

July 21, 2000

Mr. Mike Reandeau
Director - Licensing
Clinton Power Station
P.O. Box 678
Mail Code V920
Clinton, IL 61727

SUBJECT: CLINTON POWER STATION, UNIT 1 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA9269)

Dear Mr. Reandeau:

By letter dated June 19, 2000, you submitted a license amendment request to remove Operating Mode restrictions for performing emergency diesel generator testing. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has performed an initial review of your request and finds that it needs additional information to complete its review.

Therefore, I request that you respond to the enclosed request for additional information by July 28, 2000, in order for the staff to complete its review in a timely manner. The questions were discussed and the response date agreed upon with a member of your staff. The questions are unchanged from those sent by facsimile to a member of your staff on July 12, 2000.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Jon B. Hopkins, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-461

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

July 21, 2000

Distribution w/encls:

Mr. Mike Reandeau
Director - Licensing
Clinton Power Station
P.O. Box 678
Clinton, IL 61727

PUBLIC
PD3-2 r/f
ACRS
GGrant, RIII
SBlack
OGC
WBeckner
LBerry

SUBJECT: CLINTON POWER STATION, UNIT 1 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION (TAC NO. MA9269)

Dear Mr. Reandeau:

By letter dated June 19, 2000, you submitted a license amendment request to remove Operating Mode restrictions for performing emergency diesel generator testing. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has performed an initial review of your request and finds that it needs additional information to complete its review.

Therefore, I request that you respond to the enclosed request for additional information by July 28, 2000, in order for the staff to complete its review in a timely manner. The questions were discussed and the response date agreed upon with a member of your staff. The questions are unchanged from those sent by facsimile to a member of your staff on July 12, 2000.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Jon B. Hopkins, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-461

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

ACCESSION NO.: ML003734689

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PD3-2	E	LA:PD3-2	E	SC:PD3-2	
NAME	JHopkins		THarris		AMendiola	
DATE	7/20/00		7/20/00		7/20/00	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mike Reandeau

Clinton Power Station, Unit 1
AmerGen Energy Company, LLC

cc:

Michael Coyle
Vice President
Clinton Power Station
P.O. Box 678
Clinton, IL 61727

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety
ATTN: Mr. Frank Nizidlek
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, IL 62704

Patrick Walsh
Manager Nuclear Station
Engineering Department
Clinton Power Station
P.O. Box 678
Clinton, IL 61727

Kevin P. Gallen
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1800 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
RR#3, Box 229 A
Clinton, IL 61727

R. T. Hill
Licensing Services Manager
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 481
San Jose, CA 95125

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Chairman of DeWitt County
c/o County Clerk's Office
DeWitt County Courthouse
Clinton, IL 61727

J. W. Blattner
Project Manager
Sargent & Lundy Engineers
55 East Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60603

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
CLINTON POWER STATION

1. Provide a discussion of the following postulated events associated with the EDG start signals during the EDG 24-hour load run test at power:
 - a. loss-of-offsite power (LOOP)
 - b. safety injection (SI)
 - c. LOOP with SI
2. Could the proposed change to surveillance testing at power prevent the EDG being tested from appropriately responding to an accident, i.e., LOOP? If yes, provide the risk impact, in terms of the change in core damage frequency and a single outage risk (i.e., ICCDP: incremental conditional core damage probability in RG 1.177), due to unavailability of the EDG for surveillance testing at power rather than at shutdown.
3. Are there any plans for restricting additional maintenance or testing of required safety systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices that depend on the remaining EDG as a source of emergency power? If not, discuss the reasons for not having these restrictions.
4. Are there any plans to preclude performing the requested surveillance at power during other maintenance and test conditions that could have adverse effects on the offsite power system? If not, discuss the reasons for not having these restrictions.
5. What would be the typical and worst-case voltage transients on the medium voltage safety bus as a result of a full-load rejection?

Enclosure