

July 25, 2000

Mr. Michael Mulligan  
PO Box 161  
Hinsdale, NH 03451-0161

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

This letter acknowledges the receipt of your views that you provided by electronic mail (E-mail) dated June 14, 2000. Your message discussed two examples, one at Vermont Yankee in 1991, and another at Kewaunee in June 2000, where an inadequately sized service water discharge header could have affected the operability of the emergency diesel generators (EDGs) in certain circumstances. We have reviewed the information you have provided and have discussed it with NRC representatives in the applicable regional offices for consideration for inclusion in our assessment process. In addition, this information has been provided to the Events Assessments and Generic Communications Branch of the office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As part of their normal oversight process, this branch reviews all industry events for consideration of possible generic implications.

We appreciate you taking the time and effort to convey your concerns to us.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**Charles E. Ader FOR

David B. Matthews, Director  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
E-mail message dated June 14, 2000

July 25, 2000

Mr. Michael Mulligan  
PO Box 161  
Hinsdale, NH 03451-0161

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

This letter acknowledges the receipt of your views that you provided by electronic mail (E-mail) dated June 14, 2000. Your message discussed two examples, one at Vermont Yankee in 1991, and another at Kewaunee in June 2000, where an inadequately sized service water discharge header could have affected the operability of the emergency diesel generators (EDGs) in certain circumstances. We have reviewed the information you have provided and have discussed it with NRC representatives in the applicable regional offices for consideration for inclusion in our assessment process. In addition, this information has been provided to the Events Assessments and Generic Communications Branch of the office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As part of their normal oversight process, this branch reviews all industry events for consideration of possible generic implications.

We appreciate you taking the time and effort to convey your concerns to us.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**Charles E. Ader FOR  
David B. Matthews, Director  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
E-mail message dated June 14, 2000

**DISTRIBUTION:**

File Center (w/original incoming)  
PUBLIC (w/incoming)  
REXB R/F (w/incoming)  
SCollins/RZimmerman  
JJohnson  
BSheron  
LMarsh  
JShapaker  
EMerschhoff, RIV  
JHeller, RIII

NRR Mail room (Y0200000203)(w/incoming)  
Nolson BSweeney  
MManahan  
EAdensam  
CPetrone  
HMiller, RI  
LReyes, RII  
JDyer, RIII  
DVito, RI

\*See previous concurrence

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\replydraft..wpd

Accession No.: ML003733196

Template No.:

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No copy

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

OFFICE	REXB		C:REXB:DRIP		D:DRIP	
NAME	CPetrone*		LMarsh		DMatthews	
DATE	7/20/00		7/24/00		7/25/00	