

rec'd with attached. 2/24/92

YMP-021-R1
7/15/92

YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT
STUDY PLAN APPROVAL FORM

Study Plan Number 8.3.1.4.2.2

Study Plan Title CHARACTERIZATION OF STRUCTURAL FEATURES IN THE SITE AREA

Revision Number 2

Prepared by: U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Date: DECEMBER 10, 1992

Approved:

Susan Jones for SR Dyer 12/22/92
Director, Regulatory and Site Evaluation Division / Date

Effective Date: _____

102.8

STUDY PLAN 8.3.1.4.2.2

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STRUCTURAL FEATURES IN THE SITE AREA

Abstract

The overall objective of this study is to determine the frequency, distribution, characteristics, and relative chronology of structural features within the Yucca Mountain site area.

Surface and subsurface structural studies will be performed to identify and characterize fracture and fault systems within the site area. Detailed geologic mapping of zonal features in ash-flow tuffs that crop out at the surface of Yucca Mountain will provide the necessary stratigraphic control for identifying small-scale faults. Characteristics and lateral variability of fracture networks will be studied by detailed mapping and pavement analysis. Subsurface distribution and geologic characteristics of fracture-fault zones will be studied by analysis of core samples, borehole evaluations, underground excavations, and application of geophysical techniques.

Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF) will include conventional mapping, detailed fracture mapping, and photogrammetric mapping and recording. Borehole evaluations in the ESF after drilling and coring will include video, geophysical and vertical seismic profiling surveys. Studies of fracture networks will be conducted to evaluate the chronology of fracture development.

The results of these activities will be integrated with results of hydrologic studies to provide information for the development of three-dimensional geologic models of the site. These models will support modeling of hydrologic potential pathways, particularly in unsaturated zones, and are also expected to aid the development of tectonic models and determination of the mechanical response of fractured rock to excavation and thermal loading.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

CONTENTS

Preface 0-1

1 Purpose of the study 1-1

 1.1 Information to be obtained and how that information will be used 1-1

 1.2 Rationale and justification for the information to be obtained 1-2

2 Rationale for selecting the study 2.0-1

 2.1 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 Geologic mapping of zonal features in the
 Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000 2.1-1

 2.1.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected 2.1-1

 2.1.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration,
 and timing of the selected tests 2.1-2

 2.1.2.1 Number 2.1-2

 2.1.2.2 Location 2.1-2

 2.1.2.3 Duration and timing 2.1-3

 2.1.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests 2.1-3

 2.1.3.1 Impacts on the site 2.1-3

 2.1.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions 2.1-3

 2.1.3.3 Required accuracy and precision 2.1-3

 2.1.3.4 Limits of analytical methods 2.1-4

 2.1.3.5 Capability of analytical methods 2.1-4

 2.1.3.6 Time constraints 2.1-4

 2.1.3.7 Scale and applicability 2.1-4

 2.1.3.8 Interference with other tests 2.1-4

 2.1.3.9 Interference with the exploratory facility 2.1-4

 2.2 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 Surface-fracture network studies 2.2-1

 2.2.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected 2.2-1

 2.2.1.1 Uncleared-outcrop tests 2.2-1

 2.2.1.2 Pavement tests 2.2-1

 2.2.1.3 Photogeologic mapping 2.2-2

 2.2.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration,
 and timing of the selected tests 2.2-2

 2.2.2.1 Number 2.2-2

 2.2.2.2 Location 2.2-3

 2.2.2.3 Duration 2.2-3

 2.2.2.4 Timing 2.2-3

 2.2.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests 2.2-3

 2.2.3.1 Impacts on the site 2.2-4

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.2.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions	2.2-4
2.2.3.3 Required accuracy and precision	2.2-4
2.2.3.4 Limits of analytical methods	2.2-5
2.2.3.5 Capability of analytical methods	2.2-5
2.2.3.6 Time constraints	2.2-5
2.2.3.7 Scale and applicability	2.2-5
2.2.3.8 Interference with other tests	2.2-5
2.2.3.9 Interference with exploratory facilities	2.2-6
2.3 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3 Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures	2.3-1
2.3.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected	2.3-1
2.3.2 Rationale for selecting the number, location, duration, and timing of tests	2.3-3
2.3.2.1 Number and location	2.3-3
2.3.2.2 Duration and timing	2.3-4
2.3.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests	2.3-4
2.3.3.1 Impacts on the site	2.3-4
2.3.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions	2.3-4
2.3.3.3 Required accuracy and precision	2.3-4
2.3.3.4 Limits of analytical methods	2.3-5
2.3.3.5 Capability of analytical methods	2.3-5
2.3.3.6 Time constraints	2.3-5
2.3.3.7 Scale and applicability	2.3-5
2.3.3.8 Interference with other tests	2.3-5
2.3.3.9 Interference with exploratory facilities	2.3-5
2.4 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facilities	2.4-1
2.4.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected	2.4-1
2.4.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests	2.4-3
2.4.2.1 Number	2.4-4
2.4.2.2 Location	2.4-6
2.4.2.3 Duration	2.4-6
2.4.2.4 Timing	2.4-7
2.4.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests	2.4-7
2.4.3.1 Impacts on the site	2.4-7
2.4.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions	2.4-8
2.4.3.3 Required accuracy and precision	2.4-8
2.4.3.4 Limits of analytical methods	2.4-8
2.4.3.5 Capability of analytical methods	2.4-8
2.4.3.6 Time constraints	2.4-9
2.4.3.7 Scale and applicability	2.4-9

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.4.3.8 Interference with other tests	2.4-9
2.4.3.9 Interference with exploratory facilities	2.4-10
2.5 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5 Seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling	2.5-1
2.5.1 Rationale for the type of tests selected	2.5-1
2.5.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests	2.5-1
2.5.2.1 Number	2.5-1
2.5.2.2 Location	2.5-1
2.5.2.3 Duration	2.5-2
2.5.2.4 Timing	2.5-2
2.5.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests	2.5-2
2.5.3.1 Impacts on the site	2.5-2
2.5.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions	2.5-2
2.5.3.3 Required accuracy and precision	2.5-3
2.5.3.4 Limits and capability of analytical methods	2.5-3
2.5.3.5 Time constraints	2.5-3
2.5.3.6 Scale and applicability	2.5-3
2.5.3.7 Interference with other tests	2.5-3
2.5.3.8 Interference with exploratory facilities	2.5-4
3 Description of tests and analyses	3.0-1
3.1 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000	3.1-1
3.1.1 General approach	3.1-1
3.1.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data	3.1-2
3.1.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted	3.1-2
3.1.1.3 Number of data	3.1-2
3.1.2 Test methods	3.1-2
3.1.3 QA level assignment	3.1-2
3.1.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision	3.1-2
3.1.5 Range of expected results	3.1-3
3.1.6 Equipment	3.1-3
3.1.7 Data-reduction techniques	3.1-3
3.1.8 Representativeness of results	3.1-3
3.1.9 Relation to performance goals and confidence levels	3.1-4
3.2 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 Surface-fracture network studies	3.2-1
3.2.1 General approach	3.2-1
3.2.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data	3.2-3
3.2.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted	3.2-3
3.2.1.3 Number of data	3.2-3

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.2.2 Test methods	3.2-3
3.2.3 QA level assignment	3.2-3
3.2.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision	3.2-4
3.2.5 Range of expected results	3.2-4
3.2.6 Equipment	3.2-4
3.2.7 Data-reduction techniques	3.2-4
3.2.8 Representativeness of results	3.2-5
3.2.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels	3.2-5
3.3 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3 Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures	3.3-1
3.3.1 General approach	3.3-1
3.3.2 Test methods and procedures	3.3-2
3.3.2.1 Core sampling and fracture logging	3.3-2
3.3.2.2 Borehole-video-camera surveys and logging	3.3-2
3.3.2.3 Acoustic televiewer surveys and logging	3.3-2
3.3.3 QA level assignment	3.3-3
3.3.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision	3.3-3
3.3.5 Range of expected results	3.3-4
3.3.6 Equipment	3.3-4
3.3.7 Data-reduction techniques	3.3-5
3.3.8 Representativeness of results	3.3-5
3.3.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels	3.3-6
3.4 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 Geologic mapping of the exploratory facility	3.4-1
3.4.1 General approach	3.4-1
3.4.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data	3.4-2
3.4.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted	3.4-2
3.4.2 Test methods	3.4-3
3.4.2.1 Underground data collection	3.4-3
3.4.2.2 Photographic data collection	3.4-4
3.4.3 QA level assignment	3.4-5
3.4.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision	3.4-5
3.4.5 Range of expected results	3.4-6
3.4.6 Equipment	3.4-6
3.4.7 Data-reduction techniques	3.4-6
3.4.8 Representativeness of results	3.4-7
3.4.9 Relation to performance goals and confidence levels	3.4-8
3.5 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5 Seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling	3.5-1
3.5.1 General approach	3.5-1
3.5.2 Test methods	3.5-3
3.5.3 QA Level assignment	3.5-3

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.5.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision	3.5-3
3.5.5 Range of expected results	3.5-3
3.5.6 Equipment	3.5-4
3.5.7 Data reduction techniques	3.5-4
3.5.8 Representativeness of the results	3.5-5
3.5.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels	3.5-6
4 Applications of results	4-1
4.1 Application to performance-assessment studies	4-1
4.2 Application to design studies	4-1
4.3 Application to characterization studies	4-1
5 Schedule and milestones	5-1
References	R-1

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

FIGURES

1-1 Areas of geologic investigation at Yucca Mountain F-1

1-2 Logic diagram showing Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 in context of rock characterization program F-2

1-3 Uses of information from rock characterization program for resolving performance and design issues and for supporting other characterization programs F-3

2.1-1 Location of mapped area at Yucca Mountain, showing separate mapping segments F-4

2.2-1 Location of existing and proposed surface sites for fracture studies at Yucca Mountain F-5

2.3-1 Location of existing drill holes exceeding 500 ft in depth F-6

2.3-2 Location of planned drill holes exceeding 500 ft in depth F-7

2.5-1 Index map showing location of borehole G-4, C-holes, and other existing boreholes in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain F-8

3.5-1 Index map showing approximate location of sources for VSP survey at borehole G-4 F-9

3.5-2 An east-west cross-section through borehole C-4 showing some possible raypaths between surface seismic sources and geophones in the well . F-10

5-1 Schedule for Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 F-11

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLES

1-1	Information needs requiring data from Study 8.3.1.4.2.2	T-1
1-2	Site information provided by Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 to design and performance issues	T-3
2.1-1	Tests and performance-allocation parameters, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1: Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000	T-13
2.2-1	Tests and performance-allocation parameters, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: Surface-fracture network studies	T-14
2.2-2	Number of tests per site, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: Surface-fracture network studies	T-15
2.3-1	Expected results for fracture core logging, based on preliminary core studies from drill hole USW G-4 (Spengler and Chornack, 1984)	T-16
2.4-1	Tests and performance-allocation parameters, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF)	T-17
2.4-2	Test characteristics of photogrammetric, photomosaic, and conventional sketch methods of underground geologic mapping, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF)	T-18
2.4-3	Number of tests per two-meters of excavated length, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF)	T-19
2.5-1	Tests and performance-allocation parameters, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5, seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling	T-20
3.1-1	Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1: Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000	T-21
3.2-1	Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: Surface-fracture network studies	T-22
3.3-1	Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3: Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures	T-23
3.3-2	Major equipment required for activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3: Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures	T-24

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

- 3.4-1 Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF) T-25
- 3.4-2 Major equipment for photogrammetric geologic mapping, Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF) T-26

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

STUDY PLAN 8.3.1.4.2.2

**CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STRUCTURAL
FEATURES IN THE SITE AREA**

PREFACE

This study plan summarizes and extends the discussion of Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 in the Site Characterization Plan (SCP). Sections 1, 4, and 5, which show the study in the context of the total site characterization program, are drawn principally from the SCP and related Yucca Mountain Project documents. Sections 2 and 3 discuss the rationales for the planned tests and analyses, and present details of the plans beyond those described in the SCP.

Principal authors of the study plan include C.C. Barton, E.E. Glick, R.B. Scott, R.W. Spengler, R.A. Thompson, and C.K. Throckmorton of the U.S. Geological Survey; S.C. Beason and M.H. McKeown of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation; and E.C. Majer of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Study 8.3.1.4.2.2

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STRUCTURAL FEATURES IN THE SITE AREA

This study plan summarizes and supplements the discussion of section 8.3.1.4.2.2 of the SCP. This study consists of five activities:

- 8.3.1.4.2.2.1: geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000,
- 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: surface-fracture network studies,
- 8.3.1.4.2.2.3: borehole evaluation of fractures and faults,
- 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility, and
- 8.3.1.4.2.2.5: seismic tomography and vertical seismic profiling.

The relation of the study to the rock characteristics program is shown in figure 1-2.

1 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

1.1 Information to be obtained and how that information will be used

The purpose of this study is to define the geologic structure of the Yucca Mountain site area. Data will be collected on the geometry, spatial distribution, chronology, and physical features of fault and fracture systems at Yucca Mountain. The study will also contribute lithostratigraphic data to the program (from the geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facilities), although stratigraphic data will be collected mainly in Study 8.3.1.4.2.1.

Fracture and fault systems within the controlled area (fig. 1-1) will be identified and characterized by surface and subsurface studies. The stratigraphic control necessary for identifying small faults will be provided by detailed geologic mapping of zonal features in the ash-flow tuffs that crop out on Yucca Mountain. Lateral variability of fracture networks will be studied by detailed mapping and pavement analysis (Barton, 1986; Barton et al., 1986; Barton and Larsen, 1985; Barton et al., 1985; Barton et al., 1986). Subsurface distribution and geologic characteristics of fracture and fault zones will be studied by core-sample analysis, borehole evaluations, mapping of the exploratory studies facilities (ESF), and use of geophysical techniques. Results of these studies will be integrated with the results of other studies to provide

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

a basis for developing conceptual geologic models of the site, as further discussed in sections 1.2 and 4.

The information to be obtained from this study will contribute to a three-dimensional geologic model of the Yucca Mountain site, which is in turn one of four components of a three-dimensional rock characteristics model (fig. 1-2). The geologic framework has three components: rock-unit geometry and properties, fracture geometry and properties, and fault geometry and properties. Each component encompasses a set of site characterization parameters, and each characterization parameter contains a subset of activity parameters. The activity parameters for each activity are discussed in section 3. This information flow exists so that the data collected in this study can provide input to a computer-based representation of the physical properties of the rocks at the site. This highest-level model will then provide input for numerical computer analyses of the repository that involve hydrologic, thermal, thermomechanical, and geochemical processes.

Uses of the information obtained from this study are summarized in figure 1-3. The information obtained from this study will be used in the three-dimensional geologic model of the rock characteristics program. Uses of the information for meeting design and performance goals and regulatory requirements are discussed in section 1.2; uses of the information obtained from each activity for meeting performance goals are discussed in sections 3.1.9, 3.2.9, and 3.4.9; uses of the information for supporting other studies are discussed in section 4.

1.2 Rationale and justification for the information to be obtained

Table 1-1 is a guide to why the information to be obtained in the study is needed to satisfy performance and design goals and regulatory requirements. It shows information needs to be satisfied by this study, and table 1-2 shows how the information will be used to satisfy tentative goals for design and performance issues. Table 1-2 summarizes data from the performance allocation tables for design issues 1.11 (SCP sec. 8.3.2.2), 1.12 (sec. 8.3.3.2), and 4.4 (sec. 8.3.2.5) and for performance issues 1.1 (sec. 8.3.5.13) and 1.6 (sec. 8.3.5.12). The *application* column of the table describes how the information from design and performance parameters will be used in issue resolution. The *design/performance parameter* column contains data categories receiving information gathered under site-characterization parameter categories in this study. The *tentative goal* column shows the accuracy with which measurements are to be made for design parameters or the nature of the measurement to be made for performance parameters. The tentative goals are explained in the SCP. The *needed confidence* column shows the needed confidence which is associated with the given goal for the design and performance parameters that must be known to satisfy the design and performance issues.

The study also provides input to performance issues 1.8 (NRC siting criteria) and 1.9 (higher-level findings, postclosure system and technical guidelines) through its contributions to issues 1.1 and 1.6.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Although no regulations explicitly call for this study, the information to be obtained is needed to comply with the regulatory requirements discussed in section 8.3.1.4 of the SCP. Briefly, those regulatory requirements, and the means for satisfying them, are--

- siting criteria (10 CFR 60.122), by characterizing structural conditions to determine if favorable or potentially adverse conditions are present;
- performance objectives (10 CFR 60.111, 60.112, and 60.113), by demonstrating that--

the overall system performance of the geologic repository limits releases of radioactive material to the accessible environment to levels specified by EPA requirements;

pre-waste-emplacment ground-water travel time along the fastest path of likely radionuclide travel to the accessible environment is at least 1,000 years;
- design criteria for the underground facility (10 CFR 60.133), for the seals of shafts and boreholes (60.134), and for waste packages (60.135), by characterizing the properties of the host rock and surrounding units.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2 RATIONALE FOR SELECTING THE STUDY

Section 2 discusses the bases for selecting the tests planned for Study 8.3.1.4.2.2. The SCP (sec. 8.3.1.4.2.2) discusses the bases for selecting the study, in the context of the rock characteristics program.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.1 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000

Geologic mapping has been completed for the area shown in figure 2.1-1. The mapping has been compiled onto 1:12,000-scale topographic bases, and the northeast segment of the mapped area (fig. 2.1-1) has been published (Scott and Bonk, 1984).

Conventional geologic methods were chosen for the field mapping and for transferring the map data to topographic base maps. The selected method (described below) was chosen so as to provide the required parameters (table 2.1-1) with minimal field and compilation time. A primary mapping scale of 1:12,000 was selected because it is large enough to accurately show the zonal features that reveal the geologic structures of interest in this study and small enough to conveniently depict those features across the selected map area on only four map sheets or panels. The selected method is described generally in section 3.1.1 and more specifically in section 3.1.2, as well as in the Technical Procedure for geologic mapping (GP-01, R0; USGS, 1983).

Emphasis was on mapping zonal features — identifiable textural and mineralogical variations in the ash-flow and bedded tuffs, manifested as mappable subunits distinguished by color, mineralogy, texture, or erosion pattern. These zonal features were mapped as the basis for recognizing and mapping structures (mainly faults) within the area shown in figure 2.1-1 and for identifying fault displacements as small as a few meters. The mapping of the zonal features complements the stratigraphic mapping of Study 8.3.1.4.2.1; the study of the structures revealed by the zonal features complements the detailed study of fractures at the surface (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2).

2.1.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected

Selection of the geologic mapping method required considering what mapping technique and what mapping scale best provide the required parameters. Three conventional mapping techniques were considered: a) aerial photographic reconnaissance (airphoto reconnaissance), b) topographic-map-assisted field mapping (topo-assisted mapping), and c) aerial-photograph-assisted field mapping (photo-assisted mapping).

The photo-assisted field mapping method was selected because it is well suited to semiarid to arid terrain with good to excellent exposures (Lahee, 1961; Compton, 1962); the good exposures at Yucca Mountain allow for quick and accurate location of geologic features and data points. Photo-reconnaissance was rejected because many of the required parameters (table 2.1-1) can be gathered only through extensive field observations. Such parameters (e.g., fault and fault-zone attitudes, fault and fault-zone characteristics, and chronology of faulting) require observation of geologic features too small to be seen on the air photos. For example, the attitudes of striae on slickensided surfaces of faults may record the latest direction of movement

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

of faults. Similarly, the detailed zonal features to be mapped (e.g., stratigraphic details in the tuffs) cannot feasibly be identified without field observations. Photo-assisted mapping was chosen over topo-assisted mapping to facilitate accurate extrapolation of zonal contacts and fault traces between field traverses.

A scale of 1:12,000 was selected as the primary mapping scale for mapping the zonal features and revealing the geologic structures of interest to this study in ways that will complement existing and planned mapping. That scale was an obvious extension of published 1:24,000-scale geologic maps (Christiansen and Lipman, 1965; Lipman and McKay, 1965), which delineate regional geologic relations but did not define the thin zonal features and the detailed structures required for this study. A larger scale (e.g., 1:6,000 or greater) was not selected because: a) the 1:12,000 scale is adequate to show the structural geometry necessary to construct structural and tectonic models of Yucca Mountain; b) a map of the entire area shown in figure 2.1-1 at a scale larger than 1:12,000 would require more than four large sheets or panels (16 map panels for a scale of 1:6,000); and c) the time required for geologic mapping is approximately proportional to the square of the map scale. The primary mapping scale will be supplemented by local mapping at larger scales, as appropriate, to show small-scale stratigraphic and structural detail. Complementary studies (e.g., 1:50-scale mapping of surface fractures in Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2) also will provide additional detail within the framework established by the mapping of this activity.

2.1.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests.

2.1.2.1 Number

The photo-assisted mapping method was chosen because it readily allowed for enough tests to provide the required data. Photo-reconnaissance would not have provided the required field data, and topo-assisted mapping would have provided data of comparable accuracy somewhat less efficiently. The number of tests (field observations plotted on the maps) was a function of the availability of data and of the relation of the 1:12,000-scale geologic mapping to other studies. In areas having thick unzoned units with few faults, observations of zonal features were necessarily few. By contrast, in areas having thin units and abundant faults, the number of observations was limited to the number that could be expressed at the scale of 1:12,000; further observations will be part of other activities (e.g., Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2, as noted above) designed to focus on structural details beyond the scope of this study.

2.1.2.2 Location

The choice of the area to be mapped was independent of the choice of mapping method. The mapped area (fig. 2.1-1) was selected on the basis of the professional judgment that relatively detailed data in the required categories (parameters) are needed for the area surrounding the potential repository. (The perimeter outline of the potential repository is shown in fig. 2.1-1.)

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

The area that has been mapped includes all exposures on Yucca Mountain between Jackass Flats and Crater Flat. The area to the north of the repository block was mapped to a locality north of Yucca Wash where the structural style changes from that typical of the repository block. All the exposures south of the block were mapped to the Amargosa Desert because the degree of structural deformation increases southward and the hydrologic flow paths below the water table are also in that direction. Alluvial cover to the east (Jackass Flats), to the south (Amargosa Desert), and to the west (Crater Flat) prevents the continuation of bedrock mapping in those directions.

2.1.2.3 Duration and timing

The duration and timing of the mapping and compilation have been dictated largely by an attempt to provide, early in the program, a detailed geologic map of the area immediately surrounding Yucca Mountain. Mapping began in FY82 and continued intermittently to FY-1986; transfer of field data to a topographic base was finished in FY-1987. The photo-assisted mapping method was chosen because it required the minimum amount of field and compilation time compatible with getting the necessary detailed geologic data.

2.1.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests

The selected mapping method is one of several conventional methods for geologic mapping. In terms of the nine factors discussed below, it differs from alternative mapping methods mainly in that it facilitates efficient compilation of data of uniformly high accuracy throughout the map area.

2.1.3.1 Impacts on the site

None of the alternative mapping methods would have any appreciable effect on the ability of the repository to isolate the waste.

2.1.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions

Not applicable: none of the mapping methods would attempt to simulate repository conditions.

2.1.3.3 Required accuracy and precision

Because the 1:24,000 topographic base was the only base available at the time of mapping, the accuracy with which information could be transferred to that base assumed dominance in the choice of test method. If greater accuracy is required locally or regionally, a larger-scale base map will be needed. The photo-assisted mapping method was selected because it allowed for efficient and accurate transfer of zonal and structural contacts to the topographic base.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Similarly, because of the high degree of exposure at Yucca Mountain, the photo-assisted method facilitated accurate data recording, by allowing for efficient and accurate extrapolation between field traverses and thus for uniformly high accuracy in plotting data throughout the map area. (See sec. 3.1.4).

2.1.3.4 Limits of analytical methods

Not applicable: the choice of mapping method was not affected by the limits of analytical methods.

2.1.3.5 Capability of analytical methods

Not applicable: the choice of mapping method was not affected by the capabilities of analytical methods.

2.1.3.6 Time constraints

The photo-assisted mapping method was selected because it efficiently provided the required data early enough so that they could be used in key documents and decisions (e.g., the site characterization plan).

2.1.3.7 Scale and applicability

Not applicable: the choice of mapping method would not affect the ability of the map to represent the required parameters within the map area either before or after closure.

2.1.3.8 Interference with other tests

The mapping has been completed without interference with other tests. The photo-assisted mapping method was chosen largely because of its efficiency and applicability of results; less-efficient mapping methods could conceivably have interfered with other tests.

2.1.3.9 Interference with the exploratory facilities

Mapping has been completed without interfering with the exploratory facilities. The photo-assisted method was chosen so that fieldwork could be completed before construction of the exploratory facilities began.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.2 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 Surface-Fracture Network Studies

This activity will gather detailed information on fracture properties in the volcanic bedrock units exposed at the surface of Yucca Mountain. These data will be integrated with information gathered in other activities in this study (investigations of regional surficial and local subsurface fractures).

2.2.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected

Three test methods were considered for surface fracture studies: 1) pavement method, 2) uncleared-outcrop method (outcrop method), and 3) photogeologic method. For the pavement method, cleared bedrock surfaces are mapped, and fracture parameters are recorded. For the outcrop method, fracture parameters are recorded from natural outcrops. For the photogeologic method, linear features are mapped from aerial photographs by means of a stereoplotter. The pavement and outcrop methods (sec. 3.2.2) were chosen as complementary means for obtaining the required information on fractures. The photogeologic method was rejected on the basis of early prototype testing but may be tested again in modified form as larger-scale photographs become available. The bases for selecting the methods are discussed below.

The planned test methods complement each other, in that the pavement method provides more complete data locally and the outcrop method allows for more widespread observations. (In general, the methods do not gather data at the same locations.) Similarly, the pavement method provides data on fracture network properties (i.e., trace length, connectivity, spatial distribution) that can be obtained only by mapping the fracture traces, whereas the outcrop method generally provides only orientation, aperture, roughness, and fracture-filling mineral data, but may yield trace-length data where exposures are adequate and photographs are available for plotting. The pavement method can be used only on natural pavements or where debris is thin and readily cleared, and the location is accessible to the equipment needed for clearing, whereas the outcrop method can be used wherever there are natural exposures.

2.2.1.1 Uncleared-outcrop tests

Fractures will be studied in natural outcrops because such exposures are widespread and allow for observations in many of the volcanic units at Yucca Mountain. Four of the seven required parameters (orientation, aperture, roughness, and fracture fillings) can be studied at outcrops. The incomplete exposure of natural outcrops precludes study of the fracture network (connectivity and spatial distribution).

2.2.1.2 Pavement tests

In order to obtain required parameters that cannot be obtained from uncleared outcrops, fractures will also be mapped on bedrock pavements. Whether natural or cleared by man,

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

bedrock pavements that are entirely free of regolith and vegetation offer an opportunity to study, map, and measure fracture networks in two dimensions. If the pavement is large enough and properly situated, all seven of the parameters of this activity are obtainable. However, the traces of faults extend well beyond any expected pavement, and their length must be measured on geologic maps (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1). Completed pavements range from 150 m² (1,615 ft²) to 2,000 m² (21,500 ft² or nearly 0.5 acre).

2.2.1.3 Photogeologic mapping

In prototype tests of the photogeologic alternative (Throckmorton, 1987), most fracture traces were not discerned, because the quality of exposures was too poor and the photographic scale, though large (1:2,400), was too small: 66-87 percent of the fractures observed directly in the field were not detected on the photos. In addition, trace bearings and lengths measured on the photos differed from those measured in the field, indicating that many traces mapped from the photos represented lineations other than fractures.

2.2.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration and timing of the selected tests

2.2.2.1 Number

The number of tests anticipated for this activity (table 2.2-2) is determined by what is required for the mapping, measuring, observing, and sampling of fracture-network characteristics from exposures at the surface of Yucca Mountain. Throughout this activity a phased approach will be employed whereby the results from sites already studied in a given unit will be considered in determining the need for additional data from that unit.

The number of pavements to be studied is limited because few locations have adequate exposure and ready accessibility for clearing equipment. Nine sites have been completed to date, each yielding data from approximately 100 to 1,000 fractures. Approximately 50 sites will be studied by the pavement method, so as to include at least two sites in nearly every exposed unit.

Fifty uncleared-outcrop sites have been completed to date. About 300 sites are needed to obtain a representative sampling of the lateral variability within each of the volcanic units above, immediately below, and encompassing the repository horizon. Data from a minimum of about 100 measurable fractures are needed to constitute a statistically reliable data set. Also, for a few units this will be the only method applicable.

The upper lithophysal unit of the Tiva Canyon Member will be the most extensively studied (approximately 100 stations) because it occupies approximately 60 percent of the surface area of Yucca Mountain and, therefore, is the most subject to infiltration of snowmelt and rain and is, by virtue of its extensive exposures, rich in evidence of the relative ages of fractures.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.2.2.2 Location

Locations are chosen to provide lateral coverage and vertical sampling through the stratigraphic section exposed at the surface of Yucca Mountain. Pavement sites are limited to locations where debris cover is thin and where clearing equipment can operate. Outcrop sites are selected to provide systematic coverage of data from these surface-fracture network studies. Location of existing and potential sites at Yucca Mountain are shown in figure 2.2-1.

The number of sites studied in each unit will be approximately proportional to its extent. Consequently, more sites will be located in the Tiva Canyon Member of the Paintbrush Tuff, as it is the most widely exposed unit in the Yucca Mountain area.

2.2.2.3 Duration

The duration of the tests is dictated by the time required for making detailed field observations at the outcrop sites, mapping the pavement sites, and compiling and reducing the data. Typically, data from a single outcrop can be obtained in two or three days. Cleaning, mapping, and data collecting from a single pavement requires approximately eight weeks. However, production-line methods, and possibly the use of photogrammetric techniques, will appreciably decrease the average time required for each pavement study.

2.2.2.4 Timing

Because the selection of pavement and outcrop sites depends in part on data from geologic mapping (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1), the fracture studies were begun after that mapping was well underway. The schedule for future studies is dictated by the need to provide information to other activities, especially those involved in exploratory studies facilities. (See secs. 4 and 5.)

Surface-fracture network studies are in part dependent upon data from geologic mapping (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1) for efficient site selection. As that mapping is now completed, this activity is in line to move forward toward completion so that fracture data, data-handling techniques, and fracture-network concepts can be provided to other activities, especially those involved in the exploratory studies facilities.

2.2.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests

In terms of the nine factors discussed below, the methods planned for this activity have been found to yield the required parameters most efficiently and accurately.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.2.3.1 Impacts on the site

Section 8.4.2.2.2 of the Site Characterization Plan describes those surface-based activities which may impact the ability of the site to isolate waste. Water usage during the clearing of pavements is the only aspect of this activity which may affect waste isolation characteristics at the site. As presently planned, two additional pavement studies might be conducted in the repository block within the area outlined by the perimeter drift (fig. 2.2-1).

The effects of water usage during site characterization on the performance of the site was analyzed in sections 8.4.3.2.5.1 and 8.4.3.3 of the Site Characterization Plan. Although water usage by this activity was not explicitly considered by these analyses, the water volume required for clearing of two pavements is small in comparison to the bounding analyses presented in section 8.4.3.3 and in comparison to the volumes of water which will be introduced by natural precipitation and other site activities (e.g., dust suppression, artificial infiltration study).

Based on these analyses, it appears that the introduction of water at the surface in conjunction with pavement preparation during this activity will have no effect on the ability of the site to isolate waste. Nevertheless, water usage for clearing pavements will be kept to a minimum. In order to limit the amount of water used, a mixture of water and compressed air (foam method) will be used in clearing pavements if an adequate compressor can be made available at the selected site.

2.2.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions

Not applicable: none of the study methods would attempt to simulate repository conditions.

2.2.3.3 Required accuracy and precision

The accuracy required in surface-fracture network studies has not been determined; it is not a factor in selecting study methods. As fractal dimensions from subunits of the tuff sequence are expected to differ only slightly, the required accuracy of field measurements will be high to ensure that the slight differences are meaningful. Close-range photogrammetry may be required to eliminate most errors arising from field judgments and human bias, as well as to assist in rectifying measurements from nonplanar pavements.

The tools and equipment used in these tests are standard and are designed to yield precision within acceptable tolerances. In order to assure consistency, pavement and outcrop study methods were selected partly because essentially the same equipment is used in each.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.2.3.4 Limits of analytical methods

The planned test methods were selected because they will provide the required parameters for the analyses discussed in section 4. Several computer programs are being written to assist in analysis. Statistical evaluation and validation of field methods (data collection) and of fractal analyses will be required to determine whether planned tests yield reproducible, significant results.

The choice of study methods was not affected by the limits of analytical methods.

2.2.3.5 Capability of analytical methods

Not a factor in selecting study methods. Standard analytical and data-reduction methods will be used; most will be computer-assisted. The choice of study method was not affected by the capabilities of analytical methods.

2.2.3.6 Time Constraints

The largely standardized outcrop and pavement methods, and potentially the photogeologic method, were selected to get the study underway as early as possible to gain experience in data collection prior to the beginning of complementary exploratory ramp and drift mapping. Techniques developed in this activity will be adopted at least in part by other activities.

2.2.3.7 Scale and applicability

Not applicable: alternative methods and types of equipment would not have affected the potential for extrapolating the measurements and observations.

2.2.3.8 Interference with other tests

The interrelationships of surface- and subsurface-based activities are described in section 8.4.2.2 of the Site Characterization Plan. It is not currently possible to perform a final evaluation of the interference potential of this activity, since the location of future pavement areas have not been firmly established.

The most probable source of interference, if it exists, might arise from the use of water during clearing of pavements. Introduction of water at the surface could interfere with near-surface hydrologic monitoring or gas-phase circulation studies. Potential interferences with other tests will be evaluated by the Project Office as part of Test Planning. Water used during pavement clearing may be tagged with non-toxic chemical tracers, allowing identification of water introduced during this activity and providing a basis for correcting for interference effects, if they occur.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Pavement localities will be selected such that interference with other tests will be avoided or minimized. Water used in clearing pavements will be kept to an absolute minimum. In order to limit the amount of water used, a mixture of water and compressed air (foam method) will be used in clearing pavements if an adequate compressor can be made available at the selected site.

2.2.3.9 Interference with exploratory facilities

These tests, irrespective of test method selected, do not interfere with or have the potential to interfere with the ESF.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.3 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3: Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures

This activity will gather detailed information on subsurface characteristics of fractures (including faults) from boreholes and cores that penetrate the volcanic bedrock units of the site area. Data from core samples, borehole video camera logs, and acoustic televiewer logs will be correlated to help determine the vertical and lateral variability of subsurface fractures and fault zones. Studies of continuous core will help determine stratigraphic variations in fracture characteristics (Scott and Castellanos, 1984). Borehole video camera logging and acoustic televiewer logging techniques are planned because of their successful history of use in studying the distribution and attitude of fractures in drill holes (Zemanek et al., 1969, 1970; Storm et al., 1979; Lau, 1980). Section 3.3.1 discusses this activity in more detail.

2.3.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected

Subsurface fractures in the site area will be characterized by a) core sampling and fracture logging, b) borehole video camera logging, and c) acoustic televiewer surveys and logging. These three test methods were selected on the basis that they are proven methods for gathering fracture data and because, in combination, they provide information on all of the required SCP parameters (see sec. 3.3.1 for list of parameters). Different fracture characteristics are measured by each of the test methods; hence, all three are essential to the activity. Although all three methods provide useful fracture data, each method has limitations (i.e., biased against vertical fractures and measurement inaccuracies); hence, the reliability and usefulness of these techniques for identifying and characterizing the subsurface fracture distribution will be assessed in this activity.

Cores afford the opportunity to study fractures as they occur in the rocks penetrated by the borehole. Except for examining fractures in the ESF (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4), no other means are available for directly observing fracture characteristics in the subsurface. However, cores represent only a small sampling of a given rock unit; thus, they offer only a limited "view" of its total fracture system. No distinction can be made, for example, between extensive, through-going fractures and those that have very short trace lengths (Spengler and Chornack, 1984). Because of this limitation, core data will be compared and integrated with data derived from surface fracture-network studies (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2) that provide information based on other sampling orientations to help understand sample bias in core data.

Core fracture logging provides all of the required activity parameters (table 2.3-1), provided sufficient oriented core (estimated at 10 percent of the total oriented core) is available for measuring fracture orientations. Borehole video camera logging allows the measurement of fracture location, type, apparent frequency, and strike and dip direction. Parameters measured by the acoustic televiewer include fracture location, type, apparent frequency, and true strike and dip.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

The borehole video camera method can be used in air-filled and fluid-filled holes (where fluids are clear); thus, the primary advantage of this method is that it allows a continuous record of fractures intersecting the borehole. However, several limitations of the method can be identified: 1) data are biased because vertical fractures are not adequately sampled, 2) irregularities in the roundness and smoothness of the hole causes illumination problems which can prevent fractures from being discerned, 3) fracture dip angles cannot confidently be obtained by this method because generally only some portion of a fracture is visible on the screen and the true interval of intersection with the borehole wall is often not visible, 4) important fracture parameters such as surface roughness, aperture, degree of mineralization (ranging from no mineralization to totally filled), and mineralogy of fracture-filling material cannot be obtained, and 5) adequate video cannot be obtained if mud cake forms on the borehole walls.

The acoustic televiewer method can be used only in fluid-filled (wet) holes. This technique is most effective in holes containing clear water; however, useful logs can be obtained from holes that contain bentonitic drilling mud that weighs as much as 10 lbs/gal. Although acoustic televiewer logging does not identify as many fractures as video camera logging, it accurately measures fracture orientations. Unlike the video-camera log, the dip angle often can be quantitatively determined from the acoustic televiewer log. The resolution of the acoustic televiewer depends upon hole diameter, wall conditions (roughness), reflectivity of the formation, and acoustic impedance of the well bore fluid (Healy et al., 1984). The extreme horizontal exaggeration in the data makes fractures dipping $< 40^\circ$ appear to be approximately horizontal; thus, identification of fractures is biased toward those of steeper dip (Healy et al., 1984). In spite of these restrictions, acoustic televiewer logging is useful for collecting data where fluids in the hole interfere with video camera logging.

Alternative methods considered:

Two geophysical methods were tested as alternatives to the selected test methods near Yucca Mountain: 1) (borehole-compensated) acoustic waveform (fraclog), and 2) spectral gamma-ray.

Acoustic waveform (fraclog) methods can be used to identify fracture zones and to calculate apparent dip, but they cannot reliably determine properties of individual fractures, such as frequency, azimuth, or aperture in the volcanic tuffs at the site area (R.W. Spengler and P.H. Nelson, oral commun., 1989). Like acoustic televiewer logging, they can be used only in the saturated zone, thus eliminating the unsaturated (dry) zone from study.

The spectral gamma-ray method can be used in both dry and wet holes but has met with intermittent success for identifying fracture zones in volcanic tuffs at Yucca Mountain, apparently because relatively high uranium radiation levels in the tuffs mask radiation from uranium that may be concentrated in fracture coatings and fillings (Muller and Kibler, 1983, 1986; Spengler et al., 1984).

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

In summary, evaluation of these two alternative methods has shown that they identify fracture zones, but do not provide the data needed on individual fractures.

In addition to these two rejected methods, an alternative method of core fracture logging was tested early in this study. In this method, only natural fractures were logged. The x-coordinates of fracture locations were measured, including top and bottom locations (depth at which the fracture enters and exits the core) for high-angle fractures. Dip angles were measured with a protractor. A goniometer was used to obtain fracture orientations from oriented core. For unoriented core, fracture inclinations relative to the core axis were measured. An evaluation of this method determined that it does not provide the kinds of data required (surface roughness, aperture), while the chosen method provides data on all the required activity parameters.

One other alternative to the chosen acoustic televiewer method was evaluated for its suitability but not actually tested at Yucca Mountain. This method involves a visual examination of the borehole, using still-camera photos obtained by lowering a properly equipped camera into the boreholes. This method was rejected because it does not provide a data set as comprehensive or continuous as the chosen method. Other alternatives to acoustic televiewer logging include other borehole geophysical surveys (Activity 8.3.1.4.2.1, Borehole geophysical surveys) from which fracture identification and orientation, and hole wall irregularities can be determined. These methods include borehole radar, crosshole resistivity, crosshole EM, crosshole radar, high-resolution crosshole seismic surveys, dip meter, and microresistivity scanlog, and they may be considered in available drill holes at the site (Table 8.3.1.4-4 of the SCP).

2.3.2 Rationale for selecting the number, location, duration, and timing of tests

2.3.2.1 Number and location

The three test methods utilized in this activity are performed on boreholes already planned for site characterization studies as part of the integrated drilling program (SCP sec. 8.3.1.4.1). The integrated drilling program combines a systematic-sampling (geostatistical) approach with a feature-sampling approach used for the vertical boreholes. This activity will provide nearly full coverage of all boreholes exceeding 500 ft in depth (90 holes; see figs. 2.3-1, 2.3-2) and all available core.

Number and location of boreholes in the systematic drilling program are chosen to characterize spatial variability of rock characteristics of tuff units at Yucca Mountain and to provide sufficient areal coverage of the site for geologic, hydrologic, and geochemical investigations. In the feature-sampling approach, the location of a single borehole or set of several boreholes is chosen to test a specific hypothesis at that location or to study structures of interest, such as the Solitario Canyon fault. The location and number of boreholes will be coordinated with repository design to locate boreholes in pillars (SCP sec. 8.4.2.1), to allow a standoff distance from waste canisters and to help prevent the boreholes from becoming

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

preferential pathways for potential radionuclide movement. In addition, statistical methods will be used in planning borehole locations in order to limit their number.

2.3.2.2 Duration and timing

Logging with the video camera or acoustic televiewer is constrained by the drilling schedule and will proceed concurrently with the drilling of additional boreholes (refer to sec. 2.3.2.1). The duration of the core fracture logging will depend on the drilling schedule, the amount of core retrieved, and the number of fractures identifiable in the core. Based on the current drilling schedule, the core fracture logging and analyses of video camera and acoustic televiewer logs will extend through the drilling program.

The borehole video camera and acoustic televiewer logs are obtained as soon as possible after the hole is drilled and before it is plugged. These tests should be run prior to other hydrologic tests to keep the hole as pristine as possible. The core fracture logging tests are performed after the core is deposited at the Sample Management Facility (SMF) and before subsequent sampling of core material for other investigations. It is essential to schedule the core-fracture logging before sampling is done for other purposes in order to prevent gaps in fracture data. Samples of fracture-coating and fracture-filling material are removed during the fracture logging.

2.3.3 Constraints: factors affecting selection of tests

2.3.3.1 Impacts on the site

Neither the chosen methods nor alternative methods would have any appreciable effect on the ability of the repository to isolate waste, as the holes will have been drilled for purposes other than collecting data by the methods chosen in this activity. Potential impacts to the site from drilling activities are addressed in SCP sec. 8.4.3.2.5.2.

2.3.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions

Not applicable: none of the test methods (chosen or rejected) would attempt to simulate repository conditions.

2.3.3.3 Required accuracy and precision

No explicit requirements for accuracy or precision are specified in the SCP for this activity. The planned test methods were chosen on the basis that they offer a reliable means for obtaining accurate data on the designated fracture parameters, whereas alternative methods (discussed in sec. 2.3.1) would not provide data of comparable quality.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.3.3.4 Limits of analytical methods

Not applicable: analytical methods are not used on test results gathered in this activity.

2.3.3.5 Capability of analytical methods

Not applicable: the choice of test methods was not affected by the capabilities of analytical methods.

2.3.3.6 Time constraints

Time was not a factor in the selection of the planned test methods over the alternative methods, as both the alternative methods and planned methods require an equivalent amount of time.

2.3.3.7 Scale and applicability

The planned tests were selected because they are proven to be reliable in providing the required activity parameters. The alternatives discussed in section 2.3.1 have been found to be unsuited to studies of volcanic tuffs at Yucca Mountain.

2.3.3.8 Interference with other tests

The borehole video camera logging and acoustic televiewer logging should be run prior to any testing that would affect the existing conditions of the borehole. None of the considered alternatives has any significant advantage over the selected test methods with regard to interference with other tests.

2.3.3.9 Interference with exploratory facilities

Because this activity is designed to generate fracture data from boreholes and core already planned for site characterization studies, none of the chosen or alternative methods will have any adverse effect on the exploratory facilities in terms of sequencing, physical location, or construction and operational constraints.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.4 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF)

Tests included in Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 were selected to provide many of the specific data required for the characterization of structural features of the site area. These tests will address a broad spectrum of parameters (table 2.4-1), but will focus primarily on the relationships and physical characteristics of fractures (including faults).

Fractures are emphasized because they may allow transport of radionuclides by water or gases to the accessible environment. Because fracture networks commonly change with lithologic character in the strata at Yucca Mountain (Barton, 1984; Barton and Larsen, 1985), mapping both the characteristics of fractures and the lithologic character of strata within the ESF is important in defining variables that affect isolation. Because the diverse patterns of the nearly vertical fractures at Yucca Mountain also change laterally, mapping of drift exposures within the waste emplacement horizon provides another important dimension of information. The information derived from fracture mapping will also provide data needed for design of drifts, shafts and ramps for the repository, including the design of the support system.

2.4.1 Rationale for the types of tests selected

Two methods of underground geologic mapping will be used in the ESF: 1) photogrammetric method, and 2) conventional sketch method. These methods will be supplemented by detailed line surveys, field notes, and photographic images of major structural features of the site, including all faults that exhibit measurable displacement and all lithostratigraphic contacts that are judged important by the on-site subsurface geologist. Both methods produce maps in the full-periphery format, showing the geological features of the excavation walls. Generally, the floor will be obscured; locally, however, a feature of consequence, such as a fault zone, may be traced across the invert. Accurate surveying is required for both methods in order to establish a datum (marked by a series of surveyed photographable targets) to which measurements can be related.

A brief discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of each method follows:

1) **Photogrammetric Method** This method involves taking stereophotographs of the excavated walls and crowns. Geologic maps showing lithostratigraphic and structural data (especially fracture data) will be compiled from the photographs, at a surface facility using an analytical plotter (Curry, 1986; Dueholm, 1974, 1976, 1979, 1981; Dueholm et al., 1977, 1986; Jepsen and Dueholm, 1978; Pillmore, 1959; Pillmore et al., 1980; and Scott, 1987).

a. **Photography:** Stereophotographic coverage of clean exposures is required to record geologic-feature data.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

- b. **Fracture Measurement:** Location, strike-and-dip, and trace-length data will be generated from the photographs by means of the analytical plotter. Roughness, aperture, mineralization, and fault-surface lineation pitch must be measured manually at the working face.
 - c. **Accuracy:** Photogrammetry affords the greatest accuracy of the two methods. Features will be located within 2 cm of true position at 1:1 scale; length will be measured within 2 cm; and attitude will be computed with a maximum error of 1 degree for extensive planes and 5 degrees for restricted planes.
 - d. **Data Base:** In addition to underground measurements, this method develops fully digitized photogrammetric data that are automatically entered into the computer data storage. Additional data can be compiled by re-examining the photographs at a later date if needed.
 - e. **Objectivity/Reproducibility:** Since fracture-trace measurements and data are compiled by photogrammetry technicians and then edited by the geologist who supervised the corresponding underground data collection, the photogrammetric method eliminates much of the bias associated with conventional sketching. For the same reason, the method will yield good reproducibility.
 - f. **Underground Time:** Photogrammetry requires an estimated 8 hours per 48 m (6 m/hr) in TBM excavations and 8 hours per 16 m (2 m/hr) in drill/blast excavations (exclusive of travel and set-up time).
- 2) **Conventional Sketch Method:** This method requires that the attitude of each fracture be physically measured and its location recorded and measured while the geologist is underground. Field maps are drawn underground with the perspective of the full-periphery view from within the excavation. On a light-table, the map can be traced to the opposite side of the paper for inside- or outside-perspective viewing when wrapped around a mold of proper dimensions. Data may be transferred to profile or cross section by the use of geometric principles (Brown, 1981; Cregger, 1986; Hatheway, 1982; Scott, 1987; and U.S. Army, 1970).
- a. **Photography:** Requires photographic coverage of the walls and roof for archival purposes only.
 - b. **Fracture Measurement:** All fracture characteristics must be measured manually in the excavation. These data are recorded on both a map and a data sheet.
 - c. **Accuracy:** The position of any exposed geologic feature can be located accurately by surveying. However, for each point located, x, y, and z coordinates are required--a

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

labor-intensive, slow procedure when done manually at the rock face. Features that are thought to have greater significance to physical and engineering properties of the rock mass are measured first and most carefully. Map completeness and accuracy, therefore, are functions of time available for underground mapping.

Because of the limited time available, sketching is used extensively as an expedient substitute for surveying after a surveyed framework is established. Sketching is inherently less accurate than the photogrammetric method. The map will show some features to be as much as 25 cm away from true position at 1:1 scale (much closer if near a surveyed marker). If time is short, fewer planar features may be measured, or attitudes of some features approximated to within 10 degrees of true attitude.

- d. **Data Base:** Original data from this method are on either data sheets or sketch maps. In order to reduce these data by analytical methods, they must be entered manually into the computer from the primary data sheets or from secondary measurements made on the field maps.
- e. **Objectivity/Reproducibility:** This method tends to be subjective and is dependent on the individual doing the mapping, creating possible continuity problems from map to map. As the exact conditions under which the map was developed cannot be duplicated after the ramp has been supported and shotcreted, archived photographs offer the only potential for checking or reproducing the map.
- f. **Underground Time:** Conventional mapping requires approximately 8 hours per 20 m (2.5 m/hr) in TBM excavations and 8 hours per 12 m (1.5 m/hr) in drill/blast excavations (exclusive of travel and set-up time).

2.4.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests

The number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests depends on whether all the rock surfaces exposed by excavation are mapped. The photogrammetric and conventional methods will be used for the entire starter tunnel of the north ramp (approximately 60 m of drill/blast excavation), and for the first 60 m of the TBM-excavated ramp. After the underground mapping data are gathered from these two 60-m sections, an analysis will be done comparing the results from both sections. To continue full photogrammetric interpretation of the TBM-excavated openings, the following two conditions must be met:

- 1) Through photogrammetry, the geologist is able to successfully measure the attitudes and locations of small structural features on the smooth walls of the TBM excavation. Previous work indicates that the walls of the TBM excavation may be too smooth to accurately measure the strike and dip of small fractures (< 2 m trace length). If analysis confirms this

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

to be true, photogrammetry may only be able to measure large-scale features and may be of limited value for continuous detailed mapping in the TBM portions of the ESF.

2) Through photogrammetry, the geologist is able to gather critical data which would be lost by conventional mapping. Generally, the photogrammetric mapping method is able to collect data more accurately and in much greater detail than conventional mapping. As discussed above, photogrammetry also allows reproducibility that conventional mapping cannot. All the data captured by photogrammetry may, however, not be needed by the Project.

After analysis of the first two 60-m sections of the North Ramp, the decision will be made if the greater amount of data gathered by photogrammetry is critical to needs of the project. While the analysis of the two methods is being done, mapping will shift to the conventional method while continuing full stereographic photography of the North Ramp.

If the previous two conditions are not demonstrated by the analysis of the method, conventional mapping will continue in the TBM-excavated openings. All of the North Ramp, the Topopah Spring Level (TSL) Main Drift, and the South Ramp will be mapped using the conventional method because each portion of the excavation will offer a unique opportunity to observe and measure structural and stratigraphic features. Complete stereographic photographic coverage of the accessible walls of all excavated openings will be obtained. Observations and measurements at the rock face will be made, but detailed photogrammetric mapping will be done only in selected excavations based on the need for detailed data in each specific opening. Photogrammetric mapping may be used in drill/blast openings and in limited TBM openings even if the photogrammetric method is judged inappropriate for coverage of all openings.

As planned drifts are expected either to parallel strata or cut across them at a low angle, data redundancy can be kept within an acceptable limit by adopting a system of selected-interval mapping. Structurally complex intervals, such as those at the edge of fault blocks, and a statistically representative number of other (normal) intervals will be mapped photogrammetrically. For the intervals not mapped in detail during excavation, complete stereophotographic coverage will be obtained, and routine rock-face measurements and observations will be made. With these data in archive, detailed maps can be made when needed.

2.4.2.1 Number

The series of tests involved in underground mapping can be divided into two categories on the basis of test location and related test procedure:

- a) Underground data collection, and
- b) Photographic data collection.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

A variety of tests are to be performed within each of these categories (table 2.4-2). This same general subdivision, underground (direct) versus laboratory or office (indirect), also applies to the conventional method. The difference is in proportions; only about 20 percent of the primary data from the photogrammetric method is generated underground while nearly all but the rock sample data is generated underground in the conventional method.

Each method (photogrammetric and conventional) produces structural data sets (especially fracture data), as well as some stratigraphic and petrographic data. Without restrictions of time or cost, both would strive to produce the same number and quality of data.

The number of estimated tests anticipated in this activity is shown in table 2.4-3. Data collected photographically will outnumber measurements made at the exposures by a factor of at least four because underground measurements are restricted to those not amenable to photogrammetry. Conventional mapping requires more underground measurements and a closer approach to all parts of the exposures. For example, the mapping platform will suffice in most locations for both methods, but a ladder may be required for measuring out-of-reach features by the conventional method. Such features are within camera range for photogrammetry and require no special means of access.

The number of photographs per 8 m of excavation is fixed by camera angle and by stereoscopic overlap requirements. The number of samples to be taken from the exposed workings will vary according to the complexity of geologic features exposed (Bish and Vaniman, 1985). The number of samples collected at the underground exposures will be kept at a minimum because each sample must be selected, marked for orientation (if required) and for photographic identification, photographed in place, and then broken from the rock face. Unoriented samples will also be collected and used for a series of measurements and observations to be completed by:

- a) Activity 8.3.1.3.2.1.1, Petrologic stratigraphy of the Topopah Spring Member,
- b) Activity 8.3.1.3.2.1.3, Fracture mineralogy, and
- c) Activity 8.3.1.3.2.2.1, History of mineralogic and geochemical alteration of Yucca Mountain.

The professional judgment of the on-site subsurface geologist will determine the number of measurements and observations to be made at the exposed working face and the number of supplemental photographic images which will be recorded to support and augment data generated by underground mapping. A detailed line survey along a horizontal reference line (described in sec. 3.4.2.1) will yield a statistical set of measurements from the rib of the excavation. The number of measurements from each detailed line survey will be determined by the number of geologic features that are intercepted by each line and that are meaningful at the level of detail

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

required. The combined purpose of the ESF mapping is to accumulate enough of each of several kinds of data to create statistically meaningful sets for data reduction and to provide geologic data to be used for site characterization.

2.4.2.2 Location

The tests will be done in the ESF regardless of mapping method, because they provide the best opportunity to make detailed measurements and observations within the host rock and surrounding units. Photographing, sampling, and underground data collection will be done in the ramps and drifts. To minimize interference with mining and to maximize data collection, the most time-consuming data collection will be accomplished through the study of photographs in the photogrammetric plotter laboratory. Stereoscopic pairs of photos of the ramp and drift walls and crown will furnish data for maps of the fracture network, other structural features, and some lithostratigraphic features. Data obtained from measurements made underground will be incorporated into photogrammetric maps compiled in the laboratory.

Many of the samples taken from the ESF will be sent to special laboratories for detailed tests that exceed the capability of local facilities. Hand-specimen petrographic descriptions (color, texture and phenocryst mineralogy) will be made at the surface by geologists under this activity.

2.4.2.3 Duration

Geologic mapping of the ESF will occur in concert with the mining operation regardless of mapping method. The mapping in TBM excavations will generally be done directly behind the machine, with the emphasis on minimum interference with the tunneling operation. Under anticipated conditions, photogrammetric mapping (exclusive of wall cleaning, surveying, setting up equipment and personnel for mapping, and photography) will take a minimum of 2 hours for each 12 m of excavation, versus 4 to 8 hours for the conventional method (table 2.4-2).

Where excavations expose unusual geologic features, such as a major fault or breccia zone, the geologists should be allotted sufficient time and access to avoid loss of irretrievable data. Even though mapping and photography can be done after rock bolts have been installed, mapping and photography should be completed before wire mesh is positioned to ensure high-quality application of the method.

Samples will be described as the material is received at surface facilities of the ESF. Samples collected for laboratory testing will be packaged and shipped or hand carried to the various receiving facilities within a few hours after reaching the surface. Sample handling and testing is independent of mapping method.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.4.2.4 Timing

Transport of mapping personnel and equipment, wall cleaning, surveying, photography, underground data collection, and sampling will be done on a daily basis, with the intention to keep the mapping up with excavation progress, after the new exposures are cleaned and surveyors have provided orientation and altitude references. Photographs will be developed by the sub-contractor as soon as the exposed film is received at the surface facilities. Every effort will be made to allow mapping and photographing to be completed before installing ground support that may obstruct the exposed rock.

2.4.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests

Geologic mapping of the ESF will make an obvious contribution to site characterization. Therefore, choice of methods hinges on the best scientific method that is within the reasonable limits of cost and engineering efficiency.

Scientifically, close-range photogrammetric geologic mapping, in combination with on-site measurements, is superior to the conventional sketch method of underground mapping because more and better data are produced. Still more important, an expandable data base consisting of a permanent stereoscopic photographic record will be created and available if future needs arise or if post-testing confirmation of data is required.

If the photogrammetric method is chosen for mapping TBM excavations, the bulk of the time-consuming elements of mapping will be transferred from underground to the plotter laboratory via the photogrammetric method. This also yields an advantage from the engineering point of view: time saved by geologists in completing mandatory geologic mapping at or near the heading reduces cumulative time required for completion of ESF construction.

When examined critically within the context of the constraints listed below, the tests and analyses selected for this activity only negligibly affect the repository block and do not avoidably interfere with other activities.

2.4.3.1 Impacts on the site

Surveying, photography, and data collection in the newly exposed parts of the ESF will not affect the site, regardless of the mapping method used. Cleaning the walls for photography will introduce small amounts of water into the underground environment, but the impact on the site will be minimal. This water usage has been considered as part of the construction-phase water usage. Water usage will be held to a minimum and constrained by the limitations including the use of chemically-tagged water in the ESF if required by the Project. Potential impacts from hydrologic disturbances associated with the construction of the ESF are described and evaluated

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

in section 8.4.3.2.5.3 of the SCP. That evaluation of the hydrologic impact concluded that construction of the ESF would not affect the ground-water flux at the repository horizon or create preferential pathways for liquid water flow. It is reasonable to conclude that water usage during this activity will not adversely impact the ability of the site to isolate waste.

2.4.3.2 Simulation of repository conditions

Simulation of repository conditions was not a basis for selecting mapping methods. Both methods simulate the repository conditions equally well, in that each involves objective data-gathering at the rock surface.

2.4.3.3 Required accuracy and precision

For most types of measurements, the photogrammetric mapping method has both accuracy (quality of results) and precision (reproducibility of results supported by quality of methods and instruments) that are better than those of the conventional mapping method (table 2.4-2). However, as measurements of fracture aperture and roughness are obtained underground manually regardless of mapping method, there can be no method-determined difference in the accuracy or precision of these parameters.

Tools and equipment that will be used in photogrammetric tests are designed to yield precision comparable to or in excess of that of tools and equipment of tests in the conventional method. Generally the same measuring devices are used underground regardless of mapping methods; different equipment is used in surface facilities for different methods. In the latter, a map compiled through use of the photogrammetric plotter is more accurate than one traced from a sketch map, because of the inherent precision of the photogrammetric method and equipment.

2.4.3.4 Limits of analytical methods

This activity will not include analyses (rigid definition), but it will be involved extensively in data reduction that will require analytical methods. Data-reduction and analytical methods of photogrammetric mapping are restricted largely by the number of data available and the capacity of the computer memory. Conventional mapping generates a smaller data base that must be manually entered into the computer. This smaller data base places limits on data-reduction and analytical methods.

2.4.3.5 Capability of analytical methods

Not applicable; the choice of mapping method was not affected by the capabilities of analytical methods.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.4.3.6 Time Constraints

None; the mapping will be driven by the rate of excavation progress.

2.4.3.7 Scale and applicability

Not applicable; the choice of mapping method was not affected by the ability of the data to represent the required parameters.

2.4.3.8 Interference with other tests

Two possible types of interference have been considered during the planning of this activity: interference through contamination of hydrologic conditions in the ESF and physical interference due to scheduling overlaps during ESF construction. Water usage required to clean shaft surfaces prior to photography and mapping are considered part of the ESF construction-phase use of water, which has been evaluated in section 8.4.2.3.4.4 of the SCP. As detailed in section 8.4.2.3.4.4 of the SCP, water usage in the ESF will be tightly controlled and will be kept to a minimum consistent with operational requirements. The hydrologic impacts of ESF construction were analyzed in section 8.4.3.2.1 of the SCP, which determined that the cumulative effects of all ESF construction-related water usage is projected to be small and to be confined to the immediate proximity of the ESF. Water used may be tagged with non-toxic chemical tracers, allowing identification of water introduced during this activity and providing a basis for correcting for interference effects, if they occur.

Underground tests associated with this activity (surveying, photography, mapping, measuring, observing, and sampling) are designed to be compatible with the excavation cycle. During that designated time, the underground area will be largely free of workers other than those required by this activity. If scientists associated with other activities deem it necessary to collect their own samples from ramp and drift exposures or perform special tests there prior to completion of all excavation, some interference and delay of the mapping test likely will result, especially in the limited space of the ESF openings.

Surface-based tests and data reduction will occur in separate specialized laboratories and will pose no interference with other tests. Test methods selected for this activity are designed to reduce to a minimum the amount of time that geologists and associated technicians are required to spend underground, thereby reducing interference with excavation and other ESF test schedules. Conventional methods of mapping require more underground time than photogrammetric methods and, consequently, interfere more.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.4.3.9 Interference with ESF

As the purpose of excavating the ramp is to provide access for underground testing, time for ESF mapping is included in the excavation schedule. In regard to the test methods selected for this activity, no increase or decrease in interference with the ESF is involved except that the required geologic mapping time at the rock surface is less for photogrammetric mapping than for the conventional mapping method.

Sample collection is the only part of this activity that will affect the excavated walls; however, it will not interfere with the design, construction, or structural stability of the ESF.

The effect of this activity on the ESF is independent of the selected mapping methods except that the photogrammetric method requires less underground time for mapping. Surface-based tests and analyses for this activity will have no adverse effect on the design and construction of the ESF, regardless of mapping method.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.5 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5 Seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling

2.5.1 Rationale for the type of tests selected

This activity will use a single test method--seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling (VSP)--to characterize fractures (faults and joints) in areas between surface exposures, underground workings, and boreholes (see table 2.5-1). Although it is possible to directly examine structural features and fracture content on mine walls and in cores, because of variations in these features, it is necessary to extrapolate observations into the surrounding rock. More importantly, features that may not be observed directly or are not intersected by boreholes or mine workings may be detected by imaging the rock. Variations in lithology and fractures in such areas can be detected, located, and characterized by seismic methods, because they represent mechanical anomalies in the rock mass. The only reasonable alternative to the planned method for fracture detection is surface seismic reflection. That alternative method was not selected because previous studies (e.g., McGovern, 1983) have demonstrated that it is very difficult to obtain reflection data in the Yucca Mountain area that can be used to define either near-vertical features or small-scale features at the required scale.

2.5.2 Rationale for the number, location, duration, and timing of the selected tests

2.5.2.1 Number

The number of tests will depend upon the success of the initial tests in drill hole USW G-4 and the C-holes (UE-25C#1, C#2, C#3; fig. 2.5-1) and the resolution obtainable. Twelve offsets will be occupied around the G-4 area (see fig. 3.5-1). The crosshole work in the C-holes will occur over an interval of approximately 150 m, with measurements every 1 m. If the first tests in G-4 and C-holes are successful, then the number and spacing of tests to be selected ultimately will depend upon the requirements of site characterization and, possibly at a later date, the requirements for performance confirmation. Studies to date have used spacing of one-quarter to one-half of the shortest wavelength. The actual spacing will be determined by the frequency content of the transmitted signal and the size and distribution of the targets of interest.

2.5.2.2 Location

The initial tests will be carried out at G-4. This area was selected because of its proximity to the potential repository site, the geologic and geophysical data that already exist for G-4, depth of borehole, and surface access. Single-component P-wave near-offset (check shot) VSP surveys have been carried out at G-4 and the C-well complex at NTS. Initial velocity models of the P-wave have already been obtained from these data and will be used to design the G-4 work. The initial crosshole tomography tests will be carried out at the C-holes because of the tracer work and contributing hydrologic data to be collected in this area. If the initial tests indicate that the VSP and crosshole tomography are a viable method for fracture and structural

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

definition, then further work will be carried out in available boreholes and the exploratory facilities as they are excavated. These locations were selected due to the potential of using the seismic techniques for extrapolating the results to a repository wide-scale. Crosshole work will also be carried out using other available boreholes as necessary to complete the desired coverage of the repository area (fig. 2.5-1).

2.5.2.3 Duration

The duration of the field work will depend on the success of the method, but the initial G-4 and C-hole tests will last approximately a total of one month. Subsequent tests in the exploratory facilities work will also require one month each year for approximately six years. This amount of time is required because of the stages in which the repository is to be excavated and the data processing required between the data collection. Additional VSP surveys will normally take 10 days to two weeks per hole, as the holes become available.

2.5.2.4 Timing

The timing of the tests is dictated by the availability of access to boreholes and to the ESF. The initial tests are planned for G-4 and the C-holes because those boreholes are expected to be available in time for initial testing and refinement of the test method. Testing will continue as new areas are excavated and become free for testing. It is important that the testing in G-4 and the C-holes begin as soon as possible in order to process and interpret the data for maximum use of the technique in subsequent tests.

2.5.3 Constraints: factors affecting the selection of tests

The main factors affecting the selection of tests is access to the exploratory facilities and to the other boreholes (i.e., G-4 and the C-holes), and the high potential of the technique for defining fracture characteristics between boreholes and underground workings.

2.5.3.1 Impacts on the site

There will be minimal impact on the site. No special considerations for surface work or borehole work will be necessary. Shallow (2.5-m) holes will be necessary in the ES facilities for sensor placement.

2.5.3.2 Simulation of the repository conditions

Not a factor in selection of test method; test does not attempt to simulate repository conditions.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

2.5.3.3 Required accuracy and precision

No explicit requirements for accuracy or precision are specified in the SCP for this activity. However, the accuracy and precision obtained will be a function of the scale of the survey, ranging from a resolution of a few cm (mapping the tunnel damage zone with borehole spacings of a few meters) to tens of meters (for the VSP work in a borehole several hundred meters deep). For the results to be useful, the positions in space of physical features must be determined within a few meters. That is, the features mapped must be accurately resolved to a few tens of meters on the repository-wide scale, a few meters on the exploratory facilities scale, and to at least a meter on the crosshole scale. The selected test is expected to provide these levels of accuracy, whereas past experience has shown that the alternative method of surface reflection profiling is likely to be much less precise.

2.5.3.4 Limits and capability of analytical methods

The VSP-tomographic processing techniques are limited by the ray coverage and frequency content of the elastic waves illuminating the zone of interest. Resolution obtainable also depends on the quality of the data, (i.e., signal-to-noise ratio). Analytical methods used to analyze the data must be able to produce plots and maps of fracture characteristics. VSP and methods that produce tomographic images were selected because of their potential ability to do so. Conventional surface reflection techniques are not capable of the required resolution at the expected frequencies, owing to the anticipated lack of coherent reflection in the Yucca Mountain structure and the impossibility of generating and propagating sufficiently short wavelength energy with conventional surface sources.

2.5.3.5 Time constraints

Time constraints were not a factor in the selection of tests for this activity.

2.5.3.6 Scale and applicability

The scale of the work will vary depending on the resolution, target size, and penetration of the signal. In the VSP work, the spacing of the receivers will be no more than 10 m, with source offsets of several hundred meters spacing. In the cross-well C-hole tests the sources and receivers will be spaced at no more than 1 m intervals in the holes. For very closely spaced holes (tens of meters) the source and receiver intervals in the holes will be 1/2 to 1/4 m.

2.5.3.7 Interference with other tests

All tests are planned to have minimal, if any, effect on other tests. The seismic work must be carried out at times when there is little or no vibration from construction activities, in order to eliminate as much background noise as possible. The work can be performed at night if

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

necessary. The results will be integrated with the other geophysical data collected in other parts of the program, (i.e., well-log data from the multi-purpose boreholes and G-wells, and the seismic and electrical work done in other phases of the program.)

2.5.3.8 Interference with exploratory facilities

Not a factor in selection of test method: all tests planned in the exploratory facilities are to take place on a noninterference basis.

3 DESCRIPTION OF TESTS AND ANALYSES

Section 3 describes the tests planned for Study 8.3.1.4.2.2.

The objective of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 (Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000) is to map zonal variations in exposed tuffs to aid in the identification of structural displacements and in the detection of subtle differences in structural styles.

The objective of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 (Surface-fracture network studies) is to provide measurements and analyses of fracture networks to support the hydrologic modeling of potential flow paths, particularly in the unsaturated zone.

The objectives of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3 (Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures) are to assess the utility of available borehole techniques in characterizing subsurface fracture distribution, to determine the spatial distribution and physical characteristics of subsurface fractures, and to identify subsurface characteristics of fault zones.

The objectives of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 (Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facilities) are to determine the spatial distribution of fracture networks in the ESF ramps and drifts; to characterize major subsurface faults and fault zones; to map lithostratigraphic features of geologic units; and to assist in selection of test locations in the exploratory studies facility.

The objectives of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5 (Vertical seismic profiling studies) are to develop a means for detecting and characterizing the subsurface fracture network in regions between the surface, boreholes, and underground workings; to calibrate and relate the seismic propagation characteristics of the repository host rock to fracture patterns observed in boreholes and underground workings; and to extrapolate the observed fracture patterns to the surrounding region.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.1 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1: Geologic mapping of zonal features in the Paintbrush Tuff at a scale of 1:12,000

The objective of this activity is to map zonal variations in exposed tuffs to aid in the identification of structural displacements and in the detection of subtle differences in structural styles.

3.1.1 General approach

There are three stages to aerial-photograph-assisted field mapping:

- a) direct observation of geologic features in the field; recording of data on aerial photographs and in notebooks,
- b) transfer of the field data onto a stable topographic base using a mechanical analytical plotter in the photogrammetry laboratory, and
- c) collection of data from the completed map.

In the field, the geologist uses aerial photographs (approximately 1:12,000 scale) and basic equipment (sec. 3.1.6). Images of geologic features are identified and marked on the photographs as the actual features are field checked. The locations of all observations are marked on the photographs and correlated with notes taken in the field notebook.

After a period of field mapping, the geologist returns to the photogrammetric laboratory with photographs, notebooks, and a stable topographic base (1:12,000 scale). Stereoscopic pairs of aerial photographs are set within the analytical plotter to remove photographic distortion. The geologist then traces images of features mapped on the aerial photographs onto the topographic base, making certain that the geometry of geologic features matches the geometry of the topography. The location of each data observation point is also transferred onto the base. After the lines have been drawn, the geologist transfers data from the notebook to the appropriate data location points.

The completed map is then used to collect further data. For example, the thicknesses of stratigraphic units, the amount of offset on faults, and the relative ages of faults can be determined by inspection of the map. The map measurements are then compared with field measurements, and inconsistencies are reconciled during field-checking of the map.

Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 are listed in table 3.1-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.1.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data

Tests and performance-allocation parameters for this activity are listed in table 2.1-1 as outlined in the SCP, p. 8.3.1.4-66.

3.1.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted

This activity will be conducted in the field, the photogrammetric laboratory, and the office.

3.1.1.3 Number of data

The number of data is a function of the complexity of the geology at the particular locality being mapped. Where stratigraphic units are thick and faults are few, few data were taken. Where thin units or numerous faults exist, the number of data became a function of the number necessary to describe the geometry of the geologic features or became limited by the scale of the map. In some cases, the number of data observations and lines on the map were limited by the space to draw them. In such cases, the geologist selected representative measurements.

3.1.2 Test methods

Standard geologic mapping and compilation methods were used for all tests in this activity; the details of the methods are given in the technical procedures listed in table 3.1-1.

3.1.3 QA level assignment

Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for this activity will be specified in a Yucca Mountain Project QA Grading Report, issued as a separate controlled document. All procedures applicable to this activity will be identified on the basis of the findings in the Grading Report and will be prepared in accordance with applicable QA requirements.

3.1.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision

The accuracy required for large-scale general purpose geologic maps is:

- a) location of points or features: within 1/25 in. (1 mm) on base map. (Base maps used in this activity comply with National Map Accuracy Standards; specifically identifiable points are plotted within 1/50 in., measured on the publication scale; and vertically, within one-half the contour interval)
- b) attitude measurements with the Brunton compass: generally closer than $\pm 2^\circ$

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.1.5 Range of expected results

Typically, bedding and foliation at Yucca Mountain trend generally north and dip east, and many major faults trend generally north and dip steeply west. But such attitudes vary widely, as shown by Scott and Bonk (1984).

3.1.6 Equipment

The equipment list for geological mapping using aerial photographs as aids is very simple:

- a) Brunton compass
- b) Aerial photographs
- c) Pocket stereoscope
- d) Field notebook
- e) Abney level and Jacob's staff.

The equipment for transfer of data from the photographs to the topographic base consists only of the mechanical analytical plotter. The only other piece of equipment necessary is the stable topographic base. In most cases this base is a frosted, reverse-reading, green-line mylar sheet, standard for base maps.

No special equipment is necessary for data collection from the compiled map.

3.1.7 Data-reduction techniques

For this activity, data reduction is the process of transferring data on aerial photographs onto the stable topographic base. Also, some data on thicknesses of stratigraphic units are determined after the map has been made by measuring the topographic thickness, corrected for dip. In a similar manner, the amount of offset on a fault is determined by reconstruction of the vertical or horizontal offset of one particular stratigraphic horizon. The relative ages of faults is determined by inspection of the fault intersections on the finished map.

3.1.8 Representativeness of results

The mapping results apply only to the surface of the earth. Even though the dip of strata and faults can be projected into the third dimension (below the ground surface), such features are remarkably nonplanar in detail. Thus, projections into the subsurface based upon surface measurements are geological interpretations, based upon the experience and observations of the

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

geologist. In several cases at Yucca Mountain, drill hole data place known limits on the interpretation of the third dimension (Study 8.3.1.4.2.1). Many of the cross sections drawn at Yucca Mountain use a combination of known surface geological geometries and drill hole geometries.

3.1.9 Relation to performance goals and confidence levels

This activity will contribute to tentative goals for performance issues 1.1 and 1.6, as outlined in section 1.2 and detailed in SCP table 8.3.1.4-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.2 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: Surface-fracture network studies

The objective of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 is to provide measurements and analyses of fracture networks to support the hydrologic modeling of potential flow paths, particularly in the unsaturated zone.

Measurements and analyses of fracture networks will be generated by each of the five activities of Study 8.3.1.4.2.2. However, this activity will provide the bulk of these data and will design methods of analysis of fracture networks that will be used in other activities.

3.2.1 General Approach

Measurements and analyses of fracture networks will be generated by each of the five activities of Study 8.3.1.4.2.2. However, this activity (Surface-fracture network studies) will provide the bulk of these data and will lead the way in designing methods of analysis of fracture networks.

Detailed studies included in this activity will provide site-specific data from outcrops (either natural or enhanced) on Yucca Mountain in an area approximately 10 miles N-S by 4 miles E-W (fig. 2.2-1). As no detailed surface-fracture network study of this scope, involving comparable rocks, has been attempted, extensive innovation in measurement and analytical procedures will be required.

The site studies already completed for this activity (Barton et al., 1989) define the two gradational but distinct types of outcrops (uncleared and cleared) at Yucca Mountain that allow the measurement of fracture parameters and networks:

- a) Uncleared outcrop--natural surface (horizontal, vertical, or inclined) of intermittently exposed bedrock suitable for fracture studies. Abundant uncleared outcrop areas on Yucca Mountain will furnish the bulk of the elementary fracture data for this activity. Measurements made here are those of individual fractures and are those measurements that, a) require the exposure of only a short segment of a fracture trace and, b) are independent of the attitude of the exposure. These measurements include fracture orientation, aperture, and roughness. Data on fracture-filling minerals also will be recorded. At each extensive outcrop of which photographs are available as a base, a judgment will be made on the advisability of attempting to measure enough fracture-trace lengths to obtain a statistically meaningful set.
- b) Pavement--broad area of continuously exposed or exposable bedrock. Measurements made here include all of those related to uncleared outcrops, plus those, a) that involve well-exposed total lengths of fracture traces and b) that involve the interrelationships of fractures in a network. They systematically include fracture parameters such as trace

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

length, spatial distribution, and interconnectedness. Pavements that are exposed naturally or can be exposed with minimum effort are uncommon and, therefore, are given special attention, including being mapped in detail because they are the prime source of fracture-network data.

Most pavements are horizontal or nearly horizontal surfaces, but inclined pavements are acceptable in that fracture traces can be projected to the horizontal in order to standardize measurements. A pavement plane at any angle, including vertical, can provide a meaningful map, but only those at the same orientation (parallel) are expected to be statistically comparable. The difference between uncleared outcrops and pavements in this context hinges on mapability: elementary fracture parameters can be measured at both pavements and outcrops; two-dimensional fracture network parameters can be mapped only at pavements. As fracture networks are three-dimensional, data from all five activities of this study will be integrated to map them in their entirety, but the first priority here is to measure fracture intercepts at points (outcrops) and to map fracture network intercepts across horizontal surfaces (pavements). Smaller-scale maps, such as those of Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.1 at a scale of 1:12,000, provide trace lengths of faults. Maps of an intermediate scale, $< 1:50$ and $> 1:12,000$, will be tested in an attempt to quantify repetitive fracture "zones" or swarms too widely spaced to be measured statistically on pavements.

Mapping fractures at pavements generally requires clearing to expose bedrock so that the trace length, spatial distribution, and interconnectedness of fractures can be mapped, and so that other fracture parameters can be measured. The clearing is done in two steps. First, all large boulders and brush are removed by hand. Second, rock debris and soil are removed using pressurized air and (or) water. In some areas, natural "washout strips" on the slopes of ridges provide natural pavements.

As characteristics of fracture networks tend to be strata-specific, all measurements are to be recorded according to stratigraphic position; an attempt will be made to measure two or more sites in each outcropping unit.

Data from pavement sites are recorded in the field on photographs taken from a helicopter or cherry picker; data from outcrop sites are recorded on base maps, on aerial photographs, or (for vertical surfaces) on photographs obtained through the use of a tripod-mounted camera. Measurements of parameters are taken for each fracture mapped; these data sets are recorded in field notebooks and then are compiled into a fracture data base for each site.

Methods and technical procedures for Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 are listed in table 3.2-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.2.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data

Common parameters and characterization parameters for this activity are listed in table 2.2-1. Measurements of fracture parameters may include one or more of the following: attitude, surface roughness, aperture, pitch of tubular structure, and slickenside pitch. Also noted are presence of mineral coatings and fillings, and other relevant features.

Two-dimensional fracture network models will be compiled from fracture-trace maps and data sets. Fracture orientations (strike and dip) will be plotted on lower hemisphere equal-area projections and examined for groupings into preferred sets. Frequency distributions of fracture trace length, aperture, connectivity, and roughness, as well as spatial and density distributions, will be plotted and characterized for each pavement site. Aperture and roughness frequency distributions will be plotted and characterized for each outcrop site. Most of these diagrams will be computer-generated or computer-assisted.

3.2.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted

Mapping of fractures and measurements of fracture parameters are done in the field by direct observation. Trace length, areal distribution, and connectivity will be measured from the maps in the office. All data reduction and plotting will be done in the office.

3.2.1.3 Number of data

The approximate number of samples, photographs, and measurements for the study methods is estimated in table 2.2-2. Multiple sets of fracture parameter data are collected for each fracture trace.

The scope of this activity indicates that the final fracture data base will be large. Each site has the potential of generating data from between 100 and 1000 fracture data sets; each fracture may yield three to 10 different fracture parameters.

3.2.2 Test methods

Standard methods will be used for all tests in this activity (table 2.2-1). Those methods are detailed in the technical procedures for this activity.

3.2.3 QA level assignment

Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for this activity will be specified in a Yucca Mountain Project QA Grading Report, issued as a separate controlled document. All procedures applicable to this activity will be identified on the basis of the findings in the Grading Report and will be prepared in accordance with applicable QA requirements.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.2.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision

Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision have not been established for measurements to be made by this activity. Accuracy (quality of results) and precision (quality of method or equipment) are applicable terms, but their relative requirements are not defined.

The measurements from this activity are expected to be accurate. Measurements of fracture orientations are accurate to within 2 degrees. Fracture-trace maps accurately show the fracture pattern, including intersections of fractures and relative ages of fractures.

3.2.5 Range of expected results

In general, the concept of "range of expected results" can be applied only selectively to the tests of this activity. Some measurements, such as fracture orientations and fracture roughness may involve all possibilities. Others, such as fracture apertures will cluster at the lower end of the scale of measurement. Trace lengths of fractures will be determined in part by the limit of cut-off at the lower end of the scale (20 cm) and at the upper end by the size of each site. Some expected ranges are:

Fracture orientation (azimuth of strike)--entire range

Fracture frequency (sum of trace lengths/unit area)--from < 1 to 50 m/m^2

Roughness of fractures (on a scale of 1 to 20)--entire range

Fracture apertures--generally from $< 0.03 \text{ mm}$ to 1 cm (clustering at the lower end of the range), but locally greater than 1 cm

Measurements of the trace lengths of fractures will be determined in part by the limit of cut-off (20 cm) at the lower end of the range and by the limit of exposure (site size) at the upper end of the range. Fault traces definitely will extend beyond pavement-site boundaries; their length will be measured on smaller-scale geologic maps.

3.2.6 Equipment

All of the equipment to be used is conventional off-the-shelf equipment.

3.2.7 Data-reduction techniques

Standard data-reduction techniques will be used for this test. Statistical analysis of directional data will be used to determine preferred orientations; cluster analysis of directional data will aid in determining data populations; and frequency plots of fracture attributes will be

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

presented by curve-fits to histograms, which will be used to determine overall fracture network characteristics. Fractal analysis will be performed by the methods presented in Barton and Larsen (1985), Barton et al. (1985), and Barton et al. (1986) to determine the scaling law(s) and spatial distribution(s) for fracture networks.

3.2.8 Representativeness of results

In general, this activity will obtain data that are representative of the surface-fracture networks of the site. Geologic-map, drill-hole, and seismic data also will be essential for the extrapolation of the fracture framework throughout the repository block.

Results of statistical analyses will indicate which (if any) specific properties or relationships of fractures can be extrapolated downward from the surface. For example, the attitude of cooling fractures in layers of tuff well below the surface may be in part predictable if post-cooling tectonic tilt of the layers is determined to be the primary cause of the deviation of these fractures from vertical.

In addition, two types of correlations of fracture data are to be tested to determine whether general fracture data can be extrapolated downward:

- a) correlation of fracture density with proximity of throughgoing faults
- b) correlation of fracture-network fractal dimensionality with readily observable physical characteristics of rock units.

3.2.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels

This activity will contribute to tentative goals for performance issues 1.1 and 1.6, as outlined in section 1.2 and detailed in SCP table 8.3.1.4-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.3 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.3: Borehole evaluation of faults and fractures

The objectives of this activity are to assess the reliability and usefulness of available subsurface techniques for identifying and characterizing the subsurface fracture distribution, determine vertical and lateral variability of subsurface fractures, and to identify subsurface characteristics of fault zones.

3.3.1 General approach

This activity gathers systematic information on fractures (faults and joints) from downhole video-camera and acoustic televiwer logging of about 90 boreholes within or near the site area and from logging of their cores. Approximately 40 boreholes have been drilled to date and preliminary fracture logs have been obtained (fig. 2.3-1). Future plans for drilling include approximately 50 additional boreholes within or near the site area (fig. 2.3-2). All 50 boreholes will exceed 500 ft in depth; most holes will be drilled to depths between 1000 and 2000 ft. Three holes will be continuously cored to depths of 5000 ft and approximately 30 holes will be continuously cored to depths between 1000 and 2000 ft. Current plans are to log boreholes and all available core from all boreholes exceeding 500 ft in depth (all 90 boreholes). This restriction eliminates the shallow neutron holes that do not provide a significant section of bedrock. One survey per hole is planned for both borehole-video-camera and acoustic televiwer logging. Each borehole video camera survey will obtain a continuous log of the unsaturated zone and saturated zone (where fluids are clear). Acoustic televiwer logging will obtain a continuous log in the saturated zone.

Stratigraphic units sampled will include the Paintbrush Tuff, tuffaceous beds of Calico Hills, and the Crater Flat Tuff. Deep (5,000 ft) holes may penetrate older tuff units below the Crater Flat Tuff.

The activity will incorporate information from Activities 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 (Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facilities, ESF), 8.3.1.4.2.1.5 (Magnetic properties and stratigraphic correlations), and 8.3.1.4.2.1.3 (Borehole geophysical surveys), specifically hole deviation surveys and caliper logs. Observations from Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 will provide the basis for assessing the reliability of the subsurface techniques for identifying and characterizing the subsurface fracture distribution.

The three planned tests (see next section) are designed to achieve the objectives by measuring the following parameters:

fracture location, type, observed length, orientation, aperture, surface roughness, apparent frequency, relative age, degree of mineralization, and mineralogy of fillings.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

The lateral variations in these parameters will be considered as functions of depth, degree of welding, lithology, and proximity to fault zones; the activity will emphasize the lateral variability in apparent fracture frequency and strike direction within lithologic units.

3.3.2 Test methods and procedures

Standard test methods will be used for all tests in this activity. The three methods chosen for this activity are summarized below and detailed in the technical procedures shown in table 3.3-1.

3.3.2.1 Core sampling and fracture logging

Physical characteristics of fractures are documented by observing and measuring fractures in core segments. Sampling of fracture-fill or fracture coatings is performed to determine mineralogy. Fracture location (depth from the ground surface to the center of the fracture and shortest radial distance from the center of the fracture to the core boundary) is recorded. Fracture strike, dip angle and dip direction are obtained from oriented cores. The type of fracture (natural, coring-induced, handling-induced) is recorded. The observed length of fractures (the maximum surface dimension of the fracture as measured on the surface of the fracture), fracture apertures, and fracture surface characteristics (e.g., roughness) are also measured or recorded for natural and coring-induced fractures. Abutting and intersecting relationships of fractures are recorded in order to determine relative ages of the fractures. Tectonic features (e.g., fault gouge, brecciation, slickensides, offset of pumice fragments) and degree of mineralization are also recorded for natural fractures. Fracture-coating material and fracture-filling material are sampled as part of this procedure for subsequent mineralogic and radiometric age analysis performed in Activity 8.3.1.4.2.1.1 (Surface and subsurface stratigraphic studies of the host rock and surrounding units).

3.3.2.2 Borehole video camera surveys and logging

An instrument assembly that includes a borehole television camera with an axial lens, compass, and light source is lowered down the hole, obtaining a continuous visual display (video camera tape) of the borehole walls in the unsaturated zone or in saturated zones (where fluids are clear). The surface instrumentation includes a digital readout that records the in-hole depth of the camera relative to a known bench mark (top or bottom of surface casing, ground level). From the video tapes, the location, type (joints, faults), orientation, and apparent frequency of fractures are recorded.

3.3.2.3 Acoustic televiewer surveys and logging

Acoustic televiewer logging is used to study the distribution of fractures in the saturated zone by inspection of the borehole walls. The logging tool moves up the hole at about 2.5 cm/s while a rotating sonic transducer emits pulses in a direction perpendicular to the vertical axis (long

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

dimension) of the tool. The signal is reflected off the borehole wall, detected, and transmitted uphole by wireline to yield a black and white image of the reflecting surface on the CRT tube. A fluxgate magnetometer is used to orient the signal with respect to magnetic north. The televiwer provides an oriented image of the acoustic reflectance of the borehole, in the form of a continuous log. Where the borehole wall is smooth, reflectance is high, and the resulting image is white or light gray. Where the wall is perturbed by a planar feature, such as a fracture, the televiwer portrays the fractures on the log as dark sinusoids. The borehole is displayed on the log as if it were split vertically along magnetic north and unrolled onto a vertical plane. Nonvertical fractures form distinctive sinuous patterns that are used to determine strike and dip. The location, type (fault, joint), and apparent frequency of fractures are recorded from the log.

3.3.3 QA level assignment

Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for this activity will be specified in a Yucca Mountain Project QA Grading Report, issued as a separate controlled document. All procedures applicable to this activity will be identified on the basis of the findings in the Grading Report and will be prepared in accordance with applicable QA requirements.

3.3.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision

Quantitative/qualitative criteria for tolerances and operating limits are specified in the technical procedures (table 3.3-1).

Depth measurements obtained by the borehole-video-camera method are precise (within .01 % of the measured depth). Accuracy requirements have not been established for orientation measurements for this method; however, assignment of confidence codes ("0" for high confidence, "1" for low confidence) to orientation readings insures consistency between measurements recorded by different investigators, as the confidence is dictated solely by the type and quality of a given fracture/hole intersection. For the acoustic televiwer and fracture core logging methods, accuracy measurements have not been established. Fracture resolution on acoustical televiwer logs is limited by the condition of the hole walls, the type of fluid in the hole, the skill of the logger in fine tuning the resolution as the logging proceeds, and the limits of the equipment to discern fractures with small apertures and low-angle fractures. Because the acoustic log incorporates a significant horizontal exaggeration, fractures dipping $< 40^\circ$ appear as horizontal lines; thus identification of fractures is biased toward those of steeper dip (Healey et al., 1984).

Instrument calibration is required for two of the test methods. The video depth counter (borehole-video-logging method) and televiwer tool (acoustic televiwer method) will be calibrated as specified in the appropriate technical procedure prior to obtaining data that will be cited to support licensing the YMP Project. The goniometer (fracture core logging method) does

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

not require calibration but does require certain set-up specifications and adjustments as outlined in the corresponding technical procedure.

3.3.5 Range of expected results

Table 2.3-1 lists the results expected for each parameter, based on studies of core from core hole USW G-4 (fig. 2.3-1). Preliminary results based on core samples and borehole-video-camera surveys on core hole USW G-4 (Spengler et al., 1984) show that fracture frequencies are higher in the densely welded lithologic zones than in less-welded units. This observation is consistent with early studies by Spengler et al. (1979, 1981), conducted on drill holes UE25a-1 and USW G-1 (fig. 2.3-1). Because apparent fracture frequency is strongly influenced by the degree of welding, table 2.3-1 lists expected ranges of some fracture parameters for densely welded and less-welded lithostratigraphic units.

Fracture data obtained from borehole-video-camera surveys of USW G-4 show no preferential strike of fractures in the Tiva Canyon Member; however, in the Topopah Spring Member most fractures strike between N20°W and N60°E (Spengler et al., 1984). Dip directions measured in the Topopah Spring Member and the tuffaceous beds of Calico Hills indicate that 43% dip toward the northwest, 24% dip to the southeast, and 21% dip to the northeast.

Acoustic televiewer logs were not run on USW G-4. Data from core holes USW G-1 (Healy et al., 1984), USW G-2 (Stock et al., 1984), USW G-3 and UE-25p#1 (Stock et al., 1986) show considerable variation in fracture data. Numerous vertical fractures, some of which extend for more than 10 m, were recognized and interpreted to be drilling-induced hydrofractures. Natural fractures identified from USW G-2 televiewer logs were found to have a preferred strike of N10°E to N40°E. Many low- and high-angle fractures were identified; most of the high-angle fractures were interpreted to be hydrofractures. Orientations and distributions of fractures from acoustic logs of USW G-3 and UE-25p#1 were found to differ considerably. Numerous fractures were observed in UE-25p#1 while very few were observed in USW G-3. In G-3, most fractures have an average strike of N20°E and dip steeply to both the east and west. Fractures in UE-25p#1 have fairly scattered orientations and both steep and shallow dips. In contrast to USW G-1, G-2, and G-3, no hydrofractures were observed in UE-25p#1.

3.3.6 Equipment

Most of the equipment to be used is conventional off-the-shelf equipment. Standard laboratory equipment required includes pens, felt tip markers, tape, data sheets, parallel ruler, and a calculator. Geologic field equipment required includes Brunton compass, hand lens, metal tape, pocket knife, and dilute Hcl. Major conventional equipment used for the three test methods is listed in Table 3.3-2. Non-conventional equipment includes a specially constructed (gyroscopic orientation) camera assembly used for the borehole-video-camera logging. Specific

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

design elements of the camera assembly, such as circuitry, are proprietary. Measurement overlays are used both for borehole-video-camera logging and for acoustic televiewer logging, as illustrated in technical procedures GP-10 and GP-13.

3.3.7 Data-reduction techniques

Standard data-reduction techniques will be used for this activity. Data from all three tests will be entered into computer data bases for processing. Data from each test will be analyzed separately and will be integrated and analyzed with other tests when possible. Fracture data will be compiled to show changes in the characteristics of fractures as a function of depth and lithologic unit. The data will be reduced through standard statistical methods and presented by frequency distribution plots or tables. For example, fracture type, apparent frequency, and roughness characteristics are usually shown by histograms; fracture orientation, fracture aperture and observed length are reduced to rose diagrams, curves, histograms and stereonet contours. These, and similar types of compilations, will provide a means for estimating the lateral variability in strike directions and the apparent frequencies of fractures within lithologic units and near fault zones.

In addition to frequency-distribution plots and tables, parameters in this activity commonly will also take the form of maps and other two- or three-dimensional illustrations, such as isopach maps, isopleth maps, structure contour maps, or diagrams displaying statistical distributions of such parameters.

3.3.8 Representativeness of results

The representativeness of subsurface fracture data collected by these methods relies on the rationale and adequacy of the integrated drilling program (SCP secs. 8.3.1.4.1.1 and 8.4.2). The strategy for the number and location of boreholes is developed in SCP secs. 8.4.2.2 and 8.4.2.1.5. The rationale for the number and location of boreholes/coreholes assumes that variability in the tuff units at Yucca Mountain has nonrandom characteristics that can be appropriately investigated by spatially distributed, surface-based boreholes. The representativeness of the data, however, cannot be fully evaluated before the planned tests for this activity are completed and before the results of other activities, particularly Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4 (Geologic mapping of the exploratory facilities) are forthcoming.

The integrated drilling program (SCP sec. 8.3.1.4.1.1) is designed to ensure that data acquired during surface-based site characterization activities represent the range of phenomena and structural characteristics needed for performance assessment. The program combines a systematic-sampling (geostatistical) approach with a feature-sampling approach used for the vertical boreholes. The location and number of boreholes in the systematic drilling program is chosen to characterize spatial variability of the tuff units at Yucca Mountain and to provide sufficient areal coverage of the site for geologic, hydrologic, and geochemical investigations

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

(figs. 2.3-1, 2.3-2). In the feature-sampling approach, the location of a single borehole or set of several boreholes is chosen to test a specific hypothesis at that location, or to study structures of interest, such as the Solitario Canyon Fault.

The representativeness of data collected by the three methods will be evaluated by comparing data from stratigraphic intervals (Study 8.3.1.4.2.1, Vertical and lateral variability of stratigraphic units within the site area) where fracture data are available for all three subsurface techniques. Data collected from these subsurface techniques will also be evaluated by comparison with data collected from other activities, including Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.2 (Surface fracture-network studies) and other borehole geophysical studies.

3.3.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels

Data collected from this activity will contribute to tentative goals for performance issues 1.1., 1.6, 1.11, 1.12, and 4.4 (SCP sec. 8.3.1.4.; table 8.3.1.4-1)

3.4 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: Geologic mapping of the exploratory studies facility (ESF)

The objectives of this activity are to determine the vertical and horizontal variability of fracture networks in the ESF ramps, drifts, and boreholes; to characterize major faults and fault zones in the subsurface; to map the lithostratigraphic features of geologic subunits and the abundance and character of lithophysal zones; and to assist in selection of test locations in the ESF. Fracture data collected from underground openings will be entered into a common database along with data from other fracture activities. This activity will emphasize descriptive data collection, including parameters required to characterize fracture networks and faults. Data collected by this activity will form the basis of analysis of variability of fracture networks and fault zones.

3.4.1 General approach

Geologic mapping of the ESF is to be done by two complementary types of tests: a) direct measurement underground of features that cannot be studied by photogrammetric methods, and b) photogrammetric plotter mapping in the laboratory, through the use of stereoscopic sets of photographs taken underground (tables 3.4-1 and 3.4-2).

Underground geologic mapping will be completed in successive increments immediately following the tunnel boring machine in TBM drifts. After the TBM has passed and the walls have been cleaned, unobstructed access to the walls of the opening is required. A 75-m area immediately behind the TBM will be reserved for mapping. This distance is required to allow a buffer between the mapping progress and the excavation progress. The maximum expected daily advance is in the range of 60 to 75 m. Allowing this buffer behind the machine should prevent excavation delays. In this mapping area, the support utilities should be confined to one quadrant of the tunnel periphery to allow the maximum continuous exposure of rock for tracing of fractures. A mapping gantry (a self-propelled platform from which the geologists have access to the entire tunnel periphery) will immediately follow the TBM and allow the mapping crew to gather data. Under the geologists' supervision (after the cleaning has passed their inspection), the walls will be marked with photogrammetry control and sample-location targets and photographed in stereo by subcontractors. The geologists will then collect located (surveyed and photographed) samples and begin direct measurement and observation. In reaches where the conventional mapping method is used, the geologists will locate and draw fracture traces and other geologic features on a preprinted full-periphery mapping form. Surveyors will accurately locate the photogrammetry and sample-location targets after placement of the targets by the geologic mapping team.

In drill/blast drifts the mapping crew will follow the excavation cycle, generally performing mapping functions immediately after tunnel support has been installed and the walls have been cleaned. Access to the crown in drill/blast drifts will be by means of a scissor-lift or other suitable man-lift. In both types of excavation, every effort must be made to allow geologists

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

unobstructed access to the walls and crown of the opening for stereophotography and for accurate measurement and recording of the location of geologic features.

Conventional underground geologic mapping consists of physically measuring the location and characteristics of each mapped fracture and drawing the fracture trace on a full-periphery base map preprinted to the correct scale for the excavation size. The length of the sketched line shown on the map represents the trace length exposed on the tunnel wall. Additional annotations are included along the fracture trace line to show attitude, aperture, and filling where appropriate. Stratigraphic contacts are also located and drawn at the measured locations. Accurate conventional mapping will require geologists to have unrestricted access to the full perimeter of the tunnel to assure precise measurement of geologic-feature locations and characteristics. Field maps will either be hand traced and drafted or digitized and produced by a computer-aided drafting system.

The geologic features that are to be measured underground include fracture roughness, fracture aperture, and direction of movement along faults. Lithostratigraphic features and characteristics of fracture-filling minerals will be described. Boundaries of lithologic and stratigraphic domains, locations of faults, sample locations, and other significant geologic features will be identified, where possible, by markers in preparation for photographing the walls. Detailed measurements of fracture roughness and aperture along an established horizontal datum line will provide a continuous fracture sampling along one wall of the excavation. The purposes of the underground stages of geologic mapping are to: a) obtain measurements not amenable to photogrammetric mapping methods, b) locate and record geologic features by conventional mapping techniques and c) identify, survey, and mark a representative suite of geologic features that will be mapped in detail photogrammetrically.

In contrast to conventional mapping, the photogrammetric mapping will automatically incorporate all geometric or spatial data related to fractures and other planar surfaces into the digitized data base. Continuous geologic and fracture-trace maps of the ESF walls and crowns, plus data sets for reduction, will be produced as the first step in generating two-dimensional and conceptual three-dimensional diagrams of fracture patterns. Some of these diagrams will be computer-generated; others will be computer-assisted.

3.4.1.1 Data to be collected and information to be obtained from the data

The tests and parameters for this activity are listed in table 2.4-1.

3.4.1.2 Experimental conditions under which this activity will be conducted:

- a) Underground data collection

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Tests for this part of the activity (table 2.4-1) will be conducted underground immediately following excavation.

b) Photographic data collection

Tests for this part of the activity (table 2.4-1) will be conducted in the photogrammetric laboratory. The photogrammetric method allows laboratory tests to be conducted under optimum working conditions. Also, technicians and geologists can concentrate on obtaining correct initial measurements (from the photographs) that can be rechecked as needed for complete assurance of correct data transfer.

c) Hand-specimen petrography

These tests will be conducted by geologists of this activity in the surface laboratory at the site facility. Experimental conditions in the laboratory will be better than those underground, especially the lighting.

3.4.2 Test methods

Geologic mapping of the ESF will employ two complementary test methods: a) photographic data collection, and b) underground data collection. Direct observation and measurements at the rock faces, however, will precede photogrammetric data collection in each cycle of mapping.

3.4.2.1 Underground data collection

Excavation

The rock is excavated either by TBM or drilled and blasted; rock bolts are installed; and exposures are cleaned. No wire mesh or other obscuring structures are placed on the exposures.

Preparation for geologic mapping

TBM tunnel -- The walls are scaled, supported, and cleaned with an air-water blowpipe. The mapping gantry is moved into position at the beginning of the area to be mapped. Construction personnel will accompany the mapping crew to move the platform as needed.

Drill/blast Drift -- Surveyors will establish an oriented laser beam parallel to the centerline of the drift. From this reference beam, the camera rail is located, centered, and leveled.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

In all excavations -- Photogrammetry targets and sample targets are installed as requested by geologists and are surveyed; sets of stereoscopic photographs of the new exposures are taken and sent to the surface for development and quality check.

Mapping

In all excavations -- Fracture roughness and aperture are measured along fractures intersecting a horizontal line established for a detailed line survey; the pitch of lineations on fault surfaces is measured to determine the latest direction of movement on each surface; fracture-fill mineralogy is described; and samples are collected after being marked for orientation. Lithostratigraphic and structural contacts are drawn on a conventional sketch map.

The detailed line survey (mentioned above) provides a statistical sample of the geologic features exposed within each round of excavation, including some parameters not easily measured and described photogrammetrically, such as mineral fill of fractures and fracture roughness and aperture. Even though locations of these lines will be added to the data base for use in data analysis and on illustrations, each line for a detailed survey underground will be established only in concept along the wall. The surveyors' laser beam, already aligned along the centerline of the drift, will be deflected 90° to generate a series of points that define a line as the Pyramid Beam Splitter is moved progressively along the camera rail so that the beam intercepts successive geologic features. If the feature is a fracture, its azimuth, dip, aperture, mineral infilling, and roughness will be measured manually at this accurately established location before moving to the next geologic feature.

Pre-testing excavation, cleanup, and safety procedures are performed by contractors and subcontractors. The purpose of direct observation and measurement along the excavated walls and crowns is to obtain data needed to complement the photogrammetric data set.

The camera rail is located, centered, and leveled by subcontractor and mapping crews; photogrammetry and sample location targets are installed and surveyed by the mapping crew and subcontractor. Sets of stereoscopic photographs of the new exposures of the round are taken by the subcontractor and sent to the surface for development and quality checks. Fracture roughness and aperture are measured along fractures intersecting a detailed line survey, and orientations of fault-surface lineations are measured to determine movement directions on faults. Fracture-fill mineralogy is described, and samples are collected. Lithostratigraphic and structural contacts are drawn on a conventional sketch map.

3.4.2.2 Photographic data collection

Photogrammetric mapping (collecting data from photographs) will be done with a computerized analytical plotter (see sec. 3.6). The plotter will use 6 cm by 6 cm film

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

diapositives of the exposures made in the ESF. Operators will trace stratigraphic contacts and fractures more than 20 cm long directly from projected photographic images. Spatial coordinates obtained by the analytical plotter will be used to determine the attitude of planar structural and stratigraphic horizons by the methods outlined in Pillmore et al. (1980) and Dueholm (1981).

Fracture traces more than 20 cm long will be digitized using operating system software tailored to close-range photogrammetric applications. Subsequent to fracture attitude determination as outlined above, digital fracture data for each wall and roof will be projected onto a common plane. The projected planar data will be stored in a commercial geographic information system to which fracture attribute data (fracture attitude, fracture roughness, fracture aperture, fault lineation attitudes, etc.) can easily be added and modified. Fracture, fault, and lithologic maps of the projected plane can be produced using a choice of map scales and selection criteria.

3.4.3 QA level assignment

Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for this activity will be specified in a Yucca Mountain Project QA grading report, issued as a separate controlled document. All procedures applicable to this activity will be identified on the basis of the findings in the grading report and will be prepared in accordance with applicable QA requirements.

3.4.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision

Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision have not been established for measurements to be made by this activity. The accuracy expected of the photogrammetry tests in this activity is as follows:

- a) fracture location--expected to be within ± 2 cm
- b) fracture apertures--measurements to ± 0.03 mm are expected. Apertures 0.03 mm wide will be recorded only as such, because 0.03 mm is the practical lower limit of gauges.
- c) attitudes of planar features and trends and plunges of linear features--expected to be measured within $\pm 1^\circ$
- d) roughness of fractures--measured with a contour gauge that copies changes in amplitude each 1 mm along its length. Amplitudes are expected to be measured within ± 1.0 mm.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Accuracy of the conventional method will be much less than that of the photogrammetric method. Accuracies for the conventional method have not been tested but are estimated as follows:

- a) fracture location--expected to be within ± 25 cm
- b) fracture apertures-- measurements to ± 0.03 mm are expected. Apertures 0.03 mm wide will be recorded only as such, because 0.03 mm is the practical lower limit of gauges.
- c) attitudes of planar features and trends and plunges of linear features--expected to be measured within $\pm 5^\circ$
- d) roughness of fracture--measured with a contour gauge that copies changes in amplitude each 1 mm along its length. Amplitudes are expected to be measured within ± 1 mm.

3.4.5 Range of expected results

In general, the concept of "range of expected results" can be applied only selectively to the tests of this activity. Some measurements, such as attitudes of fracture surfaces, may involve all possibilities but may cluster within each structural domain. Others, such as apertures of fractures, will cluster at the lower end of the measurement scale. The range of trace lengths of fractures will be determined in part by the limit of cut-off at the lower end of the measurement scale (at 20 cm) and by the size of the underground opening (at the upper end of the scale). Some expected ranges are:

Lithophysal cavities--from 0 to 30% volume of the rock

Roughness of fractures (on a scale of 1 to 20)--entire range

Fracture apertures--mostly from < 0.03 mm to 1 cm (clustered at the lower end of the range) but locally > 1 cm.

3.4.6 Equipment

The major equipment needed for photogrammetric geologic mapping is shown in table 3.4-2.

3.4.7 Data-reduction techniques

Data reduction for this activity will be done by a combination of automated computer-controlled tasks, user-interactive computer tasks, and conventional interpretive geologic methods. Some data reduction, transformation, and projection of fracture trace data, calculation of fracture attitudes, etc., will be performed with little user input beyond routine analytical

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

plotter operation. Subsequent manipulation of data to produce fracture trace maps, stereonet projections, histograms, and fractal analysis will be performed either through direct manipulation of the geographic information system database or by using interactive application programs which access selected data elements from the database. Some data may be reduced by hand to prepare products such as cross sections, block diagrams, or other elements of a visual three-dimensional fracture network model. In addition, columnar sections illustrating lithostratigraphic and structural domains penetrated by the ESF may be constructed in part with the aid of the digital mapping system and in part by hand. The purpose of these sections is to aid in correlation with stratigraphic sections determined from repository-block core or outcrop.

3.4.8 Representativeness of results

In general, this activity will obtain data that are representative of the immediate area of the ESF but which can be extrapolated to the repository block. Although these data will not fully represent the entire repository block, they will be the most complete and representative available until similar tests of other parts of the block are completed. Drill-hole, outcrop, and seismic data also will be essential for extrapolation of the geologic framework from the ESF to the repository block.

Many of the measurements from this activity will be accurate and entirely representative. Continuous geologic maps and fracture-trace maps of the excavated walls and crowns will be accurate. They will show the exact fracture pattern, including intersections of fractures and (where possible) relative ages of fractures. Determinations of ages of fracture fill will provide some constraints on the actual ages of formation of fractures. Fracture aperture data are critical in site characterization, but the effects of excavation will definitely alter measurements, especially in drill/blast drifts. Radial borehole hydrologic tests (Study 8.3.1.2.2.4), however, will measure the degree of excavation distortion of fracture aperture as a function of distance from the excavation. Corrections based on results of these tests will make the aperture data informative but not totally representative.

In addition to changing the apertures of natural fractures exposed at the face of the excavation, blasting also extends the traces of existing fractures and creates new fractures. Criteria for the recognition of excavation-induced extensions of natural fractures and excavation-induced new fractures are meaningful only in conjunction with criteria for the recognition of natural fractures.

Criteria for recognition of the pre-excavation extent of natural fractures

The pre-excavation extent of natural fractures is unequivocally indicated by time-dependent additions or alterations, specifically including: a) mineral-filling; and b) color changes, leaching, and other indications of chemical alteration along the fracture face.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Generally these fractures are part of a set oriented by paleostress; some exhibit tectonic offset.

Criteria for the recognition of excavation-induced fractures and extensions of natural fractures

Excavation-induced fractures and extensions are fresh breaks in the rock that exhibit none of the criteria for recognition of natural fractures. They generally emanate radially from the vicinity of shotholes, preferentially in directions favored by the current stress field, and they exhibit no offset.

The area of uncertainty between these two sets of criteria is narrow and tends to belong largely to excavation-induced fractures. Fractures that are of uncertain origin will be recorded as such by the geologist at the rock face. Radial borehole hydraulic tests (Study 8.3.1.2.2.4) will, in some instances, provide evidence favoring one of the choices.

3.4.9 Relation to performance goals and confidence levels

This activity will contribute to tentative goals for performance issues 1.1 and 1.6, as outlined in section 1.2 and detailed in SCP table 8.3.1.4-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

3.5 Activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.5: Seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling

The objectives of this activity are to investigate, and if successful, provide a means for broadly detecting and characterizing the subsurface fracture network in regions between the surface, boreholes, and underground workings; to calibrate and relate the seismic propagation characteristics of the host rock to the fracture patterns observed in boreholes and underground workings; and to extrapolate the observed fracture patterns to the surrounding region using the seismic image.

A single test, seismic tomography/vertical seismic profiling, will be used to pursue these objectives.

3.5.1 General approach

The seismic tomography and vertical seismic profiling (VSP) work at Yucca Mountain is designed to apply seismological techniques for mapping lithology, fracture content, and structure. To do so, the test method will gather information on the SCP parameters--travel time, amplitude, and polarization of the direct, reflected, and refracted compressional (P) and shear waves (both SH and SV), as well as other wave-propagation characteristics identified by investigating the relation of wave-propagation characteristics to fracture properties. The results will be used to define locations of fractures and, it is hoped, details of the fracturing such as fracture orientation and fracture density, as well as to define major lithologic and structural boundaries. Fracture detection using VSP has been applied in several previous studies (Stewart et al., 1981; Crampin, 1984a, 1984b, 1985; Majer, 1988). Single-component "check-shot" VSPs have been done near Yucca Mountain, but a technique using multicomponent sources and receivers as planned for this activity has not been tested. The work proposed here is scheduled to be carried out in borehole G-4 and (or) other available boreholes as discussed in section 2.5.2.2. Other VSP work is being planned as part of Activity 8.3.1.2.2.3.2 (Site vertical borehole studies) in the UZ6-UZ9 borehole complex. Although similar in execution, the objective of the planned work for the present activity (8.3.1.4.2.2.5) is on a broader scale and not intended to have the same objectives as the VSP work planned for Activity 8.3.1.2.2.3.2.

The general approach in the VSP work will be to use seismic sources on the surface and in boreholes to create P- and S-wave energy. This energy will be recorded in boreholes (G-4 for the initial test) and in the exploratory facilities. Also planned is crosshole work in the saturated zone at the C-hole locations to determine details on the rock structure for the interpretation of the tracer tests and crosshole hydrologic tests in this area (see fig. 2.5-1 for well locations).

Extensive surface mapping in this area (Scott and Bonk, 1984) shows generally dominant north-south fracture sets. Accordingly, the initial VSP will use P-wave and S-wave vibrators as energy sources, positioned at regular intervals along lines trending parallel and perpendicular to the strike of the major fracture directions. This will also provide information to test the

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

method regarding the effects of fracture azimuth on the propagation of seismic energy. Figure 3.5-1 shows the location of the sources for the initial work in G-4.

In the initial G-4 tests, the concept is to collect the data along lines parallel, perpendicular, and at intermediate angles to the inferred and (or) mapped structure at varying offsets. The offset distances will be 200 to 250 m (depending upon access), with up to five offsets along each line. P- and S-wave vibrators will be used for this work. The locations of the vibrator points in figure 3.5-1 are on similar terrain. Static corrections between source positions are therefore not anticipated to be large. If this approach is extended to other areas, then it may be necessary to obtain static correction with shallow refraction work by extending a line of surface phones from the source to the well, or with a detailed shallow walk-away VSP. At each offset, the P-wave source and the S-wave source will be activated. The S-wave source will be vibrated at least parallel and then perpendicular to each line. Each vibration sequence will be done at each geophone level in the well. The geophone spacing will be no more than 10 m in the well. This combination of offsets and geophone spacing will yield an approximate pixel size of 20 m. Figure 3.5-2 shows an east-west cross-section through borehole G-4 and some of the raypaths. In reality the raypaths will not be straight but curved due to velocity changes. The water table is also shown in figure 3.5-2. We are intentionally extending the survey below the water table to determine the seismic signature and sensitivity to P- and S-waves of the saturated zone relative to the unsaturated zone. Preliminary examination of logs in wells surrounding this area shows generally an increasing velocity with depth, but with interlayered low-velocity zones. There is also a very near-surface high-velocity zone. Before the field work is actually carried out, the area will be modeled with raytracing programs to determine the optimum placement of sources and receivers. Data from available well logs will be used for this purpose.

The field procedure for the VSP will be to record the data using three-component geophones clamped into the well. If available, a string of three or four clamped three-component geophones will be used. Otherwise, a single three-component clamped geophone will be used. The data at each geophone level will be recorded from each offset along three lines running from the G-4 well (fig.3.5-1). Multiple sweeps will be taken to improve signal-to-noise ratio; in-field stacking may be done. The data will be recorded on a state-of-the-art 16-bit digital field VSP recording and processing system. This 32-channel system is not only capable of recording but also of performing higher-level in-field operations (amplitude and time-scale expansion and compression, band-pass filtering, first-arrival picks, time-distance plots, auto- and cross-correlation, summing, Fourier analysis (magnitude and phase) and program and data storage). The sweep rates and recording times will be dictated by the actual field conditions, but it is anticipated that a 2-msec sample rate with sweep frequencies from 10 to 250 Hz for the P-wave and 10 to 250 Hz for the S-wave will be appropriate. The data will be recorded on 9-track tapes and returned to the laboratory for processing.

The data will be in the form of industry-standard SEG Y and (or) SEGB format. These data are time-series data recorded on a field recording system. The number of time series will depend

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

upon the number of recording levels in the wells and in the exploratory facilities. It is anticipated that in the initial G-4 work there will be a total of 50 levels, 12 offsets, and 9 traces per offset per level (for a total of 5,400 traces). For the exploratory facilities work there will be 37 levels and 10 offsets (for a total of 3330 traces). For the C-hole work there will be a different configuration of the source and receiver. In the C-hole work the source and receiver will both be in wells. If all three wells are used and if the zone of coverage is 500 ft with spacing every 3 ft, the total number of rays will be 27,556 for the three wells; assuming three-component receivers, this brings the total number of traces to 82,668 for the C-hole work.

3.5.2 Test Methods

Standard data collection techniques used widely in the petroleum industry will be applied. The specific method to be employed is tomographic/vertical seismic profiling surveys using P- and S-wave data and is described in Technical Procedure SP-13 (Vertical Seismic Profiling and Crosshole Tomographic Surveys).

3.5.3 QA Level assignment

Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for this activity will be specified in a Yucca Mountain Project QA Grading Report, which will be issued as a separate controlled document. All procedures applicable to this activity will be identified on the basis of the findings in the Grading Report and will be prepared in accordance with applicable QA requirements.

3.5.4 Required tolerances, accuracy, and precision

No explicit requirements for accuracy or precision have been specified for this activity. Industry standards for analogous work indicate that the data must be collected at a data rate of at least 500 samples per second with 16-bit precision, from three-component receivers. The location of the sources and receivers must be known to within 0.5 m accuracy and 0.1 m precision for the VSP work in the G-4 well and in the ESF.

3.5.5 Range of expected results

Information to be obtained in this activity on the travel time, amplitude, and polarization of the direct, reflected and refracted compressional and shear waves is expected to result in a map of the surveyed areas showing fracture content and possibly an estimation of the volumetric content of fractures and their orientation, as well as the degree of saturation of the bedrock. Resolution of fracture properties in the G-4 well and the ESF is expected to be on the order of 10 m with a receiver separation of 10 m. In the C-holes, resolution is expected to be on the order of at least 1 m in the tomographic pixel sizes.

3.5.6 Equipment

The required equipment will be a field recording system, a wireline truck, and a clamping three-component geophone. For the G-4 work, P- and S-wave vibrators will be used as surface sources. State-of-the-art sources will be used. Because of possible frequency dependence caused by fracture content (Pyrak-Nolte et al., 1990), a broad sweep is desired. In the crosshole work in the C-holes, a piezoelectric cylindrical source will be used. This source is powered by high voltage electronics and has been used and tested in crystalline rock, sedimentary rock, and fractured gneiss. At the present time this source is an excellent P-wave generator, but a poor generator of SH and SV waves. Sources are under development for controlled SV and SH waves for use in boreholes; if available, these will be used. Previous work with P-wave high-resolution surveys (10,000 Hz) indicate that it is possible to achieve the fracture mapping with these sources (Northwood et al., 1980). For the ESF work the geophones will be emplaced in shallow boreholes in the shafts, thus the geophones need not be of the clamping type used in the G-4 work, but they will be individually clamped in the boreholes. In the drifts it is anticipated that the geophones will be secured to the walls with a plate epoxied to the drift walls.

3.5.7 Data reduction techniques

The anticipated processing sequence for the VSP studies will be as follows:

- (1) The data will be demultiplexed and displayed for editing.
- (2) The data will be "de-spiked". (The geophone may slip in the hole, causing it to shake and put a spike on the data. After correlation with the sweep these spikes cause a ringing nature in the data. Therefore, it may be necessary to remove the spikes with a special editing program; however, careful field procedures will minimize the need to do this.)
- (3) After de-spiking, the data will be correlated and then filtered with a band-pass Butterworth filter to enhance arrivals.
- (4) The data will be plotted for visual inspection. At this point we will look at the individual shots for each offset and each orientation. This is in preparation for stacking the data.
- (5) The noisy shots will be discarded.
- (6) The data will be displayed.

It is then necessary to separate the SH arrival from the SV arrival for each orientation of the vibrator. This is accomplished by rotating the data into the planes of propagation. This may be

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

accomplished by knowing the orientation of the geophone, either from direct measurement, from looking at the P-wave arrival, or by having a gyroscope or tilt-meter on the geophone sonde.

Once the data have been rotated into the appropriate planes it is possible to pick the various arrivals (SH, SV, and P from both orientations of the shear-wave and the P-wave vibrators). The data are then ready for analysis and interpretation. Before the data are collected it is difficult to tell the exact sequence of processing, but what we are aiming for is to map the anisotropy in the SH, SV, and SV/SH velocity ratios and, if possible, the variation in the amplitudes. With these quantities we will then be able to infer fracture density and orientation through the fracture stiffness and anisotropy theories.

The anticipated processing sequence for the tomographic imaging studies will be as follows:

- (1) The data will be displaced and examined for quality of the P- and S- wave arrivals.
- (2) Picks will be made of the P- and S-waves for arrival times and amplitudes.
- (3) Plots of arrival time vs distance will be made to examine anomalous picks.
- (4) Accepted arrival times will be used to produce tomographic images.
- (5) Inversion procedures will be based on straight and curved ray tomographic techniques (e.g., ART).
- (6) If warranted, and the data are of sufficient quality, amplitudes will also be used in the tomographic inversions.
- (7) If the SH, SV, and P wave arrivals are of sufficient quality, tomographic images will be pictured along with differences and Poisson's ratio tomograms.
- (8) Anisotropy corrections will be made if general trends on anisotropy can be determined.

3.5.8 Representativeness of the results

The frequencies at which we will be working in the VSP (50 to 100 Hz peak frequency, sweeps from 8 to 120, may be possible) will provide a relatively gross picture of the rock. The technique has the potential, however, to provide a method for characterizing the geologic and hydrologic parameters of the entire repository block at a much finer scale by using higher frequencies and finer sampling. Crosshole work with available piezoelectric sources will vary from 1 to 20 KHz and from 50 to 500 Hz using mechanical vibrators in boreholes. Explosives may also provide higher frequencies, but their use may be limited by other factors such as borehole damage or interference with other experiments. The representativeness of the data,

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

however, cannot be evaluated until the data are compared with actual observations and measurements of fractures in outcrops and boreholes and in the ESF.

3.5.9 Relations to performance goals and confidence levels

This activity will contribute to tentative goals for performance issues 1.1 and 1.6, as outlined in section 1.2 and detailed in SCP table 8.3.1.4.-1.

4 APPLICATION OF RESULTS

The information obtained from this study, along with that from the characterization of vertical and lateral variations of stratigraphic units (Study 8.3.1.4.2.1), will provide a basis for developing a conceptual three-dimensional model of the geology of the area (Study 8.3.1.4.2.3). This geologic model will provide a basis for geohydrologic, geochemical, and thermal-mechanical models. Those models in turn will provide the basis for the model of the three-dimensional rock characteristics of the controlled area.

4.1 Application to performance-assessment studies

The uses of the information from this study for resolving performance issues are addressed in section 1.2.

4.2 Application to design studies

The uses of the information from this study for resolving design issues are addressed in section 1.2.

4.3 Application to characterization studies

The information from this study will provide a basis for other investigations in the site characterization program, as described below.

The lithostratigraphic data collected in the exploratory underground excavations will assist (through Study 8.3.1.4.2.3, geologic model) in defining the hydrogeologic units and the geometric relations among them for Investigation 8.3.1.2.1 (description of the regional hydrologic system). The geometry and physical properties of fracture systems and faults will contribute to understanding the discontinuities in the hydrogeologic units of the regional hydrologic system. Both the lithostratigraphic and structural data will contribute to Investigation 8.3.1.2.2 (description of the unsaturated zone hydrologic system at the site), and the structural data will provide a basis for Investigation 8.3.1.2.3 (description of the unsaturated zone hydrologic system at the site).

Data on the petrographic variability and internal stratigraphy of the Topopah Spring Member will contribute (through the geologic model) to Investigation 8.3.1.3.2 (mineralogy, petrology, and rock chemistry within the potential emplacement horizon and along potential flow paths); to modeling the three-dimensional distribution of mineral types, rock and mineral compositions, and mineral abundances within the host rock and along potential flow paths to the accessible environment (Investigation 8.3.1.3.2); and to determining the history of mineralogic and chemical alteration at Yucca Mountain (Investigation 8.3.1.3.2).

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Structural and lithostratigraphic data from the study will contribute to Investigation 8.3.1.4.2 (stratigraphy and structure necessary to locate the underground facility). Structural and lithostratigraphic data from this study and lithostratigraphic data from Study 8.3.1.4.2.1 (vertical and lateral distribution of stratigraphic units within the site area) will contribute to study 8.3.1.4.2.3 (development of a geologic model of the site area). This geologic model will be used as the geologic framework for Investigation 8.3.1.4.3 (development of a computer-based three-dimensional model of rock properties at the repository site). The geologic model will provide a geologic basis for quantitative interpolation of rock property values between data points. Structural and lithostratigraphic data from this study will be used in Investigation 8.3.1.8.2 (nature and rates of tectonic processes) to construct fault maps of the repository area, showing length and density of faults; similarly, they will provide data for structure contour maps of tuff units over the repository, showing total offset and covered faults. These data will be used to estimate the probability and rate of faulting, to calculate the number of waste packages intersected by faults, and to assess waste package rupture due to faulting.

This study will contribute to Investigation 8.3.1.15.1 (spatial distribution of thermal and mechanical properties) through the geologic model (Study 8.3.1.4.2.3), which provides the stratigraphic and structural framework necessary to describe the spatial distribution of such properties as heat capacity, thermal conductivity, elastic properties, and deformation moduli. Similarly, structural data from the study (such as fault location, geometry, and physical characteristics) will contribute, through the geologic model, to Investigation 8.3.1.17.2 (fault displacement that could affect repository design and performance). The data are a basis for assessing the potential for surface faulting at surface facilities and for fault rupture intersecting underground facilities.

5 SCHEDULE AND MILESTONES

For the *in situ* tests of activity 8.3.1.4.2.2.4, the schedule will coincide with the schedule for construction of the ESF. For the above-ground tests of this activity and for the tests and analytical procedures of the remaining four activities in the study, the schedules have not yet been determined.

Milestones for Study 8.3.1.4.2.2, as specified by the December 1988 statutory draft of the SCP, are shown in figure 5-1.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

REFERENCES

- Barton, C.C., 1984, Tectonic significance of fractures in welded tuff, Yucca Mountain, southwest Nevada (abs.): Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 16, p. 438-439.
- Barton, C.C., 1986, Fracture network flow: U.S. Geological Survey Research on Energy Resources--1986 Program and Abstracts (abs.): U.S. Geological Survey Circular 974, p. 4-5.
- Barton, C.C., Gott, C.B., and Montgomery, J.R., 1986, Fractal scaling of fracture and fault maps at Yucca Mountain, southern Nevada (abs.): EOS (Transactions of the American Geophysical Union), v. 67, no. 44, p. 870.
- Barton, C.C., and Larsen, Eric, 1985, Fractal geometry of two-dimensional fracture networks at Yucca Mountain, southwestern Nevada, *in* Stephansson, Ove, ed., Fundamentals of Rock Joints: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Fundamentals of Rock Joints, Bjorkliden, Lapland, Sweden, September 1985, Centek Publishers, Lulea, Sweden, p. 77-84.
- Barton, C.C., Larsen, Eric, and Baechle, P.E., 1985, Fractal geometry of two-dimensional planar sections through fracture networks at Yucca Mountain, southwestern Nevada (abs.): EOS (Transactions of the American Geophysical Union), v. 66, no. 46, p. 1089.
- Barton, C.C., Page, W.R., and Larsen, Eric, 1986, Pattern of development of fracture networks (abs.): Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 18, no. 6, p. 536.
- Barton, C.C., Page, W.R., Morgan, T.L., 1989, Fractures in outcrops in the vicinity of drill hole USW G-4, Yucca Mountain, Nevada, data analysis and compilation: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-92, 133 p.
- Barton, C.C., and Scott, R.B., 1987, Rationale for continuous map of geologic features in the exploratory shaft and drifts: U.S. Geological Survey Administrative Report, 15 p.
- Barton, N., and Choubey, V., 1977, The shear strength of rock joints in theory and practice: Rock Mechanics, v. 10, p. 1-54.
- Bish, D., and Vaniman, D., 1985, Mineralogic summary of Yucca Mountain, Nevada: Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-10543-MS, 55 p.
- Brown, E.T., ed., 1981, Rock characterization and monitoring ISRM suggested methods: New York, Pergamon Press, p. 3-52.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

- Christiansen, R.L. and Lipman, P.W., 1965, Geologic map of the Topopah Spring NW quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-444, scale 1:24,000.
- Compton, R.R., 1962, Manual of field geology: John Wiley & Sons, 378 p.
- Crampin, S., 1984a, Effective anisotropic propagation through a cracked solid: *in* Crampin, S., Hipken, R.G., and Chesnokov, E.M., eds., Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Seismic Anisotropy, Geophysics I. Roy. Astron. Soc., v. 76, pp. 135-145.
- Crampin, S., 1984b, Anisotropy in exploration seismics: *First Break*, v. 2, pp. 19-21.
- Crampin, S., 1985, Evaluation of anisotropy by shear wave splitting: *Geophysics*, v. 50, pp. 142-152.
- Cregger, D.M., 1986, Shaft construction in crystalline rock: *Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists*, v. 22, p. 287-295.
- Curry, Sean, 1986, Evaluation of the potential of close-range photogrammetry for tunnel mapping at the Nevada Test Site: VEXEL Technical Report 08-04-86, 42 p.
- Dueholm, K.S., 1974, Untraditional topographic mapping in central west Greenland: *Groenlands Geol. Unders., Rapp.*, nr. 65, p. 26-28.
- Dueholm, K.S., 1976, New instruments for geological photointerpretation and mapping: *Groenlands Geol. Unders., Rapp.*, nr. 80, p. 144-148.
- Dueholm, K.S., 1979, Geologic and topographic mapping from aerial photographs, *in* Geological and topographic mapping from aerial photographs: The Institute of Surveying and Photogrammetry, DTH, Meddelesle nr. 10, p. 1-146.
- Dueholm, K.S., 1981, Computer supported geological photointerpretation: *Photogrammetric* 36, p. 173-181.
- Dueholm, K.S., and Garde, A.A., 1986, Geological photogrammetry using standard color slides: *Groenlands Geol. Unders., Rapp.*, nr. 130, p. 69-74.
- Dueholm, K.S., Pedersen, A.K. and Ulf-Moller, F., 1977, High precision photogrammetric methods used in geological mapping: *Groenlands Geol. Unders., Rapp.*, nr. 81, p. 53-56.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

- Goodman, R.E., 1976, Exploration of rock conditions, *in* Methods of geological engineering in discontinuous rocks: West Publishing Co., St. Paul, MN, West Publishing Co., ch. 4, p. 102-121.
- Hatheway, A. W., 1982, Trench, shaft, and tunnel mapping: *in* Geiger, A.F. et al., eds., Engineering geology for geologists: Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists, v. 19, p. 173-180.
- Healy, J.H., Hickman, S.H., Zoback, M.D., and Ellis, W.L., 1984, Report on televiewer log and stress measurements in core hole USW-G1, Nevada Test Site: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-15, 47 p.
- Jepsen, H.F., and Dueholm, K.S., 1978, Computer supported geological photointerpretation: Groenlands Geol. Unders., Rapp., nr. 90, p. 146-150.
- Lahee, F.H., 1961, Field geology (6th ed.): New York, McGraw Hill Book Co., 926 p.
- Lau, J.S.O., 1980, Borehole television survey, *in* Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Underground Rock Engineering: 13th Canadian Rock Mechanics Symposium, CIM Special Volume 22, Toronto, Ontario, p. 204-210.
- Lipman, P.W. and McKay, E.J., 1965, Geologic map of the Topopah Spring SW quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-439, scale 1:24,000.
- Majer, E.C., McEvelly, T.V., Myer, L.R., and Eastwood, F.S., 1988, Fracture detection using P-wave and S-wave vertical seismic profiling at The Geysers: Geophysics, v. 53, pp. 76-84.
- McGovern, T.F., 1983, An evaluation of seismic reflection studies in the Yucca Mountain area, Nevada Test Site: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-912, 58 pp.
- Muller, D.C., and Kibler, J.E., 1983, Commercial geophysical well logs from the USW G-1 drill hole, Nevada test site, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-321, 7 p.
- Muller, D.C., and Kibler, J.E., 1986, Preliminary analysis of geophysical logs from the WT series of drill holes, Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-46, 30 p.
- Northwood, E.J., Weisinger, R.C., and Bradley, J.J., 1980, Recommended standards for digital tape formats: Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 65 p.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

- Pillmore, C.L., 1959, Application of high-order stereoscopic plotting instruments to photogeologic studies: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1043-b, p 23-34.
- Pillmore, C.L., Dueholm, K.S., Jepson, H.S., and Schuch, C.H., 1980, Computer-assisted photogrammetric mapping system for geologic studies--progress report: *Photogrammetria*, v. 36, p. 159-171, reprinted from: F. Ackerman, ed., *International Archives of Photogrammetry*, v. 23, pt. B-2, p. 195-205.
- Pyrak-Nolte, L.J., Myer, L.R., and Cook, N.G., 1990, Anisotropy in seismic velocities and amplitudes from multiple parallel fractures: *Journal Geophysical Research*, v. 95, no. B-7, p. 11,345-11,358.
- Ray,, R.G., 1960, Aerial photographs in geologic interpretation and mapping: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 373, 230 p.
- Scott, R.B., 1986, Extensional tectonics at Yucca Mountain, southern Nevada (abs): *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*, v. 18, p. 411.
- Scott, R.B., 1987, Comparison of the benefits of photogrammetric, photomosaic, and conventional mapping of underground geologic features: U.S. Geological Survey Administrative Report, 14 p.
- Scott, R.B., and Bonk, J., 1984, Preliminary geologic map of Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada with geologic sections: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-494, scale 1:12,000.
- Scott, R.B., and Castellanos, Mayra, 1984, Stratigraphic and structural relations of volcanic rocks in drill holes USW GU-3 and USW G-3, Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-491, 94 p.
- Scott, R.B., Spengler, R.W., Diehl, S., Lappin, A.R., and Chornack, M.P., 1983, Geologic character of tuffs in the unsaturated zone at Yucca Mountain, southern Nevada, *in* Mercer, J.W., Rao, P.S.C., and Marine, I.W., eds., *Role of the unsaturated zone in radioactive and hazardous waste disposal*: Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers, p. 289-335.
- Scott, R.B. and Whitney, J.W., 1987, The upper crustal detachment system at Yucca Mountain, SW Nevada (abs.): *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*, v. 19, p. 332-333.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Spengler, R.W., Byers, F.M., Jr., and Warner, J.B., 1981, Stratigraphy and structure of volcanic rocks in drill hole USW G-1, Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-1349, 50 p.

Spengler, R.W., Chornack, M.P., Muller, D.C., and Kibler, J.E., 1984, Stratigraphic and structural characteristics of volcanic rocks in core hole USW G-4, Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada, with a section on geophysical logs by D.C. Muller, and J.E. Kibler: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-789, 77 p.

Spengler, R.W., Muller, D.C., and Livermore, R.B., 1979, Preliminary report on the geology of drill hole UE25a-1, Yucca Mountain, Nevada Test Site: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-1244, 43 p.

Stewart, R.R., Turpening, R.M., and Toksoz, M.N., 1981, Study of a subsurface fracture zone by VSP: *Geoscience Research Letters*, v. 8, p. 1132-1135.

Stock, J.M., Healy, J.H., and Hickman, S.H., 1984, Report on televiwer log and stress measurements in core hole USW G-2, Nevada Test Site: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-172, 31 p.

Stock, J.M., Healy, J.H., Svitek, J., and Mastin, L., 1986, Report on televiwer log and stress measurements in holes USW G-3 and UE-25p#1 Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-369, 91 p.

Storm, Eric, and others, 1979, Fisheye camera for surveying NTS boreholes, *in* Energy and technology review: Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, Report UCRL-52000-79-10, p. 25-27.

Throckmorton, C.K., 1987, Photogeologic study of small-scale linear features near a potential nuclear-waste repository site at Yucca Mountain, southern Nye county, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-409, 54 p.

U.S. Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 1970, Geologic mapping of tunnels and shafts by the full-periphery method: Engineering Technical Letter No. 1110-1-37, Washington, D.C.

Zemanek, J., Caldwell, R.L, Glenn, E.E., Holcomb, S.V., Norton, L.J., and Straus, A.J.D., 1969, The borehole televiwer--a new logging concept for fracture location and other types of borehole inspection: *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, v. 21, p. 762-774.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Zemanek, J., Glenn, E.E., Norton, L.J., and Caldwell, R.L, 1970, Formation evaluation by inspection with the borehole televiewer: Geophysics, v. 35, no. 2, p. 254-269.




Figure 1-1. Areas of geologic investigation at Yucca Mountain.




Figure 1-2. Logic diagram showing Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 in context of rock characterization program.

Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area




Figure 1-3. Uses of information from rock characterization program for resolving performance and design issues and for supporting other characterization programs.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area





Figure 2.1-1. Location of mapped area at Yucca Mountain, showing separate mapping segments.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area



EXPLANATION

- Existing site
- Potential site

Figure 2.2-1. Location of existing and proposed pavement sites for fracture study at Yucca Mountain.




Figure 2.3-1.--Location of existing drill holes exceeding 500 ft in depth.




Figure 2.3-2.--Location of planned drill holes exceeding 500 ft in depth.





Figure 2.5-1.--Index map showing location of borehole G-4, C-holes, and other existing boreholes in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain.



Scale 1:12,000
 Contour Interval 20 feet

- Seismic Source Location
- ▲ Exploratory Shaft Location




Figure 3.5-1.--Index map showing approximate location of sources for VSP survey at borehole G-4.




Figure 3.5-2.--An east-west cross-section through borehole G-4 showing some possible raypaths between surface seismic sources and geophones in the well. (Note: This is an example of the method, and is not intended to depict the layout of the experiment shown on figure 3.5-1.)




Figure 5-1. Schedule for Study 8.3.1.4.2.2.

Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

FIGURE 5-1. SCHEDULE FOR STUDY 8.3.1.4.2.2 (Cont.)

Major Event
Event Description

- A Final report on geologic mapping of the Paintbrush Tuff
- B Interim report on surface fracture network studies; recommendations for future work
- C Report on fracture distribution at Yucca Mountain
- D Start drilling new unsaturated zone wells
- E Report on borehole evaluation of structure/stratigraphy
- F Final report on borehole evaluation of faults and fractures
- G Begin mapping of exploratory studies facilities (ESF)
- H Begin report writing
- I ESF mapping report complete
- J Begin vertical seismic profiling
- K Report on the results of vertical seismic profiling

Table 1-1 Information Needs Requiring Data from Study 8.3.1.4.2.2

(SCP Study 8.3.1.4.2.2)

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Information Need Number	Statement of Information Need	Data Provided	Interface Activity
<u>Design Issues</u>			
1.11.1	Site characterization information needed for design	Tsw unit contacts; fault locations and geometry.	8.3.1.4.2.2.1; 8.3.1.4.2.2.2; 8.3.1.4.2.2.3; 8.3.1.4.2.2.4; 8.3.1.4.2.2.5
1.11.3	Design concepts for orientation, geometry, layout, and depth of the underground facility to contribute to waste containment and isolation, including flexibility to accommodate site-specific conditions	Site information from 1.11.1, plus vertical extent of lithophysal zones, fracture location and orientation, required to generate design concepts for repository orientation, geometry, layout, and depth	"
1.11.6	Repository thermal loading and predicted thermal and thermomechanical response of the host rock	Site information from 1.11.1 needed for design of thermal loading.	"
1.11.7	Reference postclosure repository design	"	"
4.4.1	Site and performance assessment information needed for design	Fracture geometry and properties, contact altitudes of hydrogeologic units required for design of repository seal components and Calico Hills exploratory borehole seals.	"
1.12.1	Site, waste-package, and underground facility information needed for design of seals and their placement methods	Fault location and geometry, contact altitudes for Tsw, fracture geometry and properties.	"
4.4.9	Identification of technologies for underground facility construction, operation, closure, and decommissioning	Fault location and geometry, contact altitudes for Tsw, fracture geometry and properties.	"

Table 1-1 Information Needs Requiring Data from Study 8.3.1.4.2.2

(SCP Study 8.3.1.4.2.2)

Information Need Number	Statement of Information Need	Data Provided	Interface Activity
<u>Performance Issues</u>			
1.1.1	Site information needed to calculate the releases of radionuclides to the accessible environment	Altitudes of hydrogeologic units and location and geometry of fault zones in unsaturated zone overburden; fracture frequency in fracture networks and fault zones; secondary calcite in unsaturated zone units. 8.3.1.4.2.2.1; 8.3.1.4.2.2.2; 8.3.1.4.2.2.3; 8.3.1.4.2.2.4; 8.3.1.4.2.2.5	
1.1.2	A set of potentially significant release-scenario classes that address all events and processes that may affect the geologic repository	Site information from 1.1.1 required for formulation of release scenario classes.	"
1.6.1	Site information and design concepts needed to identify the fastest path of likely radionuclide travel and to calculate the ground-water travel time along the path	Contact altitudes of hydrogeologic units; fault locations and geometry, fracture geometries and properties.	"
1.6.2	Calculated models to predict ground-water travel times between the disturbed zone and the accessible environment	Site information from 1.6.1 used to address calculation models for ground-water travel time.	"
1.6.5	Boundary of the disturbed zone	Altitudes of hydrogeologic contacts, fault locations and geometry required for definition of boundary of disturbed zone.	8.3.1.4.2.2.1; 8.3.1.4.2.2.3; 8.3.1.4.2.2.4; 8.3.1.4.2.2.5

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Table 1-2. Site Information Provided by Study 8.3.1.4.2.2 to Design and Performance Issues

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
1.11	Vary depth, dip, orientation, and lateral extent of underground facility to provide host rock with favorable containment and isolation characteristics	Elevation of unit contacts for positioning underground facility	TSw2 ^(a) lower and upper contacts, primary area and extensions; structure contours accurate to ± 30 m	M ^(b)
			TSw2 lower contact in areas of minimum overburden; structure contours accurate to ± 10 m	H
			TSw2 upper contact in areas of minimum ground-water travel time; contours accurate to ± 10 m	M
		Fault locations	Accurate to ± 30 m	H
		Fault orientation	Accurate to ± 10 degrees	H
		Fault offset	Accurate to ± 2 m	M
		Fault classification	Standard practice	L
		* * *		
1.11	Limit temperature changes in selected barriers	TSw2 upper and lower contacts in primary area and extensions (for thermal modeling)	Structure contours accurate to ± 30 m	M
	Limit deleterious rock movement on preferred pathways	TSw2 lower contact in areas of minimum overburden (for thermal modeling)	Structure contours accurate to ± 10 m	M
	Limit impact on surface environment	TSw2 upper contact in areas of minimum ground-water travel time (for thermal modeling)	Structure contours accurate to ± 10 m	M

Table 1-2 (Continued)

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
	Vary borehole and drift spacing to control thermal loading and container temperature	Upper and lower contacts of other units in primary area and extensions	Contours accurate to ± 60 m	M
	Limit corrosiveness of container environment	* * *		
1.11	Limit deleterious rock movement of preferred pathways	Fracture orientation: TSw2	(c)	M
		Fracture orientation: TSw1, TSw3, and CHn1 (a)	(c)	L
1.11	Limit potential for borehole collapse	Fracture abundance: TSw2	(c)	M
		Fracture abundance: TSw1, TSw3, and CHn1 (a)	(c)	L
		Fracture persistence: TSw2	(c)	M
		Fracture persistence: TSw1, TSw3, and CHn1 (a)	(c)	L
		Fracture roughness coefficient: TSw2	(c)	M
		Fracture roughness coefficient: TSw1, TSw3, and CHn1 (a)	(c)	L
		* * *		

Table 1-2 (Continued)

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
1.12	Anchor-to-bedrock plug seal to reduce water entering waste disposal rooms	Fracture frequency in TCw, TSw2, CHn1 ^(a) at base of ES-1 and PTn	TCw: 20 fractures/m TSw2; 40 fracture/m CHn1 ^(a) at base of ES-1: 5 fractures/m PTn: 10 fractures/m	H
1.12	Station plugs to reduce water entering waste disposal rooms			
1.12	Single dam or bulkhead in emplacement perimeter, and drifts to retain and drain water			
1.12	Double bulkheads in emplacements drifts to retain and drain water			
1.12	Backfilled sump to retain and drain water			
1.12	Backfilled channel to divert water away from waste emplacement areas			
		* * *		
1.12	Calico Hills ^(a) exploratory borehole seal to reduce potential radionuclide transport through borehole	Unit contacts in exploratory boreholes representing potential pathways to accessible environment and proximate to repository boundary	Accurate to ± 5 m	H

Table 1-2 (Continued)

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
4.4	Provide surface facility sites not jeopardized by natural or man-made phenomena	Locations of any faults within 100 m of surface facilities with greater than 1 in 100 chance of producing more than 5 cm offset in 100 yrs	Accurate to ± 5 m	H
		Orientation of any faults within 100 m of surface facilities with greater than 1 in 100 chance of producing more than 5 cm offset in 100 yrs	Accurate to ± 10 degrees	H
		Probability of above faults exceeding 5 cm offset under surface facilities	Less than 0.001 in 100 yrs	H
		* * *		
4.4	Provide underground sites and accesses not jeopardized by natural or man-made phenomena	Locations of Late Quaternary faults in repository block	Accurate to ± 20 m	H
		Orientations of Late Quaternary faults in repository block	Accurate to ± 10 degrees	H
		Probability of exceeding 7 cm offset in areas of waste emplacement	Less than 0.001 in 100 yrs	H
		* * *		
4.4	Provide host rock thickness for drift construction and waste emplacement; governs potential extent of repository area	Top and bottom contacts, TSw2	Accuracy of ± 10 m	H

Table 1-2 (Continued)

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
4.4	Provide physical properties adequate for construction and operation of stable (safe) underground accesses, drifts, emplacement boreholes, and support facilities for normal and credible abnormal conditions	Fracture roughness coefficient	TSw2 ^(d)	H
		Number of fracture sets	TSw2: 2-3	H
		Fracture frequency and spacing	TSw2: 20-40/m ³	M
		Fracture orientation	TSw2: Identify sets and orientation	M
		Fracture roughness and condition	TSw2: Discontinuous to smooth undulating	M
		Fracture alteration	TSw2: Softening or low friction with clay mineral coatings	M
		Fault location (subsurface)	Accurate to ± 5 m	H
		Fault orientation (subsurface)	Accurate to ± 10 degrees	M
4.4	Underground facility access for personnel, support materials, waste handling systems during construction, operation (including retrieval), and decommissioning	(Required parameters, goals, and confidences are same as those for application item, "Provide physical properties..." plus those listed below)	Offset ± 2 m Spacing ± 1 m Fill characteristics	H
		Elevation of upper Calico Hills ^(a) contact	Accurate to ± 3 m	H

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Table 1-2 (Continued)

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
		Upper and lower contact elevations for TSw2 over entire repository area	Accurate to ± 20 m	M
		* * *		
4.4	Design drifts compatible with repository sealing	TSw2 top and bottom contact elevations within potential repository area	Accurate to ± 20 m	M
		* * *		
4.4	Provide for waste emplacement (emplacement borehole)	Top and bottom TSw2 contacts within repository candidate area	Accurate to ± 10m at selected points within candidate area	H
4.4	Transport waste to emplacement location (personnel safety)	(Required parameters, goals, and confidences are same as those for application item, "Provide physical properties...")		
4.4	Retrieval of emplaced waste	(Required parameters, goals, and confidences are same as those for application item, "Provide physical properties...")		
		* * *		
1.1	Calculations of specific-discharge field in UZ units; moisture content of UZ units; hydrodynamic response times of overburden	Altitudes of UZ hydrogeologic unit contacts in controlled area	Mean value	H
		* * *		

Table 1-2 (Continued)

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
1.1	Calculation of specific-discharge field in fault zones in UZ units; moisture content in fault zones; hydrodynamic response times of fault zones	Fault zone location, controlled area	Mean value	H
		Fault zone width, controlled area	Mean value	H
		Fault zone offset, controlled area	Mean value	H
* * *				
1.1	Calculations of coupling factors and radionuclide retardation factors in UZ and SZ units	Fracture frequency, networks, controlled area	Mean value	M
		Fracture frequency, fault-zone rock mass, controlled area	Mean value	M
* * *				
1.1	Model calibration and validation, gas-phase C ¹⁴ transport in overburden of UZ units	Profiles of abundances of secondary calcite, C ¹⁴ in calcite	No goal	
* * *				
1.6	Saturated-zone ground-water travel time model, system geometry component	Contact altitude, hydrogeologic units, each UZ hydro unit below repository in repository area	Mean value	H
		Fault displacement, hydrogeologic units, each UZ hydro unit below repository in repository area	Mean value	H

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Table 1-2 (Continued)

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
		Fault locations, hydrogeologic units, each UZ hydro unit below repository in repository area * * *	Mean value	H
1.6	Saturated-zone ground-water travel time model, system geometry	Contact altitude, lithologic units, each SZ litho unit in upper 100 m, controlled area * * *	Mean value	M
		Fault displacement, SZ, upper 100 m, controlled area	Mean value	M
		Fault locations, SZ, upper 100 m, controlled area * * *	Mean value	M
1.6	Basic rock mass spatial structure model, system geometry	Contact altitude, lithologic units, each SZ unit in upper 100 m, controlled area	Mean value	M
		Fault offset, SZ, upper 100 m controlled area	Mean value	M
		Fault locations, upper 100 m controlled area	Mean value	M
1.6	Fracture hydrologic properties model, material properties	Fracture aperture, fault zones, SZ, each litho unit in upper 100 m, controlled area	Mean value	M
		Fracture aperture, fault zones, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	L

Table 1-2 (Continued)

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
		Fracture aperture, fractures, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	M
		Fracture aperture, fractures, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	M
		Fracture frequency, fault zones, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L
		Fracture frequency, fault zones, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	L
		Fracture frequency, fractures, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	M
		Fracture frequency, fractures, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	M
		Fracture length, fault zones, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L
		Fracture length, fault zones, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	L
		Fracture length, fractures, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

T-11

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

Table 1-2 (Continued)

Rev 2 December 10, 1992

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

ISSUE	APPLICATION OF PARAMETER TO ISSUE	DESIGN/PERFORMANCE PARAMETER	GOAL	NEEDED CONFIDENCE
		Fracture length, fractures, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L
		Fracture length, fractures, UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	L
		* * *		
1.6	Fracture hydrologic properties, model, system geometry	Fracture orientation, fault zones, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L
		UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	L
		Fracture orientation, fractures, SZ, controlled area, each litho unit in upper 100 m	Mean value	L
		UZ, repository area, each hydro unit below repository	Mean value	H

(a) Stratigraphic terminology from SCP Figure 2-5

(b) L = Low
M = Medium
H = High

(c) Goal to be evaluated by sensitivity studies

(d) Existing data insufficient to establish goals

(e) Data pertaining to the Calico Hills unit to be collected in this study only if the exploratory studies facilities penetrate the unit.

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

**TABLE 2.1-1: TESTS AND PERFORMANCE-ALLOCATION PARAMETERS,
ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.1: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF ZONAL
FEATURES IN THE PAINTBRUSH TUFF AT A SCALE OF 1:12,000**

TESTS	PARAMETERS
A. Data collection in the field	
a) mapping strata	Attitude, ash-flow tuff zones
b) mapping strata	Attitude, bedded tuff zones
c) mapping strata	Areal extent, exposed bedrock
d) mapping strata	Lateral extent, ash-flow tuff zones
e) mapping strata	Lateral extent, bedded tuff zones
f) mapping strata	Thicknesses, ash-flow tuff zones
g) mapping strata	Thicknesses, bedded tuff zones
h) mapping faults	Fault and fault zone attitude
i) mapping faults	Fault length
j) mapping faults	Fault zone length and width
k) mapping faults	Displacement, faults and fault zones
l) mapping faults	Fault and fault zone characteristics, near-surface
m) mapping faults	Chronology, faulting
B. Data collection based on finished map	
n) map interpretation	Thicknesses, ash-flow tuff zones
o) map interpretation	Thicknesses, bedded tuff zones
p) map interpretation	Displacement, faults and fault zones.

**TABLE 2.2-1 TESTS AND PERFORMANCE-ALLOCATION PARAMETERS,
ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: SURFACE-FRACTURE NETWORK STUDIES**

TESTS	PARAMETERS
a) Fracture aperture	Fracture aperture
b) Fracture roughness	Fracture roughness
c) Fracture-fill mineralogy	Fracture-fill mineralogy
d) Attitude of foliations	Fracture tectonic style
e) Attitude of bedding	Fracture tectonic style
f) Attitude of fractures	Fracture orientation
g) Attitude of faults	Fault physical characteristics
h) Abutting relationships (connectivity) of fractures ¹	Fracture network
i) Fracture trace length ¹	Fracture trace length
j) Continuous fracture-trace maps ¹	Fracture network

¹ (Normally done for pavement studies only)

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.2-2 NUMBER OF TESTS PER SITE, ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.2: SURFACE-FRACTURE NETWORK STUDIES

TESTS	NUMBER (PER SITE)
a) Fracture aperture	² As many as 1000
b) Fracture roughness	² As many as 1000
c) Fracture-fill mineralogy	Average, one or more
d) Samples for hand specimen description ¹	At least one
e) Movement direction on fractures	Highly variable
f) Attitude of foliations and bedding	Highly variable
g) Attitude of fractures	² As many as 1000
h) Attitude of faults	Highly variable
i) Fault zone observations	Highly variable
j) Abutting relationships (connectivity) of fractures ¹	As many as 2000
k) Fracture trace lengths ¹	As many as 1000
l) Fracture-trace maps ¹	As many as 50
m) Aerial photographs of pavements ¹	As many as 50

¹(Normally done for pavement studies only)

²(Normally less for uncleared-outcrop studies)

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.3-1: EXPECTED RESULTS FOR FRACTURE CORE LOGGING, BASED ON PRELIMINARY CORE STUDIES FROM DRILL HOLE USW G-4 (SPENGLER AND CHORNACK, 1984)

FRACTURE ACTIVITY PARAMETERS	EXPECTED RESULTS
Fracture type (natural, coring, or handling induced)	Varies with unit; most are identified as natural
¹ Observed length	1 cm (smallest dimension measured) to 3 m (most will be less than 0.3 m)
Orientation (strike and dip)	Dominant sets (based on 4% of total fractures): densely welded Tiva Canyon Member N22°W, 89-90°NE and SW; Crater Flat Tuff N50°E, 55°SE. Both high- and low-angle fractures occur in densely welded and non- to partially-welded units
Aperture	² 0.05 mm (smallest aperture measured) to 20 mm; most are between 0.05 and 0.07 mm
Surface roughness	³ Cooling joints range from 0-2; tectonic fractures range from 3-18 out of possible 0-20 (based on empirical scale of Barton and Choubey (1977))
Apparent frequency/location	Highest in densely welded units: 13-26 fractures/3-m interval in densely welded units; 1-5 fractures/3-m interval in non- to partially-welded units
Relative age	Oldest-youngest up to 6 generations
Degree of mineralization	Unmineralized to completely filled (most are partially coated or stained; few are filled completely)
Mineralization of fracture fill material	Up to 10 different minerals, fill material including zeolites, opaline silica, calcrete, clay minerals

¹The term "observed length" is substituted for the term "dimension" that was used in the list of parameters for this activity in the SCP, p. 8.3.1.4-71.

²Apertures were not measured in Spengler and Chornack (1984). Expected ranges of aperture measurements are from fracture core studies of USW G-4 (Christopher C. Barton, oral commun., 1989).

³Surface roughness was not measured in Spengler and Chornack (1984). Expected ranges of surface roughness measurements are from fracture core studies of USW G-4 (Christopher C. Barton, oral commun., 1989).

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.4-1: TESTS AND PERFORMANCE-ALLOCATION PARAMETERS, ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF THE EXPLORATORY STUDIES FACILITY

TESTS	PARAMETERS
a) Fracture aperture	Fracture aperture
b) Fracture roughness	Fracture roughness
c) Fracture-fill mineralogy	Fracture-fill mineralogy
d) Samples for hand-specimen description	Lateral continuity of repository host horizon Lateral variability of units in drifts Lithology, stratigraphy Petrography, stratigraphy
e) Movement direction on faults	Fault tectonic style
f) Samples for tests other than geologic tests (USGS & LANL)	Not parameter for geologic tests, see text for explanation
g) Attitude of foliations and bedding	Fault tectonic style
h) Attitude of fractures	Fracture orientation
i) Attitudes of faults	Fault orientation
j) Fault zone observations	Fault physical characteristics
k) Abutting relationships of fractures	Fracture network
l) Fracture trace length	Fracture trace length
m) Continuous fracture-trace maps	Fracture network
n) Lithophysal cavity abundance	Petrography and stratigraphy incl. lithophysal zone characteristics

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.4-2: TEST CHARACTERISTICS OF PHOTOGRAMMETRIC AND CONVENTIONAL SKETCH METHODS OF UNDERGROUND GEOLOGIC MAPPING, ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF THE EXPLORATORY STUDIES FACILITY

TEST CHARACTERISTICS	Photogrammetric Method	Conventional Sketch Method
<i>Photography</i>	Full-Coverage Stereo Photography	Coverage for Archive only
<i>Fracture Measurement</i>	Primarily Remote Measurement	All Measurements Taken Manually
<i>Accuracy</i> ¹	± 2 cm	± 25 cm
<i>Data Base</i>	Fully Computerized Expandable	Manual Entry Not Expandable
<i>Objectivity/Reproducibility</i>	Objective, Good Reproducibility	Subjective, Poor Reproducibility
<i>Underground Time</i> ²	TBM - 6 m/Hr D&B - 2 m/Hr	TBM - 2.5 m/Hr D&B - 1.5 m/Hr

¹ Assuming absolute accuracy in survey control

² Exclusive of travel and set-up time

TBM - tunnel boring machine

D&B - drill and blast

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.4-3: ESTIMATED NUMBER OF TESTS PER TWO-METERS OF EXCAVATED LENGTH, ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF THE EXPLORATORY STUDIES FACILITY

TESTS	NUMBER (per 2-m round)
a) Fracture aperture	As many as 50
b) Fracture roughness	As many as 50
c) Fracture-fill mineralogy	Average, one or more
d) Samples for hand-specimen description	At least one
e) Movement direction on faults	Highly variable
f) Samples for tests other than those of this activity (for special tests, USGS & LANL)	Variable, at least one
g) Attitude of foliations and bedding	Highly variable
h) Attitude of fractures	As many as 200
i) Attitudes of faults	Highly variable
j) Fault zone observations	Highly variable
k) Abutting relationships of fractures	As many as 100
l) Fracture trace length	As many as 100
m) Continuous fracture-trace maps	Continuous coverage, number arbitrary
n) Lithophysal cavity abundance	Highly variable

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 2.5-1: TESTS AND PERFORMANCE-ALLOCATION PARAMETERS, ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.5, SEISMIC TOMOGRAPHY/VERTICAL SEISMIC PROFILING

TEST	PARAMETERS
Seismic tomography/vertical seismic direct, refracted profiling	Travel time, amplitudes, and polarizations of compressional and shear waves (SH and SV), as well as other wave propagation characteristics

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

**TABLE 3.1-1: METHODS AND TECHNICAL PROCEDURES FOR ACTIVITY
8.3.1.4.2.2.1: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF ZONAL FEATURES IN THE
PAINTBRUSH TUFF AT A SCALE OF 1:12,000**

Test Method	Number (NWM- USGS-)	Technical Procedure
		Title
Field mapping using aerial photographs	GP-01	Geologic mapping
Transfer of geologic features to topographic base maps using high-precision photogrammetric techniques	GP-01	Geologic mapping
Lithologic examination	GP-38T	Stratigraphic studies from geologic description of core, bit cuttings, and outcrop

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

**TABLE 3.2-1: METHODS AND TECHNICAL PROCEDURES FOR ACTIVITY
8.3.1.4.2.2.2: SURFACE FRACTURE-NETWORK STUDIES**

Test Method	Technical Procedure	
	Number (NWM- USGS-)	Title
Fracture mapping of hydraulically-exposed pavements and natural washout strips (pavement method)	GP-12	Mapping fractures on pavements, outcrops, and along traverses
Uncleared outcrop studies (outcrop method)	GP-12	Mapping fractures on pavements, outcrops, and along traverses
Photogeologic method	GP-01	Geologic mapping

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

**TABLE 3.3-1: METHODS AND TECHNICAL PROCEDURES FOR ACTIVITY
8.3.1.4.2.2.3: BOREHOLE EVALUATION OF FAULTS AND
FRACTURES**

Technical Procedures		
Test method	Number (NWM- USGS-)	Title
Core sampling and fracture logging	GP-11	Logging fractures in core
	AP-6.3Q	Interaction of participants and outside interests with Yucca Mountain Project sample management
	AP-6.4Q	Procedure for the submittal, review, and approval of requests for Yucca Mountain Project geologic specimens
	GPP-06	Rock and paleomagnetic investigations
	GP-18	Volcanic stratigraphic studies
Borehole video camera surveys and logging	GP-10	Borehole video fracture logging
	GP-13	Fracture logging from acoustic televiewer images
Acoustic televiewer surveys and logging	GPP-04	In-situ stress investigations
	HP-02	Acoustic televiewer investigations

**TABLE 3.3-2 MAJOR EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.3:
BOREHOLE EVALUATION OF FAULTS AND FRACTURES**

Borehole video camera surveys and logging

Logging truck

Downhole video camera with axial lens

CRT screen

Video cassette player (preferably with fast and slow motion in both forward and reverse, and freeze frame controls)

360° protractor overlay for TV screen

Set of 12-in parallel rules

Acoustic televiewer surveys and logging

Logging truck

Acoustic televiewer tool

CRT equipment (monitor and scope)

Core sampling and fracture logging

Reflected light microscope

Angled, metal track (10 ft long) for laying out core prior to measuring

Spark-plug feeler gauge (for measuring fracture apertures)

Carpenter's shape duplicator (for measuring fracture surface roughness)

Bullet level mounted on planar card

Goniometer

Hand-held goniometer

Study Plan 8.3.1.4.2.2 Characterization of Structural Features in the Site Area

TABLE 3.4-1: METHODS AND TECHNICAL PROCEDURES FOR ACTIVITY 8.3.1.4.2.2.4: GEOLOGIC MAPPING OF THE EXPLORATORY STUDIES FACILITY

Technical Procedure		
Test Method	Number (NWM-USGS-)	Title
Underground mapping in launch chamber	NA	Scientific notebook on under-ground mapping in launch chamber
Underground geologic mapping of the ESF	GP-45	Procedure for ESF Geologic Mapping
Surveying	TP-0103	RSN Survey Procedure: Survey Department Document Control and Distribution
	TP-0104	RSN Survey Procedure: Survey Department Work Functions
Film processing and printing	GP-46	Procedure for Film Developing and Printing
Photogrammetric geologic mapping	GP-47	Procedure for Photogrammetric Geologic Mapping
Sampling of fracture filling materials	GP-48	Sampling of Fracture Filling Materials
Sampling lithologies in the ESF	GP-49	Sampling Lithologies in the ESF
Geologic mapping	GP-01	Geologic Mapping
Pavement and line survey mapping	GP-12	Mapping Fractures on Pavement, Outcrops, and Along Traverses

Approved technical procedures not listed may be used during the activity, should that be appropriate, and listed procedures may be revised or replaced with other procedures, as needed.

TABLE 3.4-2: MAJOR EQUIPMENT FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRIC GEOLOGIC MAPPING

Photogrammetric Equipment

DSR-11 Analytical stereoplotter, includes 5-20x differential and common zoom optics, 360° image rotation, variable-size illuminated floating mark, freehand tracing with Z hand disk, for use with original-format black-and-white or color photography up to 9.5 by 9.5 in. from any camera with any focal length accommodating tilts up to high oblique, with utility software to compensate for earth curvature, atmospheric refraction, camera distortion, restitution instrument calibration coefficients and service diagnostics, with a DEC Micro 11/73 computer for plate processing.

MAPS 350, includes PDP 11/73 with 512 kb memory, dual System 5¼" 0.4 mb disk drives, 30 mb hard disk, VT220 alphanumeric CRT, Tektronics 4125 graphics display, RM 128 mechanical cradle, image superposition monitor, MAPS 350 software.

Correlator, includes two high-resolution CCD cameras, ACOR Imaging Technology digitizing equipment with direct interface to Q-bus of DSR-11 computer, software to specify exterior and interior windows, regular grid intervals.

DH-6300Q5 Micro VAX 11 high-capacity 32-bit microcomputer, includes MicroVAX 11 CPU, 8 mb memory, TK50 95 mb cartridge tape drive and controller, KDA50 disk controller, DEONA, DHV11, H9642 STYLE JA/JB cabinet w/dual BA23 boxes, documentation and installation diagnostics.

RA81-HA 456 mb fixed disk drive.

GTCO 24" X 36" digitizing tablet with 16 button cursor and accuracy to 0.001".

Hewlett-Packard continuous-roll drum-type pen plotter.

ESF Mapping Equipment

Geologist's personal equipment; includes acid bottle, geologic hammer, hand lens, sample bags, and their equipment and minor tools necessary for data collecting

Data-recording equipment; includes individual field notebooks and other minor items required for keeping complete records of data taken by geologists underground

Fracture-data acquisition equipment; includes pocket transits, calipers, gyroscopic compass, contour gauges, feeler and taper gauges, and measuring tapes

Photogrammetry equipment; includes camera rail, camera mount, photogrammetric camera, and survey targets

Mapping gantry