June 5, 2000

Mr. M.S. Tuckman Executive Vice President Nuclear Generation Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3 RE: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-02, "LOSS OF REACTOR COOLANT INVENTORY AND ASSOCIATED POTENTIAL FOR LOSS OF EMERGENCY MITIGATION WHILE IN A SHUTDOWN CONDITION" (TAC NOS. MA4794, MA4795, AND MA4796)

Dear Mr. Tuckman:

On May 18, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-02 to all holders of operating licenses for Pressurized Water Reactors (PWRs), except those who have permanently ceased operations and have certified that fuel has been permanently removed from the reactor vessel. The NRC issued GL 98-02 to request that PWR licensees evaluate a September 17, 1994, event, which occurred at Wolf Creek, which had the potential to draindown the reactor coolant system (RCS) to the refueling water storage tank (RWST) and, at the same time, render the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) and residual heat removal (RHR) system inoperable by introducing a steam/water mixture to the suction side of the ECCS and RHR pumps. Addressees of GL 98-02 were requested to provide the following information within 180 days: (1) an assessment of whether the addressee's facility is vulnerable to the September 17, 1994, Wolf Creek event, and (2) if the facility is found to be vulnerable, an assessment of the plant-specific 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, quality assurance program attributes that will prevent the subject event. If the addressee's facility was determined to be vulnerable, a response to information item (1) was to be provided pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 50.54(f) and 10 CFR 50.4, and the responses to information items (1) and (2) were to be kept in a licensee's retrievable system for use by the NRC staff on an as-needed basis.

You responded to GL 98-02 by letter dated November 24, 1998. Based on your assessment, you concluded that Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 were not susceptible to the type of events described in the GL. You explained that this is due mainly to the differences in design features between Oconee and Wolf Creek. That is, the return (recirculation) paths to the Borated Water Storage Tank (BWST, which serves a similar purpose as the RWST described in the GL) from the Low Pressure Injection (LPI) and the Reactor Building Spray systems are not connected to the BWST common suction header for the ECCS pumps. In addition, administrative controls are in place at Oconee that would preclude the system alignments and maintenance activities that led to the Wolf Creek events.

By letter dated December 3, 1999, NRC Region II issued Inspection Report No. 50-269/99-07, 50-270/99-07, and 50-287/99-07. This inspection report contained details of the NRC staff's on-site verification of activities that you undertook in response to GL 98-02 for Oconee, using Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/142. Based on a review of the submittal, discussions with engineering personnel, and a walkdown of portions of the LPI system, the inspectors noted that the return path from the LPI system to the BWST used a different pipe than that used for the ECCS suction and that the minimum BWST inventory provides enough water to quench any steam that could enter through the return path. Also, the Technical Specifications (TS) prohibit operation of the LPI system for decay heat removal in Modes 1, 2, or 3 and defines Mode 4 as less than 250°F. Therefore, the LPI system would be prohibited from operating for decay heat removal at temperatures exceeding 250°F. In addition, the TS require at least 46 feet of water in the BWST while in Mode 4. These two conditions would prevent any water at the suction of the ECCS pumps from flashing to steam. Therefore, the resident inspectors concluded that, due to piping arrangements and TS restrictions, Oconee was not susceptible to events similar to those addressed in GL 98-02.

In summary, the NRC staff has reviewed your response to GL 98-02 and has concluded that (1) all the information requested by GL 98-02 has been provided, and (2) your on-site activities adequately addressed the concerns of GL 98-02 for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Therefore, GL 98-02 is closed for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3.

Sincerely,

## /RA/

David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate II Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

cc: See next page

By letter dated December 3, 1999, NRC Region II issued Inspection Report No. 50-269/99-07, 50-270/99-07, and 50-287/99-07. This inspection report contained details of the NRC staff's on-site verification of activities that you undertook in response to GL 98-02 for Oconee, using Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/142. Based on a review of the submittal, discussions with engineering personnel, and a walkdown of portions of the LPI system, the inspectors noted that the return path from the LPI system to the BWST used a different pipe than that used for the ECCS suction and that the minimum BWST inventory provides enough water to quench any steam that could enter through the return path. Also, the Technical Specifications (TS) prohibit operation of the LPI system for decay heat removal in Modes 1, 2, or 3 and defines Mode 4 as less than 250°F. Therefore, the LPI system would be prohibited from operating for decay heat removal at temperatures exceeding 250°F. In addition, the TS require at least 46 feet of water in the BWST while in Mode 4. These two conditions would prevent any water at the suction of the ECCS pumps from flashing to steam. Therefore, the resident inspectors concluded that, due to piping arrangements and TS restrictions, Oconee was not susceptible to events similar to those addressed in GL 98-02.

- 2 -

In summary, the NRC staff has reviewed your response to GL 98-02 and has concluded that (1) all the information requested by GL 98-02 has been provided, and (2) your on-site activities adequately addressed the concerns of GL 98-02 for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Therefore, GL 98-02 is closed for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3.

Sincerely,

## /RA/

David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate II Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

cc: See next page

Distribution: PUBLIC PD II-1 R/F (paper copy) RidsNrrDlpmLpdii-1 (paper copy) CHawes (paper copy) DLaBarge (paper copy) RidsOgcRp RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsRgn2MailCenter RidsNrrPmJDonohew RCaruso

Document Name: G:\PDII-1\OCONEE\MA4794 LTR.WPD

OFFICE	PM:PDII/S1	LA:PDII/S1	PDIV-1/LPM	SRXB/SC	SC:PDII/S1
NAME	DLaBarge:cn	CHawes	JDonohew	RCaruso	REmch
DATE	5/30/2000	5/30/2000	5/31/2000	6/1/2000	6/2/2000

## **Oconee Nuclear Station**

cc: Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn Legal Department (PBO5E) Duke Energy Corporation 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Anne W. Cottingham, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Mr. Rick N. Edwards Framatome Technologies Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-1631

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2650 McCormick Drive, 3rd Floor Clearwater, Florida 34619-1035

Senior Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 7812B Rochester Highway Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Virgil R. Autry, Director Division of Radioactive Waste Management Bureau of Land and Waste Management Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. William R. McCollum, Jr. Vice President, Oconee Site Duke Energy Corporation 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672 Mr. L. E. Nicholson Compliance Manager Duke Energy Corporation Oconee Nuclear Site 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
North Carolina Department of Justice
P. O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. C. Jeffrey Thomas Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Licensing Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director Division of Radiation Protection North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources 3825 Barrett Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Mr. Steven P. Shaver Senior Sales Engineer Westinghouse Electric Company 5929 Carnegie Blvd. Suite 500 Charlotte, North Carolina 28209