

May 23, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Marsha Gamberoni, Acting Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 **/RA/**
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 -
ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION, ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED WITH
THE LICENSEE REGARDING RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER
(GL) 96-05, "PERIODIC VERIFICATION OF DESIGN-BASIS
CAPABILITY OF SAFETY-RELATED MOTOR-OPERATED VALVES"
(TAC NOS. M97071 AND M97072)

By letter dated May 11, 1999, the licensee submitted additional information in response to the subject GL. During its review, the staff developed a number of questions. These were transmitted to the licensee yesterday by e-mail (attached). This memorandum and the attached e-mail do not currently state an NRC staff position and do not formally request information. The staff will discuss with licensee personnel in a phone call in the near future regarding disposition of the questions in the e-mail.

Docket Nos. 50-220 and 50-410

Attachment: As stated

May 23, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Marsha Gamberoni, Acting Chief, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 **/RA/**
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 –
ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION, ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED WITH
THE LICENSEE REGARDING RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER
(GL) 96-05, "PERIODIC VERIFICATION OF DESIGN-BASIS
CAPABILITY OF SAFETY-RELATED MOTOR-OPERATED VALVES"
(TAC NOS. M97071 AND M97072)

By letter dated May 11, 1999, the licensee submitted additional information in response to the subject GL. During its review, the staff developed a number of questions. These were transmitted to the licensee yesterday by e-mail (attached). This memorandum and the attached e-mail do not currently state an NRC staff position and do not formally request information. The staff will discuss with licensee personnel in a phone call in the near future regarding disposition of the questions in the e-mail.

Docket Nos. 50-220 and 50-410

Attachment: As stated

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PDI-1 Reading
RidsNrrDlpmLpdi (E.Adensam)
M. Gamberoni (A) RidsNrrPMPTam
S. Little

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\MMO97071.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA		
NAME	PTam:lcc	SLittle		
DATE	5 / 23 / 00	5 / 23 /00	05/ /00	05/ /00

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

From: Peter Tam
To: INTERNET:leonardm@nimo.com, INTERNET:paget@nimo.c...
Date: Mon, May 22, 2000 3:29 PM
Subject: Informal questions re. your response to GL 96-05 (TAC M97071, M97072)

Steve:

In your response dated May 11, 1999, to the NRC's 3/11/99 RAI, you discussed your actions to ensure adequate output capability of ac-powered MOVs in your GL 96-05 program. What actions are being taken for dc-powered GL 96-05 MOVs?

MOV risk-ranking method. How do the NMP results compare to the BWROG generic results?

What is the implementation schedule for the JOG program at NMP?

Please call me to set up a conference call.

CC: Thomas Scarbrough

Attachment