

*Rec'd*  
*1/19*

*Adensam/Clifford*

FROM:  
Bond, S

ORIGINAL DUE DT: 04/11/00

TICKET NO: 020000007

DOC DT: 01/11/00

NRR RCVD DATE: 01/19/00

TO:

Adensam E

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

\*\* YEL \*\*

DESC:

Millstone

ROUTING:

Collins/zimmermn  
Johnson  
Sheron  
NRR Mailroom

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

DLPM

Zwolinski

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



**BOND**  
19 WIDGEON LANE  
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Suzanne Bond*

**BOND**  
19 WIDGEON LANE  
SAG HARBOR, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Sally Booth*

*Sally Booth*

*127 Madison St.*

*Say Harbor, NY 11963*

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Bobbie Cohen*

Bobbie Cohen

20 Collingswood Dr.

Sag Harbor NY 11963

7 West Neck Circle  
Southampton, NY 11968

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

John Canale

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

Jeff Cole

Jeff Cole

127 Madison Street

Sag Harbor, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

Joelyn Cole  
127 Madison St  
Say Hbrn, Ny 11963



January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*SAMMY COLE*

*127 Madison St. Sag Harbor, NY 11963*

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

Stephen Hadley

P/O Box 10  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Jane Kleiglein*

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Frank T. Mazzucchi*

MR. F.T. MAZZUCCHI

P.O. 3065

Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that **IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND.** For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: **CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.**

Sincerely,



January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that **IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND.** For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: **CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.**

Sincerely,

*Susan Rutter*  
42 Gardiner's Lane  
East Hampton, NY 11937

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



Shirley A. Ruch

28 S. Valley Rd.

Sap Harbor, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

Meg Rudansky  
36 Woodvale St.  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Angela Salk*  
P.O. Box 1190  
Smy Harbor, NY 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Elinor M. Schiele*  
ELINOR M. SCHIELE

P.O. 3065

55

*Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963*

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Diane D. Schimmer*  
DIANE D. SCHIMMER  
141 Wildwood Rd.  
Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963

P.O. Box 996

SAG HARBOR, NY 11963  
January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Rita Solow*

RITA SOLOW

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*Christie Stanley*  
P.O. Box 1650  
#6 Union Street  
Sag Harbor, N.Y. 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



SPEED VOGEL

BOX 2131 - 10 LATHAM ST.  
SAG HARBOR, NEW YORK 11963

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



Kate Walker Vogel  
10 Latham Street  
PO Box 2131  
Sag Harbor, New York 11963

Kate Walker Vogel

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



Lou Ann Walker  
PO Box 2131  
Sag Harbor, NY 11963



January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

*MBW*

Meike Blossom-Welsh

295 Madison St.

Sag Harbor, NY 11963

No address, & can't read the name  
for these three letters.

J. J. J. 5/2/00

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Edward S. Byrnes", followed by a large, stylized capital letter "A".

January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.


But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,



January 11, 2000

Director Elinor G. Adensam  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Director Adensam:

I was pleased to learn of your support of the efforts to minimize the danger posed to Long Island residents by the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant in Connecticut.

You say in your letter of October 1, 1999 that you support attempts by Suffolk County to investigate an evacuation plan.

But, years ago, when planning to open up the nuclear power station at Shoreham emergency planners concluded that IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO EVACUATE EASTERN LONG ISLAND. For that reason, the operation of Shoreham was prohibited.

Do we really need another long and expensive study to tell us something we have already known for years? Furthermore, the feasibility of evacuation now is even less likely, as the population has grown dramatically on the East End of Long Island, and the road system remains clogged and inadequate for the needs of evacuation.

The first victim of the radiation leak in Tokai, Japan died last week. The leakage around that plant went far beyond the inadequate 10 mile radius you rely upon in your current planning. As well it did in Chernobyl!

Let this man's death be a lesson to us: CLOSE MILLSTONE NOW.

Sincerely,

