided information on several important staff activities. In particular, the Commission's approval of the staff's proposal for risk-informing our reactor regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, marks an important opportunity to permit both licensees and NRC to focus resources on issues commensurate with their importance to public health and safety. We also concluded in our July report that, based on licensee reports, independent NRC inspection, and ongoing regulatory oversight activities, the Year 2000 problem will not adversely affect the continued safe operation, or if necessary, the safe shutdown, of any of the 103 operational U.S. nuclear power plants.

Since our July report, the Commission has approved a final rule which amends 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." This final rule eliminates the requirement that individual license renewal applicants address the generic and cumulative environmental impacts associated with transportation operations in the vicinity of a high-level waste repository site. This rule further streamlines the license renewal process and results in resource savings for both the NRC and the industry in processing future license renewal applications.

In analyzing the environmental impact of transporting spent fuel and waste in the vicinity of a single repository, the NRC evaluated the impact in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and specifically the impacts in the vicinity of Las Vegas, Nevada. The NRC elected to evaluate the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain because Yucca Mountain is the only location currently being evaluated for a repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The NRC's analysis of the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in this instance does not prejudge the eventual licensing of Yucca Mountain as a repository. Rather, it reflects NRC's existing license renewal process by reflecting current repository activities and policies. If an application is filed by the Department of Energy (DOE), the licensing process for a repository in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain will constitute an entirely separate regulatory action from the Part 51 final rule. Furthermore, if, based on technical or national policy considerations, some site other than Yucca Mountain is selected in the future for study as a repository, the NRC will evaluate the

applicability of the generic environmental impact statement for the license renewal process to other proposed repository sites.

In addition to activities associated with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission has initiated a proposal to amend its regulations to add three fuel storage cask designs to the list of approved cask designs that utilities may use -- under a general NRC license and without site-specific approval -- to store spent fuel at nuclear power plants. This proposal should provide licensees with additional flexibility in managing the safe storage of spent fuel at their facilities.

Since the last report, the Commission and NRC staff also:

- began the review of a request by South Texas Project to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment, normally required by 10 CFR Part 50. In a June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum, the Commission directed the staff to develop guidance in order to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring this special treatment.
- published in the Federal Register a request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process that began on May 30, 1999, at nine pilot nuclear power plants. In addition, the NRC staff published in the Federal Register a change to the Enforcement Policy addressing the manner enforcement matters should be addressed for the nine pilot plants.
- conducted a public meeting between NRC senior managers and stakeholders, including the Chief Nuclear Officers of the pilot plants of the revised reactor oversight process, to discuss the new oversight program.
- met with staff from the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The NRC staff has the lead for preparing this request for additional information.

These issues are discussed more fully in Enclosure 1. In addition, the NRC staff also has:

- issued an amendment to the Kerr-McGee Corporation to authorize remediation of its refinery in Cushing, Oklahoma.
- begun review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued by the Department of Energy on the impacts of disposing nuclear waste in a possible future repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- revised regulations that govern uranium enrichment plants leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The amended regulations will provide NRC staff flexibility on whether a Federal Register Notice is needed whenever an application for certificate renewal is received, allow approval of amendment requests at a lower level of management than is currently required, and make other changes that improve effectiveness and efficiency.

- approved an amendment to the International Uranium Corporation's White Mesa uranium mill license, authorizing the company to receive and process uranium bearing material from the Formerly Utilized Site Remedial Action Plan site in St. Louis, Missouri.
- held a stakeholder meeting on August 20, 1999, to receive input and comment on the Nuclear Reactor Safety Chapter of the NRC Strategic Plan.
- issued a precedent-setting, risk-informed license amendment to Farley Unit 1 that granted the licensee's request to delete a mid-cycle outage for steam generator inspections. The unique aspect of this licensing action involved the licensee's quantification of the risk impact associated with the proposed change and the staff's reliance on Regulatory Guide 1.174, "An Approach for using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions On Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," in judging the acceptability of the proposed change.
- issued a proposed change to regulations for certain facilities that possess and process large quantities of certain types of uranium and plutonium. The proposed rule, developed through an enhanced public involvement process and published for public comment, will require affected facilities to identify carefully potential accidents and will thus clarify the safety basis used by NRC to license those facilities.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

1. August Monthly Report
2. Tasking Memorandum

cc: Representative Peter J. Visclosky



CHAIRMAN

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C. 20555-0001

September 20, 1999

The Honorable Pete V. Domenici, Chairman  
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year 1999 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Senate Report 105-206, directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide a monthly report on the status of its licensing and regulatory duties. I am pleased to transmit the ninth report which covers the month of August (Enclosure 1).

The July report provided information on several important staff activities. In particular, the Commission's approval of the staff's proposal for risk-informing our reactor regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, marks an important opportunity to permit both licensees and NRC to focus resources on issues commensurate with their importance to public health and safety. We also concluded in our July report that, based on licensee reports, independent NRC inspection, and ongoing regulatory oversight activities, the Year 2000 problem will not adversely affect the continued safe operation, or if necessary, the safe shutdown, of any of the 103 operational U.S. nuclear power plants.

Since our July report, the Commission has approved a final rule which amends 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." This final rule eliminates the requirement that individual license renewal applicants address the generic and cumulative environmental impacts associated with transportation operations in the vicinity of a high-level waste repository site. This rule further streamlines the license renewal process and results in resource savings for both the NRC and the industry in processing future license renewal applications.

In analyzing the environmental impact of transporting spent fuel and waste in the vicinity of a single repository, the NRC evaluated the impact in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and specifically the impacts in the vicinity of Las Vegas, Nevada. The NRC elected to evaluate the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain because Yucca Mountain is the only location currently being evaluated for a repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The NRC's analysis of the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in this instance does not prejudice the eventual licensing of Yucca Mountain as a repository. Rather, it reflects NRC's existing license renewal process by reflecting current repository activities and policies. If an application is filed by the Department of Energy (DOE), the licensing process for a repository in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain will constitute an entirely separate regulatory action from the Part 51 final rule. Furthermore, if, based on technical or national policy considerations, some site other than Yucca Mountain is selected in the future for study as a repository, the NRC will evaluate the

applicability of the generic environmental impact statement for the license renewal process to other proposed repository sites.

In addition to activities associated with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission has initiated a proposal to amend its regulations to add three fuel storage cask designs to the list of approved cask designs that utilities may use -- under a general NRC license and without site-specific approval -- to store spent fuel at nuclear power plants. This proposal should provide licensees with additional flexibility in managing the safe storage of spent fuel at their facilities.

Since the last report, the Commission and NRC staff also:

- began the review of a request by South Texas Project to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment, normally required by 10 CFR Part 50. In a June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum, the Commission directed the staff to develop guidance in order to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring this special treatment.
- published in the Federal Register a request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process that began on May 30, 1999, at nine pilot nuclear power plants. In addition, the NRC staff published in the Federal Register a change to the Enforcement Policy addressing the manner enforcement matters should be addressed for the nine pilot plants.
- conducted a public meeting between NRC senior managers and stakeholders, including the Chief Nuclear Officers of the pilot plants of the revised reactor oversight process, to discuss the new oversight program.
- met with staff from the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The NRC staff has the lead for preparing this request for additional information.

These issues are discussed more fully in Enclosure 1. In addition, the NRC staff also has:


- issued an amendment to the Kerr-McGee Corporation to authorize remediation of its refinery in Cushing, Oklahoma.
- begun review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued by the Department of Energy on the impacts of disposing nuclear waste in a possible future repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- revised regulations that govern uranium enrichment plants leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The amended regulations will provide NRC staff flexibility on whether a Federal Register Notice is needed whenever an application for certificate renewal is received, allow approval of amendment requests at a lower level of management than is currently required, and make other changes that improve effectiveness and efficiency.

- approved an amendment to the International Uranium Corporation's White Mesa uranium mill license, authorizing the company to receive and process uranium bearing material from the Formerly Utilized Site Remedial Action Plan site in St. Louis, Missouri.
- held a stakeholder meeting on August 20, 1999, to receive input and comment on the Nuclear Reactor Safety Chapter of the NRC Strategic Plan.
- issued a precedent-setting, risk-informed license amendment to Farley Unit 1 that granted the licensee's request to delete a mid-cycle outage for steam generator inspections. The unique aspect of this licensing action involved the licensee's quantification of the risk impact associated with the proposed change and the staff's reliance on Regulatory Guide 1.174, "An Approach for using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions On Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," in judging the acceptability of the proposed change.
- issued a proposed change to regulations for certain facilities that possess and process large quantities of certain types of uranium and plutonium. The proposed rule, developed through an enhanced public involvement process and published for public comment, will require affected facilities to identify carefully potential accidents and will thus clarify the safety basis used by NRC to license those facilities.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

1. August Monthly Report
2. Tasking Memorandum

cc: Senator Harry Reid



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 20, 1999

The Honorable Pete V. Domenici  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year 1999 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, Senate Report 105-206, directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide a monthly report on the status of its licensing and regulatory duties. I am pleased to transmit the ninth report which covers the month of August (Enclosure 1).

The July report provided information on several important staff activities. In particular, the Commission's approval of the staff's proposal for risk-informing our reactor regulations, 10 CFR Part 50, marks an important opportunity to permit both licensees and NRC to focus resources on issues commensurate with their importance to public health and safety. We also concluded in our July report that, based on licensee reports, independent NRC inspection, and ongoing regulatory oversight activities, the Year 2000 problem will not adversely affect the continued safe operation, or if necessary, the safe shutdown, of any of the 103 operational U.S. nuclear power plants.

Since our July report, the Commission has approved a final rule which amends 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions." This final rule eliminates the requirement that individual license renewal applicants address the generic and cumulative environmental impacts associated with transportation operations in the vicinity of a high-level waste repository site. This rule further streamlines the license renewal process and results in resource savings for both the NRC and the industry in processing future license renewal applications.

In analyzing the environmental impact of transporting spent fuel and waste in the vicinity of a single repository, the NRC evaluated the impact in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and specifically the impacts in the vicinity of Las Vegas, Nevada. The NRC elected to evaluate the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain because Yucca Mountain is the only location currently being evaluated for a repository under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. The NRC's analysis of the impacts in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain in this instance does not prejudice the eventual licensing of Yucca Mountain as a repository. Rather, it reflects NRC's existing license renewal process by reflecting current repository activities and policies. If an application is filed by the Department of Energy (DOE), the licensing process for a repository in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain will constitute an entirely separate regulatory action from the Part 51 final rule. Furthermore, if, based on technical or national policy considerations, some site other than Yucca Mountain is selected in the future for study as a repository, the NRC will evaluate the

applicability of the generic environmental impact statement for the license renewal process to other proposed repository sites.

In addition to activities associated with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission has initiated a proposal to amend its regulations to add three fuel storage cask designs to the list of approved cask designs that utilities may use -- under a general NRC license and without site-specific approval -- to store spent fuel at nuclear power plants. This proposal should provide licensees with additional flexibility in managing the safe storage of spent fuel at their facilities.

Since the last report, the Commission and NRC staff also:

- began the review of a request by South Texas Project to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment, normally required by 10 CFR Part 50. In a June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum, the Commission directed the staff to develop guidance in order to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring this special treatment.
- published in the Federal Register a request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process that began on May 30, 1999, at nine pilot nuclear power plants. In addition, the NRC staff published in the Federal Register a change to the Enforcement Policy addressing the manner enforcement matters should be addressed for the nine pilot plants.
- conducted a public meeting between NRC senior managers and stakeholders, including the Chief Nuclear Officers of the pilot plants of the revised reactor oversight process, to discuss the new oversight program.
- met with staff from the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The NRC staff has the lead for preparing this request for additional information.

These issues are discussed more fully in Enclosure 1. In addition, the NRC staff also has:

- issued an amendment to the Kerr-McGee Corporation to authorize remediation of its refinery in Cushing, Oklahoma.
- begun review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement issued by the Department of Energy on the impacts of disposing nuclear waste in a possible future repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.
- revised regulations that govern uranium enrichment plants leased and operated by the U.S. Enrichment Corporation. The amended regulations will provide NRC staff flexibility on whether a Federal Register Notice is needed whenever an application for certificate renewal is received, allow approval of amendment requests at a lower level of management than is currently required, and make other changes that improve effectiveness and efficiency.

- approved an amendment to the International Uranium Corporation's White Mesa uranium mill license, authorizing the company to receive and process uranium bearing material from the Formerly Utilized Site Remedial Action Plan site in St. Louis, Missouri.
- held a stakeholder meeting on August 20, 1999, to receive input and comment on the Nuclear Reactor Safety Chapter of the NRC Strategic Plan.
- issued a precedent-setting, risk-informed license amendment to Farley Unit 1 that granted the licensee's request to delete a mid-cycle outage for steam generator inspections. The unique aspect of this licensing action involved the licensee's quantification of the risk impact associated with the proposed change and the staff's reliance on Regulatory Guide 1.174, "An Approach for using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions On Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," in judging the acceptability of the proposed change.
- issued a proposed change to regulations for certain facilities that possess and process large quantities of certain types of uranium and plutonium. The proposed rule, developed through an enhanced public involvement process and published for public comment, will require affected facilities to identify carefully potential accidents and will thus clarify the safety basis used by NRC to license those facilities.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,



Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

1. August Monthly Report
2. Tasking Memorandum

MONTHLY STATUS REPORT ON THE  
LICENSING ACTIVITIES AND REGULATORY DUTIES OF THE  
UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**August 1999**

Enclosure 1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Implementing Risk-Informed Regulations .....	1
II. Nuclear Plant Assessment, Inspection and Enforcement Processes .....	1
III. Status of Issues in the Reactor Generic Issue Program .....	2
IV. Licensing Actions and Other Licensing Tasks .....	2
V. Status of Calvert Cliffs License Renewal Application .....	8
VI. Status of Review of Private Fuel Storage, Limited Liability Corporation's (PFS) Application for a License to Operate an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) on the Reservation of the Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians .....	8
VII. Summary of Reactor Enforcement by Region .....	9

## **I. Implementing Risk-Informed Regulations**

On July 27, 1999, the South Texas Project (STP) submitted requests to exempt low- and non-risk significant systems, structures, and components (SSCs) from special treatment normally required by the regulations. The effect of granting these exemptions will be essentially identical to reducing the scope of SSCs covered by those sections of Part 50 requiring special treatment. Option two of the Commission's June 8, 1999 Staff Requirements Memorandum directed the staff to develop risk-informed definitions for "safety-related" and "important to safety" to reduce the scope of SSCs requiring special treatment. Therefore, review of STPs' requests is being closely coordinated with the Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) team implementing option two.

The staff completed its review of the last pilot application for using risk insights to support changes to In-Service Inspection (ISI), In-Service Testing (IST), and Quality Assurance programs and to plant specific Technical Specifications (TS). The last pilot application was a request to implement a risk-informed ISI program for Arkansas Nuclear One. The staff issued the first non-pilot application to change IST intervals (South Texas Project). The staff also approved a topical report for TS applications. This topical report was prepared by the Boiling Water Reactor's Owners Group and described a methodology which may be used to support the extension of the time that low pressure injection system and containment spray system equipment may be out of service. Approval of a topical allows individual licensees that use the methodology to simply reference the Topical instead of describing and defending the method.

## **II. Nuclear Plant Assessment, Inspection, and Enforcement Processes**

The staff has continued to meet on a biweekly basis with Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) and other stakeholders to refine the proposed changes to its assessment, inspection and enforcement processes. Activities include the following:

- The pilot program of the revised reactor oversight process described in Commission Papers SECY-99-007 and SECY-99-007A began on May 30, 1999, at nine (9) plants. The NRR staff is monitoring implementation and reviewing results of the pilot program. The date for full implementation of the revised oversight process is April 1, 2000.
- A request for public comment on the pilot program of the revised oversight process has been published in the Federal Register. The comment period expires on November 30, 1999.
- Changes to the enforcement policy to make it consistent with the revised oversight process for pilot plants have been published in the Federal Register. The NRC will evaluate the comments received from the public and revise the enforcement policy accordingly. Following the pilot program, the NRC will evaluate the results and will incorporate any changes into the enforcement policy for full implementation at all reactor plants that are regulated in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50.
- The Pilot Program Evaluation Panel (PPEP), a cross-disciplinary group consisting of 12 representatives from NRC, NEI, pilot plant licensees, the Union of Concerned Scientists, and the State of Illinois, conducted its first two meetings on July 28 and August 17,

1999. The objective of the PPEP is to monitor and assess the implementation of the new regulatory oversight processes. The PPEP discussed the rules and procedures for the conduct of PPEP and provided feedback on the criteria the staff had established to measure the success of the pilot program. Transcripts of the PPEP meetings will be placed on the web page.

- At a public meeting held on August 3, 1999, NRC senior managers, NEI, and the Chief Nuclear Officers of the 9 pilot plants discussed the revised oversight process pilot program. This meeting provided valuable feedback and insights to the NRC senior managers.
- NRR managers and members of the Inspection Program Branch are continuing to interface with NRC staff and stakeholders to discuss the revised oversight process, answer questions, and obtain feedback. The NRC staff participated in the inspection finding significance determination process workshop sponsored by NEI on August 12-13, 1999. The workshop was well attended by many licensees, including licensee's of non-pilot plants.

### III. Status of Issues in the Reactor Generic Issue Program

Changes in the status or resolution dates for Generic Safety Issues since the July 1999 report and the reasons for the changes are described below:

<b>GSI Number:</b>	168
<b>TITLE:</b>	Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment
<b>SCHEDULED RESOLUTION DATE:</b>	12/2000
<b>STATUS:</b>	It was discovered that the scheduled resolution date for GSI-168 was not reported correctly in the original monthly status report provided to the Congress in December 1998. The originally reported resolution date was listed as 09/2000, but should have been listed as 12/2000. The reason for the error appears to have been a clerical error.

### IV. Licensing Actions and Other Licensing Tasks

Licensing actions may be defined as requests for license amendments, exemptions from regulations, relief from inspection or surveillance requirements, topical reports submitted on a plant specific basis, notices of enforcement discretion, or other licensee requests requiring NRC review and approval before it can be implemented by the licensee. The FY 1999 NRC Performance Plan incorporates three output measures related to licensing actions -- size of the licensing action inventory, number of licensing action completions per year, and age of the licensing action inventory.

Other licensing tasks may be defined as licensee responses to NRC requests for information through generic letters or bulletins, NRR responses to 2.206 petitions, NRC review of licensee

topical reports, NRR responses to regional requests for assistance, and NRC review of licensees' 10 CFR 50.59 analyses and FSAR updates. The FY 1999 NRC Performance Plan incorporates an output measures related to other licensing tasks (number of other licensing tasks completed).

The actual FY 1998 results, the FY 1999 goals, and the FY 1999 results through July 31, 1999, for the four NRC Performance Plan output measures are shown in the table below.


<b>PERFORMANCE PLAN</b>			
<b>Output Measure</b>	<b>FY 1998 Actual</b>	<b>FY 1999 Target</b>	<b>FY 1999 Actual (thru 7/31/99)</b>
Licensing actions completed per year	1425	1670	1423
Size of licensing actions inventory	1113	1000	911
Age of licensing action inventory	65.6% ≤ 1 year; 86.0% ≤ 2 years; and 95.4% ≤ 3 years old	80% ≤ 1 year; 95% ≤ 2 years; and 100% ≤ 3 years old	85.9% ≤ 1 year; 98.8% ≤ 2 years; and 99.9% ≤ 3 years old
Other licensing tasks completed per year	1006	800	770

In FY 1999, NRC increased resources for completing licensing actions so that the inventory size and number of completions goals could be met by the end of the fiscal year. However, the goal for the age of the inventory has historically not been met. NRC has undertaken several initiatives to reduce the age of licensing action inventory. For instance, a special effort was initiated in mid-1998 to conduct a management review of the older items in the inventory. For each item, status was assessed, success paths for resolution were identified, and completion schedules were established. Monthly progress reports have been published and follow up management meetings have emphasized the need to meet established schedules. The NRC has made substantial progress towards meeting the licensing action age goal.

The following charts demonstrate NRC's progress in meeting the four licensing action and other licensing task output measure goals.


# Nuclear Reactor Safety - Reactor Licensing

## Performance Plan Target: Licensing Action Inventory



# Nuclear Reactor Safety - Reactor Licensing


Performance Plan Target: Completed Licensing Actions




# Nuclear Reactor Safety - Reactor Licensing

## Performance Plan Target: Age of Licensing Action Inventory


≤ 1 YEAR OLD




≤ 2 YEARS OLD



≤ 3 YEARS OLD




> 3 YEARS OLD



# Nuclear Reactor Safety - Reactor Licensing

Performance Plan Target: Completed Other Licensing Tasks



## **V. Status of Calvert Cliffs License Renewal Application**

All activities associated with the review of the Calvert Cliffs license renewal application are on schedule. Baltimore Gas and Electric provided responses in July to all open and confirmatory items identified in the safety evaluation report (SER) along with comments on the content of the SER. The NRC staff is reviewing the responses and updating the SER. Work on the resolution of public comments and preparation of the final environmental impact statement continues. The SER and final environmental impact statement are scheduled to be issued by November 16, 1999.

## **VI. Status of Review of Private Fuel Storage, Limited Liability Corporation's Application for a License to Operate an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation on the Reservation of the Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians**

During this reporting period, litigation in the adjudicatory proceeding on the Private Fuel Storage, Limited Liability Corporation application continued. The State of Utah submitted requests to admit two late contentions to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, which are pending before the Licensing Board at this time. In addition, Private Fuel Storage submitted motions for summary disposition of numerous safety-related contentions, which are under consideration by the Licensing Board at this time. The first round of safety-related hearings is scheduled to commence in November 1999; hearings on other issues will be held later.

Also during this reporting period, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff met in Salt Lake City, Utah, with staff from the Department of Interior's Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Land Management to discuss the preparation of the second request for additional information regarding the Private Fuel Storage environmental impact statement. The Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Bureau of Land Management are cooperating agencies with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the preparation of the environmental impact statement for the Private Fuel Storage project. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff has the lead for preparing the request for additional information. The purpose of this meeting was to assure that information needed by each agency was identified in the request for additional information.

**VII. Summary of Reactor Enforcement by Region**

		Reactor Enforcement Actions*				
		Region I	Region II	Region III	Region IV	TOTAL
Severity Level I	July 99	0	0	0	0	0
	FY 99 YTD	0	0	0	0	0
	FY 98 Total	0	0	0	0	0
Severity Level II	July 99	0	0	0	0	0
	FY 99 YTD	5	0	2	0	7
	FY 98 Total	3	1	1	1	6
Severity Level III	July 99	0	1	1	0	2
	FY 99 YTD	8	2	5	8	23
	FY 98 Total	46	11	15	19	91
Severity Level IV	July 99	0	0	0	0	0
	FY 99 YTD	50	42	54	60	206
	FY 98 Total	383	271	392	261	1307
Non-Cited Severity Level IV	July 99	29	25	28	21	103
	FY 99 YTD	270	200	286	261	1017
	FY 98 Total	372	240	307	214	1133

\*Numbers of violations are based on enforcement action tracking (EATS) system data that may be subject to minor changes following verification. The number of Severity Level I, II, III listed refers to the number of Severity Level I, II, III violations or problems. The monthly totals generally lag by 30 days due to inspection report and enforcement development.

## **Description of Significant Actions (Severity Level I, II, III) taken in July 1999**

### **Commonwealth Edison Company, Zion Station Supplement III, (EA 99-100)**

A Notice of Violation for a Severity Level III violation was issued on July 20, 1999. This action was based on a violation of NRC requirements related to information reported to the NRC by the Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) on February 24, 1998, that a contract security officer inadvertently brought a personal handgun into the personnel search area at the ComEd Zion Station and that at the request of the officer owning the handgun, another officer, who had operated the x-ray search equipment, failed to make the required notifications that a firearm had been identified through the x-ray search process. In summary, a security officer entered the personnel search area of the Zion Station on February 24, 1998. He submitted a hand-carried bag for x-ray inspection and his personal handgun was identified among his belongings. He apparently forgot that the handgun was in his bag. The security officer immediately retrieved his belongings, including the handgun, from the belt of the x-ray equipment and asked the x-ray equipment operator to not report him because he feared his employment would be terminated for bringing a firearm to the Zion Station. He and the x-ray equipment operator then erased the image of the handgun from the x-ray equipment monitor. The procedures implementing the NRC-approved Zion security plan required the x-ray equipment operator to immediately notify the alarm station and a supervisor upon discovery of a firearm. The x-ray equipment operator did not make those immediate notifications. A short time later, the security officer returned to the personnel search area and offered cash to the x-ray equipment operator for not making a report about the handgun. The x-ray equipment operator did not accept the money. A few minutes later, a security force supervisor entered the personnel search area and the x-ray equipment operator did not tell the supervisor about the firearm. However, the x-ray equipment operator did tell a supervisor about the event approximately 1½ hours later. The actions of the security officers represent a deliberate violation of the procedures implementing the NRC-approved security plan for the Zion Station. Because the facility had been the subject of escalated enforcement action within the last 2 years, the NRC considered whether credit was warranted for Identification and Corrective Action. Credit was warranted for identification because the licensee identified and promptly reported the violation. Credit was also warranted for corrective action. As a result, no civil penalty was proposed in this case.

### **Duke Energy Corporation, Catawba Nuclear Station Supplement I, (99-094)**

A Notice of Violation for a Severity Level III violation was issued on July 22, 1999. This action was based on a violation of NRC requirements related to the failure to comply with Technical Specification (TS) 3.7.13, when the misalignment of two electrical breakers rendered the Standby Shutdown System (SSS) inoperable from December 16 through 29, 1998. On December 16, 1998, in preparation for scheduled SSS maintenance, the two breakers were tagged and placed in the "off" (open) position. Upon completion of scheduled maintenance on December 18, plant personnel failed to return the two breakers to the normally "on" (closed) position. The misaligned breakers were discovered by Duke Energy Corporation's (DEC) personnel on December 29, 1998, while conducting a plant procedure validation. Upon discovery of the open breakers, DEC personnel promptly positioned the breakers to their

correct position to restore the SSS to operable status. TS 3.7.13 required that with the SSS inoperable, restore the inoperable equipment to operable status within seven days or be in at least hot standby within the next six hours and in at least hot shutdown within the following six hours; however, the SSS was inoperable for a total of 13 days and required actions were not taken to place the units in at least hot standby within the six hours and in at least hot shutdown within the following six hours. The root cause of the breaker misalignment was an oversight by DEC personnel in not referring to plant procedure to determine proper breaker position after the completion of maintenance, and in not specifying the correct position on system restoration procedures. Because the facility had been the subject of an escalated enforcement action within the last two years, the NRC considered whether credit was warranted for identification and corrective action. Credit for Identification was warranted because the violation was identified by DEC personnel while conducting a plant procedure validation. Credit also was warranted for corrective action because of the immediate corrective action to restore the SSS to operable status and because of long-term corrective actions to preclude recurrence. As a result, no civil penalty was proposed in this case.

I have enclosed (Enclosure 2) the August update to the Tasking Memorandum which delineates the specific initiatives completed by the agency since August 1998 and future milestones.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may provide additional information.

Sincerely,

Greta Joy Dicus

Enclosures:

- 1. August Monthly Report
- 2. Tasking Memorandum

cc: Senator Bob Graham

**IDENTICAL LETTERS TO:** Congressman Joe Barton, Congressman Ron Packard, Senator Pete V. Domenici

DISTRIBUTION w/o Encl. 2

EDO R/f	WTravers	ATHadani, RES	Ref: 19980446
DEDR R/F	MKnapp	JLieberman	A/O R/F
GTracy	FJMiraglia	PBird, HR	
CSchum	PNorry	HMiller, RI	
JBlaha	MSatorius	LReyes, RII	
OCA	OGC	JDyer, RIII	
SCollins, NRR	EMerschhoff, RIV		
KOlive, OCFO	CPaperiello, NMSS		

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\MARK\congressional\August\final cong aug.wpd

ROPMS:TA	ROPMS	DEDR	EDO	OCM	OCA
MSatorius	GTracy	FJMiraglia	WTravers	GJDicus	
8/17/99	8/17/99	8/27/99	8/30/99	9/20/99	8/ /99

*Nads*  
*Chris*  
*letterhead*