

April 27, 2000 NMP2L 1958

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410 Licensee Event Report 00-05

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), we are submitting Licensee Event Report 00-05, "Service Water System Does Not Meet Single Failure Requirement."

Sincerely,

Michael F. Peckham Plant Manager - NMP2

MFP/KLE/tmk Attachment

xc: Mr. H. J. Miller, NRC Regional Administrator Mr. G. K. Hunegs, Senior Resident Inspector Records Management

EDD

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES:									
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) REQUEST: 5 RECORDS A COMMISSIO (3150-0104), (							TED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION T: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE IS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY SION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT 4), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503							DLLECTION O THE LATORY PROJECT	
FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)								R (2)					PAGE (3)	)	
Nine Mile Point Unit 2 05000410							0				0	1 <b>OF</b> (	04		
TITLE (4)	Servia	ce Wa	ter Syste	m Does No	ot Mee	t Single	Failur	e Re	quireme	nt	<u>.</u>				
EVE	ENT DATE	E (5)		LER NUMBI	ER (6)		REP	ORT DA	ATE(7)		OTHEI	R FACILITI	IES INVOL	.VED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	T	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACI	LITY NAME	MES DOC		OCKET NUMBER(S)	
03	28	00	00	005		00	04	27	00	N/A					
										N/A					
C	OPERATIN	G MODE (	(9)	5 TH	IIS REPORT	IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUAN	г то т	HE REQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR §: (Che	ck one or m	ore of the f	following)	(11)
POWER LEVEL (10) $\Box$ 20.2201(b) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(1) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(2)(I) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) $\Box$ 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			□ 20. □ 20. □ 20. □ 20. □ 50. □ 50.	2203(a)(2)( 2203(a)(3)( 2203(a)(3)( 2203(a)(4) 36(c)(1) 36(c)(2)	v) D II)		□ 50.73(a)( ⊠ 50.73(a)(2 □ 50.73(a)(2 □ 50.73(a)(2 □ 50.73(a)(2 □ 50.73(a)(2 □ 50.73(a)(2)		<ul> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(x)</li> <li>□ 73.71</li> <li>□ OTHER</li> <li>(Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)</li> </ul>						
					L	ICENSEE CON	TACT FOR	THIS LE	ER (12)						
NAME Stephen E. Geier, Manager Engineering Unit 2							теlерноне number (315) 349-7887								
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)															
CAUSE	AUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC- TURER TO EPIX			USE	SYSTEM COMPONENT			MANUFAC- TURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX					
	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)						Τ	EXPECT	'ED	MONTH		DAY		YEAR	
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)							SUBMISS DATE (	SION 15)		)6	10	6	00		

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)

On March 28, 2000, with the plant shutdown for refueling, a root cause team investigating a loss of Division II service water initiated a Deviation/Event Report to have engineering evaluate whether the service water system met single failure requirements during a loss of coolant accident. On March 30, 2000, an engineering evaluation determined that a single failure would result in the closing of the non-essential service water isolation valves. This could result in the loss of running service water pumps due to low discharge flow trips.

On April 15, 2000, a modification to the control circuitry logic of the non-essential service water isolation valves corrected the single failure condition. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation is continuing to investigate the cause of the single failure susceptibility and will submit additional information in a supplement to this report by June 16, 2000.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES:								
LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CON	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								TION Y CT		
FACILITY NAME (1)	ACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
			YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER				
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	05000410		00	-	05	-	0 0	02	OF	04	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

## I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On March 28, 2000, with the plant shutdown for refueling, a root cause team investigating a loss of Division II service water initiated a Deviation/Event Report to have engineering evaluate whether the service water system met single failure requirements. The root cause determination was in response to a loss of Division II service water that occurred on March 17, 2000, which is described in Licensee Event Report 00-04. On March 30, 2000, an engineering evaluation determined that service water did not meet the single failure requirement, in that a single failure could lead to the loss of all running service water pumps during a loss of coolant accident. A single failure would result in the closing of the non-essential service water isolation valves. With the isolation of the non-essential service water loads, system flow paths would be reduced resulting in reduced flow that could result in the loss of all running service water pumps due to low discharge flow trips.

On March 30, 2000 the control room was informed of the service water single failure susceptibility and declared service water inoperable and entered the action statement of Technical Specification 3.7.1.2.a.

On April 1, 2000, engineering provided a further evaluation to support the operability of service water in Modes 4 and 5 with the single failure condition present.

Non-essential service water loads may be isolated by either of two valves in series, a Division I isolation valve and/or a Division II isolation valve. Division I isolation valves would automatically close on logic of EITHER of two conditions: (1) loss of off-site power to Division I, OR (2) a loss of all Division II service water pumps. A design change was implemented on April 15, 2000 that modified this logic. As modified, the Division I isolation valves will still automatically close on a loss of off-site power to Division I. However, the second closure condition now requires (1) a loss of all Division II service water pumps AND (2) a loss of power to Division II. A parallel logic modification was installed for Division II. This corrected the service water single failure condition for the postulated loss of coolant accident.

A root cause team was established to determine the cause of the single failure condition and is continuing its investigation.

## II. CAUSE OF EVENT

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation is continuing to investigate the cause of the event and will submit additional information in a supplement to this report.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES:									
LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CON	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								TION HE ORY IECT			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					:	PAGE (3)				
			YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER					
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	05000410		00	-	0 5	-	00	03	OF	04		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), "Any event or condition that resulted in the condition of the nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded; or that resulted in the nuclear power plant being: (B) In a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant." The service water system design basis requires that single failure criteria be met. An engineering review determined that the service water system did not meet single failure criteria during a loss of coolant accident.

The service water system is designed with suitable redundancy to provide a reliable supply of cooling water during and following a design basis loss of coolant accident for essential components and systems. For the single failure identified, the non-essential valves will close, isolating the non-safety related service water loads that comprise the major flow demand on the service water system. All operating pumps will run back on their operating curves reducing flow through each pump. Each pump has a low discharge flow trip for equipment protection. The reduced flow will approach the low discharge flow trip setpoint. Whether an individual pump reaches the low discharge flow trip setpoint depends on several factors. These factors are (1) the number of pumps running and the balance of flow among the pumps, (2) the flow paths available and (3) the instrument uncertainty associated with the trip setpoint. At low flow conditions, the flow instrument uncertainty is significant. The setpoint for tripping the pump is flow less than 1000 gallons per minute for a period of 10 seconds. However, engineering has determined that due to instrument uncertainty, an actual flow of less than 2100 gallons per minute may cause a trip. With the variability of flow paths and pump configuration and the degree of instrument uncertainty, it is conservative to conclude that closure of the non-essential isolation valves could have resulted in the tripping of all running service water pumps.

The low flow trip logic does not lock out the pump following a trip and the pump can be manually restarted as soon as the low flow condition is resolved. Procedures are in place to monitor and take manual action to manage service water flow. If the non-essential service water isolation valves should close, training and procedural guidance would direct operators to promptly restore service water flow.

A probabilistic assessment that estimated the risk impact of a scenario involving single failure that can cause a loss of service water concluded that the scenario at Nine Mile Point Unit 2 has very low risk significance. The annual Core Damage Frequency associated with a single failure event that can cause a loss of service water was calculated to be 1.9E-07/yr.

Based on the above, this event did not pose a threat to the health and safety of the public or plant personnel.

NRC FORM 366A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES:								
LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CON	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
			YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER			
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	05000410		00	-	05	-	0 0	04	OF	04

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1. A design change was implemented to the control circuitry logic for the non-essential service water isolation valves correcting the single failure susceptibility.
- 2. Corrective actions resulting from the root cause investigation will be included, as appropriate, in a supplement to this report.

## V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- A. Failed components: none
- B. Previous similar events:

This information will be provided in a supplement to this report.

C. Identification of components referred to in this LER:

Component	IEEE 803A Function	IEEE 805 System ID
Service Water System	N/A	BI
Pumps	Р	BI
Valves	ISV	BI