

August, 17, 2000

Mr. John H. Mueller  
Chief Nuclear Officer  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
Operations Building, Second Floor  
P. O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC NO. MA9094)

Dear Mr. Mueller:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for amendment dated June 7, 2000, that would revise Section 3.10.8, "SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) Test -- Refueling," of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications, correcting an administrative error introduced when Amendment No. 92 was processed.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate I  
Division Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-410

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. John H. Mueller  
Chief Nuclear Officer  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
Operations Building, Second Floor  
P. O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

August 17, 2000

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC NO. MA9094)

Dear Mr. Mueller:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for amendment dated June 7, 2000, that would revise Section 3.10.8, "SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) Test -- Refueling," of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications, correcting an administrative error introduced when Amendment No. 92 was processed.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate I  
Division Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-410  
Enclosure: Environmental Assessment  
cc w/encl: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC	M.Gamberoni	Ccarpenter	M.Oprendek, RI
PD1-1 Reading File	S.Little	OGC	
E. Adensam (e-mail)	P.Tam	ACRS	

**ACCESSION NO: ML003733989**

OFFICE	PM: PDI-1	LA:PD-1	RGEB	SC:PD1	OGC
NAME	P.Tam	S.Little	C.Carpenter	M.Gamberoni	L.Clark
DATE	7/25/00	7/25/00	7/27/00	8/17/00	8/3/00

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-410

NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-69, issued to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, located in Oswego County, New York.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed action would amend Section 3.10.8, "SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) Test - Refueling," of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, Technical Specifications (TS), correcting an administrative error introduced when Amendment No. 92 was processed.

The proposed action is in response to the licensee's application dated June 8, 2000.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

On February 15, 2000, the staff issued Amendment No. 91, converting the TS to the Improved Technical Specifications format and style. Amendment No. 91 was to be fully implemented by August 31, 2000; in the interim, the licensee will continue to use the pre-Amendment-No. 91 TS. On March 2, 2000, the staff issued Amendment No. 92, which imposes requirements on the Oscillating Power Range Monitor (OPRM) system on both the pre-Amendment No. 91 TS and post-Amendment No. 91 TS. Subsequently, the licensee found

that certain pages contain inadvertent administrative errors (i.e., numbering of sections) in that certain pre- and post-Amendment-No. 91 pages differ for no technical reason. By letter dated June 7, 2000, the licensee proposed to correct these errors which were inadvertently introduced during the review process of Amendment No. 92.

The proposed amendment involves administrative changes to the TS only. No actual plant equipment, regulatory requirements, operating practices, or analyses are affected by the proposed amendment.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendment is granted. No changes will be made to the design, licensing bases, or the applicable procedures at the unit. Other than the administrative changes, no other changes will be made to the TS. The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in

current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2.

Agencies and Persons Contacted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 7, 2000, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Mr. Jack Spath, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendment. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

On the basis of the foregoing environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's request for the amendment dated June 7, 2000, which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of August, 2000

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**/RA/**

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
Unit No. 2

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 126  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Jim Rettberg  
NY State Electric & Gas Corporation  
Corporate Drive  
Kirkwood Industrial Park  
P.O. Box 5224  
Binghamton, NY 13902-5224

Mr. John V. Vinquist, MATS Inc.  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Supervisor  
Town of Scriba  
Route 8, Box 382  
Oswego, NY 13126

Mr. Richard Goldsmith  
Syracuse University  
College of Law  
E.I. White Hall Campus  
Syracuse, NY 12223

Robert Hargrove  
Environmental Review Coordinator  
US EPA Region 2  
290 Broadway  
New York, NY 10007-1866

Charles Donaldson, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
New York Department of Law  
120 Broadway  
New York, NY 10271

Mr. Timothy S. Carey  
Chair and Executive Director  
State Consumer Protection Board  
5 Empire State Plaza, Suite 2101  
Albany, NY 12223

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire  
Winston & Strawn  
1400 L Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Gary D. Wilson, Esquire  
Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
300 Erie Boulevard West  
Syracuse, NY 13202

Mr. F. William Valentino, President  
New York State Energy, Research,  
and Development Authority  
Corporate Plaza West  
286 Washington Avenue Extension  
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. Paul D. Eddy  
Electric Division  
NYS Department of Public Service  
Agency Building 3  
Empire State Plaza  
Albany, NY 12223