Appendix A

1997 Licensee Event Reports With Design-Basis Issues

INTRODUCTION

Of the 512 design-basis issues (DBIs) reported for 1997 and shown in this appendix, 410 were reviewed and categorized to determine whether the DBI function that was reported was potentially safety significant (referred to in this report as potentially safety significant design-basis issues [PSSDBIs]) using guidance provided in Brookhaven National Laboratory Letter Report JCN W6234, "Development of a Risk-Informed Baseline Inspection Program," (Ref. 18). In some instances, site-specific risk information was available and was used in lieu of the Brookhaven report. The remaining 102 DBIs involved either fire or seismic issues are not adequately discussed in the Brookhaven report to provide an accurate risk estimation, and therefore were not rated. Fire and seismic DBIs are shown as not rated-fire (NRF) or as not rated-seismic (NRS) in the table. In addition, this report did not attempt to assess the risk or safety significance of multiple trains or systems being outside their respective design basis over several operating cycles.

For the 410 DBIs that were rated for safety significance, two major DBI groups were developed having a total of seven categories.

Group 1: (PSSDBIs)

Design-basis issue Categories 1 through 3

- (1) an actual system or train failure to meet design requirements during a safety demand,
- (2) an actual system or train failure to meet design requirements <u>without a safety demand</u>, and (3) potential failure of a system or train to meet its design requirement.

Group 2: (DBIs having very low or no safety-significant functions)

Design-basis issue Categories 4 through 7

- (4) an actual system or train failure to meet design requirements during a safety demand,
- (5) an actual system or train failure to meet design requirements without a safety demand,
- (6) potential failure of a system or train to meet its design requirement, and
- (7) no impact on the plant.

For the purpose of analysis, DBI Categories 1 through 6 were further divided into three subcategories "a," "b," and "c." Subcategory "a" representing a system loss, "b" representing a system degradation, and "c" representing a degradation or loss of a train. For example, a Category 3c rating would indicate a PSSDBI that involved a potential failure of an SSC to perform its design function at the train level (either a degradation or loss). Similarly, a Category 5a rating represents a very low or no safety significant DBI that involved an actual failure of an SSC to perform its design function at the system level.

The characterization screening involved a review of the description of the DBI and the stated impact of the DBI based on the narrative information documented in the LER. The LER abstracts and full narrative texts provided the information needed to identify the equipment potentially or actually impacted by the DBI. Direct impacts and consequential impacts, at the level of operability and functionality of structures, systems and components (SSC) were based on the information documented in the LER. The LER

process did not involve contacting the licensee to obtain additional information. In most cases the LER contained sufficient information on the status of the effected equipment and occasionally included explicit statements on the effect of the DBI on equipment operability. In all cases, LERs specified the conditions (scenario) under which the effected equipment would not be operable and/or functional. For a few of the LERs, the narrative did not provide the consequential impacts of the DBI at the level of the SSC (e.g., did not document the specific redundant systems or functions that might be degraded or lost. Generally, sufficient information was provided to assess whether the effected SSC equipment was inherent in either the direct cause or was assumed as part of the potential consequences associated with the DBI. Engineering judgement was utilized when appropriate, to assess the probability of the specific single failures for assessing the potential risk characterization. When documented, licensee probabilistic risk analysis results were utilized to characterize the risk.

The basic approach to characterizing the risk significance of each DBI was based on the application of the generic risk information matrices (RIMs) tables for PWRs and BWRs contained in Ref. 18. The RIMs tables document the systems and human actions that are important to the risk of PWRs and BWRs and the reasons for their importance. The generic risk insights used to develop the RIMs are based on the individual plant examination (IPE) insights report (NUREG-1560), the IPE database (NUREG-1603), lessons learned from maintenance rule implementation and insights from fire and shutdown PRAs. These considerations were based on IPEs which only cover internal events. External events, such as caused by natural phenomena (e.g. seismic , extreme weather conditions) are not included in the generic RIMs.

Overall, the risk significance characterization process is considered conservative. That is, in the aggregate, the process is expected to identify more DBIs as potentially safety significant than a more detailed, plant-specific process would find to be actually safety significant. The conservatism results from the fact that the generic RIMs tables contain those systems and human actions that are important to most of the plants in the population, plus the items that are potentially important to only some of the plants in the population. Thus, applying all of the items in the generic RIMs tables to all the plants would be conservative for individual plants.

1997 Licensee Event Reports With Design-Basis Issues

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
155-97-003	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. During the evaluation of NRC Generic Letter 96-06: 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design Basis Accident Conditions' two systems were discovered to be susceptible to the over pressurization of isolated piping segments that could affect containment integrity during a postulated design basis accident. The two susceptible piping segments at Big Rock Point are in the functionally non-safety-related treated waste and demineralized water systems. A detailed response to Generic Letter 96-06 with commitments was provided to the NRC by Consumers Power Company letter dated January 28, 1997. Big Rock Point was designed prior to codes requiring consideration of fluid expansion affects (1955 ANSI B31.1.0). This code pre-dates most nuclear plant designs and likely did not consider the environmental effects of a containment structure during post-accident conditions. Corrective action includes an evaluation of surge protection for the demineralized water piping, and procedure changes for the treated waste system, to eliminate susceptibility to over pressurization during design basis accident conditions.
155-97-004	3a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 19, 1997, a load test was conducted on feeder breaker 72-12, DC Distribution Panel #1 as part of an action plan to verify breaker performance. The plant was in the cold shutdown condition. Following what was believed to be a successful performance of the magnetic load test, breaker 72-12 would not manually reset. Breaker 72-12 was opened, and verified to contain only a magnetic trip feature. Plant drawings indicated that it should have a thermal trip feature. On April 10th, 1997, approximately 1600, the Big Rock Point Staff concluded from an additional calculation that a loss of selective coordination over a minor portion of the interface between breaker 72-12 and other DC breakers in the circuit could have resulted in a loss of the panel due to a nonsafety-related fault. The safety-related loads supplied by the panel that could have been affected are MO-7064, Primary Containment Spray valve, and the Liquid Poison System squib valve control circuits. The root cause for this event is an inadequate design control program prior to 1976. No design review program covered the physical verification of this breaker. Breaker 72-12 was removed and replaced with a load-tested, thermal-trip-only spare breaker. Long term corrective actions include the performance and development of preventative maintenance tasks to remove and test the DC breakers associated with the panel.
155-97-005	6b	Big Rock Point's Operating License prohibits storage of materials in the area between spent fuel rack B and the east wall of the spent fuel pool so that local temperatures in the fuel pool will remain consistent with the cooling analysis of record. Contrary to this requirement, a stainless steel bucket was discovered on the spent fuel pool floor between rack B and the east wall of the spent fuel pool on October 9, 1997, at approximately 1330. In addition to the bucket, additional debris (such as old pvc padding, hook and cable) were discovered. The cause of the materials resting on the floor between the "B" rack and the east SFP wall cannot be determined. The reason for not discovering the bucket earlier can be attributed to the lack of a surveillance procedure to confirm that materials were not located in this area. The bucket was removed, and facility procedures have been revised to ensure that this area is kept clear. An analysis confirmed that there was no immediate or past operational safety concern.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
213-97-001	7	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 14, 1997, at approximately 1530 hours, with the plant in a defueled mode, a reportability evaluation determined that the plant had been in a condition outside of the analyzed service water supply temperature range. Both the Haddam Neck Updated Final Safety Analysis (UFSAR) and the service water supply piping stress analysis reference 35 degrees F as the minimum service water supply temperature, however, historically, there have been times when the average supply (river water) temperature was below 35 degrees F. This condition was previously identified in February 1996 (LER 96-002-00). Although actions were initiated to update the UFSAR, the changes were never made resulting in a recurrence of the same condition. The cause was a program deficiency in that the design basis calculations were not updated in a timely manner. It was determined that there are no limiting effects associated with overcooling any components and that the lower supply temperature had no effect on existing emergency core cooling calculations or on the structural integrity of the service water system. Corrective action consists of revising the UFSAR and the design basis calculations to reflect the normal operating temperatures of the service water system. In addition there is a formal, ongoing effort to identify and correct UFSAR inaccuracies.
213-97-004	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 19, 1997, at 1415 hours, with the plant defueled, a preliminary engineering evaluation determined that fuel assembly loads in excess of the Technical Specification limit of 1650 pounds could have been potentially moved over spent fuel assemblies within the spent fuel pool. The cause of this condition was due to removing the exclusion for fuel assemblies from the Technical Specification requirements in 1989 in an attempt to remove ambiguity. During the time frame of the change, it was likely concluded that the weight of a fuel assembly would never exceed the 1650 pound limit; therefore the exclusion was not deemed necessary. Since then, new core designs for 24 month cycles have resulted in heavier fuel assemblies which have reduced the available load margin. Immediate corrective action was to prohibit movement of fuel assemblies over the fuel pool. The long term corrective action will be to amend the Technical Specifications in accordance with the guidance for Improved Standard technical Specifications to restore the exclusion for fuel assemblies. This event is reportable under 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (ii) (B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. It is also reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(I)(B) as a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.
213-97-007	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 11, 1997, at approximately 1730 hours, with the plant defueled, a reportability evaluation determined that the service water (SW) supply line to the spent fuel pool (SFP) heat exchangers (HX) was inoperable. Following a loss of normal power (LNP), a potential exists for water hammer in the high point of the SW lines to the SFP HXs upon restart of a SW pump. The apparent cause of this event was that the original design of the plant and other earlier analyses did not identify the potential for water hammer. Short term corrective action consisted of revising a procedure and staging materials to allow installation of a temporary cooling line, using fire hose, in the event of the failure of the SW supply line to the SFP HXs. Long term corrective action consists of installing a check valve in the SW supply line to the SFP HXs which will hold the line full of water until pump restart following a LNP, thereby preventing water hammer.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
213-97-008	NRS - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 26, 1997 at 1905 hours, with the plant defueled, the service water return line from the spent fuel pool heat exchangers was declared inoperable based on a Generic Letter 90-05 wall thinning analysis. The degraded 3 inch area of piping had previously been examined in October 1996, using ultrasonic inspection techniques, and was determined acceptable with sufficient margin to last one year. The degraded area was found during a routine followup inspection. The cause of the wall thinning appears to be microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC). At the time of discovery the SFP cooling system had already been declared inoperable since March 11, 1997 due to a potential water hammer issue in the service water supply line. Initial corrective actions taken as a result of the degraded return line consisted of approving a temporary procedure change to allow using fire hoses to establish an alternate flow path during piping replacement and/or following a seismic event. Other spent fuel pool service water piping areas were examined during augmented inspections which did not reveal any additional flaws below code allowable limits. Previously inspected areas of the supply piping will continue to be monitored for any changes in corrosion rates. The degraded piping has been replaced and the system was returned to operable status on April 17, 1997.
213-97-009	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 4, 1997, with the plant permanently shutdown, it was determined that the sample nozzle for the vent stack radiation monitor (R-14A) was not drawing the sample from the process stream in an isokinetic fashion as described in the plant FSAR. Isokinetic sampling is required for representative sampling of particulates in the process flow. The apparent causes of this condition were (1) the original design does not meet the later ANSI N13.1-1969 standards, and (2) an inadequate understanding of system design and operational requirements by Chemistry and Engineering personnel. Immediate corrective action was to declare the R-14A sample system out of service and perform compensatory sampling in accordance with the Technical Specifications. An alternate backup particulate and iodine sample system is being evaluated and a corrective action plan for the entire Radiation Monitoring System (RMS) has been developed which includes resolving the R-14A nozzle issue. This event is reportable under 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (ii) (B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant.
213-97-010	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 10, 1997, at approximately 1200 hours with the plant in the permanently defueled condition, an engineering review of design basis documents determined that prior to 1975 the available net positive suction head (NPSH) for the spent fuel cooling pump at the maximum pool temperature (identified in historical licensing basis documents) could potentially be below the required NPSH. Cavitation of the pump could have occurred if the pool temperature exceeded approximately 153 degrees F with a single suction line open. The apparent cause of this event was a deficiency in the original (pre 1975) system design basis. Corrective action consisted of confirming that the existing design is adequate to support licensed operating conditions. As follow-up to the recent engineering review, the normal and abnormal operating procedures for the spent fuel pool cooling system were revised to include a caution that both suction valves are to be open prior to exceeding 140 degrees F to prevent pump cavitation. The applicable procedures) will also be revised to throttle the pump discharge valve as necessary to provide adequate NPSH for elevated fuel pool temperatures.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
213-97-011	6b	On June 30, 1997 at 1430 hours, with the plant permanently shutdown and defueled, an engineering evaluation determined that the Spent Fuel Pool Cooling (SFPC) system was incapable of removing the maximum design basis heat load of the spent fuel pool (SFP). The current design bases require the SFPC system to be able to remove 22.4million Btu/hr following an emergency core offload at the end of the last licensed operating cycle (year 2007) with 90 degree F cooling water, while maintaining the pool at less than 150 degrees F. Calculations performed during normal operating conditions revealed the SFPC system could not currently meet this requirement. Also, on August 1, 1997 at 2108 hours, during surveillance testing of a check valve in the SFPC Service Water supply line, it was discovered that design basis flow could not be provided to the SFPC system. The design basis requires a minimum of 855 g.p.m., while only 820 g.p.m. was measured. However, the system was fully capable of meeting the emergency full core offload requirements prior to the 1996 refueling. The cause of both events is believed to be siltation of the inservice SFPC heat exchanger. Since the current SFPC heat load is less than 3 million BTU/hr and decreasing, significant heat removal margin still exists.
213-97-012	6a	On July 24, 1997, at approximately 2011 hours, with the plant permanently defueled, a check valve in the service water (SW) supply line to the spent fuel Pool (SFP) heat exchangers (HX) failed its seat leakage surveillance test and was declared inoperable. The valve had been installed in April 1997 to eliminate the potential for water hammer after a loss of normal power and restart of the service water pumps. The apparent cause is believed to be due to the variation in the surveillance test methodology which may have allowed debris to be backflushed into the valve seating area during system filling operations. Following an engineering determination, this event was reported on July 29, 1997. The valve was satisfactorily retested on July 29, 1997, using the original test lineup. Short term corrective action consisted of revising a procedure and staging materials to allow installation of a temporary cooling line, using fire hose, in the event of the failure of the SW supply line to the SFP HXs. Additionally, the test procedure was revised to ensure the surveillance test is performed in a manner which cannot backflush debris into the check valve. Although it is uncertain as to whether the valve was ever actually inoperable this event is being conservatively reported as a condition outside the design basis of the plant.
213-97-016	6a	On August 25, 1997, with the plant permanently shut down and defueled, while preparing to perform air flow testing, a positive pressure was observed in the spent fuel building (SFB) following startup of the SFB supply fan. The SFB design basis states that the design flow of the exhaust unit exceeds the supply capacity maintaining a small continuous air infiltration into the SFB to prevent release of contamination from the building. Since the supply flow exceeded the exhaust flow from the building, this continuous infiltration was not met. Testing was conducted to determine the cause of this condition (i.e., whether the supply fan is supplying greater than design flow or the exhaust fan is exhausting less than design flow). It was noted that a positive building pressure only occurred while the SFB supply fan was operating. Since the SFB supply fan is required to be shutdown during fuel movement or crane operation with loads over the storage pool, a positive pressure was not experienced during the time the fuel storage building air cleanup system was required to be operable by the Technical Specifications. This event is reportable under 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (ii) (B) since the system was outside its design basis. This supplemental LER details the test results, cause of the event and associated corrective actions.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
213-97-018	5b	On October 3, 1997, with the plant permanently shut down and defueled, air flow testing of the Spent Fuel Building (SFB) Ventilation System indicated that the SFB exhaust fan did not meet its design basis of exhausting 13,000 cfm when aligned to bypass the HEPA and charcoal filters. The fan exhausted approximately 11,500 cfm with one Primary Auxiliary Building (PAB) purge fan operating. When two PAB purge fans were operating, the SFB exhaust fan flow rate was approximately 6,500 cfm. The cause of the low SFB exhaust flow is a higher than expected pressure in the PAB exhaust ductwork. This is the ductwork into which the SFB exhaust discharges. The higher pressure is a result of a PAB purge fan modification in 1974 which replaced the original PAB fans with higher capacity fans. The original fans each had a capacity of approximately 35,000 cfm. The replacement fans are rated for 52,000 cfm each. The cause of this event is personnel error, in that the modification was not adequately designed or tested. Long term corrective action was to reduce the SFB supply fan flow and establish a design flow range for the exhaust fan. The purpose of this supplemental report is to provide information on the corrective action that has been implemented.
219-97-001	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 3, 1997, during a review as requested by Generic Letter (GL) 96-06, 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design Basis Accident Conditions', seven penetrations in five systems did not meet the requirements as described in GL 96-06. Under the postulated conditions contained in GL 96-06, these seven penetrations are only required for containment integrity. An analysis was performed of each penetration while isolated. The analysis modeled the effects on internal fluid and piping in response to an external ambient temperature increase. The results revealed that although the piping did not meet the design requirements, the postulated pressures did not exceed ASME Section III, Appendix F criteria for piping. Additionally, the potential effects on the respective isolation valves were considered. A catastrophic failure of the valves is not considered credible. Therefore containment integrity for the penetration was maintained and the safety significance of this discovery is considered minimal. The cause for this condition was that previous analyses were performed without using the more conservative assumptions described in GL 96-06. Operability determinations were performed and further evaluations are ongoing to determine the need for modifications or procedural revisions.
219-97-003	5b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 11, 1997, while post maintenance testing was in progress on torus spray valve V-21-18, operations personnel identified that the pressure suppression function of primary containment had been inadvertently degraded. By opening valve V-21-18, a flow path was created from the drywell to the torus airspace which would have bypassed the suppression pool in the event a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) occurred. This could result in inadequate steam suppression from the drywell and over-pressurization of the torus. The condition existed for a total of about ten minutes, therefore, exposure to the risk was minimal. The cause of this event has been determined to be an inadequate safety review of the change of PM 9441M from an outage task to an on-line task. This resulted in inadequate precautions in the job order and maintenance procedures that controlled the evolution. Upon discovery, shift supervisors notified affected operations personnel that when a torus spray valve is cycled, the associated test valve must be closed. A process will be developed and implemented to allow review of work activities and preparation of switching orders by operations personnel other than the on-shift control room staff. The process for revising PMs will be reviewed and revised to address potential impacts on performing a PM when the plant is in a different mode than specified.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
219-97-004	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During a detailed review of motor operated valves for periodic verification in response to Generic Letter 96-05, it was discovered that reactor water cleanup valve V-16-2 was being used to fill and vent the cleanup system while the reactor is at power and operating pressure. If V-16-2 is open and the downstream pipe were to break, V-16-2 would be required to close against normal reactor operating pressure. Delta pressure (delta p) calculations for V-16-2 did not address this event. Therefore, the valve was not set up to close against reactor pressure of 1020 psig. This oversight is attributed to personnel error in that the prior review missed the use of valve V-16-2 during power operation. V-16-2 was declared inoperable and deactivated in the closed position to prevent it from being opened with reactor pressure greater than 125 psig. AR engineers will be informed of this event and the importance of design verification through required reading of this LER.
219-97-010	2b	A manual scram was initiated in response to sparking in the main generator exciter commutator. The sparking was caused by a brush failure due to the use of incorrect parts. As a result of a low voltage condition, the emergency buses separated from off-site power and were subsequently energized by the emergency diesel generators. Several engineered safety features actuated. The two control rod drive pumps failed to start as designed. During a review of the transient, it was identified that the startup transformer voltage regulators were set to regulate voltage outside the plant's design basis as described in the degraded grid voltage study. A design defect in the control rod drive pump breaker control logic was also identified. Corrective actions include repair of the exciter, resetting the transformer voltage regulators and adding a time delay to the control rod drive pump start sequence.
219-97-015	NRS - 3c	On Dec. 4, 1997 it was discovered that some of the mounting screws were missing from a number of static time delay units (STDUs) in unit substation switchgear cubicles. Some of these cubicles are associated with safety related loads. This is contrary to the configuration on which their seismic qualification was based. This condition was caused by improper installation with contributing factors of panel configuration, lack of a questioning attitude, and lack of sensitivity to seismic mounting concerns. In the event of a seismic event, reliability of containment heat removal capability could have been reduced. Redundant components were available to accomplish the heat removal function and emergency operating procedures provide alternate methods even in the event redundant components were to fail as well. The STDUs have been properly mounted restoring operability to affected equipment. Additional information and guidance relating to seismic mounting requirements will be contained in future job orders (both preventative and corrective maintenance) and required reading will be assigned for appropriate personnel.
219-97-016	6b	On December 12, 1997, it was determined that an omission in a design basis calculation would have prevented the H sub 2 O sub 2 system from operating for the full 48 day post LOCA design interval if called upon. This is a condition outside of the design bases. The cause of the omission was a failure to allow for sufficient margin in the low pressure reagent gas setpoint to allow for increasing gas flow rates over the life of the analyzer. Corrective action was taken to increase the low pressure limit of the reagent gases and ensure that the installed gas bottles had sufficient pressure. This omission was discovered as part of a program to verify and evaluate existing calculations. The scope, determination, and implementation of this program are ongoing. The primary containment atmosphere is monitored and oxygen is maintained at a level which would preclude any hydrogen/oxygen reaction in a post LOCA environment.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
220-97-001	NRS - 6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On February 6, 1997, with Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) in the RUN mode and reactor thermal power at approximately 100 percent, Niagara Mohawk determined that 16 pipe supports in the Reactor Building Closed Loop Cooling System (RBCLC) inside the drywell did not meet the design basis criteria for seismic loads as descried in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). This deficiency was identified as a result of a design analysis being performed as part of the corrective actions previously identified in voluntary Licensee Event Report (LER) 96-09, concerning potential over stressed pipe supports in RBCLC. The apparent cause of this event is a design deficiency resulting from the design methods used in the original design of RBCLC piping and supports. The RBCLC system has been determined to be operable. Engineering Analysis had demonstrated that the piping will remain intact and capable of performing its safety function after a design basis seismic event. The affected supports will be modified during the next refueling outage.
220-97-002	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 18, 1997, it was determined that one Core Shroud Repair Assembly was degraded. Subsequent inspections revealed that each Core Shroud Assembly had experienced some degradation. The cause of the degradation has been determined to be that assumptions used in the design did not appropriately account for installation tolerance and the potential for tie rod subcomponent damage due to this unanticipated movement. NMPC intends to modify and reinstall the Core Shroud Stabilizer Assembly, and to perform a re-inspection coincident with the core shroud vertical weld re-inspection schedule. NRC approval of the repair assembly modification is required prior to unit restart.
220-97-003	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 3, 1997, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) determined that thermal sensors used to detect line breaks were not appropriately located in the RWCU system auxiliary pump room as described in Section 10.B.3 of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). The cause of this event is that the original designers of the plant in the 1960s did not locate thermal sensors in the reactor water cleanup (RWCU) auxiliary pump room. To correct the deviation, thermal sensors have been installed in the RWCU system auxiliary pump room.
220-97-004	NRF - 5b	On May 13, 1997, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) determined that Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) was not in compliance with the requirements of 10CFR50 Appendix R, Section III.J. Deficiencies were identified during NMPC's evaluation of NRC Information Notice (IN) 95-36 "Potential Problems With Post-Fire Emergency Lights." The cause of this event has been determined to be ineffective change management in that the development of safe shutdown procedures and physical changes to the plant were not properly evaluated for compliance to 10CFR50 Appendix R, Section III.J requirements. Initial compensatory actions include utilization of hand held lights and installation of portable eight hour capacity lights in critical areas of the plant. Subsequently, installation of permanent eight hour capacity lights has begun.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
220-97-005	6a	On June 12, 1997, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) determined that during surveillance testing of the core spray system containment isolation valves, a pressure locking condition could occur which would prevent the core spray system from performing its safety function. This discrepancy was discovered during NMPC's review of design documents for closure of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) Generic Letter (GL) 89-10 Program. This reportable condition was caused by an original design deficiency in that the design did not consider this failure mode. Additionally, previous evaluations of pressure locking susceptibility performed in response to NRC Information Notice (IN) 92-26, "Pressure Locking of Motor Operated Flexible Wedge Gate Valves" and GL 95-07, "Pressure Locking and Thermal Binding of Safety Related Power Operated Gate Valves," failed to reconcile this design deficiency. Corrective actions included modification of the valves to preclude pressure locking.
220-97-007	6a	On August 15, 1997 at 1600 hours, the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) staff identified a reportable condition whereby the Control Room Emergency Ventilation (CREV) system would not have been able to perform its design function. Specifically, the Control Room Smoke Purge system has been operated at times when the CREV system was required to be operable. Per design, the Smoke Purge system does not have an automatic isolation feature. If the Smoke Purge system was in operation when an initiation of the CREV system occurred, the CREV system would not have been able to maintain the design requirements for Control Room habitability. The root cause of the event is inadequate evaluation (on two occasions) of the interface between the Smoke Purge system and the CREV system. The Control Room Smoke Purge system was operated for reasons other than smoke removal from a fire and operation in this manner was not considered for impact on Technical Specification (TS) operability of the CREV system. The implementation of the modification process failed to identify these deficiencies both in 1980 and again in 1984. The immediate corrective actions included placing administrative controls on the Smoke Purge system to limit its operation. The procedures controlling operation and testing of the system will be revised to restrict the operation of the system to its intended design function (smoke removal) and to ensure that the system is only tested when the CREV system is not required to be operable. In addition, selected modifications will be reviewed to determine if similar interface problems exist.
237-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	Between October of 1996 and January of 1997, systems that penetrate containment were reviewed to identify piping that is susceptible to the thermally induced pressurization issue identified in NRC Generic Letter 96-06. Based on the results of the review and subsequent operability determinations, on January 27, 1997 it was determined that the systems identified as susceptible to over-pressurization in post-accident conditions did not account for post-accident pressurization in their original design, and as a result were outside the design basis of the plant. Operability Assessments were performed to document the basis for continued operability of these affected penetrations and systems/components. The systems were determined to be operable but degraded. Permanent correction of the degraded condition will be accomplished by installing over pressure protection or by penetration specific analysis.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
237-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	Dresden Design Engineering reviewed LaSalle LER 97-005 (Docket 05000373), dated March 24, 1997, regarding the potential loss of Standby Gas Treatment Systems and the Containment Pressure Suppression function following a Loss of Coolant Accident. Subsequent investigation determined that the only applicable concern was the potential to partially bypass the pressure suppression pool function if a LOCA should occur during the time when the drywell and suppression pool were interconnected by purging or venting operations. In resolving this issue, it was determined at 1200 on April 30 that this condition was reportable. A Four (4) hour phone call to the NRC was initiated under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I). The root causes of the event are 1) a failure in the original operating procedure for purging and its associated safety evaluation to address all interactions among the drywell and suppression chamber, and 2) failure to ensure that consistent operating alignment and philosophy were used in incorporating the design basis into operating procedure development. Corrective actions include revising station procedures to preclude the possibility of operation with a pressure suppression bypass flow path. The safety significance of this event is moderate.
237-97-017 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3b	While assisting the Quad-Cities Station during an NRC inspection, Dresden engineering personnel became aware of a technical issue regarding vortexing at the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI)/Reactor Core Isolation Cooling suction nozzle in the Condensate Storage Tank (CST). No previous calculations addressing vortexing at the HPCI suction nozzle on the CST had been performed for Dresden Station and the issue was judged applicable to Dresden Station. An operability determination found that significant amounts of air could be entrained into the HPCI suction piping before the CST low-low level would be reached and automatic transfer of the HPCI suction from the CST to the torus initiated. The Unit 2 and Unit 3 HPCI systems were declared inoperable and the applicable Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation was entered. Dresden has two CSTs and HPCI is generally aligned to one CST. Based on alignment of both CSTs to the HPCI systems and administratively maintaining water level in the CSTs and torus above low level alarm limits, it was determined that there was no line break accident scenario where the low-low CST level setpoint is reached. For line breaks outside of containment, the amount of water required for HPCI is small compared to the available inventory and no automatic transfer of the suction path is required. The primary root cause appears to be an original design error. The effect of vortexing on the useable CST volume was not considered in the cSTs is automatically transferred to the torus prior to entraining significant amounts of air into the HPCI pump. No previous occurrences were identified. The torus prior to entraining significant amounts of air into the HPCI pump. No previous occurrences were identified. The safety consequences of a degraded HPCI system would have been minimal at the time of the event since the automatic depressuration system and other ECCS systems were available as a backup to the HPCI subsystem to mitigate the consequences of an accident.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-004	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 27, 1997, at 1200 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, a single failure vulnerability of Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water System (RBCCW) was identified. Containment Isolation Valve 1-RC-206 has an allowable closure time of 35 seconds per Technical Requirements Manual. The valve is powered from MCC-E3 which is connected to the Gas Turbine (GT) for its emergency power source. Following a Loss of Normal Power (LNP) event, AC power will not be restored to MCC-E3 for a maximum Technical Specification limit of 48 seconds. A time limit of 60 seconds is assumed in the offsite dose calculations to establish primary containment integrity. On a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) with a LNP, a failure of DC operated valve 1-RC-207 will result in penetration X-24 taking longer than the assumed 60 seconds to completely isolate. Any post-LOCA gas escaping from primary containment through this penetration would be released into secondary containment. This leakage would be in addition to the 300.3 SCF per hour Appendix J leakage from the Drywell assumed in the off site dose calculations. Thus, this condition could exceed the existing calculated site boundary dose limits. The cause of this condition was the failure to adequately establish a design basis for RBCCW containment isolation. An engineering evaluation was performed that determined that the leakage from containment would be an additional volume of approximately 25.1 SCF from the post-LOCA containment atmosphere. The radiological impact of this additional leakage has been determined to be an unacceptable potential safety consequence due to limited margin to the design basis limits in areas related to control room habitability and EEQ integrated radiation doses.
245-97-005	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 15, 1997, with the plant in the COLD SHUTDOWN condition, it was discovered that the Radwaste Storage Building (RSB) vent exhaust fan HVE-14 discharges directly to the atmosphere without continuous radiation monitoring. On February 6, 1997, this event was determined to be reportable and a report was made pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition that is outside of the design basis of the plant. The HEPA filter for the exhaust fan has not been tested to verify the efficiency of the filter. The airborne activity in the RSB was exhausted directly without an operable HEPA filter. Operation of exhaust fan HVE-14 was prevented immediately upon discovery of the event. A review of a sample of the Health Physics airborne radioactivity surveys for the RSB has determined that there was no significant unmonitored release based on the low levels of airborne activity in the RSB. Prior to returning HVE-14 to service, the HEPA filter will be tested and a program for periodic testing of the filter will be established. The Design Change Manual will be reviewed to verify adequate design change controls for HVAC systems.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-007	6a	On January 31, 1997, at 0930, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, it was discovered that the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Flow-Biased High Flux Reactor Scram is credited in establishing the initial conditions for limiting plant transients but is not redundant and not single failure proof. This event was immediately reported on January 31, 1997 pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. There were no automatic or manually initiated safety system responses as a result of this event. The cause of this event is that the loss of stator cooling was not sufficiently analyzed, as a potential initiating event for a loss of feedwater heating event, due to programmatic weaknesses ensuring adequate engineering review for purchased engineering activities. The safety significance of this event is that a single failure which disables the APRM Flow-Biased High Flux Reactor Scram results in the fuel thermal limits being exceeded during the transient. However, there were no safety consequences as a result of this event. The design will be enhanced to provide an appropriate protective system for this transient prior to startup for operating Cycle 16. Millstone Unit No. 1 is currently involved in a 10CFR50.54(f) design basis reconstitution effort that would identify past design basis inadequacies. The existing QA/QC and Engineering activities prior to startup for operating Cycle 16.
245-97-008	Зс	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 3, 1997, with the plant shutdown and the reactor in the COLD SHUTDOWN condition, a review of the Gas Turbine Generator (GTG) preoperational test has revealed that the test did demonstrate that the starting air receiver does contain sufficient inventory for three starts without recharging, however, the initial start was performed at 250 psig and the system pressure has since been maintained between 200 psig and 235 psig. Therefore, the starting air system has been operated outside the Plant Design Basis and is being reported in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii). There were no automatic nor manually initiated safety system responses as a result of this event. properly identify and verify the design basis of the GTG air start subsystem and the corrective action is to establish the design basis, document it in the UFSAR and verify it by testing. There were no safety consequences as a result of this event. The safety significance of this event is low since the GTG air receiver has been demonstrated to contain sufficient inventory to provide at least one GTG start each month.
245-97-009	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 12, 1997, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, a review of a previously completed setpoint calculation from October 25, 1994, identified the reactor vessel low-low level Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) and Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) initiation setpoints were potentially non-conservatively set. associated with the low-low level ECCS and PCIS initiation functions revealed that the instrument uncertainty error is greater than the instrument trip setting band required by Technical Specifications (TS). Therefore, ECCS and PCIS initiation could occur beyond the required TS limits. This event was promptly reported on February 12, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I) as an unanalyzed condition. The ECCS and PCIS low-low level initiation instrumentation was determined to be inoperable as a result of this discovery. The cause for exceeding TS limits is the use of an original setpoint methodology which did not explicitly evaluate individual contributors to the overall instrument uncertainty. There were no safety consequences as a result of this event. The TS will be changed or a new analysis will be performed to ensure that ECCS and PCIS actuation will occur consistent with the plant's design basis including consideration of instrument uncertainties. All calculations that have been completed as part of the Setpoint Verification Program will be reviewed to determine if other non-conservative setpoints exist.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-012	NRS - 6c	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 14 1997, at 1400 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, a design deficiency was identified in the Gas Turbine Generator (GTG) digital control system which could have resulted in misoperation during a seismic event. The design deficiency was discovered during the implementation of the corrective actions from the USI A-46 seismic review of the GTG where the interface between control system input relays and the digital control system was being evaluated. The results of the evaluation indicate a potential for the digital control system to respond to relay contact chatter of durations less than 2mS which could render the GTG inoperable. This was immediately reported pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition that is outside of the plant's design basis. There were no automatic or manually initiated safety system responses as a result of this event. There were no safety consequences as a result of this event as the relay contacts have never been challenged by a seismic event. The SBO condition has been previously analyzed and the safety significance of this event would be minimized as a result of Millstone Unit No. 1's ability to negotiate an SBO condition as documented in Standard Specification SP-EE-361 in response to 10CFR50.63. Corrective actions include implementing a physical modification to resolve this design deficiency.
245-97-013	6b	On February 17, 1997, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, it was discovered during a document review that the weight of the lower mast sections of the Refueling Platform fuel grapple were not added to the fuel assembly weight, to determine the total potential impact load for the design of the spent fuel pool storage racks. When moving fuel in the fuel pool, in the event of a single active failure of a hoist component, such as a cable break, could result in a dropped fuel assembly failing with portions of the mast onto fuel stored in the spent fuel storage racks or in an empty storage rack cell. In the course of reviewing potential interactions between the refueling mast and the spent fuel storage racks, it was also discovered on February 24, 1997, that a similar interaction may occur when the mast would be operated over the reactor vessel. In the event of a break in the hoist cable, the mast would not reach its full extension and consequently, a portion of the mast could remain attached to a dropped fuel assembly and strike the top of the fuel or the reactor vessel internals. These conditions were determined to be outside the design basis of the plant and reported on February 18, 1997, and February 25, 1997, in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). The cause of the event has been determined to be the failure to maintain the design basis as stated in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) based on a revised analysis by General Electric (GE) in 1987. The revised analyses were subsequently performed for postulated drops over the fuel pool storage racks and reactor vessel core area. Changes were processed for incorporation of the revised analysis into the Millstone Unit No. 1 UFSAR.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-016	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 1, 1997, at 1100 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN condition, it was discovered that during vent/purge operations using the Standby Gas Treatment System (SGTS), the opening and closing sequence of the Atmospheric Control (AC) and the SGTS inlet valves (1-SG-1A/B) creates a temporary flow path between the Reactor Building and primary containment prior to isolation of the AC system. The flow escaping into the Reactor Building through this path during a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) could be sufficient to lift the blow-out panels in the Reactor Building, especially if purging/venting was conducted with the 18' AC valves. Since the differential pressure (DP) in the Reactor Building would be at the 6 in Wg relief pressure of the panels, 10CFR100 limits would be exceeded before the SGTS could restore the25 in Wg DP and begin filtering the release. The potential safety consequences of this condition is a loss of safety function since without secondary containment, SGTS would be unable to mitigate the consequences of a LOCA. It should be noted that, Technical Specification 3.7.B.3.6 requires primary containment to be purged through SGTS whenever primary containment is required. The potential to pressurize the Reactor Building during vent/purge evolutions results from the fact that the design of the SGTS system did not consider a LOCA coincident with these operations. This event is considered outside the plant's original design basis.
245-97-018	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 24, 1997, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, a review of the as-left limit switch settings of valves that have a torque switch bypass feature indicated that four valves may not fully isolate a downstream pipe break. These four valves have a spring pack compensator which allows the stem to move upward during the closing valve stroke. This movement was not accounted for in determining the physical location on the valve seat where the valve disc would stop. the valve seats, thus not isolating the flow through the valve, before the bypass of the torque switch is electrically removed and the valve is assumed to stop. These valves (1-IC-1, 1-IC-4, 1-CU-2 and 1-CU-28) isolate the Isolation Condenser system and the Reactor Water Cleanup systems respectively. This condition was immediately reported as outside the design basis of the plant on February 24, 1997. The cause of the event is the lack of adequate design change process guidance and limited vendor and industry documentation availability. To prevent reoccurrence, a design change to compensate for the potential upward movement of the stem nut and stem during a high energy line break condition is required. This will include adjusting the close torque switch bypass percentage setting in the field. This corrective action will be completed prior to startup for operating Cycle 16.
245-97-024	За	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 9, 1997, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN and fuel off-loaded, a review of a breaker panel design calculation identified several breaker to breaker coordination problems associated with the 125 VDC system. The loss of safety to non-safety isolation is due to the miscoordination between breakers 101B-29 (normal) or 101A-30 (emergency) and the other panel 11A-1 breakers. Also, 101A-31 (normal) or 101B-30 (emergency) and panel 11A-2 breakers do not coordinate. Both 125 VDC panels supply safety and non-safety related loads. The fault current due to failure of a non-safety related component on either bus would over trip the upstream safety related feeder breaker. This over trip event would prevent safety related loads on panels 11A-1 and 11A-2 from performing their safety related function. The potential safety significance of this condition is that the mis-coordination of breakers results in the loss of safety to non-safety to non-safety isolation which could trip the panel supply breaker. The panels were evaluated to determine that 11A-1 and 11A-2 are functional for the current plant conditions. The panels will be reviewed and modified to eliminate the breaker to breaker mis-coordination. This event was reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I) as an unanalyzed condition that significantly compromises plant safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-026	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 14, 1997, with the plant shut down and the reactor in the COLD SHUTDOWN condition, it was discovered based on a historical review that a significant amount of coating work inside the Millstone Unit 1 Torus may be unqualified. A number of different coating materials and systems have been used inside the Torus. The locations and extent of various coating systems is not clear. The potential safety consequence of this condition is that these coatings may not remain in place and intact after a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) and could compromise Engineered Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) recirculation flows. This unanalyzed condition was reported on April 16, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I). An above waterline survey of the Torus will be performed to establish the present condition of the coating systems as applied based on sampling and testing to enable their precise or generic identification. The need for a hydrodynamic transport analysis will be evaluated to potentially determine the affect of delamination of coatings within the Torus on ECCS recirculation flows. The results of the survey will be used to determine the need for additional surveys and to evaluate the various coating materials and systems within the Torus. The results of the survey and the potential hydrodynamic analysis will be evaluated and, if required, sections of the Torus will be recoated.
245-97-028	За	On April 24, 1997, at 1300 hours, with the plant in the COLD SHUTDOWN condition, an engineering review of a previously prepared Failure Modes and Effects Analysis determined that there existed several Non-QA components and circuits whose postulated failure could adversely affect the ability of safety related components or systems to perform their required safety functions. The following safety related components or systems were affected: Diesel Generator (DG), Gas Turbine Generator (GTG) and Feedwater Coolant Injection System (FWCI). This event was promptly reported on April 24, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I), as an unanalyzed condition that seriously compromises plant safety. There were no actual safety consequences as a result of this event. A review of systems to identify and resolve design basis discrepancies is ongoing as part of the design basis verification program to address 10CFR50.54(f) concerns. The specific failure modes identified affecting the DG, GTG, FWCI will be corrected by plant changes or analysis. Engineering guidance delineating the detailed requirements for application of the single failure criterion will be prepared and training on the proper application of the single failure criterion will be evaluated to assure that the ability of the safety systems to perform their required safety functions will not be affected.
245-97-033	6a	On August 1, 1997, at 1300 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, it was determined that several conditions existed on site which could create potential unmonitored airborne radioactivity release paths. As an example, roll-up doors in the turbine and solid radwaste buildings are opened at times without appropriate process controls in place to provide a method of monitoring the pathway for potential releases. Additionally, walkarounds have identified several structural openings in the turbine hall, and documentation indicating an airborne release evaluation is not apparent. The cause of this condition was the lack of an adequate monitoring program. The immediate corrective action was to place low volume air samplers at the areas in question. The work control administrative procedure has been revised with appropriate process controls in place to provide a method of monitoring of pathways for potential releases. Radiological assessments of these conditions will be performed and a structured monitoring program developed based on the assessment prior to startup from this refueling outage.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-034 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On August 12, 1997, at 1500 hours, a deficiency was identified with the original assumptions for a postulated High Energy Line Break (HELB) in the Millstone Unit No. 2 Main Steam System. The Architect Engineering firm (A&E), Bechtel, performed the original analysis assuming that the limiting HELB occurred in a 12-inch steam line leading to the Main Turbine bypass valves. Postulated breaks downstream of the Main Turbine stop valves were not analyzed since it was assumed that the stop valves would rapidly close and terminate blowdown. This assumption was not conservative since there is a time delay before the stop valves receive a signal to close. The increase in time required for the valves to close results in more limiting breaks downstream of the Unit No. 2 Turbine building could fail due to the higher pressure reached during the accident. This door leads to a corridor between the Unit 1 Turbine Building and the Unit 2 Turbine Building which could allow steam from the HELB to enter the switchgear room for the Unit 1 Emergency Onsite Power Supply System. The cause of this condition was an incorrect assumption for HELB analysis performed by the A&E, which was not identified during the original licensee review of the analysis. Engineering will evaluate the replacement of door 203-31-001 with a HELB door, the need for blowout panels in the Unit 2 Turbine Building external siding, and qualifying other paths and barriers between Unit 1 and Unit 2 prior to Unit 2 entering Mode 4. An adequacy review will be conducted of HELB barriers in Unit 1 for Unit 2 HELB events by March 1, 1998. A review of the Unit 1 HELB analysis will be conducted and the analysis revised as required for issues similar to those described in this LER by March 1, 1998.
245-97-036	6a	On September 29, 1997, with the plant in the COLD SHUTDOWN condition, through configuration management efforts ongoing in response to the 50.54(f) letter, it was determined that the fire pump house was vulnerable to flooding in a Postulated Maximum Hurricane (PMH) event. The Fire Water system provides makeup for the Isolation Condenser system during design basis flooding conditions. The flood protection actions to prevent flooding during a PMH are contained in Off Normal Procedure ONP-514A, "Natural Occurrences". This procedure outlines the requirements to plug floor drains and close flood gates 12 hours prior to the anticipated arrival of a hurricane. The building contains a total of three drains which provide the potential for flooding. Two of the three are traditional floor drains which are addressed by ONP-514A and plugged. The third drain path is a pressure relief fitting on the discharge of the relief valve from the Diesel Fire Pump which is not included in the procedure. The cause of this event was a weakness in the design change process in place in the early 80's which failed to identify this potential leakage path while modifying the building to provide flood protection. NNECO will evaluate the shutdown path for the PMH event, inspect the fire pump house to identify any additional leakage paths, and implement a plan to address the specific leakage path identified prior to restart.
245-97-038	NRS - 6a	On October 8, 1997, at 1430 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, it was determined that the Reactor Building roof was not analyzed for the Millstone Unit No. 1 seismic Shutdown Earthquake (SSE). The roof decking and welds to the roof steel were designed to transfer horizontal shear loads for the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE). The existing welds are at their full capacity based on the OBE analysis, and the higher SSE loads have not been addressed. It is expected that the welds would exceed the code allowable stresses during the SSE loads. The function of the Reactor Building roof is to enclose the reactor and associated equipment and to provide secondary containment. The cause of this condition is failure to analyze the Reactor Building roof for the higher loads during a SSE due to a limited sampling method used during the assessment of the seismic capacity of the facility. Administrative controls are in place to prevent fuel movement until the Reactor Building roof has been qualified for the SSE. An analysis of the Reactor Building roof will be performed for the SSE loads and potential modifications made to the roof prior to startup from this refueling outage.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
245-97-039	За	On October 31, 1997, at 1020 hours, with the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, it was discovered during a review of industry events that a valve alignment could exist during primary containment purging which would create a flow path that would allow the steam released during a Loss Of Coolant Accident (LOCA) to bypass the suppression pool if the inboard containment isolation valves fail to close. This bypass flow path could degrade the pressure suppression function of the suppression pool and possibly over-pressurize the containment. This valve alignment occurs when inerting or purging the drywell and suppression chamber simultaneously during plant startup or shutdown. The root cause was a design error, in that no interlock precluded the bypass flow path, nor did design output or the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report caution against this alignment. The operating procedures permitted simultaneous purging or inerting of the drywell and suppression chamber. Appropriate system operating procedures will be revised prior to startup from this outage to prohibit simultaneous opening of the drywell and suppression chamber inboard isolation atmospheric control valves whenever primary containment is required. A change to the UFSAR will be made to specify that the inboard isolation valves may not be operated in a manner that would provide a flow path that would bypass flow path Will be evaluated prior to startup from this outage.
247-97-006	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 20, 1997, with reactor power at 100 percent, non-operations personnel were transporting scaffolding materials through the pipe penetration area (PPA) to the electrical penetration area (EPA). A door between these two areas was held open longer than needed for personnel passage to facilitate the transfer of the scaffold materials. This door is an environmental barrier intended to preclude postulated design basis accident harsh environments from entering the EPA. This area has not been evaluated or qualified for harsh environs per 10CFR50.49. The result of keeping the door to the EPA open longer than needed for personnel passage is the that the EPA becomes unanalyzed for that period of time. Nuclear Plant Operators (NPO's), as part of their routine inspections, check that the door remains in the closed position. This activity is procedurally required to be performed four times a day (approximately, every 6 hours). The door was immediately closed once discovered by a nuclear plant operator on routine tour of the plant. The plant remained in operation throughout this event.
247-97-015	5c	During the 1997 refueling outage, Con Edison personnel recognized that a check-off list specified the closed, rather than the open, position for manual valve 1863, which is in a high-head recirculation alternative flow path described in the UFSAR. Valve 1863 must be opened to provide a flow path for core decay heat removal in the event of multiple active failures of safety related equipment or a passive failure of the suction piping to the safety injection pumps. Certain accident scenarios have the potential for excessive post-accident radiation fields in the areas which provide access to the valve and at the location of the valve. This could render the flow path unavailable for use, if required, as the valve could not be accessed to be opened. The position of valve 1863 was changed to "open"prior to restart from the 1997 refueling outage. Further, a modification was completed on valve MOV-883 to address the concern of a single failure in an electrical system resulting in loss of capability to perform a safety function in accordance with Branch Technical Position EICSB 18. The Corrective Actions section identifies the completed, and ongoing activities. The currently ongoing corrective actions are scheduled for completion by March 1, 1999.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
247-97-022	6b	On October 28, 1997, with the unit in cold shutdown mode, Westinghouse informed Con Edison, along with other utilities, of issues regarding fuel rod internal pressure (RIP), the status of the Westinghouse PAD code, and concerns with fuel rod design criteria. When initially notified, Con Edison was advised that Westinghouse could not preclude the possibility that plants with Zircaloy-4 clad integral burnable absorber fuel (IFBA) may be outside their design criteria due to fuel clad gap reopening, in that the 17 percent maximum cladding oxidation limit, as delineated in 10 CFR 50.46, may be exceeded. Since that time, and based on the LOCA analyses which have been performed to date by Westinghouse, an initial pre-transient 12 percent oxidation has been established as a screening criteria to permit assessment of plants regarding compliance with the 17 percent maximum cladding oxidation criterion of 10CFR 50.46. Based on the screening criteria, plants with IFBA rods in the first half of their operating cycle or returning from a refueling outage are determined to be in compliance due to either no gap reopening or low levels of pre-transient oxidation due to steady-state corrosion accumulation. Subsequent communication from Westinghouse indicates that a plant specific fuel rod performance assessment has been completed for Indian Point Unit 2 Cycle 14. Specifically, Westinghouse has informed us that the Indian Point Unit 2 Cycle 14 Reload Safety Evaluation (RSE) continues to remain valid through 23110 MWD/MTU.
250-97-005 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 5b	During planning for a modification, Florida Power & Light Company determined that the design of the Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Oil Collection System does not capture leakage from all potential leakage sites. Specifically, the level switches, their associated piping flanges, the level sightglasses, and the lube oil cooler piping drain are not protected from being potential leakage sites, and are not provided with leakage collection. This condition places both Turkey Point units outside the design basis as stated in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. The original RCP Oil Collection System design did not consider these component parts to be potential leakage sources. The NRC's Safety Evaluation Report approving Turkey Point's Oil Collection System was received several months prior to the time that 10CFR50 Appendix R was issued. It appears that when Appendix R was issued, the design was not reconciled with respect to the exact wording of section III.O. The Oil collection System will be modified to collect potential leakage from these points. In the interim, the Oil Collection System is considered operable.
250-97-008 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On September 9, 1997, Turkey Point Unit 4 was in Mode 5 in preparation for a refueling. Inspection of the containment recirculation sumps revealed several gaps and a hole in the sump screens which were in excess of the design requirements. On September 11, a similar condition was found on Unit 3 while in Mode 1. The gaps would allow debris larger than 3/8 inch to enter the flow stream to the containment spray nozzles, during the recirculation phase following a loss of coolant accident. Therefore, the screen damage resulted in both units operating outside the design basis. The causes of this event were inadequate procedural guidance, and personnel error (utility non-licensed personnel). The Unit 3 screen was repaired on the spot. The Unit 4 screens have also been repaired. FPL is clarifying the containment recirculation sump screen design basis. Additional procedural guidance is being developed to verify containment recirculation sump screen design details. Discussion of the event will be incorporated into the continuing training program at Turkey Point.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
251-97-001	5c	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 27, 1997, Florida Power & Light Company's Turkey Point Unit 4 was operating in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 1440 hours eastern standard time an engineering evaluation found leakage on the 4A high head safety injection pump casing joint to be outside the design basis for recirculation loop leakage. The cause was believed to be a leaking gasket. While torquing the casing bolts at the leak location, the leak increased significantly to a level determined to be outside allowable limits. With the static head of the refueling water storage tank, the estimated leakage was 38 liters per hour. The limit used was that which could cause exceeding the dose criteria of General Design Criterion 19 dose levels. The leak was repaired using injection sealant, and the pump was returned to operable status. On April 3, 1997, at 2030 hours, the 4A safety injection pump was discovered leaking at about 3.4 liters per hour while the pump was running. The pump casing gasket was replaced to return the pump to operability. The NRC operations center was notified after each event in accordance with 10 CFR Section 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), 'condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.' The April 3 event was retracted.
254-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On 012797, with both Units in Mode One at 100% power, it was determined that several isolable piping sections could experience stresses above Updated Final Safety Analysis Report Allowables due to Post-Loss of Coolant Accident thermal pressurization. In response to NRC Generic Letter 96-06, several calculations were performed to evaluate piping and containment penetrations for thermal over pressurization caused by a Main Steam Line Break (MSLB)/Loss of Coolant Accident(LOCA). These calculations identified five penetrations(per Unit) that could experience over pressurization under accident conditions. The affected piping systems include: Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water, Reactor Recirculation Sample lines, Residual Heat Removal, Reactor Water Clean-up, and Clean Demineralized Water. The affected piping sections were determined to be operable, but degraded. The cause of the event was inadequate original design. Based on the results of the operability assessment, there is minimal safety significance to the station or the health and safety of the public as a result of this event. The affected penetrations would maintain containment integrity following an accident. In the event of an accident, any radiological release would remain within analyzed limits. The corrective actions taken to resolve this issue are being developed and will be provided in a supplemental report.
254-97-006 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On 032797, during a review of a Problem Identification Form (PIF) written on 022796, station personnel concluded that the PIF had been incorrectly dispositioned as non-reportable due to incomplete understanding of the Quad Cities Appendix R Safe Shutdown Analysis. Appendix R Conformance Safe Shutdown Analysis (SSA), plant personnel discovered that the Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) system had been identified as a high/low pressure interface requiring isolation during certain design basis fires. However, no actions to isolate the RWCU system during a fire were included in the safe shutdown procedures due to a cognitive personnel error on the part of the procedure writer. Failure to properly isolate the RWCU system during a design basis fire could have prevented station operators from attaining safe shutdown prior to reactor level reaching the top of active fuel. The potential blowdown path was resolved by turning off the power feed breaker to the normally closed RWCU blowdown orifice bypass valve M01(2)-1201-76. All other high/low pressure interface paths were reviewed to ensure that each was resolved correctly. This event had the potential to pose a safety concern in the unlikely event of a design basis fire.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
255-97-008	NRF - 3a	On September 12, 1997, at approximately 1330 hours, with Palisades operating at approximately 100% power, an Appendix R Program Engineering Analysis was discovered to have failed to properly evaluate the potential for spurious opening of Service Water (SW) cross-tie valves (CV-0879, CV-0880 and CV-0951). These three valves supply backup SW to cool Engineered Safeguards pump seals and bearings if a loss of Component Cooling Water (CCW) would occur. Specifically, a fire in one of several areas could result in a single spurious opening of any of these valves which could potentially result in a loss of CCW inventory to the lower pressure SW System. This condition was found during a planned review of Appendix R Program engineering analyses. This review determined the analysis contained errors which could potentially place the plant in a scenario which was not specifically addressed in the Appendix R program analyses. This event is reportable to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the plant design basis. This Appendix R issue is reportable because the analysis failed to identify a condition which could potentially result in a loss of CCW inventory due to a fire in certain fire areas. This loss of CCW would jeopardize the ability to achieve the 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Part III, L required plant conditions.
255-97-009	NRF - 3a	On September 23, 1997, at 1105 hours with the plant at approximately 100% power, it was recognized that an operator action to support an Appendix R program analysis had not been implemented in the appropriate Off-Normal Procedure (ONP). Specifically ONP 25.2, "Alternate Safe Shutdown Procedure" did not include the action to trip all four primary coolant pumps if the control room had to be evacuated. Instead, the procedure directed the control room operators to "trip two primary coolant pumps and leave two operating" Once the control room is evacuated, no instrumentation is identified to provide the operational status of the primary coolant pumps or the status of the component cooling water to the primary coolant pump motor and seals. It has been identified that a fire-initiated hot short could cause one of the component cooling water containment isolation valves to spuriously close, isolating cooling flow to the primary coolant pumps. Palisades' primary coolant pump operation has not been analyzed for operation without seal or motor bearing cooling. The manufacturer indicates that no damage to the seal or pump motor will occur if operated without cooling for no more than ten minutes. ONP 25.2 has been revised to require tripping of all four primary coolant pumps when evacuating of the control room. Having not implemented the action to support the analysis is contrary to 10 CFR 50 Appendix R direction that during post fire shutdown, the fission product barrier shall not be affected, i.e. there shall be no rupture of primary coolant boundary. This is reported as a condition outside of the plant's design basis.
255-97-010	NRF - 3a	A 10 CFR 50, Appendix R analysis concluded the simultaneous opening of two main steam line atmospheric steam dump valves (ASDVs) required termination of the steam generator blowdown by local manual actions within ten minutes. In the analysis, opening of two of the four main steam ASDVs occurred spuriously from a hot short. Recent reviews of the Appendix R analysis determined it should have accounted for all four main steam ASDVs and the turbine bypass valve (TBV) spuriously opening. A new evaluation indicates that local manual actions must be completed within six minutes to close the ASDVs in the event of the Appendix R fire scenario resulting in all four ASDVs and the TBV opening. A walk-through verification concluded that the ASDVs could be closed within six minutes. The procedure has been revised and operator training of the procedure revision has been completed. This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the plant design basis. The earlier Appendix R analysis contained errors which could have potentially placed the plant in a scenario which was not addressed with approved procedures.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
259-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 3, 1997, with Units 2 and 3 at approximately 29 percent and 83 percent power, respectively, and Unit 1 shutdown and defueled, a TVA engineering evaluation determined that during a design basis accident a pipe which penetrates the containment is susceptible to thermal over pressurization stresses resulting in pipe stresses in excess of BFN UFSAR allowable stresses. The cause of this condition results from a potentially filled and isolated pipe that penetrates the containment and is susceptible to thermal expansion during some design basis accident conditions. The corrective action to resolve this condition is to revise plant procedures to ensure that a sufficient quantity of water is drained from the affected pipe at the end of an outage. This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside design basis of the plant. No Previous LERs on similar events were identified.
259-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On May 12, 1997, during a review of industry reportable events, a valve alignment was identified which could, if a Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) Division I failure occurred, create a flow path that would allow the steam released during a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) to bypass the suppression pool, degrading the pressure suppression function of the containment. This valve alignment occurs when inerting or purging the drywell and suppression chamber simultaneously during plant startup and shutdown. A subsequent analysis of the design basis LOCA showed that peak containment pressure could exceed the maximum design pressure of 62 psig while in this configuration if Division I of the PCIS failed. If Division I of PCIS isolates as designed, containment design pressure would not be exceeded. Appropriate procedures have been changed to prohibit this alignment except during cold shutdown conditions. This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(B) as any event or condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant.
259-97-004 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3b	On June 24, 1997, BFN engineering personnel identified an apparent inconsistency between the BFN FSAR and the plant Technical Specification 3.5.C, RHR (Residual Heat Removal) Service Water and Emergency Equipment Cooling Water (EECW) Systems, regarding the number of Residual Heat Removal Service Water (RHRSW) pumps required to remove heat from a unit following a design basis accident. The BFN FSAR states that two RHRSW pumps per unit are required to serve the core and containment cooling function following a design basis accident. There are eight pumps that can be aligned to RHRSW service. The current BFN Technical Specifications for the RHRSW system require a total of seven, five and four pumps to be operable and assigned to RHRSW service with three, two and one units fueled, respectively. Since two RHRSW pumps are supplied from emergency diesel generator A and two are supplied from emergency diesel generator B, then the potential exists for a single failure of diesel generator A or B to reduce the number of available RHRSW pumps to less than the number required by the FSAR for the case of two or three unit operation. Immediately upon the discovery of this condition, administrative controls were implemented to require two operable RHRSW pumps per unit. On October 10, 1997, after completing an analysis that confirmed the validity of the RHRSW pump requirements as stated in the FSAR, this condition was reported to NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a event or condition that resulted in the nuclear plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. A request for a change to Technical Specification 3.5.C will be submitted to the NRC to correct the non-conservatism.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
260-97-006	2b	On October 19, 1997, at 1629 hours CDT, TVA declared the Unit 2 High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) system inoperable as a result of a HPCI turbine inlet steam line drain pot high level. As required by Technical Specifications (TS), TVA entered a seven day Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) for an inoperable HPCI system. On October 21, 1997, at 0650 hours following successful completion of the cold start portion of Surveillance Instruction, HPCI Flow Rate Test At Normal RPV Pressure, the Unit 2 HPCI system was returned to standby readiness and declared operable. TVA's investigation into the cause of this event was inconclusive. As part of Unit 2 Cycle 9 Outage, TVA replaced the turbine steam supply valve, which is located downstream of the HPCI turbine inlet steam line drain pot, as well as the steam trap, and steam trap bypass valves which are both located downstream of the HPCI turbine inlet steam line drain pot. During the replacement of the turbine steam supply valve the retaining welds were removed with an abrasive cutting tool. It is believed that during the removal operation fines may have entered the system. Corrective actions will be modified. TVA intends to replace the Unit 3 HPCI turbine steam supply valve during the next scheduled Unit 3 refueling outage. The modification package that will replace the valve will provide instructions to ensure that the affected piping is inspected following the activity. TVA is providing this report in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v), as an event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of a structure needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident.
261-97-005	6a	On April 22, 1997, with H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant (HBRSEP) 3 Unit No. 2, operating at 100% power, the results of an investigation revealed that certain spent fuel shipping cask handling activities had been conducted outside the design and licensing basis of the plant. Specifically, a IF-300 spent fuel shipping cask is configured for fuel loading by removing the cask valve box covers. The loaded cask is then lifted with a non single failure proof crane decontamination facility to the cask rail car, where the cask valve box covers are then installed. Lifting the cask with the non-single failure proof crane with the valve box covers removed is not covered by the shipping configuration drop analysis. An evaluation was completed that concludes this condition represents an unreviewed safety question. Accordingly, this report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. There have been no significant adverse safety consequences associated with this condition. A postulated spent fuel shipping cask drop with the valve box covers removed could lead to an off-site release that exceeds the "no release" result of a cask drop specified in the licensing basis. However, results of the final evaluation, completed on July 14, 1997, concluded that the off-site doses resulting from a postulated cask drop with a less than fully ,secured cask are a small fraction of the 10 CFR 100 limits and the acceptance criteria in the Standard Review Plan. This condition was caused by inadequate evaluations for cask handling operations, versus shipping configuration accident conditions. Procedures for spent fuel cask handling operations, versus shipping configuration accident condition.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
261-97-006	NRF - 3a	On May 21, 1997, with H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 operating at 100% power, engineering personnel discovered that auto-start and manual start cables for Safety Injection (SI) Pump C were routed in the same cable tray stack as the auto-start cables for SI Pumps A, and B (when aligned in the safety Train A configuration), a condition outside of the design basis of the plant. A modification was implemented on May 25, 1997, that replaced the cables in new routes that achieved normal design basis separation. This condition was caused by an original plant design installation error. There have been no significant adverse safety consequences associated with this condition. The results of a review concluded that the cable routing error did not introduce a condition that had significant adverse safety consequences. A review of other SI pump cables was performed, and additional deviations to the redundant cable separation criteria that were identified were dispositioned. Current electrical installation practices provide conservative separation criteria that is based on the concepts of safety train separation. Additional emphasis will be placed on incorporating the review of electrical separation during appropriate engineering self-assessments.
261-97-007	5b	On June 10, 1997, with the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant (HBRSEP), Unit No. 2 operating at 100% power, plant operators noted that the Reactor Protection System (RPS) Over Power Delta-Temperature (OPDT) channels were not responding properly, and at 1101 hours, declared the OPDT channels inoperable. The NRC Operations Center was notified of this condition at 1155 hours pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). An investigation determined that the circuit for the OPDT summator in each of the three RPS loops was not properly calibrated. This event is attributed to inadequate programmatic controls which led to a personnel error. In 1979, personnel failed to specify the low limit setpoint for the summator on the data sheet used for the calibration. As a result, the OPDT channels were not calibrated using the proper setpoint. The safety significance of this event is minimal. Although the OPDT trip function is required by Technical Specifications, it is an additional trip which is available, and is not credited in the safety analyses. Procedure and calibration data sheet changes were implemented specifying the correct low limit setpoint, and the OPDT channels were re-calibrated and placed back in service on June 10, 1997. This event was reviewed by Maintenance Instrumentation and Control (I/C) craft personnel, Maintenance Unit I/C procedure writers, and Operations shift personnel. A review was performed to determine which summators in the RPS required high and low limits to be set, and calibration data sheets were reviewed and verified to ensure the limits are specified. This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii) as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
261-97-008	За	A review of calculations related to the available Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH) for the Safety Injection (SI) system determined that following a postulated large break Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA), and assuming a single active failure of one SI pump, the remaining operating SI pump might not have sufficient NPSH under certain operating equipment configurations to respond to a design basis accident. The NRC Operations Center was notified of this condition on June 27, 1997, at 1152 hours. This condition was caused by personnel error. Personnel involved in a 1988 design change to the SI system did not adequately assess the impact of a single pump on SI system flow and pump NPSH requirements. Assuming a single failure, failure of the other SI pump could lead to increased fuel cladding temperatures and fuel damage during a LOCA, which could result in an increase in consequences beyond those considered in the current safety analysis. Therefore, during the period of inadequate available NPSH, the plant operated in a condition outside of the design basis. Modifications have been implemented to assure adequate NPSH is available to respond to a design basis event. ECCS flow modeling and calculations were completed on November 24, 1997, to document the capability of the ECCS system's pumps to perform their design functions. The SI system piping will be modified to gain additional NPSH margin during the next outage of duration, but prior to startup from Refueling Outage 18. Assessments of other systems will be conducted and will include evaluation of system modifications and related design calculations for potential inadequacies.
261-97-010	5c	On August 20, 1997, at approximately 1544 hours, plant operations personnel determined that the output breaker control switch for the "B" Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG-B) was in the tripped position. In the tripped position, the breaker would not be able to supply power to the associated emergency bus. The switch was immediately returned to the neutral position. During the time the EDG-B was considered inoperable, certain equipment in its redundant train did not meet the TS definition of OPERABILITY, a condition prohibited by TS. Operations personnel applied Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.0 to this condition, which requires the plant to be placed in hot shutdown within eight hours and in cold shutdown within the next 30 hours. This condition also represents a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. The NRC Operations Center was notified of this condition on August 20, 1997, at 1634 hours. Investigation of this event could not determine the specific cause of the switch mispositioning. A check of other selected switches in the plant was performed to verify they were in the correct position and to detect other evidence of tampering. A review of other switches outside the control room that could disable safety significant equipment without giving indication or annunciation in the control room will be provided to plant personnel on the switches outside the control room that could disable safety significant equipment without giving indication so f these switches. Training will be provided to plant personnel on the switches outside the control room that could disable safety signification or annunciation in the control room. This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition that was ou
263-97-003	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During the assessment of Generic Letter 96-006, it was determined that during certain accidents several primary containment penetrations could exceed the allowable stresses specified in the Updated Safety Analysis Report. These design errors were made by the original architect engineer and not identified by subsequent plant reviews. Installation of a permanent pressure relieving device will be pursued for these penetrations.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
263-97-007	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. After reviewing an LER from Quad Cities Nuclear Station, it was discovered that the net positive suction head available for the core spray pumps was less than required. Two issues were identified: increased head losses associated with the ECCS suction strainers and a more limiting net positive suction head case. These issues were determined to be applicable to Monticello. An operability evaluation determined that the pumps were still operable. Following evaluation of an additional issue, debris generation from the drywell piping insulation during post design basis loss of coolant accident conditions, the prudency of continued operations was questionable and the plant was brought to cold shutdown. The plant will remain there until new ECCS suction strainers are installed.
263-97-012	6b	The Condensate Storage Tank low level setpoint was discovered to be inadequate since excessive air entrainment in the suction piping caused by vortexing could occur prior the HPCI and RCIC suctions transferring from the Condensate Storage Tanks to the suppression pool. Regulatory Guide 1.82, "Water Sources for Long-Term Recirculation Cooling following a Loss-of-Coolant-Accident", was conservatively used as a reference to determine the Condensate Storage Tank level required to prevent excessive air entrainment. The cause of this event is a design deficiency in the original Condensate Storage Tank low level setpoint. Level setpoints corresponding to higher tank levels have been determined and the level switches have been adjusted accordingly. A review of other suction sources for safety related pumps will be performed to assure that the effect of vortexing is properly addressed.
263-97-014	3а	A missed Technical Specification surveillance was discovered by a system engineer while addressing Generic Letter 96-01 (Testing of Safety-related Logic Circuits). During review of logic circuit surveillance tests, it was determined that the Division II ADS (Automatic Depressurization System) Inhibit Switch was not being tested. Technical Specification 4.5.A.4 requires that "ADS Inhibit Switch Operability" be performed "each operating cycle." Following issuance of the License Amendment which added this requirement, an existing surveillance test was changed to verify the operability of the switches. However, the change only tested the Division I ADS Inhibit Switch. This was a cognitive error by the preparer and the reviewer of the surveillance test. Following discovery of this problem, a temporary change was made to the surveillance test to verify the operability of the Division II ADS Inhibit Switch. A surveillance procedure will be written or revised to verify operability of the Division II ADS Inhibit Switch. Completion of the Generic Letter 96-01 logic testing reviews will identify any additional logic testing inadequacies.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
265-97-005 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On 060897 at 0542, Unit 2 was in Cold Shutdown at 0 percent power and Unit 1 was in Power Operation at 100 percent power when the Unit 2 Mode switch was placed in Startup with neither the Unit 2 nor the ½ Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs) operable as required by Technical Specification (TS) 3.0.D. On 5/24/97 Unit 1 was at 100% power and was not in compliance with Tech Spec 3.9.A which requires that the unit be in hot shutdown within 12 hours following 7 days of unit ½ EDG inoperability. 061297, an ENS call was made when a concern was raised that the installation of replacement air start motors (ASMs) indicated the new motors may not have started the EDGs. On 061897, both EDGs were declared operable when the rebuilt model ASMs were installed on both EDGs. The cause of the event was the installation of replacement ASMs which didn't have the same characteristics as the original ASMs. The installation resulted in the introduction of a new failure mode which had not been analyzed. The root cause of the installation was vendor information stating there was no difference in function of a replacement ASM when one existed and the failure of the station to adequately address the difference. Corrective actions include revising the alternate parts replacement procedure to ensure that the differences in form fit and function are reviewed by design engineering and to ensure that the differences are adequately addressed. This event was potentially safety significant since the Unit ½ and or the Unit 2 EDG may not have started on a start signal in the unlikely event of a Loss of Offsite Power coupled with a loss of coolant accident.
266-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	Зb	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 8, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown, licensee engineers determined that the delay times assumed for high and low head safety injection (SI) flow in the Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) analysis were not conservative. The LBLOCA licensing basis analysis assumed that the high and low head SI systems were capable of providing full flow within five and ten seconds respectively. A conservative licensee evaluation concluded that the total delay times may be as high as 8.0 seconds for high head SI and 23.7 seconds for low head SI. The delay time assumptions for the licensing basis analysis did not account for time delays associated with SI signal processing, sequencer delay time uncertainty, or an increased time for pump acceleration to full speed due to degraded voltage conditions. A Westinghouse safety assessment concludes that the increased safety injection delay times do not result in exceeding any design or regulatory limit for Point Beach Units 1 and 2.
266-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 9, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown condition, licensee engineers discovered a potential to overpressurize the Unit 1 reactor coolant pump seal return piping. This potential exists in the event that both containment isolation valves (CIV) shut as designed during a design basis loss of coolant accident (LOCA), and the ambient temperature increase heats the trapped fluid. Immediate actions were taken to render one CIV inoperable in the open position; thereby eliminating the potential for pressurization beyond design basis code allowables. The containment Technical Specification limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs) were entered. When thermal insulation was installed and analysis demonstrated pipe operability based on interim criteria (based on ASME III, Appendix F), the containment and CIV were restored to operation and LCOs were exited. Subsequently, we have identified one other penetration susceptible to pressurization beyond code allowables. Analysis of that line also demonstrated operability based on interim criteria. Plans for permanently restoring these penetrations to full compliance are described in the report.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-004 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3a	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 13, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown condition, licensee engineers discovered the potential for a particular common mode failure in the Vital DC Electrical (VDC) System that could affect opposite trains of Unit 2 safeguards equipment. This flaw stems from the unreliability of VDC molded case circuit breakers (MCCBs) to trip in the magnetic-trip region and the lack of physical separation provided for non-safety-related circuits powered from VDC panels. For one postulated fault between two insulated conductors (fed from different VDC power panels), the calculated short circuit current exceeded the maximum operating limit of the thermal trip elements in the associated MCCBs. This would cause the associated upstream fuses to blow and de-energize opposite-train VDC panels; thereby disabling opposite-train safeguards equipment. The coincidental loss of opposite-train safeguards equipment is not analyzed in the FSAR. Subsequently, a similar common mode failure was identified for Unit 1. In each case, a non-safety related circuit was de-energized to isolate the potential fault.
266-97-006	NRS - 6b	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 20, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown condition, licensee engineers discovered a plant condition that could cause retention of a significant water inventory in the refueling cavity during a design basis loss of coolant accident (LOCA); thereby diverting water that is assumed to accumulate in the containment sump. The condition was discovered during review of 1983 modifications which installed a flapper valve and remote cable operator over the refueling cavity drain for Unit 1 and Unit 2. The modifications also removed the original cavity drain grate in each unit and reduced the size of the drain line to a 2-inch nozzle. The design was not evaluated for seismic considerations or effects on the containment sump level. If the drain failed during a LOCA, as much as 46,000 gallons could be retained in the refueling cavity, which would invalidate the assumptions in the design basis accident analysis. To accommodate the potential loss of this sump water inventory, the emergency operating procedures were revised to ensure that a greater amount of water is transferred from refueling water storage tank to the containment during the LOCA recovery.
266-97-007 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3с	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 24, 1997, Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP), Unit 1, was operating at 90 percent power and Unit 2 was shut down and defueled during its annual refueling outage. During evaluation of procedure reviews for performing high-head safety injection (HHSI) system fill and venting, plant personnel determined that the potential existed for an emergency diesel generator (EDG) overload condition. When one EDG is aligned to supply the safeguards buses for both units and a HHSI pump is operated on one unit, an opposite unit safety injection actuation and a total loss of off-site power would result in the starting of the opposite unit's HHSI pump. This would result in two HHSI pumps being powered by one EDG, which is outside the design basis of the plant and creates the potential for a EDG overload condition. Procedure changes are being implemented to prevent EDG overload when testing HHSI pumps when a EDG is being shared between units. A Unit 1 one-hour report and a Unit 2 four-hour report was provided to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72. The NRC resident inspectors were also notified.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-008 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On January 31, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown condition, licensee engineers discovered a plant condition that could affect the operability of safety-related equipment inside the steam generator (SG) cubicles of containment. During a routine walkdown of Unit 2 containment, licensee engineers noted that SG channelhead ductwork located in the steam generator cubicles appeared to have insufficient support. Based on the general similarity of Unit 1 and Unit 2 designs, it was assumed that the Unit 1 configuration could also be inadequate. Unit 1 reactor power was reduced to three percent to allow access to the SG cubicles for inspection. The inspection indicated that the ductwork in the SG cubicles did not appear to be seismically supported in Unit 1. During the Unit 1 containment entry, some of the suspect ductwork was removed and the remaining ductwork was seismically restrained with a temporary modification. Seismic analyses are planned for Unit 1 and Unit 2. If necessary, modifications will be made to install supports or remove the ductwork such that the design and design documentation are restored to full compliance.
266-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	Зс	POWER LEVEL - 090%. On February 14, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 90% power and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, licensee engineers discovered a plant condition that could cause a potential for safety injection failure during filling of a safety injection accumulator. Condition report 97-0517 documents the discovery that the emergency core cooling system analysis does not include the effect of an open safety injection (SI) system accumulator fill valve. This condition could cause diversion of flow from the high head safety injection pump being used to fill the accumulator from the reactor coolant system into the accumulator that is being filled. The cause of this condition is considered to be due to a situation that was not adequately covered by the procedure. The procedure used for filling a safety injection accumulator has been changed to preclude the use of the Train B SI pump for filling an accumulator and to enter the applicable limiting condition for operation (LCO) for inoperability of the Train A SI pump while it is being used to fill any accumulator.
266-97-013 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5c	On March 4, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a hot standby condition and Unit 2 was shut down during its annual refueling outage, component cooling water (CCW) pump discharge cross-connect Valve CC-722B failed to open during valve manipulation tests. As a result, this valve was declared inoperable. PBNP FSAR Section 9.3 states that a cross-tie may be opened under abnormal conditions to allow unit-designated CCW pump(s) to supply the opposite unit . Since this cross-tie feature was not available due to the inoperability of Valve CC-722B, the plant was in a condition outside its design basis. An operability determination concluded that the Unit 1 CCW system was operable. A 4-hour report to the NRC was made under 10 CFR 50.72. The NRC resident inspectors were also notified.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-014 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	Зс	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 21, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, licensee engineers discovered a condition that alone could have prevented the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System from automatically performing its safety-related function during design basis accidents involving a loss of instrument air and reduced steam generator pressures. A loss of instrument air during the accident would cause both motor-driven AFW pump (MDAFWP) flow control valves to fail open. Without automatic flow control, the MDAFWPs' flowrate would be determined by steam generator pressure. If steam generator pressure is below the relief valve setpoints, which may occur for a low decay heat history, the pump motor breakers could trip on time-overcurrent. After discovery of these conditions, the AFW System was declared inoperable and the design was evaluated. The existing plant conditions did not require operability of the AFW system. The AFW system will be restored to operable status prior to establishing conditions that would require the system to be operable. The potential loss of both MDAFWPs during certain accidents has been attributed to a latent design characteristic of the original design of the AFW system.
266-97-015 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 24, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, during inspection and repair of the control room ventilation system, a condition was discovered that could have prevented proper operation of the control room ventilation system. After discovery of these conditions, the control room ventilation system was declared inoperable and repairs were initiated. The existing plant conditions did not require operability of the control room ventilation system. It is expected that the control room ventilation system will be restored to operable status prior to establishing the conditions that would require the system to be operable. The failures of the backdraft damper and the vent duct access door have been attributed to original installation of the control room ventilation system. It has been judged that the control room dose consequences of these failures could have been mitigated. Offsite dose consequences were unaffected.
266-97-018 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 3, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, licensee engineers discovered a potential for a section of the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System inside containment to overpressurize during a design basis accident. The piping section is isolated by normally-closed RHR inlet isolation valves (RH-700 and RH-701), and is normally water-filled, but is not provided with relief valve protection. During a design basis accident which elevates containment temperature, the trapped fluid would be heated by the containment accident environment and could pressurize the isolated section. If unmitigated, the overpressure condition could lead to pipe rupture or valve damage, which would affect the capability of the RHR System to achieve and maintain cold shutdown if required later In the accident. This condition is a latent characteristic of the original RHR System design and is generic to both nuclear units. Prior to the startup of a nuclear unit, appropriate overpressure protection will be provided to that unit.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-020 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 3a	On April 14, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, a review of open condition reports related to the Fire Protection Program resulted in discovery of six (6) previously-unreported conditions that should have been reported: (a) For a postulated fire in the Cable Spreading Room (CSR), an operator may need to perform actions inside the fire area; (b and c) For a postulated fire in the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) Pump Room, loss of DC power to all four Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs) and instrumentation essential for safe shutdown could occur; (d) For a postulated fire in the plant, actions to operate EDGs could not be demonstrated; (e) There is no analysis to demonstrate operability of safe shutdown equipment without room ventilation available; (f) There is no operator guidance for deenergizing 4KV switchgear 2A05 and 480V bus 2B03 to preclude spurious operation of plant equipment. The root cause for the failure to report these conditions has been identified, and will be remedied. Compensatory measures were originally implemented as deemed necessary, and will be supplemented. Long-term corrective actions include a re-verification of Appendix R safe shutdown analyses.
266-97-021 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 5a	On April 30, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in cold shutdown and Unit 2 was shut down and defueled during its ongoing refueling outage, two interfaces between seismic Class I and seismic Class II/III piping in the spent fuel pool cooling system were identified as being accomplished via normally open manual valves. This is contrary to the PBNP Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Appendix A, which requires interfaces between Class I systems and lower class systems to be at a normally closed valve or a valve which is capable of remote operation from the control room. Since these manual boundary valves were maintained in the open position, the plant was in a condition outside its design basis. The valves were immediately closed and tagged shut. An operability determination concluded that the spent fuel pool cooling system is operable. A 4-hour report to the NRC was made under 10 CFR 50.72. The NRC resident inspectors were also notified.
266-97-022 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6c	May 7, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, the licensee's Appendix R Rebaselining Project team discovered that a postulated Control Room fire may cause an electrical "hot short" that bypasses (i.e., disables) the limit or torque switches for certain motor-operated valves (MOVs) that are essential for achieving an Appendix R safe shutdown. Fifteen (15) MOVs may be affected by this "hot short" condition. The Auxiliary Feedwater System, Service Water System, Component Cooling Water System, and the Residual Heat Removal System are affected. Spurious operation of an MOV with a disabled limit switch could cause the valve operator to generate thrust and torque values which exceed the design limits of the valves; causing physical damage to the valve that precludes its manual-handwheel operation. The inability to operate these essential valves would affect the capability to achieve the Appendix R safe shutdown. This discovery was made by our Appendix R Rebaselining Project team during a review of NRC Information Notice IN 92-18. Modifications have been initiated to remedy the condition.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-023 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 5a	On May 8, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, the licensee's Appendix R Rebaselining Project team discovered that certain plant areas lacked adequate emergency lighting to demonstrate compliance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix R Section III.J requirements for 8-hour battery-powered lighting. This discovery was made during a review of Appendix R safe shutdown access/egress routes and locations of manual operations in the plant, in conjunction with a review of IN 95-036 "Potential Problems with Post Fire Emergency Lighting". Two of the five exterior buildings requiring access during certain fire scenarios lack the emergency lighting to comply with Section III.J. In addition, all of the access/egress routes to these buildings do not comply. The original plan for implementing Appendix R safe shutdown only provided for hand-held lighting to illuminate the two particular buildings and the access/egress routes. Corrective actions include revising the safe shutdown analysis, installing emergency lighting in the Service Water and Fire Pump House, and requesting an exemption to Appendix R Section III.J for emergency lighting along outdoor roadways to the support buildings.
266-97-024 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	During reviews of the performance history for the Unit 2 containment atmosphere post-accident sampling system sample pump, it was determined that excessive leakage occurs at the leak test pressure of 15 psig. Evaluation of the leakage determined that the dose received by personnel obtaining a sample under worst case post-accident conditions would be in excess of the GDC 19 dose limits specified in NUREG 0737, Item II.B.3. This condition also applies to the Unit 1 sampling system. Additional design reviews of the containment atmosphere and reactor coolant post accident sampling systems also determined that in order to place the systems in service, instrument air, which may not be available post accident, would be required to be restored to containment. The containment atmosphere sample system will be upgraded to allow sampling at up to containment design pressure. Containment isolation provisions for the containment and reactor coolant sample systems are being evaluated.
266-97-025 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On May 20, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was in a defueled condition, it was discovered that three Operating Procedures (OP) allow manual control of pressurizer level 10% higher than assumed in the PBNP FSAR section 14.2.5 rupture of steam pipe analysis assumption of 20%. This condition was discovered by Reactor Engineering personnel while reviewing operations and reactor engineering procedures in anticipation of the impending restart of Unit 2. These procedures were changed in the mid-1980's to allow manual control of pressurizer level at 30% in lieu of the automatic program level of 20% at zero power operation. This condition was caused by inappropriately changing the procedure without adequate consideration of potential affects on the PBNP accident analyses. The affected procedures will be revised prior to restart of Unit 2. It was determined that the consequences of the PBNP FSAR section 14.2.5 "Rupture of a Steam Pipe" analysis would not exceed 10 CFR 100 limits, even if some fuel damage could occur based on the use of a higher pressurizer level.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-030 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	2b	On June 11, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was in a defueled condition, it was discovered that an actual alignment previously used does not conform with the assumed configuration used in the service water (SW) system hydraulic analyses. Specifically, service water was provided to a second component cooling water (CCW) heat exchanger during previous periods of higher than normal service water temperatures and for some changes in plant operating conditions. This alignment is not in conformance with current analyses that assume one CCW heat exchanger is being supplied with service water. This condition was caused by operation of the service water system in a condition that did not conform with the analyses for the service water system. In a letter dated June 25, 1997, Wisconsin Electric committed to operation of the service water system in accordance. Recent flow analyses show that minimal excess capacity exists in the service water system. Therefore, some safety equipment supplied by the service water system could receive less than desired flow for accident mitigation.
266-97-031 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6a	On June 19, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown, the licensee's Design Basis team discovered that the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump low suction pressure trip setpoints may not ensure adequate suction pressure protection for the AFW pumps following a postulated seismic or tornado event. Portions of the AFW pump suction piping, including the condensate storage tank (CST), are not classified Seismic Class I and are not protected from tornado missiles. A postulated seismic or tornado event could cause a loss of offsite power, a loss of normal feedwater, and a break in the unqualified suction piping. At the postulated break locations, limited suction head will be provided to AFW pumps. If all pumps automatically start as designed, the suction piping could be swept of all water before the low suction pressure trip devices can secure the pumps; resulting in damage to the AFW pumps, and the potential loss of the secondary heat sink during the event. At the time of discovery, neither unit required the operability of the AFW System.
266-97-032 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	On June 30, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown, the licensee's Appendix R Rebaselining Team discovered that a previously-identified issue related to electrical switchgear ratings had implications on the plant capability to achieve a safe shutdown for Appendix R fire scenarios. A calculation concluded that for certain AC buses, the fault current for a postulated zero-impedance ("bolted") three phase fault may be larger than the current-interrupting capability of the equipment involved. The licensee evaluated the potential for a fire in one fire area to cause a bolted electrical fault that exceeded the interrupting capability of the associated switchgear. If the associated switchgear is located in a different fire area, the overcurrent condition of the switchgear could lead to another, secondary fire. The capability of the plant to achieve and maintain safe shutdown for fires in multiple fire areas has not been demonstrated. Calculations determined susceptible fire areas and electrical circuits. Compensatory measures were established.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-033 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 3a	June 30, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown, the licensee's Appendix R Rebaselining Team discovered two power distribution cables located in the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump room that do not meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendix R, Section III.G.2, and are not specifically identified in the NRC-approved exemption to Appendix R. Specifically, these redundant safe shutdown cables associated with the AC power distribution system do not meet the requirement for 20' separation criterion with no intervening combustibles. Since the safe shutdown configuration described in the exemption does not include these cables, the capability to safely shutdown the plant for a fire in the AFW pump room is not adequately described in the plant licensing basis. An operability determination verified system operability pending restoration of the condition to full qualification. Compensatory fire watches have been established.
266-97-034 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	On July 7, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was in a refueling shutdown condition, during loss of power testing, the emergency diesel generator, G-03, output breakers tripped open while it was supplying power to the Train B 4160 and 480 volt safeguards buses for both units. Affected equipment was restored based on guidance contained in the applicable abnormal operating procedures. Decay heat removal remained operating during the entire event because Train A was not affected within a short time, the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Train B safeguards buses were re-energized from off-site power. It was determined that the G-03 output breaker trip was caused by the "loss of field" trip signal when the emergency diesel generator was released from emergency run mode during test restoration. The "loss of field" output breaker trip is bypassed by an emergency run signal. Subsequent investigation revealed that the "loss of field" relay was not wired properly. The incorrect wiring was reworked and both Train B emergency diesel generators have been tested successfully.
266-97-035	NRS - 6c	On May 15, 1997, with Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1 in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 in a refueling shutdown condition, the seismic adequacy of the support frame for the Unit 1 reactor coolant pump (RCP) rotor stand was questioned. On May 16, 1997, a calculation confirmed that the structure was not seismically adequate and would not meet design criteria if subjected to safe shutdown earthquake lateral loads. Failure of this support has the potential for dropping the RCP rotor stand onto the residual heat removal (RHR) or safety injection (SI) system piping. The rotor stand was not been known to have been used since initial plant construction. Subsequent to this determination, the Unit 1 RCP rotor stand was moved to a more secure location within the Unit 1 containment structure.
266-97-036 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6a	On August 26, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was operating at 100 percent power, licensee engineers discovered a potential common mode failure in the DC power supply system that could result in the loss of three auxiliary feedwater pumps during seismic or tornado events that cause the loss of the normal AFW suction source. The remaining AFW pump would not be capable of providing the feedwater flow rate that is assumed in the accident analysis for both units. The common mode failure is not a consequence of the postulated earthquake or tornado, but has been considered to be a single failure that should be considered during this design basis event. The common mode condition was created in a modification that assigned a common DC power supply to three (of four) AFW pump low suction pressure trip devices. Plant modifications will be performed to eliminate the potential common mode failure described herein.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
266-97-037 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6c	On September 3, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was operating at 100 percent power, a condition was discovered in which the coincidental start of the Train A containment spray pump and the third Train A service water pump could cause the voltage on the 480 V system to drop sufficiently low to cause actuation of the 480 V undervoltage protection function. If this were to occur, 480 V load shedding would occur. This would cause the Train A residual heat removal pump, electric motor driven auxiliary feedwater pump, service water pumps, and containment spray pump to trip at about 25 seconds into the loading sequence. Only the Train A portion of the emergency power system is susceptible to this condition. Unit 2 was shutdown due to the conclusion by the Manager's Staff that the LCO would expire before the condition could be corrected. Cold shutdown was achieved at 1142 hours on September 8. A modification restored the operability of the EDG during load sequencing. Unit 2 was returned to criticality at 1810 hours on September 21, 1997.
266-97-040	6a	On October 17, 1997, Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition and Unit 2 was operating at 100 percent power. Licensee engineers reviewing a vendor calculation for pressures and temperatures of reactor coolant pump seal water return lines, discovered that a potential existed for the Unit 1 lines to fail due to thermal stresses induced during a full loss of cooling to the reactor coolant pump seals. A formal thermal effects analysis had not been completed for this line in the past. The line will be modified to provide appropriate supports for the hypothesized scenarios. This condition was reported to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I), "any event, found while the reactor is shut down, that, had it been found while the reactor was in operation, would have resulted in the nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded"
266-97-041	6b	On October 23, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was in a cold shutdown condition, licensee engineers discovered a potential common mode failure in the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump control circuits that could result in the loss of two auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pumps; 1P-29 and P-38A. The Final Safety Analysis Report provides for failure of only one AFW pump. The remaining AFW pump (P-38B) may not be capable of providing the feedwater flowrate that is assumed in the accident analyses. The postulated common mode failure to the adjacent cables is not a consequence of the accident, but has been considered to be a random, design basis single failure that should be considered. The common mode condition was created by a modification that installed a low suction pressure trip function on each AFW pump. Plant modifications will remedy the potential common mode failure described herein.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
269-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	In response to NRC Generic Letter 96-06, Oconee Engineering has been performing conservative analyses to determine if the Low Pressure Service Water (LPSW) System piping which serves the Reactor Building Cooling Units (RBCU) may be susceptible to water hammer. On 1/24/97, at 1426 hours, the NRC was notified per 10 CFR 50.72 because the analysis determined that, during certain design basis scenarios, water hammers might occur in piping to the non-safety related auxiliary cooling units (ACUs). This might prevent the safety related RBCUs from performing their intended function. At that time, Units 1 and 2 were at Cold Shutdown and Unit 3 was in a refueling outage. On 2/20/97, revision 0 was submitted as a partial LER because more detailed analyses were still in progress. On 7/31/97, revision 1 was submitted to better describe the potential impact of water hammers in the ACUs. On 8/13/98, after further analysis identified some initial conditions that might result in severe water hammer and breach LPSW piping serving the RBCUs, the NRC was again notified per 10 CFR 50.72. The root cause is deficient Design Analysis, Unanticipated interaction of systems. Corrective actions included initially isolating and draining the ACU piping, and revising operating procedures as required. This event is considered to be of no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.
269-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	While Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3 were in an extended outage, Engineering began an evaluation of Generic Letter 96-06. To eliminate present operability concerns related to thermal over pressurization in post-Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) boron dilution flow paths, procedures were revised to partially drain between valves LP-1 and LP-2 and between 3LP-103 and 3LP-104 during unit startup. On March 17, 1997, Units 1 and 2 were operating at 100 percent and Unit 3 was at 63 percent, an operability evaluation concluded that calculations could not prove that these valves could be opened post-LOCA with the lines full. Further engineering evaluation has revealed that the previous operability evaluation had conservatively neglected the impact of the holes drilled in the upstream disks of LP-1. The holes were drilled in LP-1 in April 1986, March 1985 and February 1987 on Units 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Therefore, the post-LOCA boron dilution flow path using LP-1 has been operable since the holes were drilled. The post-LOCA boron dilution flow paths using valves LP-103 and LP-104 were declared technically inoperable from the original installation until October, 1996 when the recent outages began. The root cause is a Deficient Design Analysis, unanticipated interaction of components. Additional corrective actions include developing and implementing future modifications.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
269-97-005 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	The Oconee original design criteria, as stated in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), requires Engineered Safety (ES) Features to be protected from turbine generated missiles by means of shielding or separation. In addressing a concern found during the Service Water Upgrade Project, Oconee Engineering began a review in September 1996 to verify that the Low Pressure Service Water (LPSW) [EIISB] system piping met the separation criteria for turbine missiles. On October 3, 1996, an evaluation determined that Low Trajectory missiles, thought to be most limiting, were not a concern. On April 26, 1997, Units 1 and 3 were at 100% full power and Unit 2 was at cold shutdown, when it was determined that portions of the LPSW system piping did not meet the Oconee separation criteria for High Trajectory Missiles. Separation was addressed by Engineering in the original design of ES systems, but these portions of LPSW piping do not have separation or documentation of adequate shielding from High Trajectory Missiles. The root cause of this event is Unknown. This event is not recurring and had no personnel injuries, exposures or releases of radiation. Corrective action included submitting an amendment to the UFSAR to allow for current industry and NRC guidance concerning vulnerable target area and probability of impact of a turbine missile without shielding or separation protection. This license amendment was approved by the NRC on May 16, 1997. When applying the NRC approved methodology, the LPSW system piping in question provides an acceptably low probability target. Therefore, the health and safety of the public was not impacted by this event.
271-97-001	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On 02/07/97 during an investigation for an unrelated issue, Vermont Yankee (VY) discovered the potential for a postulated electrical failure in the containment isolation control circuitry to challenge primary containment integrity. Plant design ensures that either the drywell vent and purge (VP) outboard isolation valves or the corresponding inboard isolation valves will close as designed given any postulated single failure. However it was recognized that the failure to close at least one of the inboard vent or purge isolation valves could challenge containment integrity. Were a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) to occur, concurrent with the postulated single failure in the torus/drywell VP valve control circuitry, while containment inerting/deinerting was in progress, a flow path would be present which would allow a portion of the steam to bypass the available heat sink (suppression pool), potentially overpressurizing the containment pressure vessel. This flow path was possible because VY containment inerting procedures allowed simultaneous opening of the torus and drywell inboard VP paths while inerting and/or deinerting the containment. VY has established administrative controls to preclude simultaneously opening both the torus and drywell vent or purge paths during normal plant operation. The Root Cause of this condition is that the impact of these valves failing to close during a LOCA, was not correctly evaluated or understood. Because plant Technical Specifications only allow the high volume drywell and torus VP paths to be opened with the plant in cold shutdown (or for 24 hours after plant startup, or for the 24 hours preceding shutdown); the affected circuit is tested each operating cycle; and a LOCA must occur coincident with the electrical failure; the probability that the containment over pressurization could occur is exceedingly low. Therefore this event is not considered to have presented an increased threat to public health or safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
271-97-002	6a	On 02/21/97 VY discovered the potential for water intrusion into the Vital Switchgear Rooms via underground conduits during Maximum Postulated Flood Conditions (MPC). Were the MPC to occur, switchgear providing electrical power to Division I and II safety systems would need to be de-energized, placing the plant in a vulnerable condition. This potential exists due to an inadequate initial plant design. Presently a Basis for Maintaining Operation (BMO) has been completed to support continued safe plant operation. In-place plant procedures require the Shift Supervisor to initiate plant shut down with flood waters approximately 10 feet below the level at which the switchgear rooms would be challenged should conditions continue to degrade. VY is installing high density silicone seals in conduits which otherwise allowed intrusion of external flood waters into the Switchgear Rooms. This will reduce water introduction into the Switchgear Rooms to an easily manageable rate. Procedures are being revised to specify additional actions to be implemented if a flood occurs. To date the maximum river level that has occurred at the site was an elevation of 231.4 ft. mean sea level (MSL). Because the probability of a MPC flood is extremely low, and the highest level achieved during a previous high water condition was 17.1 ft. below the elevation of concern; this event is not considered to have presented an increased threat to public health and safety.
271-97-003	6a	On 3/5/97, during investigation for a similar issue, it was discovered that the design for Turbine building overpressure protection, described in the Vermont Yankee Final Safety Analysis Report, was never installed. The VY FSAR description of Turbine Building overpressure protection includes a 1000 sq. ft. blowout panel that would actuate at an internal pressure of 0.25 psi. No venting areas of this size have been found in the Turbine Building, and investigation to date has been unable to identify any other venting methods that may have been assumed, in lieu of the blowout panels, when the plant was constructed. The analysis for high energy line breaks (HELB) in the Turbine Building credits the blowout panel. Absent the blowout panel, the potential higher pressure raised concern for the structural capability of certain block walls and ductwork in the building. Analysis has been performed to assess the potential effects on safety related equipment and to justify continued operation. Where appropriate, compensatory measures are in place to reduce the areas potentially susceptible to an HELB absent the mitigating effects of the Turbine Building blowout panel. The affected ventilation system has been modified to prevent steam intrusion into the vital switchgear room in the event of an HELB. Blowout panels have been designed, and the design package is currently being reviewed. The devices, when installed, will provide overpressure protection for the Turbine Building during the plant's lifetime, and an accident of such magnitude is of extremely low probability (VY FSAR 14.4.3), this event is deemed to have presented no increased risk to the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
271-97-004	NRS - 6a	On 3/7/97 through 5/20/97 deficiencies were identified during an internal flooding review by Vermont Yankee. The review identified that a failure in fire suppression water, and other non-seismic piping systems in areas adjacent to the switchgear rooms could potentially affect the vital switchgear. Additional investigation determined that similar potential flood sources with the capability of challenging redundant ESF's existed. Major failures in the affected lines have been estimated to produce flowrates of sufficient magnitude to challenge redundant safety systems within 20 minutes. The causes of this event included inadequate licensing bases documentation relative to internal flood protection requirements and incorrect interpretation of design drawings. Bases for Maintaining Operation (BMO's) were written which support continued safe plant operation in light of each postulated scenario. Administrative controls have been put in place to direct operators should any of the theorized flood conditions occur. A detailed report articulating the VY current licensing bases relative to internal flood protection attributes is currently under development. Because the postulated pipe failures, 1) would generally be slow in developing to a point where redundant safety significant SSC's could be challenged, 2) would generally actuate control room annunciators which would prompt an immediate investigation and appropriate mitigating actions, and, 3) the probability of such major failures occurring is very low, this event is not considered to have presented a significant increase in risk to public health and safety.
271-97-005	5a	On 03/24/97 during the review of a plant status report for an occurrence at another station, VY determined that a similar condition existed at VY. Specifically, the potential exists during primary containment inerting and deinerting operations at power to overpressurize the Standby Gas Treatment System filter train housings, should a major LOCA occur. While the SBGT system will isolate automatically from the primary containment on a LOCA signal, it was determined that the valve stroke times were such that the potential for system over pressurization existed. The potential over pressurization would challenge secondary containment in the event of a LOCA concurrent with containment inerting or deinerting activities. The potential for over pressurization existed because VY Technical Specifications and containment inerting procedures allowed inerting and/or deinerting the primary containment while at power. VY has established administrative controls to preclude the system alignment which creates the potential for overpressurizing the Standby Gas trains. On 4/24 and 5/1 2/97 there were recurrences of this event due to an inadequate disposition of the issue by VY. Further reporting on this issue is contained in VY LER 97-14, reported on 9/5/97. Because plant Technical Specifications only allow normal containment inerting and deinerting operations with the plant in cold shutdown (or for 24 hours after plant startup, or for the 24 hours preceding shutdown) and the postulated LOCA must occur coincident with an inerting or deinerting activity, the probability of the Standby Gas train over pressurization is exceedingly low. Therefore this event is not considered to have presented an increased threat to public health or safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
271-97-006	NRF - 3a	On 3/26/97 during Appendix R program enhancement efforts, Vermont Yankee discovered an electrical cable installation in variance with the VY FSAR requirements for separation of electrical cables, Specifically, it was discovered that a non-safety lighting panel supply cable passed in proximity to both division I and division II Engineered Safety Feature actuating and power cables. This configuration conflicts with VY's single failure criteria for safety systems, creating a condition where a single failure of an active component (the safety-class circuit breaker providing protection for the non-safety lighting circuit) could challenge redundant ESF trains. This configuration has been in place since initial plant construction due to the use of an inadequate installation guideline. VY has rerouted the subject lighting cable to conform to the applicable separation criteria. Follow-up investigation has revealed similar cable routing non-conformances including a single electrical box which houses cable potentially affecting one Low Pressure Coolant Injection subsystem and a cable providing DC power to the High Pressure Coolant Injection system. VY has performed an extensive, systematic assessment of its cable routing. Cable separation non-conformances discovered have been evaluated for their safety implications. Compensatory measures and bases for maintaining operation were developed as necessary. The non-conforming cables are typically low-voltage signal/control cables and/or protected by multiple safety class protective devices. These devices provide highly reliable protection against faults which could reasonably be expected to challenge the cable insulation. Additionally, the cable mixing found was bounded by VY's current Appendix R analyses. Therefore this event is not considered to have presented an increased threat to public health or safety.
271-97-014	5a	On 08/07/97, while preparing to update the docket relative to Vermont Yankee (VY) LER 97-05, it was determined that VY had inappropriately dispositioned the issue, which led to an event recurrence. On 03/24/97 VY had determined that the potential existed to overpressurize the Standby Gas Treatment System should a large break LOCA occur during primary containment inerting and deinerting operations at power. This could potentially challenge secondary containment. The potential for over pressurization existed because VY Technical Specifications (TS) and containment operating procedures allow inerting or deinerting the primary containment while at power. VY established controls prohibiting the cited system alignment. VY, after consultation with the NSSS Supplier, concluded that postulating the LOCA coincident with purge and vent operations at power was not consistent with its licensing bases due to its extremely low probability. Therefore, on 04/24/97 standard purge and vent operations were resumed. Following the resumption of normal purge and vent activities, VY management was made aware of licensing correspondence which commits VY to meeting 10CFR100 limits under the postulated conditions. This commitment had not been previously considered by the Plant Operations Review Committee due to a lack of understanding of plant licensing bases on the part of the personnel conducting the original investigation. Administrative controls prohibiting the vulnerable configuration were established. Because VY TS's only allow inerting and deinerting while in cold shutdown (or within 24 hours of a startup/shutdown) and the LOCA must occur coincident with inerting or deinerting activities, the probability of the SBGTS over pressurization is exceedingly low. Therefore this event did not present a significant increase in risk to the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
271-97-019	NRF - 5c	On 09/18/97, while evaluating Fire Suppression System code compliance, Vermont Yankee found that a change made to the fire suppression system had degraded the system such that required performance capabilities could not be demonstrated. The affected sprinkler system provides fire suppression capability in the Emergency Diesel Generator rooms (EDGRs). A 1982 modification rendered the system incapable of delivering 0.3 g.p.m. per square foot of the protected area, a water density communicated to the NRC staff via a 1978 letter. While the water delivery capability of the current system has been assessed as comparable to systems designed for "ordinary [fire] hazards," the category ascribed to environments like electronic plants, automobile repair garages and paper mills; the 0.3 g.p.m. cited implies that the system was to be treated as an "extra hazard" area. The system was therefore declared inoperable and compensatory measures were implemented per plant Technical Specifications (TS). Because TS require compensatory measures be implemented when the subject sub-systems are inoperable, and they have been in the described condition since 1982 this event is being reported as a condition prohibited by TS. As this condition was discovered while performing corrective actions to address similar conditions for another event, it is expected that those same corrective actions, combined with addressing the disparity between this system's current delivery capability and the committed flows, will adequately address this event. Because the fire suppression systems have no nuclear safety functions, and the EDGR sprinkler systems have consistently possessed significant fire suppression capabilities, this event did not pose an increased threat to the health and safety of the public.
271-97-020	5a	On 10/30/97, while responding to concerns noted during an NRC architect engineer inspection of the facility, VY concluded that the plant having operated with a torus temperature greater than the initial temperature assumed in current analyses may have allowed conditions to occur which were subject to the reporting requirements of 10CFR50.72 and 10CFR50.73. No 10CFR50 Appendix B calculation exists which bounds the full range of suppression pool (torus) temperatures permitted by plant Technical Specifications (TS). The current containment response analysis assumes an initial pool temperature of 90 degrees Fahrenheit (F), while TS allows unlimited plant operations with torus temperatures as high as 100 F. Upon noting the lack of detail in the formal analysis used to justify the TS limit of 100 F, administrative limits were established prohibiting normal plant operation with torus temperature > 90 F. Preliminary calculations and evaluations performed as corrective actions for this event have led to a further reduction in allowed torus temperature (80 F maximum). The cause of the event was the inappropriate assignment of a technical review to a single work group which lacked the breadth of knowledge needed to address all of the implications of the change. Other causes included inadequate self-checking and a lack of procedural guidance. Procedures have since been improved, and VY has trained on the lessons learned from the event. VY is currently performing a reconstitution of the licensing basis for the maximum suppression pool temperature allowed undermined the functionality of safety systems, this event did not present an increased risk to public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
271-97-021	6a	On 10/09/97, while operating at 100% power, it was determined that energized cables from one divisional raceway system traverse both Division SI and Division SII equipment. This is contrary to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) section 8.4.6.3 and the Vermont Yankee Cable Separation Specification VYS-027. The non-conforming cables supply the alternate feed from either Motor Control Center (MCC) 8C or 9C to Cooling Tower Fan CT 2-1 which is a part of Vermont Yankees Alternate Cooling System (ACS). The fan is normally fed from a Start-up transformer bus; however, if a loss of off-site power were to occur, the fan, which is fed from MCC SC and 9C, would ultimately be powered by the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG). The non-conforming cables run in separate wireways from manhole 27, where they are spliced together, until they enter the wireway in the MCC's and consequently violate the separation criteria. The MCC breakers for the alternate supply, one normally open and the other normally closed, are key interlocked to prevent the closing of both breakers at the same time. The Apparent Cause of this event was due to the use of inadequate design specifications and installation procedures during initial plant construction. Immediate corrective action was taken to open the normally closed breaker and tag both breakers open. With the breakers open, the intent of the separation criteria is met. Although the original configuration violated the separation criteria, the alternate supply breakers to CT 2-1 would have provided the necessary breaker trip function to isolate any fault prior to the fault affecting either EDG. Additionally, operators have approximately two hours to establish the ACS following a loss of Service Water. This provides sufficient time to close the breaker for the fan. There was no threat to the health and safety of the public from this event.
271-97-024	NRS - 6a	On 12/16/97, while performing a routine inspection of cooling tower structural components, VY discovered that a vertical support column in the safety class portion of the cooling tower had failed. The column (a safety class 4"x 4" wooden structural member) had apparently failed in the past and a repair had been completed. The cooling tower materials records do not describe any details regarding the repair. The cause for the failed member is a combination of inadequate analysis of, and guidance for, the application of construction techniques for the splicing of vertical support members in repair efforts. The alternate cooling system having been declared inoperable to support the inspection, remained in the inoperable status until the broken column was replaced. During subsequent maintenance efforts a second column was found to be inoperable. It too has been replaced. The appropriate guidance for the application of the splicing technique will be developed. Recently improved cooling tower inspection methodologies will continue. Current VY design requirements for structural loading of the safety class portion of the cooling tower requires that the system be demonstrated capable of performing under the combined effects of design snow loading, maximum cooling water inventory, and a design basis seismic event. Because the structural load combination which must be postulated to preclude the cooling tower's ability to perform as designed is highly unlikely, this event is not considered to have presented a significant increase in risk to public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
272-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. Recent re-evaluation of the Chilled Water System has determined that to provide the design support function and assume a single failure, three chillers and two chilled water pumps are required to be operable for each Salem unit. With less than this configuration, the Chilled Water System may not be able to perform its design support function and withstand a single failure. In the past, the plant has operated with less than three chillers or two chilled water pumps. The cause of this occurrence is that at the time of the original plant design, the ability to withstand a single failure with a component out of service for maintenance, was not recognized as a design input. Because the system did not have specific Technical Specifications associated with it, the impact of having components out of service was not recognized. Corrective actions include submission of a License Change request and an Operability Determination addressing the actions to be taken if less than three chillers or two chilled water pumps are operable. This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), any condition that resulted in the nuclear plant being outside the design basis of the plant.
272-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	POWER LEVEL - 000%. During the development of a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis, in support of NRC Generic Letter 96-06, 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment' for the Service Water System (SWS), a SWS alignment that is more hydraulically challenging than previously analyzed was discovered. The previous alignment assumed, during a Loss of Coolant Accident, a single failure of the Component Cooling Water (CCW) heat exchanger valve, and resulted in three SW pumps supplying flow to two full open CCW Heat Exchangers, five Component Fan Cooling Units (CFCU), and three Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs). The new more limiting alignment assumes a single failure of a 125 Vdc channel, and results in two SWS pumps being in a runout condition, that could cause insufficient SWS flow rates. The cause of this occurrence was the hydraulic impact of a modification was not considered. Corrective actions include modifications to restore the system hydraulics and reviews of the modification process. This LER is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73.(a)(2)(ii), any condition that was outside the design bases of the plant.
272-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	2b	As a result of an NRC Special Inspection 311/97-11, several issues concerning past operation of the Salem Emergency Core Cooling System were identified. On April 18, 1997, a determination was made that prior to March 1996, Salem Generating Station was operated in the past in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant due to the following reasons: 1) excessive Residual Heat Removal (RHR) system flows during the recirculation mode of Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) mitigation, and 2) the inability to ensure the successful completion of the switchover from the injection mode of LOCA mitigation to the recirculation mode without the possibility of interrupting ECCS pump flow to the core since the installation of the semiautomatic switchover modification in 1989. The root cause evaluation for the introduction of the USQs into the design and licensing basis identified the following causes: 1) failure to address all accident scenarios that could affect the assumptions made, and 2) failure to adequately review the licensing and design bases for the semi-automatic swapover. These issues are reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v).

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
272-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	On November 19, 1997, the Salem Technical Specification Surveillance Improvement Project (TSSIP) identified that the Steam Generator blowdown isolation valves (GB4 valves) and the Steam Generator blowdown sampling isolation valves (SS94 valves) were not being functionally tested to verify that these valves would isolate on an automatic start of the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) pumps. This concern was identified following a review of the applicable drawings and surveillance test procedures in accordance with the guidelines of NRC Generic Letter (GL) 96-01. Isolation of these valves is assumed to occur in the evaluation of the Loss of Normal Feedwater accident. The cause of this occurrence has been attributed to the inadequate incorporation of design basis accident mitigation features into plant testing procedures. The evaluation of surveillance test procedures in accordance with the guidelines of GL 96-01 is continuing and will be completed by December 31, 1997. This event is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) for the past operation of Salem in an unanalyzed condition that significantly compromised plant safety.
275-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 31, 1997, at 1130 PST, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that the component cooling water (CCW) and auxiliary saltwater (ASW) systems have operated with procedural guidance that permitted, following a loss of coolant accident and the loss of power on either Vital Bus F or G, operation in a condition outside the design basis of the plant. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC at 1220 PST, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B). The cause of the event is unknown, but it occurred during the original design of the plant. The cause is attributed to incomplete or incorrect application of the single failure criteria design requirement to ASW and CCW systems operation during post-accident split train, hot leg recirculation. Emergency Operating Procedure E-1.4, 'Transfer To Hot Leg Recirculation,' has been revised to no longer require immediate separation of the ASW and CCW systems into separate trains after the transfer to hot leg recirculation. The decision to separate has been transferred to the Technical Support center, where a decision will be made after an evaluation of plant conditions. This action precludes placing the plant into a configuration where this vulnerability would be created without a thorough assessment being performed.
275-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On February 26, 1997, at 1530 PST, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined previous revisions of Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) E-1.3, 'Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation,' could have resulted in the plant being outside its licensing basis. At the completion of switchover to cold leg recirculation, the procedure would have left a remaining usable volume in the refueling water storage tank (RWST) less than the licensing basis margin of 32,500 gallons. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. This event was discovered by PG&E engineering personnel during the review of Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Update Table 6.3-5, following questions by NRC resident inspectors. The root cause of this event was personnel error (cognitive). Personnel who prepared the original FSAR made nonconservative assumptions in calculating pump flow rates for the sequence of events following a loss-of-coolant-accident. PG&E has approved a change request for the FSAR Update and has revised EOP E-1.3 and Administrative Procedure OP1.DC11, 'Conduct of Operations - Abnormal Plant Conditions.' These corrective actions will provide assurance of maintaining the licensing basis RWST volume margin.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
275-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 5, 1997, at 1302 PST, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that the reactor vessel level instrumentation systems (RVLIS) for both units were inoperable due to failure to perform normalization of input parameters following each refueling outage. This vendor basis requirement had not been previously identified or performed; therefore, the system has operated outside design basis requirements. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC at 1316 PST, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B). On March 5, 1997, following performance of the revised surveillance test procedure for RVLIS dynamic range indication, Trains A and B were returned to operable status. The cause of this event was utility personnel error, knowledge exceeded, and inadequate vendor communication. The RVLIS System Manual did not provide clear documentation of required periodic normalization of RVLIS dynamic range indication to assure continued operability. Corrective actions include a review of instrumentation and control systems that were installed late in the construction period that may not have had completed vendor manual information. The systems identified that require review are the core exit temperature monitoring system, the subcooled margin monitor, and anticipated transient without scram system. Since current design processes and criteria preclude recurrence of similar events, PG&E determined that no additional corrective actions are necessary.
275-97-005 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On March 14, 1997, at 1601 PST, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that the residual heat removal (RHR) system had operated in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. Administrative controls did not require sufficient refueling water storage tank (RWST) level channels to meet the single active failure criteria and ensure adequate availability of the automatic tripping function for the RHR pumps during transfer to recirculation. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC at 1648 PST, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B). The 1-hour, non-emergency report was updated on March 19, 1997, at 1300 PST, to report an additional scenario that could prevent the automatic start or cause the premature tripping of the RHR pumps. PG&E attributes the inadequate administrative controls to personnel failing to consider the consequences of an RWST level channel failure concurrent with another level channel in test or maintenance on the functioning of the RHR system when a third level channel was added to the design in 1974. A license amendment request was submitted to include all three RWST channels in the technical specification (TS). Until the TS change is issued, administrative controls have been implemented.
275-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On July 3, 1997, at 1608 PDT, with Unit 1 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, and Unit 2 in Mode 3 (Hot Standby), PG&E determined that inoperable check valves in the drain lines for the auxiliary saltwater pump (ASWP) vaults could cause the auxiliary saltwater systems to be outside their design basis. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). At the request of the NRC Senior Resident Inspector, PG&E reviewed past valve inspection results and determined that the valves were inoperable on a number of occasions. This review also identified that, on August 9, 1991, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 at 100 percent power, PG&E failed to report that Technical Specification 3.7.4.1 was not met for approximately 24 hours when the check valves were concurrently removed from both ASWP vault drain lines on Unit 1 for inspection and maintenance. The root cause was inadequate corrective actions due to personnel error (cognitive). Personnel performing maintenance activities did not fully understand the role of the check valves in supporting Technical Specification operability of the ASWPs. Corrective actions include placing the valves in maintenance rule goal setting, increasing the frequency of inspections, revising work orders to flush the lines with valves removed, and protecting the drain lines from the entry of debris.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
275-97-018 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	On October 22, 1997, at 1500 PDT, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation), at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that the anticipated transient without scram mitigating system actuation circuitry (AMSAC) had been originally installed with a C-20 permissive setpoint that was outside design basis. The C-20 permissive had been set at 40 percent turbine power instead of 40 percent reactor thermal power as stated in the current licensing basis. A-1 hour non-emergency report was made to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) at 1520 PDT. This condition existed because PG&E personnel were unaware of a conflict between the actual C-20 setpoint and the setpoint stated in the licensing basis. The C-20 permissive was adjusted to the equivalent of 40 percent reactor thermal power. Design documents were revised accordingly. The administrative procedure regarding incoming regulatory correspondence will be revised to require a review of safety evaluation reports for consistency with information provided by PG&E.
280-97-007 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	On September 30, 1997, it was identified that during an earlier time frame Surry Units 1 and 2 had been outside the Appendix R design basis due to a 120 VAC vital bus isolation issue. From July 1988 on Unit 1 and September 1989 on Unit 2 when Appendix R isolation switches were removed until August 1997 when the procedures containing interim compensatory measures were revised, Operations did not have adequate procedural direction necessary to ensure isolation of the required power supplies and/or replacement of UPS fuses. Without proper isolation, power to the Appendix R panels may not have been available, thereby placing Surry Units 1 and 2 outside the Appendix R design basis during this period. Therefore, this report is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). When it was determined that Surry was outside the Appendix R design basis, appropriate compensatory measures had already been defined and put into place. This condition resulted in no significant safety consequences or implications, and the health and safety of the public were not affected at any time.
280-97-012 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	On October 27, 1997, tripping of two breakers in a security distribution panel resulted in loss of power to security systems, as well as loss of power to the latching mechanism on several doors, causing the doors to become unlatched. The affected doors have security, EQ, and/or fire protection functions. At the time of occurrence, Unit 1 was at 100% power and Unit 2 was at intermediate shutdown in the process of starting up following completion of a scheduled refueling. Compensatory measures were implemented, as required, including security provisions. It was determined that having multiple EQ doors in an unlatched condition simultaneously resulted in an unanalyzed condition because the defined allowed breach times for the EQ doors assume no simultaneous EQ door breaches. It was also concluded that fire doors being unlatched resulted in being outside the Appendix R design basis because the unlatched doors may not have prevented the spread of a fire from one fire area to others. A design change to revise the power failure mode on the affected doors from energized-to-latch to energized-to-unlatch has been implemented. This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) [unanalyzed condition] and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) [outside design basis]. Upon further review, it was determined that a previous situation also resulted in an EQ unanalyzed condition; therefore, this LER supplement is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A).

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
282-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 8, 1997 it was determined that the 125 ton Auxiliary Building Crane had been used to handle a spent fuel cask while two protective features had been defeated by wiring errors. A new radio control transmitter had been procured and placed in service. The controller has critical and non-critical positions which are chosen by the crane operator. The new controller was wired differently than the old one and choosing the critical position actually put the controller in the non-critical position. When this was done, the main hoist drum overspeed protection was defeated as was the spent fuel pool roof slot limit switch. However, some overspeed protection remained functional, roof slot alignment lights were functional, and the crane still met the single failure proof criteria. The controllers are being made to agree with one another and procedures are being changed to provide additional assurance that the controller is in the correct position.
282-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During preparation of a safety evaluation to justify changing the setpoints for the low discharge pressure switches for runout protection of the auxiliary feedwater pumps, a scenario was discovered where the auxiliary feedwater system would not be capable of performing as assumed in the ATWS analysis as described in the plant Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). For two specific ATWS events (loss of offsite power and complete loss of feedwater), both auxiliary feedwater pumps could cease operating early in the transient and not be available unless the operator(s) took action to restore them. The ATWS analysis in the USAR assumes that both auxiliary feedwater pumps are operating throughout the event. This loss of the AFW pumps during either a loss of offsite power or a complete loss of feedwater ATWS event is not within the plant's current licensing basis. An operability evaluation was performed, with the support of Westinghouse, and it was concluded that the auxiliary feedwater system, as currently configured, is capable of mitigating the complete loss of offsite power ATWS events. Long term corrective actions to resolve the discrepancy between the performance capability of the Prairie Island auxiliary feedwater system and the assumptions in the ATWS analysis are being actively investigated.
282-97-004 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During review of system documentation by the System Engineer, it was determined that the AMSAC C-20 Permissive Setpoint was non-conservative with respect to the USAR value. As stated in USAR section 7.11, the C-20 permissive arms AMSAC when turbine load is greater than 40% power. It was determined that the actual setpoint used by AMSAC corresponded to a turbine (reactor) power of approximately 48% power. This is non-conservative to the USAR requirement of 40% power. This condition has apparently existed since original installation of the AMSAC systems in 1989/90. The AMSAC timer function (Variable Actuation Delay of 27.5 to 225 seconds) was also found to have the incorrect setpoints. The effect on the time delay was to shift the setpoint line described in USAR Fig. 7.11-2 towards the maximum time delay curve and actually exceeded the maximum time delay curve between approximately 46% and 55% power. The cause of the event was the assumption that First Stage Turbine impulse pressure was linear from 0% to 100% turbine load when, in fact, it is non-linear less than 10% turbine load. The AMSAC setpoints were revised within approximately 24 hours of discovery. Other setpoints that could have been mis-set by a similar error were reviewed for problems.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
282-97-006 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On April 11, 1997 a condition was identified that is considered outside the 1967 proposed GDC Criterion 20 requirements for protection against single failures. Specifically, a design deficiency exists which could result in the failure to automatically isolate control room outside air supply upon detection of high radiation levels (for conditions where a safety injection would not be required) in the control room. Control room outside air supply isolation logic may be inadequate to assure that control room habitability is not adversely impacted during a radiological release event with a concurrent single failure of the control room radiation monitors. This deficiency has existed since the beginning of plant operation. Corrective action has been taken to ensure the outside air supply to the control room is isolated prior to conducting any plant operations which may result in a radiological release with the potential to exceed control room allowable doses. A design change to modify the logic to make the high radiation actuation logic meet the single failure criterion is being developed.
282-97-012 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On October 9, 1997, Unit 1 was in coastdown at 89% power and Unit 2 was at 100% power. As part of the USAR review and update project, the engineering staff identified that operation of the plant during hot or cold shutdown with the shutdown margin required by Technical Specifications would not provide the time identified in the USAR for operator action to mitigate an inadvertent boron dilution. The engineering staff also identified a potential boron dilution accident not described in the USAR resulting from RHR directly injecting to the vessel without adequate mixing. Procedures have been modified to maintain increased shutdown margin during hot and cold shutdown. Procedures are being reviewed to identify activities with RHR direct vessel injection and to appropriately modify these activates. This event will be analyzed and any necessary revision to the Technical Specifications will be submitted.
282-97-015 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	On November 3, 1997 events were identified which previously had resulted in the inoperability of both trains of control room ventilation. Inoperability of both trains of control room ventilation is a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. These events had occurred during previous performances of a monthly surveillance, in which the outside air supply dampers to the control room ventilation system were opened. Some of these dampers would not have closed on a safety injection signal or high radiation in the control room. These dampers must close in order for the system to be on 100% recirculation, which is the initial post-accident configuration. Because operation with outside air supply dampers open after an accident could have resulted in a condition where neither train may have had the capacity to maintain control room operator doses below GDC 19 criteria, both trains of control room ventilation should have been considered inoperable.
282-97-016 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF/S - 6a	On November 19, 1997, Unit 1 was in Mode 6 and Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power, when a preliminary conclusion based on engineering judgment was made that the lube oil collection systems installed on the Unit 1 Reactor Coolant Pumps (RCP) did not fully comply with the prescriptive requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendix R Section III.O. A potential pressurized leakage site from the oil lift pump and associated piping for 11 RCP and 12 RCP would not be captured by the collection pans of the installed RCP lube oil collection system. Before restarting Unit 1, a seismically qualified splash shield was installed over the oil lift pump and piping to divert any potential leakage to the collection pan directly below the pump. At the next refueling outage for Unit 2 (or mid-cycle if conditions permit), a seismically qualified splash shield will be installed over each RCP oil lift pump and piping to divert any leakage to the collection pan directly below the pump.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
282-97-017	NRF - 6a	On December 6, 1997, Unit 1 was in Mode 5 after completing a scheduled refueling outage. A meeting of the plant Operations Committee (OC) was held that afternoon to evaluate the reportability of issues associated with a modification package presented for OC review that morning. This modification package was for the installation of a noncombustible radiant heat shield around cabling to pressurizer level transmitter 1LT-433, where it is within 20 ft of cabling to pressurizer level transmitter 1LT-433, where it is within 20 ft of cabling to pressurizer level transmitter 1LT-433 and questioning the involved staff, it was concluded that the condition of cabling to 1LT-433 and 1LT-426 did not satisfy the separation criteria of 10CFR50 Appendix R Section III.G.2. Notification was made pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii) for the plant being in a condition that is outside its design basis. A noncombustible radiant energy shield has been installed over the cabling associated with transmitter 1LT-433 where it is within 20 ft of cabling associated with transmitter 1LT-426.
285-97-001	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. Following the report by Millstone Unit 2 of a problem with the calculation of Main Steam Safety Valve (MSSV) setpoints on September 3, 1996, Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) began an investigation to determine if similar issues applied. It has been determined that on only one occasion, over the life of the plant, have the number of inoperable MSSVs exceeded the design basis as recalculated due to this incident. discovered that the pressure drop to the MSSVs was not being taken into account. The root cause of this event was an inadequate vendor review of a CESEC code modeling. When the code modeling was originally developed, the analysis methodology should have accounted for piping pressure losses associated with flow. CESEC does not have the capability for directly modeling pressure drop in the piping. Therefore, the potential existed for pressure to exceed the code allowed during a single Main Steam (MS) isolation valve closure event. OPPD has performed the necessary analysis to update the design basis of the plant to account for the error discover and reported in this LER. Guidance has been provided to the operating staff to ensure that the design basis is maintained. A revision to the FCS Technical Specifications will be submitted to appropriately reflect the new design basis.
285-97-004	NRF - 6c	During an April 1997 review of the equipment credited for Control Room/Cable Spreading Room fire safe shutdown, it was determined that the Diesel Generator No. 2 (DG-2) speed sensing circuit's analog output was not capable of being isolated from the Control Room/Cable Spreading Room. A fire in the Control Room could have caused a short circuit of the tachometer or applied 120 VAC or 130 VDC voltage across the speed sensing switch tachometer loop output terminals, which could have damaged the speed switch built-in power supply. In addition to providing output to the tachometer circuit, the speed sensing switch also provides power to four set-point relays. Three of these four relays function to prevent the secondary air start motor from engaging if the engine exceeds 40 rpm, disengage the air start motors and open the DG-2 outside air dampers at 100 rpm, and flash the generator field at 750 rpm. Since the speed sensing switch has a common built-in power supply for the tachometer circuit as well as for the set-point relays, its damage could have resulted in not only the tachometer circuit becoming inoperable, but also could have de-energized or rendered the set-point relay circuits inoperable. This could have adversely affected the operation of the DG-2. The issue does not involve any common mode failure, only DG-2 is credited for meeting the Appendix R requirements.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
285-97-015	7	During a review of the Direct Current (DC) Distribution System described in the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR), a discrepancy regarding the operation of the station batteries without battery charger operation in the event of a Design Basis Accident (DBA) was identified. No analysis exists to support the Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) USAR battery capacity requirements without a charger during a DBA. There is an analysis of the 8-hour battery capacity for the Station Blackout (SBO) scenario. The station batteries are considered operable based on the similarities in DC loads needed to operate in response to the DBA and SBO scenarios and minimizing DC loads if a battery charger is lost. It has been concluded that the root cause of the plant being in an unanalyzed condition is the lack of a detailed plant design calculation and/or analysis to provide the supporting information related to the eight-hour capacity requirement for the station batteries in the event of a DBA without battery charger operation. The USAR verification project that discovered this issue is continuing. The approach of this USAR review will help ensure that other errors like this will be caught and corrected. A calculation is being developed to support the USAR requirement for the station batteries.
285-97-016	7	On October 28, 1997, Westinghouse informed Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) of issues regarding fuel rod internal pressure, the status of the PAD code (a Westinghouse fuel performance code), and concerns with the fuel rod design criteria. When initially notified, FCS was advised that Westinghouse could not preclude the possibility of plants with Integral Fuel Burnable Absorber (IFBA) fuel being outside their fuel design criteria due to fuel clad gap reopening, and that the 17 percent maximum cladding oxidation limit, as delineated in 10CFR50.46, may be exceeded. Since that time, and based on the LOCA analyses which have been performed to date by Westinghouse, an initial pre-transient 12 percent oxidation has been established as a screening criteria to permit assessment of plants regarding compliance with the 17 percent maximum cladding oxidation criterion of 10CFR50.46. OPPD was informed by Westinghouse that FCS will not exceed the 12 percent oxidation criteria prior to the end of the current operating cycle. FCS had previously planned on replacing Westinghouse Zirc-4 fuel with either Westinghouse ZIRLO fuel or non-Westinghouse fuel during the 1998 refueling outage. This fuel replacement will help resolve this issue.
285-97-017	6b	While reviewing recent industry operating experience on the potential for nitrogen voiding in safety injection systems, the Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) determined that a similar condition may exist for the Fort Calhoun Power Station (FCS). An engineering susceptibility evaluation was performed for the FCS which indicated that the Low Pressure Safety Injection (LPSI) and High Pressure Safety Injection (HPSI) systems at FCS have the potential for water hammer should nitrogen voiding occur in the systems. The evaluation indicates that several supports would have reaction loads exceeding design allowables for the LPSI system from water hammer if voiding existed. Based on previous SI tank leakage and potential for low header pressure, the SI system may have voided and been in an unanalyzed condition in the past. No comparable voiding has occurred in the HPSI system. Based on a review of design documents it has been inferred that the plant's architect engineer did not consider water hammer in the piping and support design. OPPD will modify the system to install vents at appropriate locations. System performance will be monitored to determine the effectiveness of this solution.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
286-97-003	NRS - 6c	On March 13, 1997, with the plant at 100 percent power and normal temperature and pressure, operations reported the plant outside design basis as a result of an engineering determination that there was a design deficiency in the 125 volt DC Electrical Distribution System (EDS) power supplies due to an inability of the system to meet single failure criteria during use of a backup battery charger (BC). The reported condition existed periodically since a design modification added a backup battery charger (BC-35) to the 125 volt DC EDS and powered it from a 480 volt AC bus that is supplied from one of three Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG-33). When BC-35 is used in lieu of a normal charger to sustain the DC power supply supporting one of the other two EDGs, a Loss of Offsite Power or Safety Injection and a postulated single failure to EDG-33, or its associated bus/power circuit could result in loss of two BCs. Subsequent battery depletion could result in loss of one of the two remaining EDGs. The potential loss of two of three EDGs places the plant outside its design basis. The event was caused by the original classification of BC-31, 32, 33 as non-seismic which was relied upon for the modification to add BC-35 and resulted in no consideration of cross-tie effects. Further review determined that the non-safety classified BC's perform a safety function. The cause of classifying the BC's originally as non-seismic was the unavailability of qualified BCs, but the failure to adequately justify their classification of BC-31, 32, 33, 35 as Seismic Class I/QA Category I, revision of procedure and issuance of a temporary modification for limited use of BC-35. This event had no significant effect on the health and safety of the public.
286-97-006	NRS - 6b	On May 8, 1997, with the plant at 95 percent power, Engineering determined that the plant had been operated outside the design basis in the past when the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) was aligned to the non-seismic purification loop of the Spent Fuel Pit Cooling Loop because design requirements for isolation had not been identified and proceduralized. A failure of the purification loop pressure boundary, without proper provisions for isolation, would affect the RWST inventory available for the safety injection system. The event was due to personnel error during the original design process. The corrective action was to establish administrative controls to require a trained person at isolation valves AC-727A and AC-727B during operation of the RWST purification loop while above cold shutdown to assure the system is isolated following an earthquake, safety injection or as required by the control room. The feasibility of plant modifications is being assessed. An extent of condition review is underway. There was no significant effect on public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
286-97-007	2b	On May 20, 1997, with the plant in a cold shutdown condition, NYPA determined that the sequence of emergency operating procedure (EOP) steps to re-position the service water discharge throttle valves on the component cooling heat exchangers had potentially placed the plant outside its design basis. The EOP may not have ensured the design basis accident minimum service water flow requirements were met. During a postulated loss of coolant accident and prior to going on recirculation these manual valves needed to be repositioned open to a predetermined throttled position to provide sufficient heat removal capability. During normal operation these valves were throttled below the prescribed setting to maintain component cooling water temperature within the normal operating range due to seasonal river water temperature conditions. The cause was human error during the revision of the EOPs and non-formal implementation of design basis information. An operability determination established the minimum required throttle positions for fifty degree river water and this requirement was implemented until the current outage started. Service water and component cooling water EOP steps have been verified to comply with design. Additional review of EOPs may be required and this will be identified prior to plant startup. A procedure change will be issued to include the operational limits required to ensure the design is maintained. This event had no affect on the health and safety of the public because, based on preliminary engineering evaluation, the associated components are believed to be able to perform their function during the postulated event.
286-97-014	5b	This Licensee Event Report (LER) describes events pertaining to the lack of Weld Channel and Containment Penetration Pressurization System (WCCPPS) air supply to the 80' and 95' airlock shaft seals, equalizing ball valve flanged joints and containment penetration welds. At the time of discovery of these events, the plant was in cold shutdown condition due to a refueling outage. On August 5, 1997, at approximately 1852 hours, during installation of the 80' airlock shaft seal upgrade, it was discovered that the weld channel port to the existing door mechanism shaft seal had been blocked by the seal housing flange gasket. This condition prevented weld channel supply to the airlock door shaft seal. On 8/7/97, at approximately 1655 hours, the Authority discovered that the 3/4" pipe associated with 80' inner door seal pressure gauges PI-1434 A & B that directly penetrates the 80' airlock inner bulk head did not have WCCPPS air supplied to the containment boundary weld. It was also discovered that the equalizing ball valve flanged joints had no WCCPPS supply. An extent of condition review indicated that the same discrepancies existed on the 95' airlock. Corrective actions have been completed that ensure supply of WCCPPS air to the 80' and 951 airlock shaft seals, equalizing ball valve flanged joints and containment penetration welds. The purpose of WCCPPS is to prevent potential leakage of the Vapor Containment air to the surrounding environment and eliminate offsite doses in the event of a design basis accident. However, without WCCPPS, offsite doses would still be within the limits of 10 CFR Part 100. The cause of these events was personnel error. Repairs were made to correct these deficiencies. These events had no effect on the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
286-97-020	6b	On September 3, 1997, with the plant in hot shutdown, the Independent Safety Engineering Group determined that the main boiler feed pump motor operated discharge valves would not close upon receipt of a feedwater isolation or safety injection signal if they were opening. These discharge valves have been opened in the past while starting up and following repairs while the plant was in a condition where the valves were credited for mitigation of a feedwater addition accident or a steam pipe rupture. The discharge valves, manufactured by Crane-Teledyne, have an "RY" relay circuit which is operated by the valve closed torque switch and valve open limit switch. The "RY" relay circuit is designed so that the valve closed torque switch (actuated when the valve is torqued shut) de-energizes the valve closing circuit and blocks re-energization until the valve reaches the full open position. If a feedwater isolation or safety injection signal is received while the valve is in an intermediate position, during the opening stroke, the valve will stop opening and reclosure is prevented. The cause is probably personnel error in original plant design. Administrative controls are in place to assure feedwater isolation, considering a single failure, when the valves are opened during startup. These administrative controls will be maintained until Engineering has assessed and completed corrective action. This event had no significant effect on the public health and safety.
286-97-022	За	On September 11, 1997, at approximately 1634 hours, with the plant at 30 percent power and normal temperature and pressure, NYPA determined that inadequate Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) instructions could have caused two motor driven High Head Safety Injection (HHSI) pumps to operate without flow during the transfer to hot leg recirculation following a postulated Loss of Coolant Accident. Containment Isolation Valves on the discharge header for the HHSI pumps are re-positioned to the closed position in accordance with EOP ES-1.3 when the HHSI system is not in operation. EOP ES-1.4, for re-aligning the plant for hot leg recirculation, did not account for this prior re-positioning. This could have resulted in the HHSI pumps being operated in a dead-headed configuration. The cause was an inadequate procedure review attributed to the lack of instructions in the EOP review process and ineffective verification and validation when the requirements were added to the EOP ES-1.3 on June 12, 1989. ES-1.4 was revised on August 25, 1997 to require the HHSI valves to be opened. A review of other safety related pump operation in the EOPs determined that isolation of non-automatic valves would not impact a system operation. This event did not cause a significant increase in risk to the public health and safety.
286-97-030	6a	On November 7, 1997, while operating at 100 percent power, the New York Power Authority (NYPA) reported that the Indian Point 3 (IP3) plant was outside it's design basis because the ATWS Mitigating System Actuation Circuitry (AMSAC) automatically arms at 40 percent turbine impulse pressure rather than 40 percent reactor core power. AMSAC was declared inoperable and an administrative limiting condition for operation action statement was entered. The cause is personnel error that led to an inconsistency in the topical report between the specified requirement for the AMSAC setpoint and the analytical basis. NYPA performed a reasonable assurance of safety that found that the AMSAC is capable of performing its design function at the current setpoint. NYPA is assessing what the proper permanent corrective action should be. There were no effects on public health and safety due to the deviation from the AMSAC setpoint requirement since AMSAC would have performed its intended design function.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
286-97-031	Зс	On December 12, 1997, with the plant operating at 100% power, Engineering determined that the plant might have been outside of its design basis during past operation. A design configuration was discovered in which, for a specific and limited set of plant conditions, the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) System would not meet single failure criteria. The design configuration is that certain AFW System controls associated with two motor-driven pumps are powered from the same instrument bus. The specific condition required is a safety injection signal or loss of offsite power at the same time that Instrument Bus 33 is connected to its backup power supply. The result is the tripping of both motor-driven pump motors due to flow runout, so that a single failure of the remaining turbine-driven pump would prevent the AFW system from performing its design function. The cause of the event could not be definitively determined because the design configuration was present at initial plant startup. A probable cause is that design personnel did not recognize that certain controls for the motor-driven pumps were required to prevent flow runout and thereby protect the pump motor from tripping on excess current. Corrective actions were taken to modify the design configuration. The event did not affect the health and safety of the public because the actual length of time when plant conditions were subject to the single failure was minimal.
287-97-002	6c	On April 1, 1997, while reviewing previously identified problems on Unit 3's Reactor Building Cooling Units (RBCU) fan motor circuits, it was recognized that a potential past operability concern existed. Potentially underrated fuses had been replaced with fuses of a higher rating on June 21, 1995. On May 1, 1997, with Unit 3 operating at 100% full power, an Engineering evaluation concluded that the Unit 3 RBCUs were technically past inoperable, prior to June 1995, because the fuses in the primary circuit of Unit 3's RBCUs control power transformer were underrated. This might have prevented the RBCUs from re-starting during a LOCA/LOOP upon receipt of an Engineered Safeguard signal. The root cause is manufacturing deficiency, functional design deficiency, electrical. Corrective actions included replacing all three units' RBCU fuses.
287-97-003	2c	On May 3, 1997, Unit 3 was being shutdown to verify the integrity of the High Pressure Injection (HPI) System nozzles. Unit 3 was at approximately 240 degrees and 270 psig with a Low Pressure Injection pump and a Reactor Coolant Pump in operation. At approximately 0915 hours, the 3A and 3B HPI pumps were damaged due to operation with an inadequate suction source. Both Letdown Storage Tank (LDST) level instruments erroneously indicated level was 55.9 inches for about one hour and forty five minutes prior to the damage to the HPI pumps. However, at the time of the failure, the tank was essentially empty. Subsequent investigation determined the reference leg of the LDST level to be partially drained. The Operators responded to the event and placed the unit in a stable condition. On May 5, 1997, an Engineering evaluation determined that the inaccurate level made the HPI system inoperable at some point between February 22, 1997 and May 3, 1997. This is significant because operation in this condition is outside the design bases of the HPI System. The root cause is a combination of a design weakness of a common reference leg for the LDST level instruments and a leaking instrument fitting due to an inadequate work practice. A contributing cause was the failure to adequately apply available operating experience. Corrective actions include a modification of the LDST level instruments.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
289-97-001	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 17, 1997, during a review of piping systems that penetrate containment as requested by Generic Letter (GL) 96-06, 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design-Basis Accident Conditions,' GPU Nuclear determined that most of the eleven (11) affected piping segments could be stressed beyond the piping design code (B31.1-1967) allowable stresses during a design-basis accident. This condition was found to be reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition in which the plant was found to be outside of its design basis. The analysis modeled the effects on internal fluid and piping in response to an external ambient temperature increase. The results revealed that although the piping did not meet the design requirements, the postulated stresses did not exceed ASME Section III, Appendix F criteria for piping. Therefore containment integrity would be maintained during an accident. Using the guidance in NUREG/CR-5455, 'Development of the NRC's Human Performance Investigation Process (HPIP),' the root cause was found to be that the applicable design standards were 'less than adequate - confusing or incomplete.' Operability determinations were performed and further evaluations are ongoing to determine the need for modifications or procedural revisions. There were no adverse safety consequences or safety implications. The event did not affect the health and safety of the public.
289-97-002	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 29, 1997, GPU Nuclear reviewed the operability of Feedwater Startup Block Valves, FW-V92 A/B. Improvements to the GL 89-10 program had led to more conservative assumptions being used in the valve thrust calculations. The new assumptions increased the calculated thrust requirements for the valves. Although calculations were not yet design verified,' there was reason to believe the valves may not close fully during a Main Steam Line Break (MSLB). FW-V92A/B are required to close by a signal from the Heat Sink Protection System (HSPS) when Once Through Steam Generator (OTSG) pressure decreases to less than 600 psig. Technical Specification 3.5.1.9 requires HSPS operability when the reactor is critical and establishes a 72 hour allowable outage time with a single train inoperable. With FW-V92A/B inoperable for greater than 72 hours, this condition is an event which is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(I)(B) as a condition in which the plant was-not in compliance with its Technical Specifications. The torque switch settings for FW/V92A/B were increased to ensure full valve closure during a MSLB. The root cause was weakness of the Motor Operated Valve (MOV) Program. All valves in the GL 89-10 MOV program are being reevaluated using justifiable and conservative assumptions. The safety consequences associated with this event were minimal.
289-97-003	6b	On February 24, 1997, GPU Nuclear determined that suction piping for Make Up pumps A & C may have been stressed beyond the piping design code (B31.1-1967) allowable stresses during ES Testing and could be similarly over stressed during system response to a design-basis accident. This condition was found to be reportable in accordance with 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition in which the plant was found to be outside of its design basis. An analysis of the effect of the overpressure condition on the piping and components was performed. The results revealed that although the piping and components may not have met the design requirements, the postulated stresses did not exceed ASME Section III, Appendix F criteria. Therefore system integrity would be maintained. Using the guidance in NUREG/CR-5455, Development of the NRC's Human Performance Investigation Process (HPIP), the root cause was found to be "standards, policies, or administrative controls (SPAC) Less than adequate - technical errors." The system was determined to be operable and procedure changes will be implemented prior to startup from the 12R outage to prevent future occurrence. There were no adverse safety consequences or safety implications that resulted from this event, and the event did not affect the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
289-97-010	2a	On October 13, 1997, GPU Nuclear (GPUN) Inc. determined that the Pilot Operated Relief Valve (PORV) installed during the 11R refueling outage was not capable of being opened during the operating cycle prior to refueling outage 12R. GPUN reached this conclusion on the basis of post-maintenance testing of the PORV replacement installed during the 12R outage and from observation of lead landings on the valve removed from service. This condition was found to be reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (I) (B) as a condition which is prohibited by the plant Technical Specifications, in that Inservice Testing (IST) required by ASME code and the TMI Inservice Inspection Program was not properly conducted on the PORV following its replacement during 11R, and which if conducted properly would have led to the early discovery of its inability to be cycled. The root cause of the event was identified as personnel error. During the valve reinstallation in 11R, the power supply leads to the PORV solenoid were improperly terminated; and the error went undiscovered because of a failure to perform the required PMT on the valve following its installation pursuant to Technical Specification 4.2.2. There were no adverse safety consequences or safety implications resulting from this event, and the event did not affect the health and safety of the public.
293-97-011	3с	The Pilgrim Station design basis includes a requirement for redundant and independent salt service water (SSW) system trains such that no single active failure can prevent the SSW system from fulfilling its safety objective (i.e., to provide cooling water to the reactor building closed cooling water (RBCCW) system). The design basis of the SSW system also includes the requirement for the normally cross-connected SSW trains be automatically isolated upon loss of the preferred AC power source. During a Service Water Operational Performance Inspection (SWOPI) follow-up NRC inspection, a single failure vulnerability was identified which placed the unit in a condition thought to be outside the design basis. Specifically, a single failure of a 125 Vdc battery, under certain conditions, would compromise the redundancy and independence of the SSW system and potentially lead to a SSW pump cavitation condition. It was determined that a single failure of a 125 Vdc battery might defeat the SSW loop independence and redundancy if the SSW swing pump was selected for dedication to the opposite safety train and a loss of off-site power occurred. Such a DC failure would disable the associated diesel generator and one of the SSW discharge header division valves. As a result, the SSW loops would remain cross-connected, and one SSW pump would supply both loops of SSW for a short time, potentially in a cavitating condition. A temporary modification was implemented that required closing one of the division valves in the common SSW discharge header to effect redundant and independent cooling water loops and to preclude the potential pump cavitation condition. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
293-97-015	За	On November 4, 1997, while reviewing the results of recent off-site performance testing of the bowl assembly of a salt service water (SSW) pump, it was identified that with a single pump operating and supplying one cooling loop, the pump's brake horsepower will approach 113 HP. The current drawn by the pump motor at this load, in particular under a conservative assumption of ten percent decrease in motor terminal voltage, could have resulted in an overload (OL) relay trip with the (then) existing trip setting of 100 percent of the pump motor thermal OL relay. Both containment cooling system loops were declared inoperable and a twenty-four hour cold shutdown limiting condition for operation (LCO) was entered in accordance with Technical Specifications at 1940 hours on November 4, 1997. The root cause of the condition involving the SSW pumps is attributed to a variation in SSW pump BHP characteristics due to the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) for these pumps being changed from Goulds Pumps to Johnston Pump. Immediate corrective action taken consisted of sequentially removing the SSW pumps from service and resetting the OL relay for each service water pump motor to 115 percent. Prior to declaring the SSW pumps operable, each OL relay was tested satisfactorily. The LCO was terminated at 0300 hours on November 5, 1997. The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power. The reactor mode selector switch was in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was about 1035 psig with the reactor water at the saturation temperature for that pressure. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
293-97-017	7	On March 21, 1998, the measured temperature in the "A" Diesel Generator Room went below the updated FSAR Table 10.9-1 design temperature of 60 degrees Fahrenheit (F). LER 97-027-00 and LER 98-002-00, described prior events where the emergency diesel generator (EDG) building air temperature went below the design value. These events were strongly influenced by the wind direction and speed. Several corrective actions discussed in LER 98-002-00 had been implemented at the time of this event, and the Pilgrim Station operations staff was able to monitor the temperature in the EDG building more effectively. Design changes necessary to prevent recurrence are being evaluated and are statused in this supplemental LER. Corrective actions taken since the previously reported event (LER 98-002-00) include completion of an engineering evaluation and vendor confirmation which provided the basis for the lower limit of EDG starting capability at an air temperature of 40F and procedure revisions to increase operator mode selector switch in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1035 psig with the reactor water temperature at the saturation temperature for the reactor pressure. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety. During certain periods of past operation, the inlet seawater temperature of the open loop, safety-related salt service water (SSW) system was greater than 65 degrees F. The 65 degree F parameter is significant because, prior to the issuance of
		license amendment 173 on July 3, 1997, 65 degrees F was assumed in safety-related heat removal analyses described in the updated final safety analysis report (UFSAR). The cause of operating with seawater/SSW inlet temperatures greater than 65 degrees F was ambiguity in the licensing basis. Actions taken included the issuance of a safety evaluation, and a license amendment request. UFSAR changes identified in the safety evaluation were included in the 1996 update of the UFSAR. Subsequently, license amendment 173 authorized plant operation with seawater temperatures to 75 degrees F. This report is submitted as part of corrective actions identified in response to NRC findings contained in Inspection Report 97-05. Broader corrective action planned regarding licensing basis ambiguity will be tracked as part of the commitments made during an enforcement conference held on November 21, 1997. The condition occurred while operating at various power levels and included 100 percent reactor power operation. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.
293-97-018	7	The drywell temperature analysis of record (1987) was nonconservative until 1996 due to a NSSS supplier computer modeling error. The computer modeling error involved certain small steamline breaks and an incorrect assumption that drywell temperature would be equal to the reactor vessel temperature. Subsequently, a new NSSS supplier analysis predicted a higher drywell temperature profile than the analysis of record. An immediate evaluation of the environmental qualification of all affected safety-related equipment inside the drywell determined that all equipment was qualified except the position indicators of five containment isolation valves which were subsequently verified qualified through retesting. A new NSSS supplier analysis has been accepted and the environmental specification revised to incorporate the new drywell temperature profile. A more conservative containment analysis has been mandated by License Amendment 173. All input values and assumptions are being approved by BECo prior to acceptance of the analysis. This report was submitted following reassessment of reportability as a result of NRC Inspection 97-05.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
293-97-019	Зb	During past periods of reactor operation when the reactor building closed cooling water (RBCCW) system was required to be operable, actions to close RBCCW valves that supply cooling water to non-essential heat loads were not contained in a written procedure (s). Specifically, the closure of RBCCW system valves to isolate non-essential loads during design basis loss of coolant accident conditions was not translated into a procedure (s) , contrary to the updated FSAR. The cause was inadequate design control in that the action to isolate RBCCW flow to non-essential heat loads during accident conditions was not translated into a procedure. Corrective actions taken included performing a safety evaluation and revising a procedure to include the action, and initiating calculations to demonstrate adequate RBCCW flow will be supplied to all safety-related heat loads when the non-essential RBCCW heat loads are not isolated. This report is submitted as a result of findings stemming from NRC Inspection Report 97-05. Broader corrective actions to be taken will be tracked as part of commitments made at an enforcement conference held on November 21, 1997. The condition existed since initial plant startup. During that time, reactor operation occurred at various reactor power levels including 100 percent.
293-97-020	7	During past periods of reactor operation when the RHR system was required to be operable, Pilgrim Station operated outside the design basis because procedures to establish an RHR flow-rate that did not comport with the analysis of record or the design-basis as described in the UFSAR. Specifically, containment heat removal during a design-basis loss of coolant accident with a flow-rate as low as 4800 g.p.m. in procedures was less than the 5100 G.P.M. established in the analysis of record (UFSAR Chapter 14 and calculation M-664). The cause was inadequate translation of analysis parameters to operating procedure parameters. Corrective actions taken included performing a safety evaluation and revising the RHR operating procedures to reflect the UFSAR Chapter 14 and calculation M-664. Broader corrective action will be separately tracked as part of commitments made at an enforcement conference held on November 21, 1997. This report is submitted as a result of findings stemming from NRC Inspection Report 97-05. The condition could have existed since initial plant startup. During that time, reactor operation occurred at various reactor power levels including 100 percent.
293-97-021	6b	During past periods of reactor operation when the emergency diesel generators (EDGs) were required to be operable, Pilgrim Station operated outside the design as described in FSAR Table 10.9-2 because ambient air temperatures experienced during certain periods were greater than the 88 degrees F shown on the table. The cause has been attributed to ambiguity in the FSAR; the 88 degree value is believed to be a nominal design parameter and not a design limit but the FSAR is not clear on this point. Since the system sections of the FSAR only describe the safety design basis and the safety design objectives, not the bounding parameters for analysis, it is difficult to objectively determine which parameters constitute the design basis as defined in 10CFR50.2 and which provide design information. Broad corrective action includes a design basis recapture project and upgrading the FSAR to clearly describe design basis information. These commitments will be tracked as part of the commitments made at an enforcement conference held on November 21, 1997. This report was submitted as a result of findings contained in NRC Inspection Report 97-05. This condition has occurred during certain summer periods since initial plant startup. During those periods, reactor operation occurred at various power levels including 100 percent.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
293-97-023	6a	On October 31, 1997, at about 1230 hours, PNPS engineers discovered the Radwaste Building in a configuration not considered in the Updated FSAR or tornado depressurization analysis. Thus, a design basis tornado could have affected the availability of Class 1 equipment stored or housed in (and adjacent to) the Radwaste Building. The tornado depressurization analysis assumes the truck doors (a single set) to the Radwaste Building will fail at a differential pressure of 0.5 psi. Engineers discovered two complete door assemblies installed in the doorway, both in the closed position. As a result of the discovery, one of the doors was tagged open. The cause was inadequate control of the subcompartment barriers. Immediate corrective actions included opening and tagging one of the doors. Longer term corrective actions include implementing a formal program to control subcompartment barriers and evaluating the Radwaste Building trucklock doors to confirm the acceptability of both doors being closed. The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power. The reactor mode selector switch was in the RUN position. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.
293-97-024	6a	On October 23, 1997, during a review of the primary containment atmosphere control system as a result of a General Electric (GE) notification of a potential 10CFR21 issue regarding suppression pool (torus) bypass leakage, one potential bypass flow path was identified through which the drywell air space could communicate with the torus air space. The potential for this event existed while in the valve line up for inerting the primary containment because a single failure could occur that causes valves AO-5035A and PCIS AO-5036A to fail open on a primary containment isolation signal. These valves share a common actuating relay, RPWA1 and relay contact 1-2, that provide the primary containment isolation system signal to these valves. If the plant was in the process of changing from torus to drywell inerting and a LOCA event occurred, a single failure of relay RPWA1 to de-energize or the single failure of relay RPWA1 contact 1-2, to open would prevent AO-5035A and AO-5036A from closing, resulting in a steam bypass path from the drywell to the torus air space without passing through the torus downcomers. This operating configuration was determined to be outside the design basis of the of the plant. Immediate action was taken to establish administrative controls that preclude entry into the inerting portion of Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Procedure 2.2.70, "Primary Containment Atmosphere Control System." Procedure 2.2.70, will be revised to eliminate this vulnerability. This event posed no significant threat to the public health and safety
293-97-028	6a	On December 17, 1997, at 1708 hours, PNPS personnel informed the NRC that the tornado depressurization analysis did not consider all of the depressurization pathways in the intake structure. Thus, a design basis tornado could have affected the availability of Class 1 equipment stored or housed in the intake structure. The station tornado procedure requires operators to secure open all intake structure doors, thereby creating large openings not considered in the tornado depressurization analysis. The cause was inadequate control of the tornado barriers. Operations declared the intake structure operable based on an engineering evaluation (EE 97-068) that showed the additional vent paths, not considered in the tornado analysis, are insignificant compared to other larger openings already considered in the analysis. Long term corrective actions include resolving the inconsistencies between the tornado depressurization analysis for the intake structure and the station tornado procedure. PNPS also plans to implement a formal program to control compartment barriers. The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power. The reactor mode selector switch was in the RUN position. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
293-97-029	За	On December 16, 1997, while reviewing the results of a previous reportability evaluation (August, 1997), the determination was made that the SDC suction isolation valves were vulnerable to mechanical damage from a potential failure mode involving hot shorts and that this condition was outside the design basis of the plant. The condition could have caused a spurious operation of these MOVs during a control room or cable spreading room fire, resulting in damage to either of these valves such that the SDC mode of the RHR system would not be available to support the safe cooldown of the plant. The cause of this condition is attributed to the failure to recognize the new failure mode discussed in NRC IN 92-18. Immediate corrective action consisted of implementing a temporary modification on August 21, 1997, when the condition was originally discovered. The temporary modification de-energized both valves by opening their respective breakers which removes the potential failure mode for a postulated hot short damaging either valve. Long term corrective action will consist of either converting the temporary modification to a permanent change or developing another design change to modify the control circuits for these MOVs. The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power. The reactor mode selector switch was in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1035 psig with the reactor water at the saturation temperature for that pressure. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.
293-97-030	7	On December 24, 1997, a discrepancy was identified between FSAR Table 5.2.1 which identifies the approximate drywell free volume to be 147,000 cubic feet and draft engineering calculations which indicate the actual free volume to be approximately 137,000 cubic feet. The 147,000 cubic feet drywell free volume value was used as a design input for a variety of design basis calculations and analyses. The inappropriate use of FSAR Table 5.2.1 drywell free volume value in design basis calculations and analyses appears to have resulted from incomplete understanding and validation of its basis. Corrective action taken included reviewing affected calculations and verifying operability. Corrective action planned includes revising the FSAR Table 5.2.1 to clarify the drywell free volume value and completion of drywell volume calculations. The condition was identified while at 100 percent reactor power with the reactor mode selector switch in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1035 psig with the reactor water temperature at the saturation temperature for the reactor pressure. This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.
295-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	2a	On 1-10-97 while in mode 5, during a dimensional verification for a pending modification, it was noted that two 1" holes were not in the Unit 2 containment recirculation sump cover plate as detailed on a plant structural drawing. At approximately 0700 on January 11, 1997 inspection of the Unit 1 sump cover plate verified that the holes on that plate were missing also. Because adequate venting capability of the sump could not be proven, the operating department declared both Residual Heat Removal (RHR) [BP] Pumps inoperable, entered Technical Specification 3.8.3 and placed Unit 1 on a 4 hour limiting condition for operation (LCO). The sump covers on both units were removed, holes were drilled and the covers replaced. Upon completion of this work the RHR Pumps were declared operable. The holes are believed to have been missed because of an original plant construction installation error. The investigation could not determine why the holes were missing. An evaluation has been performed to show there was ample time for operators to align alternate water sources to the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST). Therefore the safety significance of this event is minimal.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
295-97-008 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 21, 1997, while investigating applicability of a vendor notification letter, Station Maintenance Engineering discovered High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters containing aluminum were installed in unit 1 and 2 reactor containment buildings. The surface area of aluminum installed as a result of these HEPA filters exceeded the 915 square foot limit established by the Updated Final Safety Analysis (UFSAR). This condition can cause excessive Hydrogen gas concentrations during the Design Basis Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) as a result of the reaction between the aluminum and the sodium hydroxide solution in the containment spray fluid. The originally installed HEPA filters were certified by the manufacturer on 16-OCT-73 to comply with the non-aluminum requirement of the design specification. The original filters were in place in the Containment Charcoal Filter Units (CCFU), two in unit 1 and two in unit 2, until replaced as corrective work to address decreasing filter efficiencies. The plant's four CCFU HEPA filters were replaced in the period between April, 1992 and January, 1994. This event was caused by failure to verify the parts were correct. Public safety was not adversely affected during this period. The assessment of safety consequences shows the lower flammability limit for hydrogen would not have been reached within 30 days of the LOCA, and venting the containment to alleviate excess hydrogen would not have been necessary. Therefore, 10CFR100 limits would not have been impacted due to this event. Corrective actions for this event are removing or replacing the aluminum containing HEPA filters, strengthening standards and control of part specification practices in work package preparation, and training appropriate personnel. (NUREG 1022 CODE E).
295-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5c	On April 23, 1997, the Main Steam Line pressure transmitters were declared inoperable. The pressure transmitter instrument setpoints were based on a loop accuracy calculation that did not utilize the correct cable lengths or take into account the elevated temperature resulting from a Main Steam Line Break (MSLB). Use of the proper (longer) cable lengths and elevated temperature introduces inaccuracies into the instrument loops because of increased leakage currents from the instrument cables that could prevent the performance of safety functions. The safety significance of this issue is minimal because there are other devices that perform the same protective functions and indications. The root cause of the event is management deficiency since ComEd exercised inadequate control over contractor prepared calculations in 1991. Contributing to the event, the ComEd EQ group failed to transmit the necessary information to the affected groups as required by procedure. The corrective actions are to revise the instrument loop insulation resistance (IR) calculations, replace the Fischer Porter pressure transmitters, assess the need to perform a modification to upgrade the instrument cables, and conduct training to emphasize the necessity for interdisciplinary review. LER 1-97-020 resulted from the performance of corrective actions for this LER. Additional corrective actions are discussed in LER 1-97-020. (NUREG code A,E)
295-97-018 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6c	Since original start-up, Zion has cross-tied 125 VDC buses to accommodate battery and charger testing and equalization charging without restricting loads on the bus. In 1996 detailed battery calculations were performed to model the cross-tie configurations. During cross-tying of buses, it was determined that a bus with a disconnected battery could not fulfill its design basis requirements. The root cause was an inadequate Technical Specification review process. The Nuclear Safety significance is dependent upon the plant configuration and equipment out-of-service at the time the cross-tie was used. A Safety analysis performed indicates that the risk imposed by cross-tying buses is minimal due to the station's three division design. Corrective actions include document revisions and training.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
295-97-020 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	On September 8, 1997 a review of Safety Evaluation (97-472) and Appendix 3A of the UFSAR, "Analysis of the Effects of a Main Steam or Feedwater Pipe Break Outside the Containment" identified an apparent discrepancy between the as-built plant conditions and the assumptions made in the UFSAR analysis. UFSAR Appendix 3A states that all electrical cabling associated with the nuclear safety related (autoclose) operation of the Main Steam Isolation Valves (MSIVs) are routed outside the steam tunnel but, in fact, the cables for the main steam pressure transmitters are routed inside the tunnel. These transmitters initiate a close signal to the MSIV when high main steam flow exists. Low-low RCS temperature concurrent with high main steam flow will also close the MSIVs for this design basis steamline break outside containment. The UFSAR is not clearly worded regarding which safety related cables may run through the main steam (MS) tunnel. Consequently there is an incomplete description of the assumptions used for the Zion Design Basis and for UFSAR Appendix 3A. The root cause investigation is continuing and further contributing causal factors and corrective actions will be included in the supplemental report which will follow this initial report. Immediate actions were to review the as-built configuration against design basis events, supported functions and post accident monitoring. Preliminary results of this review show the ability of the MSIVs to autoclose after the Appendix 3A event is not compromised. But it is not conclusive regarding other safety related cabling in the area of concern.
295-97-022 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On October 22, 1997 it was concluded that the station potentially relies on a non-safety related heating system to keep the Control Room Habitable during an accident. The findings to date indicate that an unacceptable Administrative Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) has allowed continued plant operation which will not insured that the Control Room remains habitable following a combined Loss of Offsite Power and Loss of Coolant Accident (LOOP/LOCA). The UFSAR clearly states that the Control Room will remain habitable during various accidents including a LOCA. A potential safety concern exists which must be resolved prior to restarting either Unit 1 or Unit 2 and prior the movement of irradiated fuel. The potential existed after instituting the unacceptable Administrative LCO not maintain the Control Room habitable during a LOCA. Immediate actions were to place an ENS phone call and review the as-built configuration against design requirements. The results of this review are to complete repairs to the safety-related outlet damper OPDV-OV01 and revise applicable station procedures. The root cause is still under investigation.
295-97-023 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	During review of the operator actions to align the Auxiliary Building Ventilation System during the transfer to cold leg recirculation phase of a LOCA, it was discovered that placing hand switch 0HS-AV95 in the "cubicle mode" does not also align the exhaust air flow from the pipe tunnels through charcoal filters. Because the Class I pipe tunnel and the Fuel Building exhaust air flow dampers react to the radiation monitors. there will be a time delay between the radiation detection in the pipe tunnel and damper repositioning to direct the air flow through the charcoal filters. This time delay will result in a "puff" of air unfiltered by charcoal to atmosphere. The radiation release described in the UFSAR for Control Room Habitability did not consider this unfiltered release. The off-site dose analysis for the general radiation release also did not consider this unfiltered release. The cause of the event is an original analysis error. The safety significance of this event is minimal with regard to the affect on Control Room Habitability and off-site dose release. A modification is being performed to assume all required ventilation is filtered through charcoal when done so manually.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
298-97-001	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. While performing engineering evaluations in response to NRC Generic Letter 96-06, it was determined that six containment penetrations are susceptible to thermally induced over pressurization during certain design basis accidents. At 1340 hours on January 30, 1997, it was determined that this situation was reportable as a condition outside design basis. The apparent cause for this condition is the failure to recognize and address the potential failure modes described in Generic Letter 96-06 during original plant design and construction (NUREG 1022, Appendix B, Cause Code B). An evaluation of the structural integrity of the bounding configuration demonstrates that continued operability is assured for all six penetrations. Evaluation of the six affected penetrations to quantify the impact and required corrective actions is continuing. Corrective actions planned or taken to resolve this issue will be communicated to the NRC in follow up response to Generic Letter 96-06.
298-97-010	6a	On July 16, 1997, at 1054, a design deficiency was discovered wherein during a Design Basis Accident (DBA) concurrent with a Loss Of Offsite Power (LOOP), the Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) system would not have been capable of fulfilling its function. This was discovered when a half group 2 isolation isolated the steam supply to the Augmented Off Gas (AOG) system (Ref. LER 97-009). Subsequent to this AOG isolation, about 5200 gallons of water were pumped from the Z sump. The Hi-Hi-Hi sump level alarm was not annunciated, indicating that the pump was able to maintain the water level in the sump within normal levels. The safety function of the Z sump is to maintain the water level in the sump below the level where it could back into the SGT system discharge line and adversely affect SGT operation. Since the Hi-Hi-Hi sump level alarm is below the bottom of the SGT discharge lines operability of the SGT system was maintained throughout the event. Subsequent investigations revealed the design deficiency described above. Since the Z sump pumps are not required to be provided with essential onsite power until several hours after event initiation, the Z sump could have been sufficiently flooded to have prevented SGT operation. On 7/16/97 at 1054, a one hour notification was made as a condition outside design basis. On 7/21/97 surveillance procedure 6.SUMP.101 was performed, with AOG in service, to demonstrate operability of the Z sump. This procedure injects demineralized water into the 48" holdup line drain line which would then flow to the sump to verify pump and level switch operation. Water was injected into the drain line for about 30 minutes (about 750 gallons) without any water entering the sump as evidenced by the fact that neither of the pumps started nor did the Hi-Hi-Hi level alarm annunciate. The AOG system was secured and subsequently about 1000 gallons of water was pumped from the sump. A one hour notification was made as a condition outside design basis. On August 30, 1997, the AOG system was returned to s
298-97-014	5b	On November 3, 1997, during activities to evaluate Reactor Equipment Cooling (REC) system integrity, three manual sample valves to the REC filter demineralizer skid located on a header which supplies cooling flow to non-critical loads, were determined to have a normally open position to permit continuous sampling of the REC system chemistry. The resultant REC system inventory loss of approximately 228 gallons per day, combined with the loss of non-essential make-up water, could have affected the ability of the REC system to meet its design basis function. This condition was determined to be reportable on November 8, 1997. The cause of this event is attributed to a failure to fully understand the design basis functions of the REC system which resulted in an inadequate design review and 10CFR50.59 Safety Evaluation of the Design Change that installed the filter demineralizer skid. Immediate action was taken to close the three sample valves.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
301-97-001	NRS - 6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 7, 1997, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 2 was shut down and defueled during its annual refueling outage, plant personnel identified one location where the clearance between internal Unit 2 containment structures and the containment liner was not in accordance with the plant design basis. 2, plant personnel noted that the Unit 2 'A' containment accident fan platform was in contact with the containment liner located opposite to an indicated void between the containment liner and concrete containment structure. This configuration could have resulted in a containment breach during a safe shutdown earthquake which is contrary to the plant design basis. The Unit 2 containment structure was immediately declared inoperable. Plant engineers walked down the remainder of the Unit 2 containment and documented eight additional locations of concern. The Unit 1 containment was also inspected, and although several areas of concern were identified, further evaluations determined the Unit 1 containment to be operable.
301-97-002	NRS - 6b	On April 15, 1997, with Unit 1 in cold shutdown and Unit 2 in a defueled condition, pipe stress calculations indicated that the Unit 2 Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Loop "B" RTD (resistance temperature detector) branch connection to the top of the RCS hot leg piping could be stressed in excess of the design basis code allowable limits. The calculated stresses did not exceed the interim operability limits described by the licensing basis; therefore, the piping is considered operable. Evaluation of similar piping in Unit 2 Loop "A" and in Unit 1 did not identify stresses in excess of code allowables. The potential stress problem was identified during an engineering consultant's programmatic review of Point Beach safety-related tubing. This calculated stress condition may have been inherent to the original design, but should have been identified and remedied when the configuration was analyzed in 1987. Those calculations applied RCS thermal/seismic anchor movements in the wrong direction, which provided non-conservative results. Support modifications are planned to restore the stresses to within their design basis limits.
302-97-001	6c	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 28, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). Discussions with NRC inspection personnel identified that FPC had not explicitly reported a condition that existed prior to May, 1996 involving inadequate net positive suction head (NPSH) affecting one of the two Emergency Feedwater Pumps (EFP). On a loss of 'B' DC power, the turbine-driven pump's (EFP-2) flow control valves would remain fully open and EFP-2 would start in a maximum flow condition resulting in cavitation from inadequate NPSH which could lead to pump failure. In addition to an Emergency Diesel Generator load management concern, the postulated loss of 'B' DC power single failure coincident with a Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident and Loss of Offsite Power, a low probability event, could have resulted in two situations in which emergency feedwater may not have been available to perform its intended safety and accident mitigation functions. These include a design feature which trips the motor driven pump, EFP-1 at a Reactor Coolant System pressure of 500 pounds per square inch gauge, and a point in time at which EFP-1 would need to be secured in order to load the Low Pressure Injection pump onto the EDG in order to provide adequate NPSH to the High Pressure Injection pump. As a result, CR-3 was in an unanalyzed condition which could have rendered the emergency feedwater system incapable of fulfilling its intended safety and accident mitigation change management. Corrective Actions include a power upgrade of the 'A' EDG, EFW system modifications to eliminate NPSH concerns, and a failure modes and effects analysis.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-004	6b	On February 7, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC performed a design review of the Nuclear Service Closed Cycle Cooling Water (SW) and Industrial Cooling Water (CI) systems in accordance with Generic Letter 96-06. Based on the review, FPC determined the selection of the thermal relief valves did not take into consideration the back pressure inside the reactor building (RB) during main steam line break (MSLB) and loss of coolant accident (LOCA) conditions. The engineering evaluation concluded that the containment integrity was not adversely affected due to the relief valves in the SW and CI systems. The conditions inside the RB during a MSLB and LOCA were not adequately considered during the selection of the thermal relief valves due to personnel error. No immediate corrective actions were necessary because CR-3 was in MODE 5. FPC will issue a Modification Approval Record (MAR) to replace the existing thermal relief valves and reinstall the inappropriately removed relief valves in the SW and CI systems inside the RB by November 20, 1997. Nuclear Engineering Procedure NEP-213, "Design Analysis Calculations," has been revised to include a requirement for a review of the design by the system engineer, plant operations, and other organizations, as applicable. No other LERs have been issued related to thermal relief valves in the SW and CI systems.
302-97-005	3b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. Florida Power Corporation's Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) on February 14, 1997. A team studying Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) capacity and Emergency Feedwater (EFW) system dependency issues discovered an unanalyzed condition involving a Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) in the reactor coolant cold leg discharge piping line coincident with a Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) and single failure of the turbine-driven Emergency Feedwater Pump, EFP-2. CR-3 has two limitations related to EDG load margin concerns which trip the motor-driven emergency feedwater pump, EFP-1. EFP-1 is automatically tripped when the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) depressurizes to 500 pounds per square inch gauge (psig). Also, EFP-1 may be manually tripped when the Low Pressure Injection (LPI) pumps would need to be loaded onto the EDG in order to take suction from the Reactor Building Sump to provide adequate net positive suction head (NPSH) to the High Pressure Injection (HPI) pumps upon depletion of inventory from the normal source. For a certain range of small breaks, the RCS may repressurizes. For an unisolable cold leg pump discharge line break or an isolable broken HPI line that may not be identified, if emergency feedwater is lost early in the transient, there may be inadequate HPI flow to the core, even with two HPI pumps operating. With a combination of insufficient HPI injection and no EFW, 10 CFR 50.46 requirements may not be met. The cause of this condition was a misunderstanding of the reliance on emergency feedwater for SBLOCA mitigation. Action will include an upgrade of the EDGs and development of accident mitigation strategies to ensure EFW remains available and operator guidance exists for HPI flow management with or without EFW.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-007	6b	On, March 6, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC made a four hour report to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I), as being in an unanalyzed condition (Reference Event Number 31903). On February 13, 1997, FPC discovered the temperatures in the plant are not being maintained in accordance with the Environmental & Seismic Qualification Program Manual (ESQPM). On March 6, 1997, after an engineering evaluation, FPC declared CR-3 was in an unanalyzed condition because the instrument uncertainties were unknown due to inadequate temperature controls and may effect the setpoints for safety related instrumentation. If actual temperature variations were greater than those used in the development of these calculations, instrument calibrations and equipment performance could potentially be adversely impacted. A lack of commitment to configuration management resulted in controls and procedural guidance being insufficient to ensure ambient temperatures were maintained within the required temperature ranges in the buildings. Procedural guidance to ensure engineering requirements specified in the calculations and implementing procedures receive adequate review by implementing organizations, is scheduled to be completed by October 15, 1997. Nuclear Engineering Procedure NEP-213, was revised to require a review of the FSAR, Enhanced Design Basis Document and Improved Technical Specifications as part of the calculation approval.
302-97-008	3b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 7, 1997, Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in COLD SHUTDOWN. A review was completed which determined that a condition previously identified in October, 1996 as a restart issue had not been adequately reviewed and evaluated for reportability. This resulted in the discovery that the plant had been in an unanalyzed condition during a Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) that could result in transfer of contaminated water from the Reactor Building sump to the Auxiliary Building via the Makeup Tank resulting in dose rate increases. In addition, another scenario could result in a failure to establish High Pressure Injection (HPI) pump recirculation which could lead to HPI pump failure. This condition was reported as a 4-hour report at 1748 hours in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I). The scenario of concern occurs during certain SBLOCAs after regaining subcooling margin (SCM). The cause of the event was design error in that CR-3's original design did not adequately consider the full range of break sizes and did not address a very small break LOCA that would result in a requirement to throttle HPI to the point where minimum flow recirculation is required. CR-3 will remain shut down until this and other design margin deficiencies are resolved. A plant modification will ensure recirculation capability to the makeup pumps will be provided to assure adequate pump protection and prevent overflow of the makeup tank.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-009	NRF - 6c	On March 19, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5, (COLD SHUTDOWN). As a result of a walkdown of the Reactor Coolant Pump [AB, P] (RCP) Motors [AB, MO] performed as part of the system readiness review, FPC identified several leakage sites in the RCP motor lubricating oil system that were outside the boundary of the oil collection system [LM] (LOC) and therefore, not in compliance with the requirements of 10CFR50, Appendix R, Section III.O. This condition is outside the design basis of the plant. The cause of potential leakage sites outside the LOC was an inadequate design of the LOC system due to programmatic deficiencies and lack of attention to detail. RCP motor lubricating oil system piping components located outside the oil collection system are a potential fire hazard associated with uncontained RCP motor lubricating oil. FPC performed a walkdown of five RCP motors (four installed and one in storage) to identify potential lubricating oil system leakage sites on the RCP motors that are outside the lube oil collection system. Potential leakage sites determined to be outside of the LOC system, for the installed RCP motors, will be in compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix R, Section 111.0 by November 26, 1997. There have been three previous reportable events involving the RCP motor LOC system at CR-3.
302-97-010	NRF - 6a	On March 27, 1997 Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). A Precursor Card was generated by FPC questioning the habitability of areas in the plant that contain safe-shutdown equipment in post-fire conditions. On April 21, 1997, after the review of the CR-3 Appendix R Fire Study and the Fire Study supporting documents, FPC discovered that heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) consideration in support of post-fire manual actuation of safe-shutdown equipment has not been specifically evaluated and documented in the Fire Study. This condition was evaluated by FPC on April 21, 1997, and was determined to be reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). The CR-3 Appendix R Fire Study did not take into account the loss of ventilation for the manual operation of post-fire safe shutdown equipment. FPC will perform calculations and/or revise the procedures necessary to manually operate equipment for post-fire safe shutdown for elevated temperature environment. The action plan identified in Problem Report PR 96-0401 will be annotated to identify the above condition, during the complete review of the post-fire shutdown analysis, as a corrective action step. PR 96-0401 will be utilized to evaluate and track concerns and initiate corrective actions for the CR-3 Appendix R program.
302-97-011	6a	On May 29, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's Crystal River Unit 3 was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). A reportability determination was made based on a condition previously discovered on March 15, 1997 during the system readiness review of the Emergency Feedwater System (EFW). A re-evaluation of EFW isolation valves EFV-32 and EFV-33 determined they are potentially susceptible to pressure locking during a very specific set of conditions in which a steam environment is caused by a pipe break and the valves cannot reopen after they close on a steam generator [AB,SG] overfill signal. If pressure locking did occur, EFW would not be able to provide a continuous emergency supply to the steam generators, possibly placing the plant in a condition outside its design basis. This condition was caused by an inadequate calculation prepared to assess the potential for occurrence of pressure locking and thermal binding (PLTB). The planned installation of cavitating venturis in the EFW pump discharge lines will reduce the maximum flow rate and increase the time to overfill the steam generators. EFV-32 and EFV-33 will be modified or replaced prior to plant restart. The PLTB calculation will be reviewed by October 26, 1997. The procedure for preparation of calculations has been revised to ensure system engineers and plant operations review assumptions and results.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-012	NRS - 6b	On May 31, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC engineering personnel were performing an assessment of containment integrity. During the review, FPC determined that a portion of the industrial cooling (CI) piping system, located within the reactor building (RB), failed to meet the Engineered Safeguards (ES) requirements applicable to a closed piping system. At the time the deficiency was identified, a reportability evaluation was conducted, which concluded that this deficiency comprised a condition outside the design basis of CR-3. Currently, there is minimal safety significance associated with the present condition, since with the plant in MODE 5, containment isolation valve operability is not required. The cause of this event was a design error by the engineering organization. Corrective actions include the upgrading of the associated piping, supports and coolers inside containment to meet safety classification and seismic requirements. Similar events were reported in LERs 88-016, 89-012, and 95-025.
302-97-013	6b	On May 30, 1997, Florida Power Corporations's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5, COLD SHUTDOWN. A reportability determination was made based on a condition previously discovered on February 1, 1997, during a functional test on the emergency diesel generators (EDG). FPC determined a potential exists for the EDG rooms to exceed the design basis temperature of 120 degrees F, when the outside air temperature is 95 degrees F, or greater. Exceeding the temperature limit in the EDG rooms would result in CR-3 being in a condition outside the design basis. The 120 degrees F limit is to provide an acceptable environment for the electrical equipment in the EDG room. The electrical component temperatures were recorded and evaluated during the functional tests. FPC concluded the components will perform their required function in the increased temperature environment and the EDGs will perform their intended function. There is no impact to the general public health and safety. Inadequate EDG rooms during EDG operation to exceed the design basis temperature limits. FPC will improve cooling air flow to the EDG rooms during EDG operation to maintain the temperature at or below 120 degrees F by December 1, 1997.
302-97-014	6b	On June 11, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when the evaluation of a suspected design basis issue concluded that the issue represented a condition outside FPC's design basis. FPC personnel were performing a review of reactor building penetrations as a corrective action to resolve penetration surveillance concerns documented in LER 50-302/96-018-01. During the review, FPC determined that a portion of the Reactor Building Pressure Sensing & Testing, Spent Fuel Cooling, and Nitrogen, Hydrogen & Carbon Dioxide Systems may not be adequately designed and/or tested to ensure post accident reactor building integrity. A subsequent evaluation of the suspected design basis issues concluded that the deficiencies constituted conditions outside the design basis for CR-3. Currently, there is minimal safety significance since containment isolation valve operability is not required in MODE 5. The cause of this event was a design error by the engineering organization during plant construction. Corrective actions include a review of other reactor building penetrations for similar conditions and the implementation of appropriate actions (modifications, analyses, and/or testing) to ensure that reactor building penetrations meet the design bases for CR-3. Similar events were reported in LER 50-302/96-018-01 and LER 50-302/97-012-00.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-015	3b	On June 12, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During a review of the differential pressure calculation for the Letdown Line [CB] Inboard Containment Isolation Valves, FPC discovered that the evaluation of the maximum differential pressure (dP) that these valves could be subject to is in error. These valves are rated to close against a maximum dP of 1800 pounds per square inch (psi), but could be subjected to a dP in excess of 2000 psi in the event of a letdown line rupture downstream of outboard containment isolation valve (MUV-49), concurrent with a failure of MUV-49 to close and operator action in accordance with Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) 3. Upon further evaluation, on August 19, 1997, FPC discovered that outboard containment isolation valve MUV-49 would not be capable of closing if subjected to 2000 psi dP. Isolation at Penetration 333 requires either MUV-49 or MUV-40/41/505 to close. The cause of this event was the use of inappropriate assumptions in the calculation for the determination of maximum valve dP. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I), a Four Hour Non-Emergency Notification was made on this issue (Event # 32472). A Modification Approval Record (MAR) is being developed to install a new inboard containment isolation valve prior to restart of CR-3. In addition, the air operator on MUV-49 will be modified to allow closure against the predicted dP.
302-97-016	3b	On June 13, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when the evaluation of a suspected design basis issue concluded that CR-3 was in an unanalyzed condition. Specifically, FPC engineering personnel had questioned the coating used on Core Flood Tanks CFT-1A and CFT-1B. The existing coatings were not approved for use in the reactor building. Additionally, the coatings were not identified in the calculations addressing reactor building coating failures. Personnel resolving the coatings issue subsequently identified three open south "D" wall penetrations which provided open debris transport paths that were not accounted for in the reactor building coating failure calculations. The latter condition was the subject of a four-hour notification to the NRC on June 19, 1997. Currently, there is minimal safety significance since Emergency Core Cooling System train (Reactor Building Emergency Sumps) operability is not required in MODE 5. The cause of this event was cognitive engineering error during the development and review of engineering calculations that evaluate reactor building coating failures. Corrective actions include correcting the specific deficiencies and performing reviews to ensure that coatings are qualified and open debris transport paths have been identified inside the reactor building. No similar events have been reported.
302-97-017	6b	On June 21, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). On May 1, 1997, while performing a modification in the main control board, FPC personnel noticed that electrical separation materials previously installed was different than that being currently installed. On June 21, 1997, FPC concluded the materials previously installed (Nextel) was incorrect. The materials was used to sleeve cables for electrical separation during the installation of a modification to the HPI Flow Indicators. The modification to the HPI flow indicators was performed during the tenth refueling outage (11 OR), spring 1996. Electrical separation ensures a failure in one cable will not disable other related trains or systems. The HPI flow indicators assist the reactor operators in isolating a faulted HPI line during certain design basis accidents. Personnel error during the installation resulted in the incorrect materials being used for electrical separation. A walkdown of other areas is scheduled to be completed by September 15, 1997, to identify areas where Nextel may have been used. Two other LERs were issued by FPC concerning electrical separation.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-018	NRF - 6a	At 1949, on July 2, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when an issue was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(l) (Event No. 32580) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). In 1990, FPC became aware of the potential for losing both control complex chillers following a high energy line break (HELB) in the Intermediate Building (IB). FPC implemented a Modification Approval Record (MAR) installing a manual valve for isolating the Chilled Water System from the IB. The 10CFR50.59 evaluation for the MAR considered failure of the operating chiller and a single active failure being the standby chiller. The evaluation inappropriately took credit for the nonsafety-related Appendix R chiller and a temporary chiller for concluding that an unreviewed safety question did not exist. Prior NRC approval was not requested. The cause for the initial condition was design error during construction. The cause for the current condition was an inadequate 10CFR50.59 evaluation. A loss of control complex cooling could affect the control complex habitability envelope and allow temperatures in vital areas to exceed limits for ensuring continued operation of electrical equipment. Currently, minimal safety significance is associated with the existing condition. A HELB is not credible in MODE 5. Corrective actions include re-evaluating a HELB in the Intermediate Building, continuing the System Readiness Review program, and the recent 10CFR50.59 program enhancements.
302-97-019	3b	On July 3, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). As part of the effort to support the power upgrade modifications of the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG), testing of EDG-1A identified elevated EDG radiator, control and engine room air temperatures. This increase is due to a portion of the radiator discharge air released to atmosphere from the roof of each EDG building being recirculated back into the EDG radiator room. The recirculated air can cause the supply air to the EDG rooms to be increased 10 to 15 degrees Fahrenheit (F), depending on wind conditions, above the design basis outside air temperature of 95 degrees F. Analysis of EDG capability at these temperatures identified that EDG-1A&B are in a degraded condition. A reportability determination was made on July 3, 1997, and in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(l) and (b)(2)(iii)(D), a Four Hour Non-Emergency Notification was made regarding this issue (Event Number 32589). Failure to identify this effect was due to a design oversight during initial plant design. A modification to reduce the recirculation of the radiator discharge air is being developed. Implementation and testing will be completed by February 28, 1998. Previous Licensee Event Report (LER) 97-013, dated June 26, 1997 identified a potential for temperatures in the EDG engine rooms to exceed their design basis temperature of 120 degrees F when outside air temperature was 95 degrees F or greater. The results of LER 97-013 are affected by this current LER.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-021	6c	This LER is being supplemented to revise the corrective actions. On July 21, 1997 Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During the Class 1E Electrical Direct Current (DC) Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) review, FPC identified that during a loss of offsite power (LOOP) coincident with loss of the train "A" 250 volt (V) battery prior to starting the Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) 1A, the ability to bypass the "B" Engineering Safeguards (ES) train actuation signals is lost. A four hour report was made to the NRC pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I) (Event Number 32659). When the "A" battery is inoperable and EGDG-1A does not start, train "A" ES components do not operate. During a LOOP event, coincident with the loss of "A" 250V battery and EGDG-1A, power to the "A" and "C" inverters is lost (Vital Bus "A" and "C"). Loss of the train "A" 250V battery will also prevent ES analog channel 1 and channel 2 Trip Bistables from being bypassed after actuation. Therefore, ES actuation signals for train "B" components remain actuated. The apparent cause is an inadequate FMEA for the original plant design. This cause resulted in inadequate procedures and training on event recovery. FPC will install a new relay with contacts in parallel to the existing bypass permissive bistable contacts and the bypass permissive indication light contacts for "B" train, channel 1, HPI and LPI.
302-97-022	6a	On July 21, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when the cumulative effect of several recently discovered deficiencies was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). Design deficiencies associated with past modifications to the Control Complex Habitability Envelope (CCHE) and Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) could have the combined effect of allowing post-accident doses to Control Room operators to exceed allowable limits. Minimal effect exists for the current condition. CREVS is only required to be operable in MODES 1-4 and during irradiated fuel movement. Deficiencies were caused by design engineering process weaknesses. Current engineering processes contain adequate guidance to prevent recurrence. Improved Technical Specification (ITS) 5.6.2.12.c CREVS charcoal adsorber laboratory test requirements for humidity will be amended or a calculation will be performed to support the 70% humidity test requirement. New calculations for Control Room operator dose and design of CREVS will be developed. Physical modifications and testing will be implemented. Similar events were reported in LERs 50-302/96-016-00 and 50-302/97-020-00.
302-97-023	3а	On July 30, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when a design basis deviation was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). At 1015, on July 30, 1997, FPC completed the four-hour notification (Event No. 32699) required by 10CFR50.72.(b)(2)(I). FPC personnel discovered a trip coil in the control circuitry for vital bus inverters VBIT-1A/1C direct current (DC) input breakers that was not analyzed when their replacement was implemented during Refueling Outage 10. The batteries, in combination with the inverters, are designed to provide an uninterruptible source of power to support the Station Blackout requirement for CR-3. The inverter DC input breaker low voltage trip circuit could interrupt this source of power prematurely. The cause for this condition was a weakness in the engineering process for component procurement. The inverter design will be reviewed. The inverters will be modified, as appropriate. Nuclear Engineering Procedures will be revised to provide guidance for ensuring correct design requirements are reflected in component procurement documents. No similar LERs were identified.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-024	6a	On July 31, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). On June 13, 1997, while performing the System Readiness Review (SRR) for the Feedwater System (FW), FPC identified a condition whereby the differential pressure (dP) across feedwater suction valves FWV-14/15 could prevent the valves from closing in response to a signal from the Emergency Feedwater Initiation and Control System (EFIC)[JB]. Upon completion of an evaluation on July 31, 1997, FPC determined this was a condition outside of the design basis. A Steam Line Break (SLB) or Feedwater Line Break (FWLB) is not a credible event while in MODE 5, therefore no immediate actions are necessary. These deficiencies were caused by inadequate design and calculation errors. The reanalysis of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Chapter 14 SLB will be completed by September 30, 1997. A modification to upgrade the actuators on feedwater suction valves FWV-14 and FWV-15 is scheduled to be completed by November 20, 1997. The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) will be updated by November 30, 1997 to reflect the new analysis, which will include conservative assumptions for Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC), High Pressure Injection (HPI) and slower closure times for low load block valves FWV-31 and FWV-32. These corrective actions are included in the FPC Restart Plan. Incorrect design assumptions have been identified and described in LERs 50-302/97-015-00, 89-033, 89-013, and 87-007.
302-97-025	6b	On August 12, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC determined the analysis supporting the increase of the ultimate heat sink (UHS) design basis temperature from 85 degrees Fahrenheit (F) to 95 degrees F contained non- conservative assumptions which could have resulted in the Nuclear Services Closed Cycle Cooling (SW) system exceeding its peak design basis temperature of 110 degrees F. FPC implemented a modification to limit the start of the Reactor Building Cooling Units to one on an Engineered Safeguards Actuation Signal to reduce the amount of heat rejected to the SW system following a Loss Of Coolant Accident. This modification ensured that the SW system was within the design basis with a maximum UHS temperature of 95 degrees F. The cause of the non-conservative assumptions was personnel error. Improvements to the processes that govern design calculations have been implemented. FPC previously reported two other events regarding incorrect design assumptions in the SW system.
302-97-026	NRF - 6b	On August 14, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). As part of the corrective action to LER 50-302/96-001-00, "10CFR50 Appendix R Fire Study Review and Drawing Validation," which is on ongoing, FPC discovered a conduit containing control circuitry for components necessary for safe shutdown not protected from the effects of a design basis fire. Air Handling Fan AHF-54B is used to supply cooling to the Emergency Feedwater Initiation and Control (EFIC) room for safe shutdown operation. A design basis fire could fail control power resulting in a loss of chilled water to AHF-54B and cause a loss of cooling for the EFIC Rooms. The apparent cause of the failure to protect the control power conduit with a one hour fire barrier was inadequate incorporation of the Appendix R Fire Study requirements into the Modification Approval Record (MAR) creation process. FPC will either reroute the affected conductors for the above control circuits to eliminate this condition or protect the conduit with an acceptable one hour fire barrier by December.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-027	7	On July 7, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing a review of the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG) [EK] upgrade modification, FPC engineering personnel discovered antifreeze was not used in the Diesel Generator Coolant (DJ) [LB] System to prevent freezing when the ambient temperature is below 35 degrees Fahrenheit (F). FPC submitted a 10CFR50.72 report on July 7, 1997 (Event Number 32596). On August 29, 1997, FPC personnel discovered that a written report had not been submitted to the NRC within 30 days of discovery as required by 10CFR50.73. Isolated freezing of the radiator coolant in the radiator could cause a rupture of the radiator coil and loss of coolant. Also, freezing within the radiator core could result in reduced flow due to the blockage thereby causing the coolant pumps to be dead headed. The cause of the event was cognitive personnel error. FPC is replacing the radiators for EGDG-1A and 1B and will add antifreeze to the DJ system by November 30, 1997 to eliminate the potential for freezing. FPC has reported one other LER regarding failure to pursue vendor recommendations, 50-302/90-002-01.
302-97-029	NRF - 6a	On September 17, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During the ongoing 10CFR50 Appendix R Fire Study Review and Drawing Validation as part of the corrective action and extent of condition review for LER 50-302/96001-00, FPC discovered cables used to select the power source for a motor control cabinet (MCC) [MCC] were not protected from the effects of a postulated Appendix R fire. A postulated Appendix R fire in Fire Area CC-124-111 could result in a loss of power to Pressurizer Relief Isolation Valve RCV-11 and Makeup Gear Oil pump MUP-4B, while a fire in Fire Area CC-134-118A could result in the loss of power to Makeup Valve MUV-27 and MUP-4B. Each of these components have been identified in the CR-3 Fire Study as necessary for safe shutdown. A field validation of the Appendix R Fire Study was not performed, resulting in a failure to ensure components necessary for safe shutdown were protected from the effects of a postulated Appendix R fire. FPC will protect the affected circuits to preclude the loss of MCC-3AB in Fire Areas CC-124-111 and CC-134-118A by December 15, 1997. Also, the Appendix R design drawings and Fire Study will be revised to reflect the modified field condition by March 31, 1998.
302-97-031	7	On September 26, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing a review of the preliminary electrical cable operability evaluation, FPC discovered three cable trays where the cables may have experienced temperatures in excess of the cable insulation temperature rating. Also, on October 9, 1997, FPC found the cables for the Reactor Building Cooler Air Handling Fans AHF-1A, 1B, and 1C were inadequately sized for the current load during operation of the fans. The cable sizing was based on de-rating factors and anticipated electrical current loads. Based on the results of the evaluation, FPC determined this is a condition outside of the design basis of CR-3. This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(iii)(B). The preliminary result of the testing indicates that there is no measurable degradation in the cables previously identified. A nonconservative de-rating factor for TSI Thermo-Lag was used to determine cable ampacity sizing. Based on the results of the testing qualified life through the next refueling outage and will not exceed the cable insulation temperature rating. Conservative cable ampacity correction factors have been included in the cable selection criteria for CR-3, "Cable Ampacity Sizing," Revision 2.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-032	7	On April 6, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing a review of the CR-3 electrical systems, FPC discovered a discrepancy where a non-safety related solenoid valve was receiving power from a safety related distribution panel without the appropriate electrical separation or isolation. After completing an engineering evaluation, on October 8, 1997, FPC determined that this condition was contrary to the CR-3 design basis. FPC determined that electrical isolation was not provided between the safety related indication and the non-safety related circuit for solenoid valve RW-63-SV. Solenoid valve RW-63-SV, which is powered from Vital Bus Distribution Panel VBDP-4, breaker 8, is used to test the emergency Nuclear Services Seawater Pump RWP-2B. The loss of solenoid valve RW-63-SV would not affect the ability to start and run RWP-2B because the circuit is only used for pump testing. This discrepancy was caused by an incorrect interpretation of CR-3. CR-3's current electrical separation design criteria clearly defines requirements for the isolation of safety and non-safety related electrical components. An isolation device will be installed or the solenoid valve will be replaced with a safety related solenoid valve prior to MODE 4.
302-97-033	NRF - 6a	On August 7, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During the ongoing 10CFR50 Appendix R Fire Study Review, FPC discovered that automatic closure of Electro Thermo Link (ETL) and Fusible Link (FL) fire dampers located in the Control Complex Ventilation System ductwork was not evaluated in the Appendix R Fire Study. Specifically, the effects of fire induced damper closure on Appendix R safe shutdown equipment room temperatures were not evaluated. Control circuitry for ETL fire dampers located in the Control Complex Ventilation System common ductwork were reviewed. Results indicated that the ETL fire dampers controlled by High Temperature Switches located in the Control Complex are susceptible to hot short conditions causing damper closure. Preliminary time versus temperature heatup curves for Appendix R safe shutdown equipment rooms were developed. The curves indicated that a loss of ventilation results in room temperatures exceeding the current design temperature limit of 104 degrees Fahrenheit. On October 10, 1997, FPC determined this condition to be outside CR-3's design basis. The CR-3 Fire Study did not consider the effect of hot shorts causing ETL fire damper closure. This spurious closure isolates Control Complex ventilation to Appendix R safe shutdown equipment. The appropriate procedures will be revised to include operator actions to reestablish ventilation in affected rooms. The Fire Study will be revised to include effects of ETL and FL fire damper closure and additional operator actions as required.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-034	3b	On October 8, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing an investigation into the recent spurious trip of a nonsafety-related molded case circuit breaker (MCCB), FPC personnel determined setpoint calculations for safety-related MCCBs with adjustable instantaneous trip settings do not provide adequate allowance for breaker tolerances and for greater than nominal voltages at motor terminals. The potential for unexpected tripping of safety related MCCBs is being reported under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). This condition could affect the ability of safety-related equipment to mitigate the consequences of design basis accidents. However, most MCCBs have a long history of operating without spurious tripping. The cause for this condition was a nonconservative assumption in MCCB setpoint calculations. FPC will recalculate trip settings for safety-related MCCBs with adjustable instantaneous trip settings. Necessary changes will be implemented prior to MODE 4 entry. By January 31, 1998, the Electrical Design Criteria document will be revised to reflect the methodology for determining the instantaneous trip setting and the revised lower limit setting multiplier for MCCBs. Nonconservative assumptions have been reported in LERs 97-024-00, 97-01 5-01, and 89-033-01.
302-97-035	NRF - 6a	On October 16, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During the ongoing 10CFR50 Appendix R Fire Study Review, Drawing and Procedure Validation, FPC discovered that the power supplies used to power the Makeup and Purification System High Pressure Injection (HPI) valves and normal inventory makeup valves were not protected from the effects of a postulated Appendix R fire in the Main Control Room (MCR) or Cable Spreading Room (CSR). As a result, components necessary to maintain safe shutdown may not have been available following an Appendix R fire. Manual action could have been taken by the operators to restore flow for inventory makeup. Therefore, the postulated Appendix R fire would not have increased the risk to the health and safety of the public. Appendix R requirements associated with the operation of the Makeup valves were not adequately considered during the design of the Remote Shutdown Panel. FPC will provide an alternate means of restoring power to the HPI valves. Subsequent to the installation of the Remote Shutdown Panel, Nuclear Engineering Procedures (NEPs) have been revised by FPC to improve the design process.
302-97-036	6b	On October 24, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During a walkdown of the High Pressure Injection (HPI) system, FPC discovered that the overload relays for the Makeup and Purification (MU) System HPI valves, MUV-23, MUV-24, MUV-25, and MUV-26, were not in the automatic reset mode of operation. The CR-3 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) states "Automatic overload relays set in the manual position did not affect the normal operation of the MUVs. The requirement for the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) Motor Operated Valves (MOVs) to have automatic reset capability was not included in the development of the modification. FPC will review and reset, as necessary, the ECCS MOV overload relays to assure the correct setting is used. This action will be completed under the CR-3 12 week rolling work schedule process. The Nuclear Engineering Procedures (NEPs) were revised to enhance the development and verification of designs. FPC has reported two previous LERs regarding thermal overload relay settings.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-038	NRS - 6b	On March 17, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing a system readiness review of the Radioactive Waste Disposal (WD) System, FPC identified that the WD system liquid outlet piping did not meet the Seismic Class I requirements. The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Section 5.1.1.1 (I), specifies the WD system liquid outlet piping is required to be Seismic Class I. On April 7, 1997, FPC engineering prepared an evaluation using Regulatory Guide 1.143 as a basis for acceptance and concluded the WD system liquid outlet piping was not outside of the design basis. On October 24, 1997, FPC re-reviewed the engineering evaluation and determined the evaluation was invalid because Regulatory Guide 1.143 was not part of the licensing basis and is not applicable to CR-3. Therefore, WD system liquid outlet piping is outside of the design basis. Any postulated liquid leaks from the non-seismic liquid outlet piping would be contained in either the Auxiliary Building or the Reactor Building. The discrepancy between the FSAR and the as-built condition was due to engineering oversight. FPC is performing an evaluation to determine WD system operability and its capability to perform its intended safety function during a seismic event. The liquid outlet piping will be upgraded to Seismic Class I, prior to restart from the next scheduled Refueling Outage (11R).
302-97-039	Зb	On November 8, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While evaluating the existence of polystyrene found permanently installed inside the Reactor Building, FPC personnel determined the materials was not analyzed for impact on post-LOCA (Loss of Coolant Accident) cooldown. At 1454 hours, on November 8, 1997, a four-hour notification (Event No. 33239) was made in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I). This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). Dislodged polystyrene could interfere with post-LOCA recirculation flow by obstructing the sump screens and/or entering the recirculation flow stream, adversely affecting the ability to mitigate the consequences of a LOCA. The cause for the presence of polystyrene in the Reactor Building is cognitive personnel error during construction of CR-3. FPC personnel walked down the Reactor Building, other than between the incore pit/letdown cooler structure and the Reactor Building liner. Prior to entering MODE 4, the permanently installed polystyrene will either be removed from the Reactor Building or analyzed for impact on post-LOCA cooldown. Current CR-3 procedures would identify and control polystyrene brought into the Reactor Building as a transient combustible. No previous similar events have been reported by FPC.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-041	6b	On November 10, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC discovered a condition where the Control Complex Chilled Water System and Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) fans could be unavailable for a time greater than assumed in the calculation for the Control Complex transient temperature response. The calculation assumes the CREVS fans will be started within 30 minutes and the Control Complex Chilled Water System will be started and remain in service within 90 minutes after the event. This was discovered during the validation of an Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) for a Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) concurrent with a Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) and a single failure. This condition was determined to be reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition outside of CR-3's design basis. If the CREVS fans and Control Complex Chilled Water System are not started within the required time frames, the rated ambient temperature of Control Complex equipment that is relied upon to remain functional could be exceeded during and following a design basis event. The apparent cause of this event was a design error in a pre-1987 modification for upgrading the Control Complex Chilled Water System to safety-related. A modification to remove the subsequent Engineered Safeguards (ES) actuation load shedding by deleting the Undervoltage/ES Lockout Relay output contacts from the control circuits will be implemented prior to the restart of CR-3.
302-97-043	Зb	On December 8, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC was performing a review of an electrical calculation and discovered the trip set points for the Control Complex Chiller (CHHE) Motor overloads were set below the vendor recommended set point. The low set point could cause the CHHEs to trip, resulting in insufficient cooling for the Control Complex during a design basis accident. If the CHHEs were to trip, temperatures could exceed the rated ambient temperature for the Control Complex equipment necessary to mitigate the consequences of the accident. The low set points of the CHHE motor overloads were incorrect since the initial installation. FPC will reset the motor overload set points to the vendor recommended value prior to entering MODE 4. Procedures will be revised to assure periodic surveillance of the motor overloads is performed.
302-97-044	Зс	On December 9, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). During an evaluation of 480 volt switchgear breakers that supply power to Engineered Safeguards (ES) Motor Control Centers (MCCs), FPC discovered that the long-time overcurrent trip setpoint for the feeder breaker (number 3351) to ES MCC 3A2 was set too low. During design bases accident conditions involving an ES actuation with off-site power available, the steady state current on ES MCC 3A2 could be above the potential trip range for the breaker long-time overcurrent trip setpoint. Loss of ES MCC 3A2 does not affect components powered from remaining Train A ES MCCs 3A1 or 3A3. Also, redundant components supplied by Train B ES MCCs 3B1, 3B2, and 3B3 remain operational. The cause for the incorrect long-time overcurrent trip setpoint was inadequate setpoint criteria. Prior to entering MODE 4, FPC will reset the long-time overcurrent trip setpoint for breaker number 3351 and implement administrative controls to prevent simultaneous loading of the two largest nonsafety-related loads onto ES MCC 3A2. The Electrical Design Criteria for CR-3 will be revised by April 15, 1998, to include criteria for determining the long-time overcurrent trip setpoint for 480 volt switchgear breakers. FPC has submitted one recent LER associated with incorrect breaker setpoints.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
302-97-045	NRS - 6b	On December 5, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). While performing a walkdown in preparation for maintenance work on the Chemical Addition (CA) System, FPC personnel discovered two valves in the CA System that did not meet the Seismic Class I qualification requirement. Outside Containment isolation valves CAV-6 and CAV-7 actuators were not restrained as originally designed. In the event of a postulated seismic event, the 0.375 inch tubing connected to CAV-6 and CAV-7 creates the potential for a Containment breach pathway to the adjacent Auxiliary Building. The supports for the valve actuators were to be installed during the original construction of CR-3. The specified supports did not fit the actuators. FPC has installed the necessary supports to return the valves to Seismic Class I. This error occurred during the original construction of CR-3. The current installation and modification controls in place at CR-3 would prevent this type of error from recurring. FPC has submitted two previous LERs regarding equipment not installed and four LERs regarding components which did not meet the Seismic Classification criteria.
302-97-046	NRF - 3a	On December 20, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN). FPC discovered circuits required for the operation of the Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) exciters were not protected from the effects of a postulated Appendix R fire in the Main Control Room (MCR) or the Cable Spreading Room (CSR). As a result, components necessary to achieve and maintain safe shutdown of the plant may not have been available following an Appendix R fire. In the event of the postulated Appendix R fire, the EDGs may not have been readily available to respond to a loss of offsite power, as required by the Appendix R safe shutdown analysis. The apparent cause of this event was an engineering oversight and an incorrect assumption when developing the CR-3 Appendix R Fire Study in 1985. FPC has installed isolation fuses in the identified circuits. The design drawings and the Fire Study will be revised to reflect the vendor and block drawings by March 31, 1998. Since the initial development of the CR-3 Appendix R Fire Study in 1985, FPC has improved the process of design validation. FPC has previously submitted six LERs regarding misinterpretation of drawings, engineering oversight or information not correctly translated onto drawings.
304-97-006 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	Westinghouse informed ComEd on October 28, 1997, of issues regarding fuel rod internal pressure, the status of the Performance Analysis and Design (PAD) code, and concerns with fuel design bases and 10CFR50.46 criteria for Braidwood, Byron, and Zion Stations. Westinghouse could not preclude the possibility that the Integral Fuel Burnable Absorber fuel may be outside of the fuel design basis due to fuel clad gap re-opening and the 17% maximum cladding oxidation limit may be exceeded. An initial 12% oxidation level was established as a screening criterion to permit assessment regarding compliance with the 17% maximum cladding oxidation criteria. Westinghouse stated that plants potentially may have fuel rod gap re-opening, but those which have cladding oxidation of less than 12% are in compliance. Westinghouse developed a plan to resolve the concerns in three steps: Review and improvement of analytical models; Gather additional data; and Perform plant specific assessments. Zion Station will monitor and evaluate the data received from Westinghouse and will summit a supplement to this LER based on the assessment content. The fuel rod design has the potential for the nuclear fuel being outside the design basis and is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii).

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
305-97-003	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 10, 1997, with the plant in refueling shutdown, a design error was identified in one of the subsystems of the Inadequate Core Cooling Monitoring System (ICCMS), the Reactor Vessel Level Indicating System (RVLIS). As a result the RVLIS is incapable of performing its intended function over its required span. The cause of this event is failure to properly implement changes to the design as refinements were made in the early phases of the project prior to installation. This condition has existed since the system was installed in 1986. Kewaunee Technical Specifications (TS) require the RVLIS to be operable when above the hot shutdown operating mode. Therefore, the plant was operated in violation of the TS since the system was installed. Currently, Kewaunee is in an extended outage completing steam generator repairs. The RVLIS system is not required during this plant mode. Prior to the reactor being made critical following the current outage, a software change will be implemented to correct the system. The ICCMS was designed by Wisconsin Public Service and built by Combustion Engineering (CE). The Kewaunee system does not use the standard CE ICCMS software used at other nuclear power plants.
305-97-004	3b	On April 9, 1997, with the plant in refueling shutdown mode, it was discovered that, if an accident requiring Safety Injection (SI) were to occur during the filling operation of either SI accumulator, the amount of water injected into the reactor coolant system will be reduced by the amount of water being diverted into an accumulator. This potentially affects SI system accident analysis assumptions and results, and when using single failure criteria, the operating SI pump may exceed the design runout flow limit. This issue has existed since initial plant startup. The effect that the accumulator filling operation had on the operability of the SI system during an accident was probably not considered at that time because of the infrequent occurrence and the short duration of the fill operation. Short term corrective action (current operating cycle only) is to use an alternate, throttled path from the SI pumps to fill the accumulators. Long term corrective actions will be implemented following further investigation.
305-97-005	6b	A review of the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) system's design identified inconsistencies between actual system design and that assumed by analysis. Specifically, system resistance curves developed to respond to I.E. Bulletin 80-04 overestimated system resistance. Furthermore, the analysis of a main steam line break assumed the turbine driven pump would maintain full speed throughout the event. However, during some main steam line breaks, there may be insufficient steam generator (SG) pressure to maintain the turbine driven pump at full speed. The generic analysis of an anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) assumes that AFW pumps will be available throughout the event without operator intervention. Kewaunee's system would require operator action to prevent the pumps from tripping on low discharge pressure during an ATWS. Due to the significant time between the original analyses and the date the discrepancies were identified, the cause of the event can not be conclusively determined. Corrective actions include testing the pumps, evaluating the test results and analysis results to assure compliance with our license, and re-evaluating the ATWS event.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
305-97-007	6b	On June 6, 1997, with the plant in refueling shutdown, a design deficiency was discovered in the Kewaunee reactor vessel level indication system (RVLIS). The deficiency was discovered while resolving a previously identified software error in the system. The deficiency results in the potential for a non-conservative indicated level error; indicated level may be as much as ten percent higher than actual level. This potential condition has existed since the system was installed in 1986. Kewaunee is unable to determine if the level error actually exists without draining the reactor coolant system (RCS). Additionally, we are unable to assure that the condition will not occur during normal plant operation even if it could be proven that the condition was not created during RCS filling. The phenomenon which causes the potential for the level error and the inability to provide assurance that the condition will not occur during plant operation may be of generic interest. An operability determination has been made assuming the condition occurs and the worst case level error exists. Administrative corrective actions have been implemented to address the potential offset in indicated level. The corrective actions will remain in place until the deficiency can be resolved during the next scheduled refueling shutdown in the fall of 1998.
309-97-001	За	POWER LEVEL - 000%. During the week of January 9, 1996, while the plant was in the cold shutdown mode of operation, Maine Yankee engineers discovered additional cable separation issues. One (1) of these issues was determined to be an original construction issue, while others involve various components affected by the design modification process. These concerns were discovered as a result of the on-going reviews of cable separation issues identified in LER 96-038. The conditions that were determined to be outside of Maine Yankee's cable separation criteria include the following: power cables were found encroaching different tray sections; cables from different vital buses and channels were found routed together or in the wrong tray sections; numerous cables of different trains or channels do not meet original separation criteria as a result of tray configurations at transition points such as risers and T's. The discrepancies discovered during reviews have been or are in the process of being corrected or addressed. Some of the cables have been wrapped, separated, or re-routed in the correct section. Cable separation design and walkdown teams are in the process of identifying further actions or engineering justifications for various conditions. Plant management is assessing long term actions to address causal factors identified by Plant Root Cause Evaluation #214, 'Root Cause Investigation of Cable Separation Problems at Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co.'
309-97-004	3а	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 22, 1997 Maine Yankee was in a Cold Shutdown condition. While conducting a review of plant design in response to a design deficiency identified in LER-96-022, Containment Primary Component Cooling Piping Design Inadequacy Due to Lack of Thermal Relief Valves, and subsequent Nuclear Regulatory Commission Generic Letter 96-06, Assurance Of Equipment Operability And Containment Integrity During Design-basis Accident Conditions, engineers noted that under post LOCA conditions, sections of piping associated with the Reactor Coolant Loop Fill Header could become pressurized in excess of design pressure due to thermal expansion of fluid trapped in the header. As the conditions postulated to cause over pressurization only exist post LOCA, and the plant is currently in a Refueling shutdown condition, no immediate corrective/compensatory action is required. Relief valve protection will be installed in the header prior to plant startup.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
309-97-005	6b	On February 18, 1997, the Maine Yankee Control Room was notified by engineering that in the event of a Loss of Offsite Power or a ventilation fan malfunction, freezing conditions could be created in the Circulating Water Pump House which could adversely affect Service Water Pump Components. This concern resulted from the follow-on reviews generated by the "Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station Safety System Support Ventilation Systems Assessment Report" dated December 20, 1996. In the event of a Loss of Offsite Power, Pump House heating would be lost and the building ventilation dampers would fail open. This could create potentially freezing conditions for Service Water Pump components. In the event of a fan malfunction, discharge air flow would increase and also create potentially freezing conditions for Service Water pump components. Immediate corrective actions include the use of temporary heaters in the Pump House, increased equipment monitoring, and de-energized fans and closed dampers during cold weather operations. Long term actions will include an evaluation to consider design changes to preclude unacceptable air temperatures in the Pump House.
309-97-009	6a	Revision 1 to LER 97-009 is a major rewrite with significant changes from the original LER. To improve readability change bars have not been included. On May 1, through May 21, 1997, Maine Yankee was in the Refueling Shutdown Condition. During the review of Maine Yankee's Individual Plant Evaluation for External Event (IPEEE) a scenario that could be more limiting than the design basis High Energy Line Break (HELB) in the Turbine Building was identified. Analyses of a broader spectrum of line breaks were initiated. Initial assessment of the preliminary HELB profiles identified components in the Component Cooling Water and Feedwater Systems that were not qualified for the harsh environment predicted by the analyses. On May 19, 1997, following further review of Maine Yankee's High Energy Line Break licensing basis requirements for a postulated High Energy Line Break in the Main Steam Valve House (MSVH). Safe Shutdown Equipment potentially impacted by this oversight include the following: EFW System, Secondary Component Cooling Water System, Emergency Diesel Generator, DWST Level Alarms, and RG 1.97 Steam Generator Pressure instruments. On May 21, 1997, HELB related deficiencies associated with possible ruptures in Steam Generator Blowdown and Letdown System piping were identified in the Primary Auxiliary Building. Additional analyses of postulated ruptures on high energy lines in the Turbine Building, MSVH and PAB continues. Potential corrective actions being considered include: replacement, modification, or relocation of components not qualified for a HELB, and continued evaluations for possible modifications to the Turbine Building, MSVH and PAB that would mitigate the consequences of a design basis HELB in these areas.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
311-97-009	За	Technical Specification 3.6.2.1, which requires both Containment Spray (CS) systems to be operable in Modes 1 through 4, was not satisfied from June 15, 1997 to July 14, 1997. The CS pump discharge valves (21CS2 & 22CS2) were identified as susceptible to pressure locking in PSE&G's response to Generic Letter 95-07. To address pressure locking concerns, the CS2 valves are required to be stroked following operation of the associated CS pump. on July 11, 1997, it was identified that procedure S2.OP-IO.ZZ-0002, "Cold Shutdown to Hot Standby," directed functional testing of the CS pumps without subsequently stroking the 21CS2 and 22CS2. Further review determined that the CS pumps were functionally tested on June 14, 1997 in accordance with this procedure while in Mode 5. Valves 21CS2 and 22CS2 were not stroked open and closed prior to June 15, 1997 when Salem Unit 2 entered Mode 4. The cause of this occurrence is attributed to personnel error in that personnel failed to perform an adequate review to identify all instances in which the Containment Spray pumps were operated. Corrective actions include procedure revisions to address the additional instances of Containment Spray pump operation. This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(I)(B), any condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications, and 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(B) a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant.
311-98-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	During review of the installation of the test instrumentation connected to the Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Level Instrument System (RVLIS) panel, it was determined that this instrumentation provided inadequate isolation between the Non Safety Related Data Acquisition System (DAS) and the RVLIS channels. Therefore, the RVLIS could not be considered operable with the test instrumentation connected. As a result of this review, a condition report (CR) was initiated since this equipment had been previously installed on Unit 2 RVLIS during initial operation in Mode 3. The RVLIS had been considered operable with this Data Acquisition System (DAS) installed. With the test instrumentation connected the RVLIS channel separation criteria is violated and the system can not be considered operable. The test equipment was installed on June 17, 1997 with the Salem Unit 2 in Mode 4. The apparent cause(s) of this condition was the failure to 1) identify that the initial 50.59 evaluation for the RVLIS procedure assumed that RVLIS would be considered inoperable, and 2) include in the determination of operability the effect of the test equipment. The appropriate procedures were revised, and the test equipment has been properly isolated. This event is reportable per 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(I)(B).
315-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	POWER LEVEL - 097%. On February 5, 1997, with Unit 1 at 96.7 percent Rated Thermal Power and Unit 2 at 99.8 percent Rated Thermal Power, preliminary information was received from Westinghouse that indicated that the Safety Injection pumps could potentially experience runout conditions if the accumulator fill line were open during a large break LOCA demand. An ENS notification was made under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), to report a condition outside the design basis at that time. Cook Technical Specification 4.5.2.h states that total Safety Injection system flow shall not exceed 700 g.p.m. with a single pump running and the minimum flow valve open. If only one safety Injection pump is providing flow to all four injection phase of a large break LOCA towards the end of blowdown, the runout limit may be exceeded. By letter dated February 21, 1997, Westinghouse has confirmed, by model, that the pumps will run out to between 708 and 720 g.p.m., dependent on initial conditions. The flowpath created by opening the accumulator fill line had not been previously identified as affecting the emergency core cooling system analysis and therefore had not been adequately addressed in the analysis. This event was evaluated against the analyses for large break LOCA, small break LOCA, containment and the sump recirculation flow requirements, and found to have no safety significance.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-006 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	This LER revision is being submitted to provide additional information regarding the safety significance and the root cause determination for cracked floodup tubes (FUTS) found in Cook Units 1 and 2. During an inspection of floodup tubes for moisture intrusion, 3 FUTS with thru wall defects were identified. As a result, proactive measures were taken to inspect the remaining Unit 1 FUTS. This resulted in the identification of six additional thru wall defects in Unit 1. As a result, Unit 2 FUTs were inspected, and 2 cracked tubes were found. Of the 11 total damaged tubes, Unit 1 contained 7 FUTS and Unit 2 contained 1 FUT with an associated circuit that is needed for accident mitigation or post accident monitoring. On March 23 the Unit 2 condition was reported under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii). On March 27 Unit 1 condition was reported under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I). ENS notifications were made for both. The damage has been attributed to work practices that resulted in two different types of failuresmaterials stress cracks and random arc strikes, most probably early in plant life. All damaged Unit 1 EQ FUTS have been replaced and both Unit 2 tubes have been replaced. To prevent the cracks due to installation practices from reoccurring, the Cook Plant FUT Installation Work Instructions will be modified to contain additional guidance. Welding practices have been sufficiently enhanced since the early portion of the plant life to preclude arc strikes on FUTS. Inspections of the FUTS for damage will also be performed at the beginning and the end of the refueling outages until assurance is reached that no further problems were found. Postulated failures that could result from the cracked floodup tubes were evaluated and found not to present a significant risk with regard to the protection of the public health and safety.
315-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6c	On April 15, 1997, it was discovered that the calibration constant for the particulate channels of the Eberline radiation monitors were incorrect. A calibration constant value of 1.17E-05 [Symbol omitted] Ci/cpm had been used instead of the correct value of 4.66 E-05 [Symbol omitted] Ci/cpm. The calibration constant for the Eberline particulate channel is based on what is referred to as the "divide by circuitry". The "divide by circuitry" converts detector pulses to units of activity. A channel's calibration constant is adjusted depending on which "divide by circuitry" is used. When the procedure was developed in 1990 for making the "divide by circuitry" adjustment it was incorrect. The cause for this event is personnel error. This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition that resulted in the power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant, 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) as a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to control the release of radioactive materials, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(I)(B) as operation prohibited by the plant's technical specifications. Immediately upon confirming the error, the technical specification action statement for those channels with technical specifications were entered, the parameter file log sheets were revised to the correct value of 4.66 E-05 [Symbol omitted] Ci/cpm, and the channel parameter files were revised to reflect the new calibration constant. Based on current requirements for the review and verification of information, no further actions are being taken. Additionally, based on other required monitors being operable and the ability to use the trending function of the particulate monitors this event did not represent a significant risk to the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-010 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	On August 8, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 at 100 percent Rated Thermal Power, as a result of questions posed by the NRC AE Design Inspection team, it was determined that both units had operated outside the design basis of 76 Degrees Fahrenheit for lake water temperature. An ENS notification was made at 0934 hours the same day under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), as a condition outside the design basis. This report is made in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii), as a condition outside the design basis, and an unanalyzed condition. The root cause of this event was the failure to recognize a UFSAR value as a design basis parameter and to recognize interrelationships between a UFSAR value and other design aspects. Process changes have been implemented which require that changes to design basis information be handled via the design change process, a corporate directive and policy were written to provide direction on design basis, and additional training on design basis has been provided to those employees involved in handling such information. Restrictions have been placed on plant operation such that the plant will not be operated with lake water temperatures above 76 Degrees Fahrenheit. This restriction will remain in effect until all analyses and 10CFR50.59 safety evaluations are complete. Analysis of this event has been performed, taking into account the effects of the elevated service water temperature on the safety related systems to which it provides cooling. It has been determined that the event did not result in any threat to the health or safety of the public.
315-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3a	While evaluating a proposed procedure change that affected switchover from the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) to the containment recirculation sump during a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA), it was determined that level indications used to determine when the switchover is required were not adequate to prevent vortexing in the containment recirculation sump or to ensure adequate water is transferred from the RWST for long term cooling of the core and containment. The indications involved were the RWST level instruments and the containment level instruments. This event was reported under 10 CFR 50.73(b)(1)(ii)(B) as any event or condition that resulted in a condition outside the design basis of the plant. The cause for the RWST level instrumentation inadequacy was lack of complete understanding of instrument design basis and failure to fully address velocity effects. The cause for the inadequate containment level to prevent vortexing was attributed to ineffective change management. To correct this condition the RWST level taps were relocated, the procedure for switchover, 01(02) OHP 4023.ES-1.3, Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation, was revised, and the UFSAR will be revised to clearly indicate assumptions associated with minimum water level requirements during a LOCK An evaluation of the safety significance of the originally identified condition was performed. It was concluded that the only accident where safety significance of the originally identified were revision identifies another condition which could have caused RWST level as further to read incorrectly due to inadequate venting during maximum ECCS flow. It was identified that this could leave up to 28.5 additional inches of water in the tank when suction is transferred to the recirculation sump accident with eventing issue. This re-evaluated, combining information from the original condition with information from the venting issue. This re-evaluation is expected to be completed, and an updated LER submitted by January 29, 1999.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-012 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	On August 26, 1997 with Units 1 and 2 at 100 percent Rated Thermal Power, it was determined that both units had operated outside the design basis for Component Cooling Water (CCW) maximum temperature. Contrary to FSAR Table 9.5-3 which states that the maximum CCW heat exchanger outlet temperature is 95 degrees Fahrenheit, guidance provided in the Operations procedures allowed CCW heat exchanger outlet temperature to reach 120 degrees Fahrenheit during the first three hours of RHR operation. It was determined that this event was reportable under 10CFR50.72(B)(1)(ii)(B), and an ENS notification was made on August 26, 1997. This LER is being submitted under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) as a condition outside the design basis. The root cause for the original failure to incorporate the 120 degree Fahrenheit value into the FSAR could not be determined. Subsequent revisions to the CCW procedure failed to identify the problem due to inadequate safety screenings. Additional emphasis is being stressed by management on the need to perform complete and accurate safety screenings. The CCW procedure was revised to remove the reference to 120 degrees Fahrenheit. An evaluation was successfully performed to support a short term maximum temperature of 120 degrees Fahrenheit for CCW operation. The Operations procedure(s) was revised to reference 115 degrees Fahrenheit, which allows for instrument uncertainty. It was determined that the temporary increase in the CCW temperature during cooldown would not have resulted in any adverse safety consequences. The safety significance of this condition is, therefore, low and did not endanger the health or safety of the public at any time.
315-97-014 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	On August 29, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 at 100 percent Rated Thermal Power, as a result of questions posed by the NRC AE Design Inspection team, it was determined that during August, 1987, both units operated in an unanalyzed condition for Control Room equipment operability due to postulated high room temperatures. An ENS notification was made on August 29, 1997 at 1412 hours EDT under the provisions of 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(A), for any condition during operation that results in the plant being in an unanalyzed condition. This LER is therefore submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). This LER is directly related to, and can be considered a sub-issue to, the condition reported in LER 315/97-010-02. The root cause of this condition was the failure to realize the interrelationship between a UFSAR value and other design aspects. Process changes have been implemented which require that changes to design basis information be handled via the design change process, a corporate directive and policy were written to provide direction on design basis, and additional training on design basis has been provided to those employees involved in handling such information. Restrictions have been placed on plant operation such that the plant will not be operated with lake water temperatures above 76 Degrees Fahrenheit. This restriction will remain in effect until all analyses and 10CFR50.59 safety evaluations are complete. Analysis of this event has been performed, taking into account the potential effects of elevated temperature on the Control Room equipment. It has been determined that the event did not result in any threat to the health or safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-016 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3a	On September 12, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 5, it was discovered that current operating procedures for the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System did not prevent the operation of both RHR pumps when the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) is open to atmosphere. This is contrary to Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) Section 9.3.3 which states, "Only one residual heat removal (RHR) pump will be operated when the reactor coolant system is open to the atmosphere to prevent damaging both pumps in the unlikely event that suction should be lost". This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v) as a condition that potentially could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of a structure or system. The cause of not including all of the UFSAR requirements into the RHR procedure could not be determined. Inadequate understanding of the UFSAR and inadequate safety screenings prevented this issue from being identified sconer. All applicable procedures have been revised to include the restriction that only one RHR pump be operated when the RCS is open to atmosphere. Based on vendor manual information for the pump and additional information from the UFSAR, if the RCS level is greater than half loop, then the pump suction lines are completely filled, with enough Net Positive Suction Head to preclude the formation of air pockets and enough level exists above the suction source to prevent vortexing. Therefore, this condition had no significant safety consequences for the plant or the health and safety of the public.
315-97-017	7	On September 8, 1997, at 2000 hours, with Unit 1 at 74 percent Rated Thermal Power, it was discovered that under certain scenarios the volume of water resident in the active sump volume of the containment may not be adequate to support long term Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) or Containment Spray (CTS) pump operation during the recirculation phase of a LOCA event. This was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), as a condition outside the design basis. A Technical Specification required shutdown was undertaken, which is reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(I)(A). This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(I)(A). On September 10, 1997 Unit 1 entered Mode 5, cold shutdown, and remains in cold shutdown. The root cause of this event was determined to be lack of thorough review. The previously performed engineering reviews did not evaluate the impact of flow diversions into the inactive sump volumes of the containment. New analyses have been completed and the containment analysis has been validated to confirm that, for both postulated large and small break LOCAs that could occur during future operation, an adequate volume of water would be resident in the containment structure and that adequate communication exists in the containment subcompartment boundaries to ensure sufficient drainage to the containment recirculation sump. The analysis of this event has not yet been completed for all past cycles. Analyses performed for the most recent operating cycle confirms that adequate water volume would have been available in the active sump during the recirculation phase of a LOCA event. An update to this LER will be submitted upon completion of the analyses for all operating cycles. It is expected that the analyses will be completed by December 10, 1997 and this LER will be updated by January 16, 1998.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-018 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	While reviewing findings relative to containment recirculation sump modifications and requirements, the containment system engineer identified a historical problem on Unit 1 and Unit 2 recirculation sumps from 1978 until 1996 in Unit 2 and 1997 in Unit 1. In 1978 five 3/4 inch diameter holes were installed in the upper roof of the recirculation sump under design change 12-RFC-2361. Under the same design change, the 1/4 inch particle retention boundary was moved from the lower chamber of the recirculation sump back to the recirculation sump inlet area. When this 1/4 inch retention element was moved several sump inlet locations, including the five holes, were left unprotected for 1/4 inch particle retention. The NRC was notified of this event on September 5, 1997 at 0921 hours. The NRC notification was made under 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. This event is being reported under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) as a condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. The cause for this event was that the design change that moved the 1/4 inch particle retention boundary was not technically complete in that it did not address the 1/4 inch particle retention requirement during design and/or installation. Based on the low probability of materials entering the sump and an analysis of materials which had the highest probability of entering the sump, it was concluded that the containment recirculation sump could have performed its intended function with the identified deficiencies. Therefore, this event did not present a risk to the health and safety of the public.
315-97-019 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	In September 1997, during the NRC Architect and Engineering Design Inspection, a discrepancy was identified between the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) and an Operations department procedure. The procedure also conflicted with Technical Specification (T/S) requirements. This condition was reported as a notification under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(l), for an unanalyzed condition on September 11, 1997, and as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. This update is submitted to provide the cause and safety significance of the event. The event has been attributed to an inadequate safety review performed at the time the procedure change was made in 1980. Additionally, a procedure change was used to effectively accomplish a design change to the plant, further reducing the chances, under the processes in existence at that time, of identifying the impact on the design basis. A T/S amendment has been formally requested to remove the surveillance related to automatic valve closure. The change was requested on September 19, 1997, via our letter design change process. The procedure steps involved were intended to prevent inadvertent auto-closure of the valves which would result in a loss of Residual Heat Removal (RHR) suction during shutdown cooling operation. The procedure accomplishes this by removing power from the valves when the valves are opened to the Reactor Coolant System (RCS). The RCS and the RHR systems were protected from over-pressurization by the Low Temperature Over-Pressure Protection (LTOP) equipment, at all times that the original RHR suction valve interlock was designed to protect the RHR system. Therefore, this condition did not significantly impact the health or safety of the public or pose a threat to plant safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-021 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On September 10, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 5, it was determined that a single active failure during an accident while performing switchover of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) pumps from the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) to the recirculation sump could result in loss of all high and medium head injection. This was determined to be reportable as an unanalyzed condition under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I), and as a condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii). An ENS notification was made at 1919 hours the same day. This report is submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(2)(v). The root cause of this event was personnel error. Significant improvements have been made to the review process for Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs) since 1992 when the error occurred. Contributing to this event was the failure to recognize that the definition of a single active failure included failure of a pump to run once it had already started. The EOP which sequences the steps for switchover has been revised to preclude the situation where a single active failure criteria have been developed. The directive provides specific direction on the definition and use of the single failure criteria. A Probabilistic Risk Assessment was performed to determine the failure probability of an RHR pump to continue to run. The failure probability did not result in a noticeable increase in core damage frequency. This condition was therefore concluded to have a low safety significance, and not to represent a threat to the health or safety of the public.
315-97-022 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On September 11, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in cold shutdown, it was determined that conditions had not been properly established in the Component Cooling Water (CCW) system to meet plant piping design code requirements. It was determined that this event was reportable under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iii)(C) and (D), as a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of a system needed to control the release of radioactive materials and mitigate the consequences of an accident. An ENS notification was made at 1956 hours on September 11, 1997. An interim LER was submitted on October 13, 1997 under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D). The piping design code at the Cook Plant is USAS B31.1 Power Piping Code, 1967 edition. Code requirement B31.1 states that an intercepting stop valve cannot be located between the source of pressure and the pressure relief device credited for protecting the pipe. Contrary to the code requirement there were manual valves between the Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) thermal barrier cooling coil and the safety relief valve on the CCW surge tank that were not controlled in accordance with or exempted from USAS B31.1, 1967 edition. To correct this condition the intercepting stop valves have been placed under procedural control such that the valves are sealed open during system operation, thus ensuring the safety valve on the CCW Surge Tank will provide over pressure protection for the CCW System Piping during a rupture of an RCP thermal barrier cooling coil. Based on normal system operation and controls, this event was determined to have negligible safety impact.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-023 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On September 16, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 5, it was determined that a design change to the bypass dampers for the Engineered Safeguards Features (ESF) ventilation system installed between December 1996, and August, 1997 introduced the possibility of a single failure which could result in the loss of both trains of the ESF ventilation system. The loss of the 85 psig air header without concurrent loss of the 20 psig air header would result in the ESF ventilation trains being unable to meet their design function. On September 16, 1997, this event was reported via ENS under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(l), as an event found while the reactor was shutdown that resulted in an unanalyzed condition. This LER is therefore submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii), as an event found while the reactor was shutdown that resulted in an unanalyzed condition, and a condition outside the design bases. The root cause of the event is the failure of the design change process to identify the potential adverse impact on the ESF ventilation system created by the modification of the control air supply to the bypass dampers. Installation of a supply to the inlet damper actuators from the 85 psig header via a pressure reducing valve was completed on November 5, 1997. This modification placed both the inlet and bypass dampers on the same supply header. The Design Change Reference Guide will be revised to explicitly require the consideration of the effects of a proposed change of the air system on a "header by header" basis and the analysis of individual source failures. Based on the low probability of the series of events necessary to prevent the ESF ventilation trains from performing their design functions, and the Probabilistic Risk Assessment results, it has been concluded that this condition had low safety significance, and did not endanger the health or safety of the public at any time.
315-97-024 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On September 11, 1997, a fibrous materials, known as Fiberfrax, was identified in an electrical cable tray inside the Unit 2 containment. An investigation was initiated to determine the scope and magnitude of this condition. On September 17, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in cold shutdown, it was determined that Fiberfrax was present in both containments in enough quantity to potentially cause excessive blockage of the containment recirculation sump screen during the recirculation phase of a Loss of Coolant Accident and render the sump inoperable. An ENS notification was made at 1629 hours on September 17, 1997, under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i), as a condition which was found while the reactor was shutdown, which if it had been found while the reactor was operating, would have resulted in the nuclear power plant being outside the design basis. As part of the investigation, reviews were conducted of industry information related to containment sump strainer blockage, and plant insulation specifications. Walkdowns were conducted of both containments and other potential materials threats to blockage of the recirculation sump were identified and dispositioned. The root cause of this condition has been attributed to inadequate specifications and procedures which did not preclude or strictly control these types of materials. The materials have either been removed from the containments, or have been evaluated and determined to not constitute a substantial threat to the recirculation sump. Additionally, the condition of containment coatings was reviewed and repair of some coatings has been undertaken. Specifications and procedures are being revised and /or developed to preclude or strictly control materials inside containment which could block the recirculation sump. Analysis using models for debris generation and transport has been completed. The results of that analysis will be provided to the NRC separately as it contains proprietary information. It is expected that the information will be provided to the NRC prior to, or at, the

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-026 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3b	On September 25, 1997, with Units 1 and 2 in Mode 5, it was recognized that due to a lack of overpressure protection on the 85, 50, or 20 psig control air headers, if an air regulator failed open resulting in an over pressurization of a control air header, there was the potential for common mode failure of both trains of safety related equipment. The potential for this event was reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(l) as a condition which was found while the reactor was shutdown, which if found while the reactor was operating, would have resulted in the nuclear plant being in an unanalyzed condition. The lack of overpressure protection on the control air headers due to a regulator failing open was not identified as a mechanism that could overpressurize the low pressure headers. As a result, single failure of a non-safety related component affecting both trains of safety related equipment was not identified. Redundant safety related relief valves have been installed on the 20, 50, and 85 psig control air headers. In addition, the current design change process addresses the requirement to ensure that single failure criteria has been met. An evaluation was performed to determine what the effect of an over pressurization of the control air headers. Over pressurization of the 20 psig header could have been no significant effects for the 85 and 50 psig headers. Over pressurization of the 20 psig header could have resulted in the degradation of the RHR system and the partial opening of the unit 2 Steam Generator (SG) Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs) for the duration of the overpressure event. Due to a single failure being identified that could have potentially resulted in the degradation of both trains of RHR this event may have been significant.
315-97-027 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On October 28, 1997, with Unit 1 in Mode 5 and Unit 2 in Mode 6, it was determined that both units had the potential to be outside of their design basis and possibly in non-compliance with 10 CFR 50.46(b)(2). This is a generic issue applicable to Westinghouse fuel rods containing integral fuel burnable absorber (IFBA). It was determined that this was reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i) as an event found during shutdown that potentially resulted in a degraded principle safety barrier and an ENS notification was made at 1830 hours on October 28, 1997. An interim LER was submitted on November 24, 1997. This LER is being submitted under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition potentially outside of the design basis of the plant. The licensee was informed by Westinghouse, on October 28, 1997, that in the process of developing new fuel rod cladding corrosion and rod internal pressure models it was determined that these new models showed a decrease in rod internal pressure margin. This decrease in margin has the potential to place plants in a condition that is outside of their design basis with respect to pellet-to-clad gap re-opening. Additionally, should pellet-to-clad gap re-opening occur there is a potential for certain plants to possibly be in non-compliance with 10 CFR50.46 for the current operating cycles, Unit 1 Cycle 16 and Unit 2 Cycle 12. Additional calculations show that Unit 1 will be within its design basis for a minimum of 10,680 MWD/MTU (approximately 257 EFPD) for Cycle 12. For the possible operation outside the design basis for unit 2 Cycle 12 a justification for continued operation has been included in the Reload Safety Evaluation for Unit 2 Cycle 12 which covers operation to the end of the cycle.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
315-97-028 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6a	10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Section III G.2.(b) requires a twenty foot separation between trains with no intervening combustible materials with fire detection and suppression installed. At Cook Nuclear Plant, fire stops were being used to prevent the possible spread of fire across the twenty foot separation space at two locations in the Auxiliary Building (el. 587' and 609') where there are intervening combustibles (open cable trays) inside the twenty foot separation space. However, an exemption to 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Section III G.2.(b) had not been requested. Our configuration had been described to the NRC in submittals dating back to 1983. However, the deviation from Appendix R described above was not specifically noted in applicable Appendix R SERs. On May 21, 1997, we submitted a letter to the NRC which responded to NRC questions dated March 26, 1997, regarding this configuration, and provided our basis for why we did not believe an exemption to Appendix R was necessary. During a conversation with the NRR staff on November 6, 1997, we were informed that a specific exemption to Appendix R is required for this deviation or the intervening combustibles must be eliminated. Because our configuration differs from the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Section III G.2.(b), it is being reported as a condition outside the plant's design bases. Generic Letter (GL) 92-08 indicated that Thermo-Lag was a combustible materials. The fire watch established for these locations, as a result of GL 92-08, was released on December 30, 1996, after the Thermo-Lag wrap had been removed. The Appendix R Safe Shutdown revalidation effort evaluated the use of fire stops again. A fire watch was re-established on January 24, 1997. The fire watches will remain in place until resolution of this issue is obtained.
316-97-003	6b	During the Unit 2 1996 refueling outage a dual train component cooling water (CCW) outage was scheduled and performed. In performance of the dual train CCW outage, manual operator actions were credited for restoration of the Unit 2 spent fuel pool (SFP) cooling system, should the Unit 1 SFP cooling train become unavailable, to maintain the SFP within its design basis. Following evaluation of the shutdown risk review performed at the time, the crediting of these manual actions was not adequate and created the possibility of an unreviewed safety question. Based on this, it was determined that this event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. The Unit 2 1996 refueling outage planning and scheduling review activity performed in accordance with plant procedures, overlooked the reviews necessary to fully credit operator actions and the performance of an unreviewed safety question determination to support the manual actions. The outage review process has been revised to preclude recurrence. The contingency actions for recovering spent fuel pool cooling during the Unit 2 1996 refueling outage of restoring Unit 2 CCW within 1.5 hours, assuming no errors or environmental affects. Greater than 3 hours was available before threatening the SFP design basis. The actual dual train CCW outage configuration existed for only 8 hours and 1 minute. In addition, draining of the CCW system did not occur, thus ensuring an uncomplicated restoration. Based on this, there was no risk to the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
316-97-005	7	On September 8, 1997, at 2000 hours, with Unit 2 at 96 percent Rated Thermal Power, it was discovered that under certain scenarios the volume of water resident in the active sump volume of the containment may not be adequate to support long term Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) or Containment Spray (CTS) pump operation during the recirculation phase of a LOCA event. This was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), as a condition outside the design basis. A Technical Specification required shutdown was undertaken, which is reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(A). This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(A). On September 10, 1997 Unit 2 entered Mode 5, cold shutdown, and is currently in a refueling outage with the core offloaded. The root cause of this event was determined to be lack of thorough review. The previously performed engineering reviews did not evaluate the impact of flow diversions into the inactive sump volumes of the containment. New analyses have been completed and the containment analysis has been validated to confirm that, for both postulated large and small break LOCAs that could occur during future operation, an adequate volume of water would be resident in the containment structure and that adequate communication exists in the containment subcompartment boundaries to ensure sufficient drainage to the containment recirculation sump. The analysis of this event has not yet been completed for all past cycles. Analyses performed for the most recent operating cycle confirms that adequate to this LER will be submitted upon completion of the analyses for all operating cycles. It is expected that the analyses will be completed by December 10, 1997 and this LER will be updated by January 16, 1998.
316-97-006	6a	Following a discovery of damaged floodup tubes in March of 1997 (LER 97-006-01), Donald C. Cook Plant personnel committed to perform inspections of floodup tubes during subsequent refueling outages. An inspection of unit 2 floodup tubes conducted during its current refueling outage identified three tubes containing cables connected to safety related components with through wall holes caused by welding activities. On October 10, 1997, the condition was reported under 10CFR50.72 (b)(2)(I). The damage has been attributed to arcing due to welding activity. The time at which the damage occurred cannot be positively determined, but it is believed to have occurred during recent welding activities near the damaged floodup tubes. The damaged tubes will be replaced, and enhancements made to the welding work process will preclude future arcing damage due to welding. Additionally, inspection of the floodup tubes in the vicinity of any hot work performed in the annulus will be inspected daily. Postulated failures that could result from the cracked floodup tubes were evaluated and found not to present a significant risk with regard to the protection of the public health and safety.
316-97-009	7	During a foreign materials exclusion inspection conducted on November 26, 1997, one of two Train B inlet lines for the hydrogen removal and air recirculation from the Unit 2 number 2 and 3 Steam Generator enclosures was found to have been blocked by concrete. The condition was reported under the provisions of 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(I) on November 30, 1997. The blockage occurred during the Unit 2 Steam Generator replacement in 1988. During this time, portions of the Steam Generator enclosure structure were removed to allow access to the Steam Generators. Following the replacement, the structures were reconstructed. It is believed that the concrete entered the line during the repair of the structure. The blockage has been removed, and procedures will be implemented to preclude future blockages of these lines. An evaluation of the impact of the blockage has concluded that there would have been adequate flow through the Steam Generator enclosure to preclude excessive accumulation of hydrogen. Thus, the condition did not present a significant risk to the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
317-97-001 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On Friday, January 10, 1997, it was discovered that air from the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) area was leaking out into the Auxiliary Building while fuel was being moved in the SFP. This condition was outside the design basis of the plant. Subsequent investigation found that the Surveillance Test Procedure performed every 18 months to demonstrate operability of the SFP ventilation system had not been performed since September of 1994. Fuel movement has been suspended until appropriate procedures are changed to ensure that the SFP ventilation system operates at a negative pressure versus surrounding areas, including the Auxiliary Building. A Root Cause Analysis is being performed to determine casual factors and generic implications for this event. Additional actions will be reported in a supplement to this report.
317-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	On Thursday, April 24, 1997, fuel was moved in the Spent Fuel Pool without the charcoal adsorber banks being in service. This placed the plant outside its design basis. An ongoing Root Cause Analysis has thus far identified as primary causal factors for this event personnel error on the part of the Spent Fuel Handling Machine operator and poor communications between him and the Control Room Operator. Contributing to this event was unclear procedural guidance. Additional contributing causes are being evaluated. Upon discovery, fuel movement was suspended until all appropriate personnel could be trained and procedures revised. An effort is underway to identify and address potential similar problems. A Root Cause investigation is currently ongoing. If any additional causes or corrective actions are identified, they will be detailed in a supplement to this report.
321-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On 3/25/97 at 1307 EST, Unit 1 was in the Run mode at a power level of 2558 CMWT (100 percent rated thermal power) and Unit 2 was in the Refuel mode with the cavity flooded. At that time, plant personnel determined an event reported to Southern Nuclear Operating Company personnel by General Electric involving the Rod Block Monitor (RBM) met the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(2)(iii) and 50.73 (a)(2)(v). General Electric reported that their core design procedures contained a step which allowed them to assume the RBM is operable and available to mitigate the consequences of a Rod Withdrawal Error (RWE) irrespective of the individual plant's Technical Specifications requirements for RBM operability. Plant personnel, after thoroughly reviewing this event, determined it was a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of the RBM to control (prevent) the release of radioactive materials during an RWE. This event was the result of a disparity between the core analysis design procedure assumptions and the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications requirements regarding RBM operability. As a corrective action, Operations procedure 34GO-OPS-065-0S, 'Control Rod Movement,' now requires the Unit 1 and Unit 2 RBMs to be operable whenever reactor power is above 27 percent rated thermal power. Proposed changes to the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications are being prepared for submittal to the NRC.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
321-97-004	Зb	On 7/15/97 at 1658 EDT, Unit 1 was in the Run mode at a power level of 2558 CMWT (100 percent rated thermal power). At that time, personnel determined a single failure could affect the circuits for the 1A Diesel Generator and the 1CD 4160V/600V transformer. This postulated common failure could prevent timely re-energization of the 1C 600V bus via the 1CD transformer. Without power to this bus, operators could not place the required number of Residual Heat Removal Service Water pumps in the long-term containment heat removal mode. With less than the required number of pumps in the heat removal mode, suppression pool temperature could exceed its maximum analyzed value, adversely affecting long-term containment temperature and pressure response and available net positive suction head for emergency core cooling system pumps. On 7/15/97, operations personnel declared an RHRSW pump inoperable to account for the loss of single failure protection. This event apparently was caused by an original design error. Circuits for the 1CD transformer were classified incorrectly and were routed through the same raceways as the 1A Diesel Generator. This error created the potential for a single failure to disable the 1A Diesel Generator and the 1CD 4160V/600V transformer. A temporary modification was implemented on 7/16/97 to disarm the differential auxiliary relay circuits for the 1CD transformer thereby ensuring a fault that will inop the 1A diesel generator circuits will not prevent the use of the 1CD transformer. Conversely, a fault in the circuits of the 1CD transformer will not inop the 1A Diesel Generator. A design change will be implemented during the next scheduled Unit 1 refueling outage to provide proper separation of the circuits.
323-97-004	6a	On October 17, 1997, at 1630 PDT, with Unit 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that the auxiliary saltwater system (ASW) safe shutdown electrical circuits did not meet 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, requirements and were therefore outside the design basis of the plant. Two pull boxes did not have adequate fire protection separation. These pull boxes contained redundant ASW safe shutdown circuits, and a fire in either pull box could result in the loss of both trains of ASW. A 1-hour, non-emergency report was made to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) at 1657 PDT, on October 17, 1997. A preliminary investigation has determined that this condition does not affect Unit 1 ASW safe shutdown circuits. On October 17, 1997, at 1630 PDT, a continuous fire watch was established as an interim compensatory measure. The root cause of this event is personnel error (cognitive) in that the ASW safe shutdown circuits were incorrectly evaluated as being entirely embedded; thus the circuits did not receive 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, reviews. The pull boxes will be modified to meet 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, requirements. PG&E will also review other embedded pull boxes with safe shutdown equipment cables to ensure that 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, requirements are met.
323-97-006 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	On December 11, 1997, at 1115 PST, with Unit 2 in Mode 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent power, PG&E determined that fuel pellet-to-clad gap reopening had been predicted on a 95 percent upper bound basis for lead rods at cycle burnups greater than 18,000 megawatt days per metric ton uranium. This burnup occurred in Unit 2 on September 1, 1997. This condition is outside the design basis for the plant. Additionally, Westinghouse completed analyses which show that although gap reopening is predicted for Unit 2, Cycle 8, the 10 CFR 50.46 criterion for localized 17 percent total oxidation continues to be met. On December 11, 1997, at 1130 PST, PG&E made a 1-hour, non-emergency report to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B). The December 11, 1997, report was updated on January 6, 1998. Westinghouse discovered the potential for this condition in high duty integral burnable absorber rods when the effects of increased Zirc-4 corrosion on high duty rods were incorporated into the current version of the fuel performance computer code. The condition was caused by Zirc-4 cladding materials corrosion rates higher than previously expected on high duty fuel rods. PG&E will continue to communicate with Westinghouse through implementation of a long term resolution plan and will take appropriate actions for Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
325-97-004 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 5b	On May 6, 1997, Units land 2 were operating at rated power when it was determined that spent fuel shipping cask handling activities had been conducted outside the design bases. Specifically, the site procedures controlling the lifting and loading of an IF-300 spent fuel shipping cask prescribe the use of rigging which is not single failure proof during transfer from the tilting cradle to the secondary yoke, contrary to existing analyses. This transfer occurs on the 20' elevation of the reactor building at a lift height of approximately 7 feet. In addition, during previous spent fuel shipping cask handling activities when the non-single failure proof lift condition existed, the safety-related valve box covers were not installed. Current spent fuel analyses bound a 30' cask drop with the safety-related valve box covers installed. There is not an existing analysis for a spent fuel shipping cask drop without the valve covers installed. This event was caused by an incomplete understanding of the scope of the NEDO-10084-4, Vectra IF-300 Shipping Cask Consolidated Safety Analysis Report and NUREG-0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants." Site procedures for spent fuel shipping, handling, and receiving have been placed on administrative hold pending resolution of this issue and NRC review and approval of a related change to the licensing/design bases. A load drop analysis for the postulated spent fuel shipping cask drop accident will be performed in accordance with the applicable guidance of NUREG-0612. In addition, a review of the applicable regulatory requirements and site procedures related to spent fuel shipping cask handling will be performed to ensure consistency.
325-97-005	5b	On April 2, 1997, with Unit 1 operating at 95% reactor power, while awaiting implementation of power uprate to 100% reactor power, the results of an ultrasonic test (UT) conducted on the Unit 1 Feedwater (FW) system flow venturis indicated that the Unit 1 FW system flow indication was potentially non-conservative. In response, Unit 1 reactor power was reduced to 93% at 1557 hours. Administrative controls were established to ensure core thermal power would not exceed 93% until the FW system flow instrumentation could be re-calibrated. On April 7, 1997, the Unit 1 FW system flow instrumentation were re-calibrated based upon the UT test data. This calibration provided the most conservative feedwater flow indication while a more detailed engineering evaluation of the various venturi tests could be completed; Unit 1 reactor power was subsequently restored to 95%. Evaluation of the three venturi tests performed since plant construction concluded that the chemical tracer test performed in 1994 and the recent UT test were not as accurate as the original weigh tank test performed during plant construction. Using the original calibration constants as a basis, a review of operating data since the 1994 FW system flow instrumentation calibrations determined that the licensed power level was exceeded by approximately 1.3% and that the thermal limits defined by the Technical Specifications were exceeded for brief periods on six occasions. Corrective actions include internal inspection of the FW system A loop venturi and recalibration of the feedwater flow instrumentation based upon the original weigh tank test results.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
325-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	За	On September 12, 1997, a review of industry events related to primary containment pressure suppression bypass paths determined that plant operating procedures allowed simultaneous inerting/purging/deinerting of the drywell and suppression chamber: however, use of this bypass path was not appropriately supported by design analysis. The Containment Atmosphere Control system valve lineups associated with these activities have resulted in an external pressure suppression bypass path between the drywell and suppression chamber air spaces. If a Loss of Coolant Accident occurred with this bypass path open, primary containment design pressures could have been exceeded. The isolation of the bypass path relies on two inboard primary containment isolation system (PCIS) valves and both valves use the same division of isolation logic, making the isolation function susceptible to a single failure. The cause of the issue is that documentation of the design limitations associated with a containment pressure suppression bypass path were not of sufficient detail to allow personnel to recognize that the paths created for primary containment inerting/purging/deinerting must meet the isolation requirements for a containment pressure suppression bypass path, as well as the PCIS function. Administrative controls have been implemented to prohibit simultaneous inerting/purging/deinerting in Operational Conditions 1, 2, and 3, pending revision of appropriate operating procedures; applicable design bases documents are also being revised. This condition is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii), as a condition outside the plants' design bases.
333-97-003	6b	As a result of Generic Letter (GL) 96-06, "Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design Basis Accident Conditions", the Authority identified containment penetrations which could be subjected to overpressurization due to thermal expansion of the entrapped water during design basis accidents (DBAs) when the penetrations are isolated. On 2/13/97 the Authority reported that the overpressurization of containment penetrations could potentially be a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. The cause of this event is that pressurization of these penetrations due to thermal expansion of entrapped fluid between the containment isolation valves was not considered during the design of the FitzPatrick plant. Operability determinations have been completed in accordance with GL 9118, "Information to Licensees Regarding Two NRC Inspection Manual Sections on Resolution of Degraded and Non- Conforming Conditions and on Operability" to verify operability. The operability determination demonstrated that containment integrity is maintained and safety functions are not compromised for the susceptible containment penetrations. Detailed analyses are in progress for final disposition and determination of the need for modification or procedural revisions. The results of this analysis, including a long term corrective action plan if required, will be submitted by 5/27/97.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
333-97-010	2a	On October 21, 1997 at approximately 1435 hours, during a review of industry events, Engineering determined that surveillance testing of the Primary Containment Pressure Suppression Chamber - Drywell Vacuum Breakers coincident with a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) will result in a partial loss of the primary containment pressure suppression function. The plant was operating in run at approximately 100% power at the time of the event. During performance of Surveillance Tests (ST) 15A and ST-15F, the plant utilizes a configuration where both the 24" drywell vent valves and both the 20" torus vent valves are opened to equalize the pressure across the vacuum breakers. The vacuum breakers are then cycled open and closed. Opening the drywell and torus vent valves simultaneously establishes a flow path bypassing the pressure suppression function of the torus. Should a LOCA take place while the plant is in this configuration, an indeterminate amount of direct torus air space pressurization could occur pending full closure of the vent valves from a Primary Containment isolation signal. This could result in containment loads in excess of those currently analyzed. The apparent cause of this event was that technical reviews of procedures which utilize this configuration were inadequate. The results of reviews identified two additional procedures (ST-39E and OP-37) requiring change. The four identified procedures have been revised to allow the use of a valve line-up which will not create a direct connection between the torus and drywell vent valves when primary containment integrity is required.
334-97-016	NRS - 2a	On June 27, 1997 at 2007, it was determined that the plant had the potential for a control and protection system interaction in the Steam Generator Water Level Control System (SGWLCS) which could prevent the Reactor Protection System (RPS) from initiating a reactor trip on a low-low steam generator level. This was identified during an engineering review of the seismic qualifications of the main feedwater flow transmitters. This trip was required by IEEE 279-1971 as a diverse trip to the two-out-of-three low-low steam generator reactor trip because of the control and protection interaction of the steam generator level channels. This was reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(i)(A) and (b)(1)(ii)(B). BVPS Unit 1 was then taken to Mode 3. On June 28, an update to the original 10 CFR 50.72 report was provided to indicate that the steam generator low-low level trip was also inoperable. On July 3, 1997, with the unit in Mode 3, Residual Heat Removal (RHR) sample isolation valve RH-214 was opened to allow sampling of the RHR system. When this valve was opened, no overpressure protection for penetration 97-1 existed and it was declared inoperable, resulting in a unit shutdown to Mode 5 as required by Technical Specification 3.6.1.1. This was reported in accordance with 50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(A). The apparent cause of the initiating event was a misapplication of IEEE 279-1971 Section 4.7 Control and Protection System Interaction criteria during the original plant design. Corrective actions for this event include: 1) a design modification to the SGWLCS was implemented to ensure against the identified control and protection system interaction system interaction for the SG level protection system, IEEE-279-1971 requires that a second failure must be postulated. If a second SG level instrument were to fail in an intermediate position, since there are three channels, the requisit RPS strip logic would not be satisfied and a reactor trip and auxiliary feedwater automatic start would not be generated as required when an act

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-018	NRS - 2a	On July 5, 1997, at 1930 hours with Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Unit 1 in Mode 5 at 0% reactor power level, it was determined that a condition existed that could adversely affect the ability of both Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG) (EE-EG-1 and EE-EG-2) to function due to a seismic event. Based on Engineering reviews performed as part of USI A-46, "Seismic Qualification of Equipment in Operating Plants, "it was determined that certain Fire Protection System components which are part of the control circuitry for the carbon dioxide fire suppression system for the EDG rooms potentially could spuriously operate during a seismic event. This would result in carbon dioxide discharging into both EDG rooms and adversely affecting the EDGs' ability to function, if called upon, due to air displacement by carbon dioxide flooding. The BVPS Unit 1 UFSAR Section 9.10.1 states, "The fire protection system is designed on the basis that rupture or inadvertent operation will not significantly impair the safety capability of structures, systems, or components important to safety or designed to Seismic Category 1 requirements." Immediately following this determination, both EDGs were declared inoperable, until at 1935 hours on July 5, 1997 when the carbon dioxide fire suppression system in each EDG room was placed in the "ahnormal" mode. Placing the system in the "ahnormal" mode prevents automatic operation from the non-seismically qualified relays, but still provides fire alarm capability to the control room in the event of a fire in the EDG rooms. Concurrent with disabling the automatic feature of the EDG carbon dioxide fire suppression systems, a continuous fire watch with backup suppression capability was established in each EDG room in accordance with the BVPS Fire Protection Program. The apparent cause of this event is a deficiency in the original design of the carbon dioxide fire suppression systems for the BVPS Unit 1 EDG rooms. The Fire Suppression System for the Unit 2 EDGs was reviewed and it was determined that

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-019	6b	On July 10, 1997 at 1345, a self initiated review of the Unit 1 Containment Isolation Valve Table revealed that check valves 1SA-15, 1IA-91, and 1RC-72 associated with containment penetration numbers 42, 45, and 47 did not meet the Unit 1 UFSAR requirements for containment isolation valves. The Unit 1 UFSAR, section 5.3.3, states that weight and spring loaded check valves used for containment isolation are designed to require a D/P across the valve in the normal flow direction exceeding the expected post DBA D/P between atmosphere and the containment in order to open. A spring or weight loaded check valve is needed to maintain the containment subatmospheric after a Design Basis Accident if a passive failure of the penetration outside of containment is postulated in order to prevent air flow into containment. Loss of subatmospheric containment conditions after one hour post-LOCA would invalidate the current 10 CFR 100 dose analysis. A review of plant drawings determined that these check valves were not weight or spring loaded. The review also revealed that check valve 1RC-72 did not meet the Unit 1 UFSAR section 5.3.4.2 requirement stating that Westinghouse check valves, when used as containment isolation valves, are loaded to close against a 2 psi positive differential pressure. This event was reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (ii) (B), plant in condition outside its design basis. At the time of discovery, Unit 1 was in Mode 5. Prior to startup, a temporary modification was performed to install a blank flange downstream of check valve 1SA-15 to provide a containment integrity boundary. A permanent modification will be performed prior to December 15, 1997. Check valves 1IA-91 and 1RC-72 were replaced with qualified valves on July 16, 1997. A review of the similar penetrations on Unit 2 found no similar design discrepancies. The apparent cause of this event is inadequate design reviews relating to containment penetration-protection during initial plant construction as specified in the Unit 1 UFSAR

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-020	2a	On July 24, 1997, at approximately 1830 hours, with Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Unit 1 in Mode 5 and at 0 percent reactor power, an Engineering review identified a pressure switch contact, without the required quality assurance level category, which was installed in the control circuit for each of the two Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) room's safety related ventilation systems. A pressure switch located in each EDG room, as part of the fire protection system and used to sense a carbon dioxide discharge, has a normally closed contact which opens upon sensing a carbon dioxide discharge. Opening of the contact prevents the EDG room ventilation fan from operating. The review of this condition concluded that, without the required quality assurance level category, the pressure switch contacts must be assumed to fail open during an accident condition. This would result in loss of the EDG room ventilation and with an operating EDG will result in a steadily increasing EDG room temperature leading to EDG performance degradation and eventual inability of the EDG to perform its safety function. This event would not have prevented the EDGs from responding to either an automatic or manual start signal. The apparent cause of this event is a deficiency in the original design of the ventilation system for the Unit 1 EDG rooms. This condition does not apply to Unit 2, since the Unit 2 EDG ventilation system does not have interlocked CO sub 2 pressure switches. On July 24, 1997, at 1915 hours, both EDGs were declared inoperable pending resolution of this condition. The appropriate Technical Specification ACTION STATEMENT was applied, including a prohibition on entering Mode 4. Installation of qualified replacement switches was completed by July 27, 1997, with Unit 1 still in Mode 5. No actual equipment failure or loss of safety function was found. A four-hour non-emergency report of this condition was made on July 24, 1997, at 2004 hours, pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I). This report is being made pu

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-021 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6a	On July 26, 1997 at 1715 hours, with Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Unit 1 in Mode 5 at 0% reactor power level, it was determined that a condition existed that could adversely affect the ability of both Supplementary Leak Collection and Release System (SLCRS) trains to function due to a seismic event. Based on Engineering reviews performed as part of USI A-46, "Seismic Qualification of Equipment in Operating Plants, "it was determined that certain Fire Protection System components which are part of the control circuitry for the SLCRS Charcoal Filters water deluge system could spuriously operate during a seismic event. This would result in water soaking the charcoal, thus rendering the filters inoperable. The BVPS Unit 1 UFSAR Section 9.10.1 states, "The fire protection system is designed on the basis that rupture or inadvertent operation will not significantly impair the safety capability of structures, systems, or components important to safety or designed to Seismic Category I requirements. "Immediately following this determination, both trains of SLCRS were declared inoperable. At 2025 on July 26, 1997, a four hour non-emergency notification of the Unit 1 condition was made pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(2)(i). On August 21, 1997, a follow-up extent of condition evaluation identified that BVPS Unit 2 SLCRS Charcoal Filters water deluge system components could spuriously operate during a seismic event, rendering the filters (both trains of SLCRS) inoperable. At 1622, both trains of SLCRS were declared inoperable. At 1638, a one-hour non-emergency notification of the SLCRS inoperable, the water deluge system for each SLCRS train was manually isolated, a roving fire tour was posted (or was already established), and the trains were declared operable. This report is being made pursuant to the requirement for a Special Report following fire system inoperability that exceeds 14 days. There was no actual spurious operation of the Fire Protection System at either unit nor were there any equipmen

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-033	6b	On October 8, 1997, at 1800 hours with Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 in Mode 6, a review by the Nuclear Engineering Department identified a potential problem. The voltage supplied to the Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) power supplies in the NIS instrumentation protection racks could potentially be outside the voltage range specified by the system vendor. This condition could potentially adversely affect the Reactor Trip System (RTS) Instrumentation protective action functions that rely on NIS data. Upon identification of this condition, a periodic verification of the adequacy of the NIS instrumentation protection rack voltages was begun starting at 2120 hours on October 8, 1997, until the situation was resolved. Voltages at the NIS racks were found to be within the vendor's specified range. The apparent cause of this event was an inadequate design change during the original construction of Unit 1 which eliminated voltage regulating transformers on the power feed to the NIS instrument racks. As a corrective action a design change was developed and implemented by October 19, 1997, which added voltage regulating transformers to the power feed of each NIS instrumentation rack. Unit 1 was defueled at the time. Pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this event is being reported as a condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. This event was previously reported at the time of discovery as a four-hour report pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(I) on October 8, 1997, at 2124 hours. This event identified that with the existing design of the power feed to the NIS instrumentation racks, the potential existed for operating with a voltage at the NIS protection racks outside the NIS wendor's specified voltage range. Further, it was determined that this situation could adversely affect the RTS function setpoints that are developed from NIS data. No actual voltage condition outside the vendor's specified rang

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-035	6b	On June 3, 1997, at Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1, various original Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation pipe support design deficiencies on small bore piping (2 inch and smaller lines) were identified during an engineering review. It was identified that a sliding support was disengaged from its guide on a 2 inch line that comprises the "B" Reactor Coolant System (RCS) loop drain piping. This sliding support was restored to the proper configuration. Engineering reviewed sliding supports for safety-related small bore lines and modified some additional sliding supports to ensure they would meet design requirements. Additional evaluation of RCS loop drain small bore pipe supports identified that the design of some bar type anchors was not meeting code requirements. Subsequently, anchors of this style on other small bore safety-related piping were also reviewed and modifications were made for those supports identified during the reviews which were determined to be outside their AISC (support) and ANSI (piping) code design requirements. This condition is not applicable to Unit 2. Reportability determinations have identified small bore piping support design concerns and to outline the follow-up investigative approach and associated corrective actions. Additional evaluation, which will include an operability review of the safety-related small bore piping supports, is ongoing. Continuing assessments will be completed prior to startup from the current refueling outage. The apparent cause of this event was inadequate original design by the architect engineer, in that: 1) a sliding support became physically disengaged from its guide and 2) certain bar anchor supports were identified which had loads in excess of their AISC code rating and piping integral weld stresses in excess of their AISI as a consequence of safety related small bore piping support design deficiencies, none of the lines would experience pipe stresses or support loading of a magnitude that would result in an inoperable condition or a condition

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
334-97-039 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3c	On December 8, 1997 it was determined that Unit 1 and Unit 2 may have operated in a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. A minimum of one charging/High Head Safety Injection (HHSI) pump may not have been available to provide emergency core cooling as described in the UFSAR due to intrusion of gas into the suction of the pumps, and subsequent gas binding. The determination is based on engineering evaluation of the results of scoping experiments conducted to assess fluid now patterns entering the Unit 1 and Unit 2 charging pumps. The cause of the condition was attributed in part to the design of charging/HHSI pump minimum flow recirculation line orifices. These orifices were found to strip non-condensable gas from the recirculation flow. In the event of a loss of coolant accident concurrent with a loss of offsite power, this design defect could have resulted in a loss of safety function necessary to mitigate accident consequences. Flow orifices are being replaced at Unit 1, and at Unit 2, to reduce the generation of gas bubbles. In addition, increased monitoring and vent system improvements are planned. On December 8, 1997 at 0412 hours, a one hour non-emergency notification of this condition at Unit 1 and Unit 2 was made pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(1)(ii). The determination that a minimum of one HHSI/charging pump may not have been available to provide emergency core cooling as described in the UFSAR, is considered a condition outside the design bases of the plant and a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Therefore, this condition is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii), 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii), and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v). The design defect associated with the charging/HHSI pump minimum flow recirculation line orifices could create a substantial asfety hazard, and is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 21 requirements. This report cons
335-97-007 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRF - 6c	On May 2, 1997, St. Lucie Unit 1 was at 100 percent power, and St. Lucie Unit 2 was in Mode 6 and defueled for a refueling outage. An outage inspection of the Unit 2 Reactor Coolant Pumps (RCPs) identified minor external oil leakage at the upper and lower RCP motor oil reservoir locations that was not captured by the RCP Oil Collection System. Appendix R Section III.O requires that the RCP Oil Collection System be designed to collect oil from all potential RCP oil leakage sources. The cause of this event was due to design deficiencies of the RCP Oil Collection System. Corrective actions include repairs to identified leak sites, and modifications to the RCP Oil Collection System to capture any future leakage from these areas.
335-97-011	3a	On October 27, 1997, Unit 1 was defueled in support of the steam generator replacement refueling outage. During the outage, obsolete Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) bistables were being replaced to improve system reliability. During this effort on November 2, 1997, non-licensed utility personnel determined that the ESFAS Recirculation Actuation Signal (RAS) bistable set point for refueling water tank level had been set less conservative than the Technical Specification set point. The apparent cause for the non-conservative RAS set point was an inadequate set point and instrument loop scaling process. The process associated with the implementation of the refueling water tank instrument set point calculation resulted in not all required related plant procedures being revised. Corrective actions include: revising the ESFAS functional test procedure with the proper set point; implementing and calibrating to the proper set point; reviewing other set points on Unit 1 and 2; revising and training on a new Engineering set point calculation process; and issuing of a technical alert to include lessons learned.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
336-97-006	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 11, 1997 as the result of a continuing investigation, it was identified that the consequences of a main steam line break (MSLB) inside containment event could result in exceeding the design pressure of the primary containment under certain postulated scenarios. Two scenarios have been identified in which auxiliary feedwater (AFW) flow to the steam generators (SG) could occur sooner or at greater flow than previously analyzed. In these cases, the peak containment pressure can potentially exceed the design pressure of the primary containment (54 psig). The cause of this event was an inadequate evaluation resulting from the omission of the consideration of the hot zero power condition with both AFW pumps in operation and the AFW discharge regulating valves sufficiently open to allow full flow at MSLB initiation. As a result of this event, corrective actions will be implemented to ensure that the plant response to a MSLB event will not result in exceeding the design requirements of the primary containment. These actions will be completed prior to plant restart from the current outage.
336-97-011	3b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 2, 1997 a report was made to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) which documented an event where the closing force for multiple dual function valves [ISV] had been improperly set, resulting in the valves being incapable of closing to a leaktight condition against normal operating system pressure (NOSP). Eleven of the 23 valves tested were not capable of providing an adequate closing force. This deficiency could result in the potential for a release of radioactive materials to the Auxiliary Building greater than analyzed in the facility Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). The cause of this event was an insufficient program to ensure that facility procedures clearly addressed all related design basis functions. To correct these deficiencies, the affected valves will be adjusted to ensure they properly close against containment design pressure and NOSP, and the appropriate procedures shall be revised to ensure that proper valve control parameters are specified and verified after any maintenance activities are performed that could affect dual function valve closing forces.
336-97-015	6b	On April 15, 1997, it was determined that the potential existed for water hammer and two-phase flow to occur in the Containment Air Recirculation (CAR) Cooler piping and therefore, could cause the piping to fail such that the CAR Coolers may not be able to perform their safety function during accident conditions. In addition, it was also determined that certain containment penetrations were susceptible to thermally induced overpressurization. On September 30, 1996, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission informed licensees in Generic Letter (GL) 96-06 that the potential existed for the containment air cooler water systems to be susceptible to either water hammer or two-phase flow conditions during postulated accident conditions. GL 96-06 requested that licensees evaluate this condition and additionally determine if piping systems that penetrate containment are susceptible to thermally induced overpressurization when isolated. On January 28, 1997, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO) responded to the requested actions identified in Generic Letter 96-06 and stated that any issues discovered would be reported in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.73. It was determined that the Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water System (RBCCW) and certain containment penetrations were susceptible to the condition sidentified in GL 96-06. The cause of this condition was inadequate consideration of potential failure modes during the system initial design and design basis verification. Plant design changes and procedure revisions have been implemented to ensure that stresses in piping and supports are within acceptable limits if a postulated water hammer event were to occur.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
336-97-018	6b	On November 6, 1996, while performing a review of the plant compliance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.97, Rev. 2, it was discovered that discrepancies existed between current plant commitments and the actual installation for certain Type A, Type B, and Type D instrumentation. These instruments, although reported to the NRC as Category 1, do not meet the Category 1 requirements. Northeast Nuclear Energy Company had stated that these instruments were in compliance with RG 1.97, Rev. 2 recommendations. This condition was first identified on November 6, 1996, the evaluation to determine the details and extent of the condition was completed on April 21, 1997, and a prompt report of the condition was made on that date. At the time of discovery the plant was defueled. The cause of this condition is the ineffective implementation of program controls used to comply with RG 1.97. The following actions will be taken to correct this condition: Complete the RG 1.97 Rev. 2 compliance review including NNECO commitments and resubmit the results to the NRC before entering MODE 4 from the current outage.
336-97-021	6b	On April 23, 1997, an investigation was completed which indicated that a burned out lamp had failed with an internal short circuit. This investigation was initiated due to an April 8, 1997, event in which a technician noticed a burned out lamp on channel B Low Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Speed trip unit and an unusual indicator light configuration on the Reactor Protection System (RPS) BC matrix. The technician was preparing to perform a surveillance test at the time of discovery. When he removed the burned out lamp in the trip unit for the Low RCP Speed trip unit, the other lights returned to normal. The failed lamp was replaced with a new lamp. After further investigation it was postulated that had this failure occurred during operation, there is a possibility that the BC trip matrix could have been unavailable. The other five trip matrices were not affected and would have caused a trip if required. The investigation found that Non-QA lamps were being used in the RPS, a QA Category 1 system, contrary to system design basis. At the time of discovery the unit was defueled. The cause of this event was an inadequate scope of review in response to NRC Information Notice 94-68: Safety Related Equipment Failures Caused by Faulted Indicating Lamps. As corrective action an engineering evaluation of low voltage lamp circuit design in safety related equipment which was not reviewed as part of the Information Notice 94-68 response shall be performed. This evaluation will determine if lamp isolation is adequate or if a fault tolerant design exists.
336-97-023	7	On June 12, 1997, during a review of the High Pressure Safety Injection (HPSI) pump Technical Specifications, it was determined that the actual HPSI System flow delivery to the reactor coolant system (RCS) may be less than the flow assumed in the Final Safety Analysis Report Chapter 14 Loss Of Coolant Accident and Main Steam Line Break accident analyses. The cause of this condition was the use of non-conservative HPSI system flow assumptions in the original design basis accident analyses and subsequent design verification. As a result of this condition, the affected accident analyses have been re-evaluated with conservative HPSI delivery rates resulting in the conclusion that the accident acceptance criteria are met.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
336-97-029	6b	On August 26, 1997, during the review of a design modification for a main steam line located outside containment, it was discovered that the present stress analysis of system piping supports performed during the facility review of Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin 79-14 did not consider all loads required by ANSI 1331.1, 1967 Edition. ANSI B31.7, Class II and III, 1969 Edition, and ANSI B31.1, 1967 Edition, are the facility codes of record which are used for pipe stress analysis and determining piping support loads for piping outside containment. Further investigation has determined that the same condition also applied to the stress analysis for the main feedwater lines outside containment. The cause of this condition was the inappropriate application of a section of ASME Section III to ANSI B31.1 analyses in the calculation of the pipe support loads. As a result of this deficiency, a review of the piping stress analyses performed in support of the IE Bulletin 79-14 review was undertaken to identify those calculations which referenced subsection NF of ASME Section III. The identified analyses have been revised to comply with the facility design basis.
336-97-031	6a	On September 18, 1997, during our ongoing evaluation of the facility High Energy Line Break program for lines outside containment, it was discovered that certain safety-related components and structures required for safe shutdown of the plant such as piping, block walls, and switchgear are not properly protected from the dynamic and environmental effects of pipe ruptures in high energy lines. The cause of this condition is an inadequate review by the original facility analysis for postulated High Energy Line Breaks. As a result of this condition, the present pipe rupture design configurations associated with postulated high energy line breaks will be evaluated against the facility design criteria and corrective action required for facility startup, including compensatory measures, will be identified and performed prior to entering Mode 4 from the current outage.
336-97-034	За	On October 29, 1997 it was discovered during a review of a modification to address pressure locking phenomenon for the Containment Sump Isolation Valves (CSIV) that these valves could not only be susceptible to thermally induced pressure locking, but also pressure locking due to variations in containment pressure. The review found that the valve bonnet could be pressurized as high as 54 psig peak containment pressure and at Sump Recirculation Actuation Signal (SRAS), when the valves need to open, could approach 0 psig, resulting in a higher differential pressure across the CSIV disc than previously assumed. Preliminary calculations indicate the CSIV motor operators may not be adequately sized to open the valves under this condition. The cause of the event was a failure to identify the full range of conditions that could exist, when the valves must operate, for pressure locking and thermal binding evaluations. As corrective actions, a review has been conducted of other valves that may be susceptible to similar conditions and could cause pressure locking. No similar situations have been found. The MOV System and Design Basis Review Program Instruction has been revised to include formal reviews by Nuclear Engineering that require identification of design and licensing basis MOV Program scenarios. The Containment Sump Isolation Valves will be modified to preclude pressure locking for postulated conditions. The Pressure Locking and Thermal Binding Evaluations by Nuclear Engineering to ensure the full range of system conditions is considered.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
336-97-035	NRF - 6b	On November 11, 1997, while revalidating compliance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix R requirements, it was discovered that the interface between the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) and the Shutdown Cooling suction line was not in conformance with Generic Letter 86-10. A fire induced three-phase hot short on the power cable for the Shutdown Cooling suction header RCS isolation valve could cause this valve to spuriously open and result in the inability to maintain RCS boundary isolation. The cause of this condition was inattention to detail and inadequate interdiscipline review during the 10 CFR 50 Appendix R Compliance analysis. The power cable downstream of the power disconnect switch for the Shutdown Cooling suction header Reactor Coolant System isolation valve will be rerouted so that it is not subject to hot shorts from energized three-phase power cables in the event of a fire. This modification will be implemented prior to entering Mode 4.
341-97-003	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 3, 1997, it was determined that the Emergency Equipment Cooling Water System (EECWS) containment isolation function was outside the design basis. The EECWS is normally in standby and portions of the system piping distribute cooling water supplied by the Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water System (RBCCWS). The RBCCWS is isolated from the EECWS upon initiation of the EECWS. The Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) Containment Isolation System (CIS) design basis identifies that no single failure will result in loss of the containment function. It was determined that a single failure of an electrical division could result in the loss of the EECWS containment isolation function. The EECWS containment penetrations have been modified to provide diverse power such that a single failure will not result in the loss of the containment isolation function. A total of twelve previously unrecognized bypass leakage paths were identified in the EECWS, Post Accident Sampling System, and Compressed Air System. When leak rate data for these new bypass leakage paths was added to previous bypass leakage rate totals, the UFSAR bypass leakage limit of 4% La was exceeded on two occasions. Alarm Response Procedures (ARPs) have been revised to provide guidance to Operators to manually isolate the EECWS return header primary containment penetrations in the event that certain accident conditions exist. A UFSAR revision has been approved to properly identify the twelve new bypass leakage paths and these paths have been added to the bypass leakage program.
341-97-005	NRF - 6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. During an engineering review of the Emergency Equipment Cooling Water (EECW) system, it was discovered on March 7, 1997, that the Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water (RBCCW) to EECW return and supply isolation valve interlocks are installed in the EECW makeup tank isolation valve circuit between the Dedicated Shutdown Panel transfer switch and the opening coil. The interlocks are not bypassed when the valve is in local control at the Dedicated Shutdown Panel. There is no assurance that the RBCCW to EECW return and supply isolation valves will close because they are not valves that can be operated from a Dedicated Shutdown Panel and are not protected from fire induced hot shorts. During a subsequent review of dedicated shutdown procedure circuits being performed as corrective action to the above, it was discovered on April 12, 1997, that smoke/CO sub 2 shutoff dampers in the Division 2 Battery Charger Room may not close, or may re-open during use of the Dedicated Shutdown Procedure. This could hamper access to areas needed to complete the Dedicated shutdown equipment area in the Radwaste Switchgear Room, and surveillance procedures have been revised to assure the availability of the SCBAs for Dedicated Shutdown Procedure use. The event was caused by inadequate design or consideration of these circuits. Contributing factors were inadequate cross discipline review and inadequate post modification testing. Currently, process barriers are in place to minimize the chance that this type of event can occur.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
341-97-014	NRF - 3a	During independent design verification of an Engineering Design Package, unsealed electrical penetrations were discovered in the auxiliary building wall fire rated separation barrier and in the adjacent and parallel turbine building fire barrier. This prompted a review of the fire hazards analysis and the 10CFR50, Appendix R assumptions used for this area. This review was completed on October 10, 1997 and revealed that of the 20 penetrations in these walls, 16 were not sealed at the auxiliary building wall and 4 were not sealed at the turbine building wall. There were no openings which were unsealed at both the turbine building wall and the auxiliary building wall. The 16 unsealed penetrations in the auxiliary building wall are located over a horizontal distance in excess of 25 feet and interface with areas which contain both redundant shutdown divisions. The lack of penetrations seals in the fire barriers could allow a fire in the turbine building to exit the turbine building through any of the 4 unsealed penetrations and enter the auxiliary building at any of the sixteen unsealed penetrations. These 16 unsealed penetrations could then introduce a fire hazard within 20 feet of cable trays containing both Division I and Division II shutdown divisions. This condition is not in compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix R and is also reportable within 24 hours under License Condition 2.C(9). On October 10, 1997 at 1745 hours, the NRC was notified of this event. An hourly fire watch was immediately established and will continue until an engineering design modification is installed to bring this area into compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix R. An evaluation using the guidance of Generic Letter 86-10 was performed and documented that the turbine building penetrations were sufficiently sealed.
346-97-002	6b	On January 22, 1997, with the unit in Mode 1 at approximately 100 percent power, it was identified that the design of some containment penetrations may not have adequately considered all possible accident conditions. This was based on review and evaluation of information contained in Information Notice 96-049, "Thermally Induced Pressurization of Nuclear Power Facility Piping," and Generic Letter 96-06, "Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design-Basis Accident Conditions." It was determined that six containment penetrations could exceed interim allowable pipe stress values during design basis loss of coolant accident conditions. Analysis showed that piping stress due to over pressurization is not sufficient to cause piping failure; therefore, no system or containment integrity would be lost. This condition is considered outside the plant design basis and is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). Plant modifications and procedure changes are being developed to prevent over pressurization of the penetration piping.
346-97-004	NRF - 6c	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 31, 1997, with the plant in Mode 1 operating at 100 percent power, it was discovered that a portion of the oil piping for each Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) motor was outside the oil collection system that is required per 10CFR50, Appendix R. This piping is the source connection for three pressure switches and a pressure gauge for the lift oil pump system, which is only pressurized when the lift oil pump is operating. On February 19, 1997, after reviewing pictures of the RCP motors as part of the corrective actions for this issue, more piping was found outside the RCP oil collection system. This additional piping included lower bearing remote oil fill connections, which are not pressurized; and piping for the lower bearing oil reservoir drains, which is exposed to only two feet static head pressure. The lift oil pumps are currently not operating, and the remote oil fill connections have not been used this operating cycle. This condition is considered outside the plant design basis and is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). Plant modifications are being developed to contain or modify the piping as necessary to ensure compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix R.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
346-97-012	NRS - 5b	On September 4, 1997, with the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station operating at one hundred percent power, a Potential Condition Adverse to Quality Report was initiated with regard to the Decay Heat Coolers (DHCs). The DHCs were classified as outliers in accordance with the Seismic Qualification Utility Group program. During the evaluation of the DHCs as outliers, it was identified that loads from piping attached to the DHCs were not considered in the analysis of the DHC supports. The results of a calculation, which accounted for all of the applied loads on the DHC, indicated that portions of the DHC support system would exhibit localized yielding as a result of Safe Shutdown Earthquake loads. Engineering evaluation concluded that the localized yielding in the support system could prevent the DHC from performing its function unless the bolting between the DHC saddle and the stand was tightened to an acceptable condition. At 0900 hours on September 4, 1997, both DHCs were declared inoperable and LCO 3.0.3 was entered. Immediate Action Maintenance was completed to tighten these bolts to restore the system functionality and LCO 3.0.3 was exited at 0922 hours. The apparent cause for this occurrence is inadequate design of the DHC support system. Since the plant was in a condition outside the design basis of the plant, the NRC was notified by Toledo Edison via the Emergency Notification System at 0942 hours in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). This event is being reported under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the plant's design basis, and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.
346-97-015	NRF - 6c	On December 12, 1997, at 1020 hours, with the unit in Mode 1 at 100% power, during a review of the condition report from the Fort Calhoun Station, it was discovered that a problem with the Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) tachometer circuitry existed at Davis-Besse. A postulated hot short condition could cause a failure of the EDG speed switch before the operator could manually disconnect the EDG tachometer control room circuitry from the EDG speed switch. Technical Specification 3.3.3.5.2 was entered to track the inoperable circuit, and an hourly fire watch was established in the cable spreading room. On December 18, 1997, at 1345 hours, it was determined that this condition represented a condition that was outside the 10CFR50 Appendix R design basis of the plant. The control room tachometer circuit was isolated at 1356 hours using the installed local disconnect switch, and the NRC was notified via the Emergency Notification System at 1415 hours on December 18, 1997 in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). A review of all circuits previously identified as potentially experiencing a single hot short will be performed to determine if the consequences were adequately evaluated. This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i), and the Special Report requirement of Technical Specification 3.3.3.5.2.b.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
348-97-007 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On April 17, 1997, as a result of a plant walkdown, licensed operators reported some of the self expanding cork seal was missing from the gap between the main steam valve room (MSVR) and containment building. Deficiency Reports (DRs) were submitted. A licensed operator questioned if this condition could result in the flooding of the lower level equipment room (LLER) and what would be the effects if it did. As a result of this question, repairs to the Unit 2 seal were initiated and an engineering evaluation was requested to review this condition. On April 22, 1997, with Unit 1 in Mode 6 at approximately 85 Degrees F reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature and 0 psig RCS pressure and Unit 2 in Mode 1 operating at 100% power, it was determined that Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP) had operated in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. These seals are required to function as watertight barriers to contain flood volume in the MSVR. The current main feedwater line break analysis assumes only one motor-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (MDAFWP) and the turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (TDAFWP) are available. With sections of the seal missing, a flooding event in the MSVR could result in flooding of the LLER that is sufficient to render the TDAFWP and support equipment unavailable. With these conditions, auxiliary feedwater (AFW) flow to the steam generators could be less than assumed in the accident analyses. Similar conditions had been reported on June 22, 1996 and December 10, 1996 and DRs were submitted. The DRs were evaluated but the possibility of flooding was not considered. The repair of these seals was given a low priority and became part of the backlog of maintenance deficiencies. The causes of this condition were insufficient routine inspection which prevented timely detection of the degraded condition of the cork seal and incorrect evaluation of the deficiency and prioritization of work on the maintenance backlog. The Unit 2 seal repair has been completed. The Unit 1 seal will be repair
348-97-008	NRS - 6b	On April 23, 1997 with Unit 1 in Mode 6 at approximately 80 degrees F reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature and 0 psig RCS pressure, it was determined that a condition existed where Unit 1 may have operated outside the design basis of the plant. An evaluation concluded on May 16, 1997 that Unit 1 had been operated in a condition outside the design basis of the plant. Specifically, a condition of high stresses in the RCS piping and lateral supports existed during plant operation due to gaps associated with the 1C steam generator (SG) support bumpers not being consistent with design. The evaluation concluded that the high stresses that occurred during normal plant operation did not exceed design code requirements. However, these stresses concurrent with the postulated safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) would have exceeded the code allowable stresses. During normal plant operation, higher than normal vibration was noted on the reactor coolant pump in Loop C. After the shutdown for the scheduled refueling outage, an investigation determined that hot gaps associated with the 1C SG support bumpers appeared to be too large on two of the bumpers and no gaps were present on two others. It was determined that interference between the RCS loop and the bumpers occurred during plant operation, resulting in a condition of high stresses in the RCS piping and the LS-12 support location. This event was caused by cognitive personnel error during the initial installation of the support shims when the shims on three 1C SG support bumpers were not installed in accordance with the design. The existing installed shim configuration has been modified. Hot gaps in all loops will be verified to be consistent with the original design.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
348-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On April 30, 1997, with Unit 1 in Mode 5 at approximately 90 Degrees F reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature and 0 psig RCS pressure and Unit 2 in Mode 1 operating at 100% power, it was determined that Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP) had operated in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant in that four Category 1 Service Water differential pressure switches had not been protected from Design Basis Tornado generated missiles. FSAR Section 3.5.4 requires that Category 1 equipment and piping be housed in Category 1 structures or be buried underground. Contrary to this requirement, these four switches are installed on the outside of the Diesel Generator (DG) Building. The switches susceptibility to tornado generated missiles was discovered during a plant walkdown by design personnel. Since a tornado generated missile could have caused a malfunction of these switches, Service Water flow to the Diesel Building could have been inadvertently isolated when the associated Service Water isolation motor-operated valves (MOVs) closed and shut off cooling water flow to the Emergency Diesel Generators. The loss of cooling water could render one or more of the Emergency Diesel Generators would be required to safely shutdown the plant. The cause of this condition is inadequate design in that the lack of missile protection for these switches was an oversight of the design organization. The automatic isolation function of the isolation valves from the SW Building to the DG Building has been disabled on both units.
348-97-010 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	At 1630 on May 23, 1997, while Unit 1 was in Mode 5, it was determined that Unit 1 had operated in a condition outside its design basis. During a fuse inspection, it was concluded that the control circuitry for the operation of five Motor Operated Valves (MOVs) was not wired per design. At 1325 on May 25 while Unit 2 was operating in Mode 1 at 100% power, subsequent investigation determined that the same condition existed on Unit 2. For the MOVs affected, the portion of the control circuitry which is used to select either local (Hot Shutdown Panel) or remote (Main Control Board) mode of operation was found to have the associated control power fuses wired in series rather than parallel. A one hour non-emergency notification was made to report this condition for Unit 2 at 1334 CDT on May 25, 1997. In this configuration, any fault which resulted in the opening of either fuse would have prevented operation of the valve from the local (Hot Shutdown Panel) location. The remote (Main Control Board) mode of operation would have operated as designed and would only have been lost in the event of a fault which opened its associated control power fuse. All affected MOVs were rewired in accordance with design. The cause of the improper wiring has been determined to be cognitive personnel error in that inadequate design instructions were provided to implementing personnel during the performance of design changes which established local-remote operation capability.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
348-97-013 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	On 8/18/97, with Units 1 and 2 operating at 100% reactor power, it was determined that Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP) had been operated outside the design basis of the plant due to the three control room exhaust isolation dampers being in the open position during normal operation. FSAR Section 6.4.1.1 for habitability systems requires that, during design basis accident conditions, greater than 1/8 inch water gauge positive pressure will be maintained in the control room. Contrary to this requirement, an evaluation determined that, with the dampers open, a single failure could have prevented the control room ventilation system (CRVS) from maintaining the required pressure to assure control room habitability. The design basis for the dampers is that the dampers require should be closed except when purging smoke or toxic gas from the control room. An investigation revealed that the dampers had been open by procedure since 3/84. During the development of the CRVS Functional System Description (FSD), which defines the system functional design requirements, the discrepancy with the dampers not being in the designer assumed closed position was identified. In 4/95, an evaluation was performed to resolve the damper position discrepancy. Due to a misinterpretation of the results of this evaluation, the dampers were left open. On 8/11/97, during the FSAR verification process, the open damper position was once again questioned and the dampers were closed as a precautionary measure at 1700 on 8/13/97. The cause of the dampers being left in the open position has been determined to be cognitive personnel error, in that, the design basis was not adequately described in the FSAR and the results of the 1995 evaluation were misinterpreted. The operating procedure has been revised and administrative controls established to ensure the dampers are operated within the design basis. The CRVS FSD observations will be reviewed for adequate resolution. An FSAR change will be initiated to clarify the design basis of the system. Lessons learne
352-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During the February 1997 refueling outage for Unit 2, a total of eight (8) Unit 2 pairs, one (1) Unit 1 pair, and several single Back Pressure Dampers (BPDs) failed to actuate following a test signal. The BPDs are required to mitigate the consequences of a postulated pipe rupture. On 02/06/97 it was determined that this condition may have resulted in a failure to meet the design basis for a high energy line break (HELB) event. All Unit 2, common Control Enclosure (CE) and all accessible Unit 1 BPDs were tested and additional failures were immediately corrected. All of this testing was completed prior to the restart of Unit 2. The six (6) inaccessible Unit 1 BPDs were tested during a power reduction on 04/12/97. Four (4) of the six (6) Unit 1 inaccessible dampers and one (1) Unit 2 damper in a subsequent test sample failed to actuate. These five (5) failures were immediately corrected. Excessive friction in the bushing located between the solenoid and the BPD linkage caused all of the damper failures. Periodic cycling of the BPDs was not included in the original preventive maintenance (PM) program. An engineering analysis concluded that the additional components potentially impacted by the HELB event would still be capable of performing their safety function, but were not fully qualified. The appropriate PM activities for each BPD have been developed.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
354-97-001	6c	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On January 3, 1997 at 1958 hours, during a design review of the interface between the Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) Room Fire Suppression System logic and the EDG Room Ventilation System logic, a common mode failure potential was discovered. As a result, all four EDGs were declared inoperable and Technical Specification Limiting Condition of Operation 3.0.3 was entered. A one hour report was made to the NRC in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) to report the condition as any event or condition during operation that results in the condition of the nuclear power plant being in a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. A temporary modification to limit the interface between the systems was immediately implemented. Compensatory actions were taken in accordance with the Fire Protection Program. No reactor power reduction was necessary. The cause of this event is attributed to inadequate analysis to support the approved exception to the Standard Review Plan as described in Section 9.5.1.6.30 of the Hope Creek Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. Human performance issues contributed to the delayed identification of this problem. A design change to implement a permanent correction to the design deficiency is under review.
354-97-020	5a	During a review of the Safety Auxiliaries Cooling System (SACS) design basis, PSE&G identified a potential design deficiency associated with the safety related Control Area Chilled Water System chiller units that could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function. In the event of an accident resulting in a loss of instrument air, concurrent with low Ultimate Heat Sink temperature, all of the safety related chillers could trip. The chillers are operable when the Ultimate Heat Sink temperature is at least 55 degrees F. PSE&G is evaluating actions to correct the condition as part of its corrective action program. The cause of this event was human error in the original design and in subsequent design reviews. Corrective actions include an operability determination, revising the engineering evaluation, and evaluating and implementing a design change. On 8/28/97, at 1311, a four hour notification was made in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii). This event is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v) as a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function of systems needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident.
354-97-025	6b	On 10/4/97, Engineering personnel confirmed that a potential unmonitored release path has existed since plant startup. This path was identified while performing an engineering evaluation concerning relay performance in a Station Service Water System vacuum breaker time delay circuit. While reviewing the effects on other systems and boundaries, Engineering discovered the potential unmonitored release path. The postulated condition involves the failure of one of the vacuum breakers to close after a Loss of Power/Loss of Coolant Accident event creating a loss of secondary containment and an unmonitored release path to the outside environment. This release path would allow contaminated air to enter the service water piping through the open vacuum breaker and to subsequently be released to the outside environment via the discharge piping. The failure to identify this release path is a non-compliance with General Design Criteria. This event is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. The cause of the failure to recognize and correct this condition was human error in the original design and in subsequent design reviews. Corrective actions are interim compensatory measures and a design change to minimize the potential for an unmonitored release.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
368-97-003	6a	Design Engineering personnel discovered a deficiency in the ANO-2 Technical Specifications (TS) that provided a potential for premature actuation of the Recirculation Actuation Signal (RAS) during a Loss Of Coolant Accident (LOCA). The TS allow indefinite operation with one channel of Refueling Water Tank (RWT) level in a tripped condition. If a LOCA were to occur in this condition, the failure of another RWT level channel low could cause premature RAS actuation before sufficient water was available in the containment sump to provide the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) design functions. Only two instances (1981 and 1985) of ANO-2 actually having operated with an RWT level channel tripped have been identified, and those were of short duration. The potential for a single failure preventing ECCS functions from a normal, allowable operating configuration placed the plant outside its design basis. As part of the corrective actions, a similar condition was discovered involving the potential for not automatically isolating a faulted Steam Generator during certain steam line break scenarios if a channel of Steam Generator differential pressure (Emergency Feedwater Actuation Signal) was tripped. The root cause has been identified as a deficiency in the original Technical Specifications. Administrative controls have been established to prevent placing a channel in a tripped state. A TS amendment request has been submitted.
373-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	The Main Control Room (MCR) ventilation (VC) and Auxiliary Electric Equipment Room (AEER) ventilation (VE) systems are engineered safety features designed to maintain habitable environments in their respective areas during normal and abnormal conditions. During certain heavy snow fall conditions, snow can enter the inlet filter for the air cooled condensing coil. Snow accumulation on the intake filter of the operating refrigeration unit, on occasion, results in a high differential pressure. Operator action has been taken to open an access panel door on the condensing unit to allow warmer building air into the condensing unit. This has had the effect of reducing incoming snow quantities and drying of the intake filters. Operation in this manner is outside the system design basis. The effects of the negative pressure caused by opening the doors have been analyzed and determined to be within the UFSAR limits. An analysis concluded that operation of the condenser fan in a degraded condition from snow build-up will not prevent the condensing unit from performing its design function. The cause of this event was inadequate initial ventilation system design, incomplete evaluation of operating requirements, and failure to recognize and correct these inadequacies in a timely manner. This resulted in operation of the system in a manner not described in the design basis.
373-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 5c	On February 13, 1997, LaSalle reported to the NRC that several safety-related 4.16 KV breakers were found to be racked in seismically unqualified positions. Therefore, the plant configuration was considered to be outside the design basis. The cause for this condition was an inadequate operations procedure for racking out 4.16 KV breakers and an incomplete seismic analysis. Immediate corrective action was to perform a walkdown of safety-related switchgear. Breakers found racked in a seismically unqualified position were returned to a qualified position. Corrective actions completed and planned include operator training, procedure revision, and an engineering evaluation to review the process, including implementation, for qualifying electrical switchgear and incorporating seismic limitation into operations procedures.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
373-97-005 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	2b	At 1415 hours on February 20, 1997, during a review of the draft for Improved Technical Specifications, a question arose regarding the potential for damaging the Standby Gas Treatment System in the event of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) during routine containment purging or hydrogen recombiner testing. Subsequent review identified two other issues: 1) operating procedures allowed both the drywell and suppression chamber to be purged or vented simultaneously creating a bypass around the drywell floor, and 2) the containment purge system ductwork in the auxiliary building will fail in the event of a LOCA during purging. The consequences of these events would have been a potential increase of offsite and onsite doses. A design review of the containment purge and vent system has been performed. Design and procedure changes will be performed as required, to ensure proper operation of the system. The root causes of this event are unknown; however, the apparent causes of the event were weaknesses in the design, design review, and procedure review processes, in the early 1980's, when containment inerting was added to the design. These weaknesses apparently resulted in the design review missing the effect of design changes on the interfacing systems and the procedure reviews missing the improper valve alignments.
373-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. LaSalle County Station entered an Unusual Event at 19:45 on 3/11/97 when it was determined that the 701.8 foot elevation of the lake level at that time was above the 701 foot maximum level described in the UFSAR and used in plant flooding potential analyses. The high lake level resulted principally from a lack of knowledge and consideration of the design basis for the maximum lake level. This led to operating and surveillance procedures which provided upper limits for lake level higher than the UFSAR specified value of 701 ft. Contributing factors were high rates of precipitation, poor materiel condition of the lake nake-up and blowdown lines and the unavailability of the lake blowdown valve. operable for the higher than analyzed lake level. However as prudent measures, plant personnel monitored lower elevations of the plant, and the flood wall on the north side of the condenser pit was effectively raised by placement of sand bags to an elevation of 701 ft. 10 in. Operating procedures were revised and additional revisions are in progress. The lake blowdown valve was returned to full service on 3/13/97 to begin reducing lake level to its normal range. The Unusual Event was terminated at 17:00 on 3/26/97 with the lake level at 700.68 ft. The lake level was within normal operating range on 4/3/97.
373-97-010	NRS - 6b	On March 18, 1997, all Unit 1 Division 2 equipment was declared inoperable because the safety related cables in the Unit 1 Division 2 Cable Spreading Room (CSR) could be damaged by the sprinkler system piping during a Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) event. Hangers were identified missing during an engineering walkdown of the CSR. The missing hangers were replaced to restore the sprinkler system to the "as designed" condition. A seismic "two over one" evaluation has demonstrated that the "as designed" condition of the sprinkler system will not prevent the function of any plant feature required for safe shutdown during a SSE. The Unit 1 Division 2 equipment was declared operable on March 29, 1997. The root cause of the event was incomplete installation and inspection during construction of the plant. Seismic "two over one" evaluations for the other safety related areas protected by sprinkler systems have determined that no other equipment required for safe shutdown during a SSE would have been impacted by sprinkler system piping. Therefore, if the SSE would have occurred at power operation, all other safety related divisions (Unit 1 Division 1 & 3 and Unit 2 Division 1, 2 & 3) would have been free from damage. Some potential interactions of sprinkler nozzles and sewage piping with safety related cables were identified. These potential interactions are being evaluated and will be reported in a supplemental LER if required.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
373-97-015 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5c	The System Functional Performance Review identified a concern regarding the manual override logic associated with the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) Test Return Valve (F024). Specifically, the concern is that if the operator was throttling the valve open (i.e., holding the control switch in the open position) and a simultaneous Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) actuation occurred, the manual override logic would be activated and the valve would not automatically close. With the valve in the open position, Low Pressure Coolant Injection (LPCI) flow is diverted to the suppression pool. The apparent cause of this event is that the potential for actuating the manual override if an ECCS initiation occurred while throttling the valve in the open direction was not recognized during the design of the system and was therefore, not analyzed. Based on the probability of the condition occurring and the ability to manually close the valve, the safety significance associated with this event is considered minimal. LaSalle Station will a) conduct training to alert operators of this condition, b) review other valves for a similar condition and c) generate an analysis to address this condition. There were no previous occurrences. This is a voluntary report which may be of generic interest. it is considered voluntary since it is an unanalyzed condition which has been determined to be of minimal safety significance.
373-97-018 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6c	On April 21, 1997, the fire protection carbon dioxide (CO2) suppression systems protecting the 0 and 1A Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) Rooms were declared inoperable because the electrical leads to a fire damper could have interfered with the proper closing of the curtain type dampers. The condition was identified by the Fire Protection Group during a periodic visual inspection of fire dampers. Fire watches were immediately implemented. The electrical leads were modified to ensure they would not interfere with the dampers. After the modifications were completed, the CO2 system protecting the 1A EDG Room was declared operable on May 2, 1997, and the CO2 system protecting the 0 EDG Room was declared operable on May 3, 1997. The root cause of the event was improper installation during original construction of the plant. All other fire dampers having electrical leads that isolate boundaries to areas protected by CO2 were inspected and no similar conditions were identified. The safety significance of this event was low. Subsequent analysis determined that the design basis concentration of CO2 would have been achieved even if the dampers failed to close. The design basis fire previously analyzed in the UFSAR assumes loss of the EDG, and the affected fire dampers are not located in barriers that separate redundant equipment required for safe shutdown. Therefore, had a design basis fire occurred, and the CO2 system was not effective, at least one train of equipment necessary to achieve and maintain safe shutdown would have been available.
373-97-021-01 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	Contrary to the description in the FSAR, there are undrainable areas of the drywell floor which would result in a delay in the detection of unidentified leakage. In addition, the recurring failure of electronic level indication results in the Leak Detection System not meeting its design basis requirements. The unreliability of the sump level instrumentation is caused by the improper application of a capacitance probe in water of low conductivity. The safety consequence of these issues is to reduce the plant's capability for timely leak detection in the reactor coolant pressure boundary, thereby reducing the time to place the plant in a safe condition prior to further degradation of the pressure boundary. The immediate corrective action was to declare the Leak Detection System inoperable. Planned corrective actions include; a) Resolution of the discrepancy between the as-built configuration and the response to FSAR Question 212.17, b) Improving the reliability of the sump level monitoring instrumentation, and c) Confirmation that there are no other hold up volumes in the containment which would result in unacceptable delays in the detection of unidentified leakage. This condition is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (ii) due to a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
373-97-031 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On August 22, 1997, the LaSalle Engineering Department determined that calculations that form the analytical basis for the Technical Specifications (TS) leak detection area temperature and differential temperature isolation setpoints used a steam flash fraction that was not limiting in all cases. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by TS and 50.73(a)(2)(ii) as a condition outside the design basis. The investigation is complete. The causes were inadequate technical review of calculations, inadequate program monitoring and management deficiency following identification of inconsistency with the FSAR/UFSAR commitment, and miscommunication between the preparer of a calculation and the writer of an Operability Evaluation. Had design basis leakage occurred in the Residual Heat Removal shutdown cooling mode, Reactor Core Isolation Cooling piping, or portions of the Reactor Water Cleanup Heat Exchanger Room piping, the automatic isolation as described in the TS may not have occurred. Principal corrective actions are to revise the analytical limit calculations, the Technical Specifications, and the UFSAR.
373-97-033 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On September 3, 1997, during engineering reviews for the Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) pump and pipe replacement design change, High Energy Line Break (HELB) calculations were reviewed to determine the potential impact of increasing the RWCU pump suction line size. The data indicated that the HELB calculations for the existing RWCU design may not be conservative in determining the bounding temperature in the Reactor Building following a HELB. On September 11, 1997, a preliminary assessment determined that the HELB peak temperature may exceed the bounding temperature as specified in the UFSAR. An ENS notification was made on September 11, 1997. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. The apparent causes appear to be insufficient detail in the identified purpose of the calculation resulting in incorrect usage within the architect engineering firm's organization, and a failure to identify and subsequently track an unverified assumption in the calculation. Corrective actions include revising the HELB calculations, and installing modifications to maintain current equipment qualifications. Had a HELB occurred, the Plant could have been outside the design basis. A recent LER (373-97-031) reported a similar problem involving leak detection calculation errors.
373-97-039 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6b	During three outages, Main Steam Safety Relief Valves (SRVs) have been removed with fuel in the Reactor Pressure Vessel placing the plant in an unanalyzed condition. The unanalyzed condition resulted from the free end of the SRV discharge pipes (tailpipes) not being analyzed for seismic loads. If a Safe Shutdown earthquake had occurred, there was no analysis to show that equipment required to be operable by Technical Specifications would not have been adversely impacted. The root cause was an inadequate review process for the original SRV removal procedure preparation that did not require Engineering personnel's review; therefore, the prerequisite for performing the evolution defueled was not incorporated. The immediate corrective action was to analyze the tailpipes restraints with safe shutdown earthquake loads included. The results show that one of the Unit 1 and two of the Unit 2 tailpipes were not adequately restrained; however, there was no impact on safety related equipment. A similar recent occurrence was LER 97-027. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) as being in an unanalyzed condition that could have compromised plant safety.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
373-97-040 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 7	Since plant startup when alternate shutdown cooling flow paths were used per procedure LOP-RH-17, "Alternate Shutdown Cooling", the plant has been in an unanalyzed condition. On October 24, 1997, no piping analysis could be found that evaluated the affected piping during its use as an alternate shutdown cooling method. The unanalyzed condition resulted from Reactor Core Isolation Cooling [BN PRA] (RCIC) and Main Steam [SB] (MS) lines, used for alternate shutdown cooling (abnormal operation), not being analyzed filled with water and addressing seismic Category II/I concerns. These lines are filled with steam during normal operation. The root cause investigation is continuing and appropriate corrective actions and safety analysis will be reported in a LER supplement. A similar recent occurrence was LER 97-039 which involved an unanalyzed condition for Safety Relief Valve (SRV) discharge lines with SRVs temporarily removed during SRV bench testing. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) as being an unanalyzed condition that could have compromised plant safety.
373-97-046 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On December 16, 1997, the preliminary results of an engineering analysis to evaluate the latch release setpoint determined that in the event of a Main Steam High Energy Line Break, the Turbine Building Ventilation isolation dampers, 1(2)VT079YA, B & C, would not close fast enough to prevent the pressure from exceeding the pressure retaining capability of the walls, floors, and ceilings that separates the VT exhaust tunnel from the safety related High Pressure Core Spray (HPCS) electrical switchgear room. The apparent causes include an invalid calculation assumption. The potential exists that a high energy line break could result in a rupture of the wall separating the vent riser from the 687' - 0" switchgear room, Division 3 HPCS electrical switchgear and the wall at elevation 815' 0" at the upper VT tunnel. This could result in the Division 3 electrical power to that unit being inoperable and unavailable to supply power to mitigate the consequences of a Design Basis Event. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.
382-97-016	6a	On May 15, 1997, with Waterford 3 in Mode 6 and at 0% power, a Technical Specifications (TS) deficiency was discovered which could contribute to an inadvertent Recirculation Actuation Signal (RAS). If this were to occur under certain accident conditions, Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) and Containment Spray (CS) system pumps suction could shift to the containment sump prematurely. On September 16, 1997, a review of other Engineered Safety Features Actuation System (ESFAS) instrumentation circuits revealed that under certain emergency conditions, automatic isolation of a faulted steam generator from Emergency Feedwater would not occur as assumed in the Waterford 3 safety analysis. The root cause has been determined to be a deficiency in the TS in that they allow continued operation with an inoperable channel of ESFAS instrumentation in the tripped condition. A Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR) has been submitted to limit the time that one channel of RAS instrumentation can be in the tripped condition. The TSCR will be revised and resubmitted for the Emergency Feedwater Actuation Signal condition. Precautions have been instituted to limit continued operation in this condition until the TS are changed. These conditions did not compromise the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
382-97-017	6b	On May 20, 1997, Waterford 3 discovered the possibility that both trains of Control Room Emergency Filtration Units (CREFU) would be rendered inoperable if either Emergency Filter Modulating Damper, HVC-213A(B), failed open. Both CREFUs were declared inoperable and Technical Specification 3.7.6.2b was entered. Operations and Systems Engineering performed Control Room pressure testing in accordance with OP-903-123, "Control Room Envelope Pressure Test," utilizing both trains of CREFUs and various Control Room Heating and Ventilation System (HVC) lineups. Results indicated that a single failure of HVC-213A(B) would prohibit either CREFU from pressurizing the Control Room envelope to 1/8 inch of water gauge with less than or equal to 200 cubic feet per minute of flow. As a corrective action, dampers were closed isolating the outside air intake paths for CREFU Train A. This effectively stopped the common suction path for both units allowing CREFU Train B to remain operable. CREFU Train A will remain available for recirculation only. This event did not compromise the health and safety of the public.
382-97-033	7	On December 18, 1997, Waterford 3 estimated that application of maximum High Pressure Safety Injection (HPSI) flow measurement uncertainty to a previously approved Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) model would exceed 10 CFR 50.46 acceptance criteria. Specifically, it is estimated that application of the uncertainty to model inputs using recently measured HPSI flow rates would cause the calculated peak fuel cladding temperature to increase by more than 50 degrees F and exceed 2200 degrees F. The apparent cause of this event is inadequate procedures and instructions. The calculation used to support HPSI minimum flow rate requirements utilized a 5 g.p.m./leg flow measurement uncertainty versus a revised maximum flow measurement uncertainty of approximately 18 g.p.m./leg. A small break loss of cooling accident ECCS analysis will be performed using a new ABB/CE ECCS evaluation model. That model was NRC approved for use at Waterford 3 on December 18, 1997. The new ECCS model provides sufficient margin to accommodate the uncertainty deviation. Therefore, this condition did not compromise the health and safety of the public.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
387-97-014 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	On June 23, 1997 at 0900, with Unit 1 and Unit 2 both in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, engineering personnel determined that on several occasions the average temperature of the Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) exceeded the maximum initial temperature allowed (as supported by calculations). During an engineering review, a calculational methodology error was identified in the decay heat input to the UHS calculation. Correct inputs were entered into the calculation for UHS initial temperature, which resulted in a lower UHS maximum allowed initial temperature. The original (flawed) calculation indicated a maximum allowed initial temperature of 88 degrees F, as does the Technical Specifications, while the new calculation could support only an 82 degrees F initial temperature with the operating procedures as they existed. There were 10 occasions in the past where 82 degrees F was exceeded. Analysis indicates that, although the initial temperature was exceeded, the safety significance of these events were very minor. PP&L immediately took steps to administratively control UHS average temperature to ensure it did not exceed that value supported by calculation. PP&L has also made procedural changes, supported by calculation, to allow an increase in the maximum UHS initial average temperature from 82 degrees F to 85 degrees F. At no time was the health and safety of the public compromised as a result of this event. Also, at no time were the current Technical Specification limits exceeded. This is being reported to the Commission pursuant to 10CFR5073(a)(2)(ii) as a condition where the plant operated outside of its design basis, although, as stated previously, the conditions discussed here were of minor significance from a safety perspective. Corrective actions include: a review of other calculations that may have used the calculation methodology error, performance of a calculation to bound UHS temperature with design basis conditions, initiating Final Safety Analysis Report changes, requesting a Technical Specific
387-97-019 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On August 13, 1997 at 1200 hours, with Unit 1 and Unit 2 in Condition 1 (Power Operations) at 100% Power, engineering personnel determined that prior to March 1, 1997, the Control Structure Chilled Water System was operating outside of its design basis, constituting a reportable condition per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii). The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) states in part that the standby Control Structure Chiller will auto-start on a failure signal to the operating chiller. Contrary to this, there was a condition that existed for the 'A' chiller that inhibited its auto-start while in standby. No similar condition existed for the 'B' Control Structure Chiller. The condition, once identified, was promptly corrected via the temporary modification process, and tested to operate as described in the FSAR. The logic changes have subsequently been made permanent. At the time of discovery of the logic error on March 1, 1997, the condition was entered into the Susquehanna SES corrective action program. It was incorrectly identified as not reportable at that time. Subsequent review has determined this to be reportable. The Control Structure Chiller is required to maintain Control Structure habitability and the Unit 1 emergency switchgear cooling post-accident. Although the standby chiller would not have auto- started on a trip of the operating chiller (for one specific failure signal), it would have started on high return air temperature approximately one to whours following loss of cooling. Evaluations have been performed that indicate cooling to the emergency switchgear could be lost for up to ninety-six hours post-accident with no loss of safety function. Similarly, no significant degradation of Control Structure habitability would occur. Based on this, the safety significance of this event is minor. Corrective actions completed were the permanent modification of the Control Structure Chiller logic to allow auto-start of the standby compressor on all operating chiller failure trips and reportability determination training

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
387-97-024 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	3b	On October 22, 1997, with Unit 1 and Unit 2 both in Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100% power, engineering personnel determined, based on information provided in a 10CFR Part 21 Notification from General Electric Company, that during a postulated single failure of an electrical raceway, both inboard containment isolation valves on the Drywell and Suppression Chamber Purge System could open, thus causing the Drywell and Suppression Chamber atmospheres to communicate during a postulated Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). This single failure condition could result in bypass leakage of the postulated LOCA environment around the suppression pool, thus losing the benefit of both quenching the blowdown energy and the scrubbing of any fission products. This event was determined to be reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii). Technical Specification 3.0.3 was conservatively entered on both units until at least one inboard containment isolation valve, in the affected penetrations, was deactivated in the closed position. The entry into Technical Specification 3.0.3 is reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(I)(B), per the guidance of NUREG-1022, Supplement No. 1, Item 2.4. The most likely cause was human error on the part of the original designers for failing to recognize the potential for creating a suppression pool bypass paths will be reviewed to determine if they are susceptible to the same single failure; and 3) participate in the BWR Owners Group on-going effort to evaluate the credibility of the postulated single failure. There were no safety consequences or compromises to public health and safety as a result of this event since there were no events which challenged prima containment integrity.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
389-97-002	6b	On December 2, 1998, St. Lucie Unit 2 was in mode 6 at 0% reactor power. The Facility Review Group reviewed and approved the determination that various sump screen anomalies found during the Unit 2 cycle 11 refueling outage were reportable as a condition that was outside the design basis of the sump. As part of the long term corrective actions associated with the St. Lucie cycle 10 refueling outage identified ECCS sump deficiencies, surveillance procedure MSP-68.01, "Containment Sump Inspection," and QC Technique Sheet 10.54 were developed to provide detailed inspection scope and acceptance criteria for the ECCS sump screen enclosure inspections. The cycle 11 refueling outage inspection activities identified anomalies not found during the cycle 10 refueling outage sump repair activities. The cause of deficiencies found during the cycle 11 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage sump repair activities. The cause of deficiencies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage screen schedulate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage was inadequate corrective actions for the sump screen anomalies found during the cycle 10 refueling outage screen schedulate for the containment sump deficiencies. Surget and the containment sump configuriements. The modificat
389-97-004	NRF - 6b	On June 11, 1997, St. Lucie Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100 percent power. Inspection results indicated that generically, two sided cable tray fire stop assemblies lacked the installation of ceramic fiber between cables within the fire barrier. FPL determined that the as-built configuration of two sided cable tray fire stop assemblies did not meet the tested configuration for a three hour fire rated assembly. All the St. Lucie Unit 2 two sided cable tray fire stop assemblies were declared inoperable. The apparent cause of this event was due to personnel error during the implementation of design drawings or insufficient design guidance during initial installation. Corrective actions include the posting of hourly fire watch patrols that were established to compensate for the inoperable fire stop assemblies. Repairs or plant modifications will be implemented to restore the affected fire stop assemblies to their required three hour fire rating.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
390-97-011	7	The purpose of this LER is to report findings in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 associated with Generic Letter (GL) 96-01, "Testing of Safety Related Logic Circuits" reviews. GL 96-01 requires each licensee to compare electrical schematic drawings and logic diagrams for the Reactor Protection System, Emergency Diesel Generator load shedding and sequencing, and actuation logic for the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) against plant surveillance test procedures to ensure that all portions of the logic circuitry including the parallel logic, interlocks, bypasses and inhibit circuits are adequately covered in the surveillance procedures to fulfill the Watts Bar Technical Specification requirements. The first surveillance deficiencies identified involved unverified parallel circuit paths and were discovered on April 28, 1997. Some additional findings have been discovered since April 28 and have been included in the report. The cause of the surveillance instruction deficiencies have been attributed to inadequate technical reviews. Corrective actions consist of completing the reviews, addressing verification of any unverified logic circuits, informing technical reviewers of the requirements of GL 96-01, and correcting any hardware deficiencies found.
390-97-014-00	NRF - 5c	The purpose of this LER is to report two conditions in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii) which were determined not to meet 10 CFR 50, Appendix R requirements. The first condition involves a missing reactor coolant pump (RCP) number 2 oil cooler cover which is part of the RCP oil collection system which helps contain RCP motor oil should a leak occur. This RCP oil collection system is required by Section III.O of Appendix R. Neither the cause of the missing cover nor when it was removed could be determined. Corrective actions include the replacement of the cover and a procedure revision to confirm cover installation prior to containment closure following an outage. Without this cover, the RCP oil collection system would have been effective for some postulated leak locations. The second condition being reported involves two cables for the main control room air handling units found to have been routed such that one single fire could disable both air handling units thus preventing them from performing their fire safe shutdown function. The root cause was determined to be technical inaccuracies in the design input (Appendix R analysis) resulting from personnel error. Corrective actions include the installation of a manual control station at the 480V Control & Auxiliary Vent Boards for isolation purposes during an Appendix R fire and a revision to plant procedure AOI-30.2 to implement change of manual actions due to the addition of a manual control station.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
395-97-004	5b	On October 12 and 15, 1997, plant personnel found two (2) snubbers which were in the locked position. These components are mechanical shock arrestors attached to the Reactor Coolant pressurizer spray line and Nuclear Blowdown piping respectively. The inoperable snubbers were found and replaced during refueling outage (RF) surveillance testing, performed in accordance with Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.7.7, Snubbers. Preliminary engineering analysis of potential impacts to the associated piping concluded that the stress on the piping had exceeded ASME Code allowable limits and was therefore, outside the design basis. System walkdowns did not find any transient induced damage and ultrasonic inspection of welds on the pressurizer spray line failed to find any indications of stress/service induced flaws. Due to the incompatibility of the Nuclear Blowdown line with standard NDE techniques the line was replaced to return the pipe to its design basis condition. Westinghouse completed an ASME code fatigue qualification on the pressurizer spray line on March 17, 1998 with the conclusion that the calculated usage factors for 40 years remains less than 1 and no restrictions to plant transients are required. Both of these systems are considered to be operable at this time. Exceeding the design basis for these piping systems is reportable per the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). On October 18, engineering review of three (3) snubber failures on a feedwater line determined that a transient on December 5, 1994 had caused the snubber damage. System walkdowns during this outage (RF 10) and at the time of the transient failed to find any apparent damage; however, due to personnel oversight, freedom of motion tests of suspect snubbers were not verified during RF 9 (spring of 1996) per the requirements of TS Surveillance 4.7.7.c. This TS noncompliance is being reported per the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). Station Administrative Procedure 1122 will be revised by April 1, 1998 to ensure that engineering ini
400-96-013	NRS - 6b	On August 1, 1996 with the plant operating in Mode-1 at 100% power, Operations personnel identified a condition outside the plant design basis where the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) had been connected to a non-seismically qualified system. Specifically, non-seismic portions of the fuel pool purification system have been aligned to the RWST for cleanup and non-seismic portions of the hydrostatic test pump have been aligned to the RWST to fill the Safety Injection accumulators. If a seismic event were to occur, the non-seismic portions of these systems could fail and drain the RWST. This condition was caused by a failure to reconcile operating procedure lineups with the plant design basis during original procedure development. Subsequent technical and safety reviews also outside the plant design basis. Immediate corrective actions included establishing administrative controls to maintain the seismic boundary isolation valves closed. Additional corrective actions included a review of other seismic/non-seismic interface boundary valves for similar problems and an evaluation of long term design and/or operational options. During further investigations of safety to non-safety related (including seismic/non-seismic) interface boundaries, additional examples were identified that could result in operation of plant systems outside the design basis. These conditions were identified during the continued investigation as committed in the original LER. Specific details were provided in revision 1 of this LER. This revision provides the results of additional analysis that was performed to determine the safety consequences associated with the loss of the Component Cooling Water system.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
400-97-002	5b	On February 7, 1997, with the plant operating in Mode 1 at 100% power, investigation determined that cold weather conditions resulted in the Main Feedwater Isolation Valves (MFIVs) being potentially inoperable during a period from January 17, 1997 through January 20, 1997. The MFIVs serve as containment isolation valves and are required to stroke closed in 10 seconds or less to provide feedwater isolation in the event of a main steam line break or spurious opening of a feedwater regulating valve. Based on purchase specification documents and discussions with the MFIV vendor, a minimum operating temperature of 60 degrees exists to ensure that the MFIVs will stroke in the required 10 seconds. The MFIV actuators are hydraulic to open and shut with nitrogen pressure, but even the shut sequence utilizes hydraulic oil operation. Therefore, with actuator temperature below 60 degrees the hydraulic oil may be too viscous to provide a valve stroke time of 10 seconds or less. This condition was identified when a nearby instrumentation line was found frozen and brought into question the operability of the safety-related MFIVs. (The frozen instrument line had no adverse effect on plant operation.) This event was caused by a combination of inadequate design and improper functioning of the HVAC system that serves the Steam Tunnel (area that MFIVs are located in). The steam tunnel HVAC supply fans (S64 Fan and S65 Fan) take a suction from the outside atmosphere and exhaust directly into the area of the MFIVs. They are designed with an automatic low ambient temperatures swell below the 30 degrees estpoint. Even if the fans had shutoff as designed at 30 degrees, MFIV actuator temperatures may have dropped to just slightly below the minimum MFIV actuator operating temperature of 60 degrees. This LER revision is being provided to more accurately describe the initial corrective actions taken to address the steam tunnel low temperature conditions and to incorporate additional actions taken to date.
400-97-003	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On February 27, 1997, with the plant operating in mode 1 at 100% power, engineering review concluded that the Steam Generator (S/G) upper instrument line tap arrangement for S/G flow and narrow range level channels do not completely satisfy the design requirements of IEEE Standard 279-1971. This conclusion was reached following a review of Westinghouse Nuclear Safety Advisory Letter (NSAL) 96-004, 'Control and Protection Interaction', which requires redundant protection channels to be capable of providing protective action even when degraded by a second random failure. Due to the arrangement of the S/G upper instrument line tap connection for S/G steam flow instruments, which share a common tap connection with a S/G narrow range level channel, combined with the logic utilized in the reactor protection system circuitry logic, a potential scenario exists that results in the S/G low-low level reactor trip being unavailable. This constitutes operation outside the design basis of the plant and was reported to the NRC via the emergency notification system at approximately 1513 hours on February 27, 1997. This condition was caused by an inadequate failure modes and effects analysis performed on the as-built piping configuration for S/G level instrumentation during initial plant construction. A review was also performed of other protection channels to ensure that protection and control interaction requirements were met. Additional discrepancies were identified during this review. Planned corrective actions will include a permanent resolution to the identified design deficiency.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
400-97-004	6a	On March 4, 1997, with the plant operating in Mode 1 at 100% power, investigation determined that spent fuel cask handling activities have been conducted outside of the design and licensing basis of the plant. In preparing the Harris Nuclear Plant (HNP) response to NRC Request for Additional Information (RAI) to Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment," dated December 5, 1996, investigation revealed that the HNP evaluation of a cask drop to a flat surface, documented in FSAR 15.7.5.2, did not consider the potential consequences of dropping or otherwise damaging a loaded spent fuel cask after it has been prepared for unloading; that is, with the cask head detensioned and valve box covers removed. The FSAR 15.7.5.2 determination that the cask is designed to withstand a free drop through air of less than or equal to 30 feet onto a flat, essentially unyielding, horizontal surface assumed the loaded cask to be in a fully secured, transportation ready condition with valve box covers installed and cask closure head fully tensioned. Spent fuel casks received at HNP are prepared for unloading pool. Consequently, the existing cask drop evaluation in FSAR 15.7.5.2 does not address a potential drop of a cask in a less than fully secured condition. This event was caused by an incomplete understanding of the purpose and scope of the IF-300 Cask Safety Analysis Report (CSAR) and a misconception that following the requirements of the CSAR would maintain the cask in a condition that was analyzed for a free drop of 30 feet, through air, onto a flat, essentially unyielding, horizontal surface. A contributing cause is inadequate 10 CFR 50.59 evaluations for procedure CM-M0300 that failed to identify that the cask drop analysis conducted to confirm that the cask could withstand a 30 foot free drop without a loss of integrity only applied to a cask in a fully-secured, ready-for-shipment (10 CFR 71 compliant) condition. This discovery has been submitted

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
400-97-006	NRF - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 100%. On March 18, 1997, with the plant operating in mode 1 at 100% power, a breach was identified in the Thermo-lag fire barrier wall which provides separation between the A-train and B-train cable spread rooms within the Reactor Auxiliary Building. This breach consists of a 'L-shaped' hole approximately 8 inches wide by 6 ½ inches high at the point where the fire barrier wall joins the cable spread room ceiling. A 3/4 inch conduit containing two wire conductors was also found penetrating the breach. These wires were unconnected at each end and investigation revealed that they were unscheduled and not included in plant design. The breach is very difficult to see due to its location and configuration, coupled with the fact that it is behind several cable trays. It was identified by maintenance personnel during modifications to the cable spread room fire barrier to resolve concerns expressed in NRC Information Bulletin 92-01. Follow-up investigation revealed an additional Thermo-lag fire barrier deficiency in a floor drain assembly in the cable spread room. Since there are safety-related cables near both sides of the identified fire barrier breach/deficiency, it is possible that a fire in the cable spread room could adversely affect both the A-train and B-train safety-related cables. These conditions do not comply with the 3-hour-fire-rated barrier requirement in the Harris Nuclear Plant (HNP) Final Safety Analysis Report and Safety Evaluation Report (NUREG-1038), and were determined to constitute operation outside the design basis of the plant. The fire barrier deficiencies appear to have existed since initial plant construction and were most likely caused by inadequate initial design, poor construction methods, as well as incomplete as-built design verification. Immediate corrective actions included a visual inspection of Thermo-lag barrier walls located in the cable spread rooms and auxiliary control panel room. The unscheduled conduit was removed from the penetration on April 11, 1997. The iden
400-97-010	NRF - 5c	On April 18, 1997, with the plant in Mode-6 (Refueling) for refueling outage 7, a walkdown performed by Harris Nuclear Plant (HNP) Engineering and Fire Protection personnel determined that the Reactor Coolant Pump motor Oil Collection System (OCS) did not meet applicable design requirements. Specifically, a six inch wide gap exists in the OCS enclosure at the base of the upper lube oil cooler on each of the three installed Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) motors, which could allow RCP oil to splash or spray out in the event of a lube oil cooler or lube oil piping leak. During subsequent investigation, additional design discrepancies were also identified and are described in the event description. HNP Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Section 9.5.1 states that the RCPs are equipped with an oil collection system that is designed and installed such that failure will not lead to a fire during normal and design basis accident conditions. It also states that the system is capable of collecting oil from all potential pressurized and unpressurized leakage sites in the RCP lube oil systems. This design was established to meet the fire protection program requirements of NUREG-0800/NRC Branch Technical Position CMEB 9.5-1. The deficient RCP OCS enclosure does not satisfy these design requirements and is being reported per 10CFR50.73.a.2.iii as operation outside the design basis of the plant. This condition was caused by inadequate RCP OCS design detail and a lack of knowledge on the part of HNP construction and start-up personnel regarding the design basis for the system, which allowed the OCS enclosures to be incorrectly fabricated during initial plant construction. Corrective actions will include modifying the RCP OCS enclosures for the installed RCPs to satisfy design requirements. This will be completed prior to plant re-start from the current refueling outage. The spare RCP motor has been placed on hold and hold will be modified prior to use in the plant.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
400-97-020	NRF - 6c	On August 14, 1997, with the plant at approximately 100% power in mode 1, design discrepancies were identified during an Engineering review of the Safe Shutdown Analysis in Case of Fire. These discrepancies pertain to safety-related Emergency Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Transfer pump electrical cables. These cables are required for safe shutdown in case of a fire, but were not adequately protected. Specifically, section 9.5.1 of the Harris Plant Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) provides separation requirements for redundant safe shutdown divisions to maintain safe shutdown capability. During the Engineering review, Cables 12549E-SA and 12550A-SB, located in the 261' elevation of the Reactor Auxiliary Building were found to not meet the specific design requirements. The first cable (12549E-SA) was in an area that had automatic fire detection/suppression systems, but was not separated by a 3-hour fire barrier or 20 feet of horizontal distance and was not enclosed in a 1-hr rated barrier. The second cable (12550A-SA) was separated by 20 feet horizontally, but no suppression/detection system was provided in its location, nor was a 3-hour barrier provided. These design deficiencies were caused by engineering oversight and inadequate design verification during initial plant construction. Immediate corrective actions included establishing fire watches for the areas with unprotected cables. A plant modification will be developed and installed to provide the required protection.
410-96-016	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. As a result of GL 96-06, Niagara Mohawk, on December 20, 1996, identified four containment penetrations which could be subjected to over pressurization due to thermal expansion of the entrapped water during design basis accidents when the penetrations are isolated. The four penetrations (2CCP*Z46A, 2CCP*Z47, 2CCP*Z3A, and 2CCP*Z34A) allow flow of reactor building closed loop cooling (CCP) water into and out of the drywell. On January 23, 1997, eight hydraulic fluid penetrations (2RCS*Z99A, *Z99B, *Z99C, *Z99D, *Z100A, *Z100B, *Z100C, and *Z100D) were identified as being susceptible to the same potential over pressurization as water penetrations. On January 31, 1997, one additional water-filled penetration (2WCS*Z23) was identified as being susceptible. On February 11, 1997, various vents, drains, and test connections were determined to be susceptible to over pressurization. The cause of this event is that pressurization of these penetrations due to thermal expansion of entrapped fluid between the containment isolation valves was not considered during the design of Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2). Operability determinations have been completed in accordance with Station Procedures and Generic Letter 91-18, 'Information to Licensees Regarding Two NRC Inspection Manual Sections on Resolution of Degraded and Non-Conforming Conditions and on Operability' to verify operability. Long-term corrective actions are planned in response to Generic Letter 96-06, 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design Basis Accident Conditions.'

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
410-97-002	NRF - 6a	On April 7, 1997, NMPC determined that portions of the control circuits for the Division I and II Emergency Diesel Generators (EDG) cooling water outlet valves were not isolated from the control room as described in NMP2 USAR Section 9B.8.2.3. Assuming a control room fire with no other compensatory actions, it could be postulated that either one or both of the EDGs could have been disabled due to a control circuit short which would have disabled the Remote Shutdown Panel (RSP) controls for these valves. As a result of our corrective actions, NMPC identified on May 8, 1997, additional deficiencies in the design of the circuits for 2RHS*MOV4A and 2RHS*MOV4B, minimum flow valves for RHR Pump 1A and 1B and in the evaluation of emergency lighting requirements to achieve cold shutdown. On June 7, 1997, NMPC determined that the Recirculation System pump discharge valves could not be closed locally as required by procedure in the event of a loss of offsite power. The cause of this deviation is an error in the original design and implementation of modifications outlined in the NMP2 USAR Section 9B.8.2.3 with respect to EDG cooling water outlet valves, the RHR minimum flow valves, and the Recirculation System pump discharge valves. This also resulted in not meeting the requirements of 10CFR50 Appendix R, Section III.J. NMP2 Technical Specification LCO 3.3.7.4 Action b was entered until modifications to the EDG cooling water valve circuits were completed. N2-OP-78 has been revised to direct action to provide an alternate means of minimum flow protection for the RHR pumps and to initiate alternate shutdown cooling. Additionally, required emergency lights have been or will be installed in areas requiring manual actions to achieve cold shutdown, including access and egress pathways.
410-97-008	NRF - 6a	On August 26, 1997, Niagara Mohawk (NMPC) determined that a fire in the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) Reactor Building elevation 328 could potentially have caused spurious actuation of the Reactor Water Cleanup System (RWCU) high pressure/low pressure interface valves. This is contrary to the requirements of 10CFR50 Appendix R Section III G. This condition would occur only if offsite power was available, since these valves automatically close upon loss of power or loss of air. The cause of this event has been determined to be personnel error during the original evaluation of NMP2 for compliance to 10CFR50 Appendix R. Immediate corrective action was to place a fire watch in the affected fire zone.
410-97-013	NRS - 5b	On October 29, 1997, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) determined that prior to April 30, 1992, Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) had racked out breakers from a 4160 volt switchgear in a manner which did not meet the seismic qualification requirements. This was discovered on October 17, 1997, during a review of NRC Information Notice (IN) 97-53, when an operations engineer discovered that other licensees had reported similar conditions, but could not find a report for NMP2. After a thorough search of records, it was determined that the event should have been reported previously. The cause of the improper racking out of switchgear breakers has been determined to be inadequate translation of engineering requirements into plant procedures. The cause of the failure to report the event in 1992 cannot be determined. Procedures were developed in 1992 to assure the emergency switchgear breakers are manipulated in accordance with seismic qualification requirements.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
410-97-015	5a	On November 14, 1997, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) discovered an opening in the wall between the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) Reactor Building stair tower and the North Auxiliary Bay (NAB). The Reactor Building and Auxiliary Bays form the Secondary Containment. This opening allowed the Reactor Building atmosphere to communicate with the NAB and therefore, safety-related equipment located in the NAB could have been exposed to High Energy Line Break (HELB) and Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) environment. Since the wall design was to prevent migration between the Reactor Building and the NAB atmosphere, this condition placed the plant outside of the design basis. The cause of this opening has been determined to be improper construction when the plant was constructed. The appropriate Technical Specification was entered for the affected electrical distribution equipment. Corrective actions were to repair the opening, and perform a preliminary inspection of similar walls to verify that there were no other openings. An analysis has been completed to determine the impact of migration through the opening on equipment.
412-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 11, 1997, at 1550 hours, while in Mode 3 at 0% reactor power, Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Unit 2 entered Technical Specification 3.0.3 for a cooldown to Mode 5. Based on engineering review, it was determined that design basis requirements for flood protection were not maintained. It was identified that Recirculation Spray System (RSS) Safeguards Room flood protection seals were not in accordance with the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). External penetration seals were missing for all 4 RSS pumps and 3 of 4 internal penetration seals were degraded. Given the condition of these flood protection seals, equipment in the Safeguards Building required for long-term recirculation following a Design Basis Accident (DBA) may not be capable of performing its intended function, assuming a design basis flood (Probable Maximum Flood) event occurred. The causes of the non-conforming condition of the RSS flood seals were determined to be incomplete construction contractor documentation and the lack of overall knowledge of flood protection by the RSS flood seal installation crew. The plant was cooled down to Mode 5 on January 12, 1997 at 2205 hours. The missing external RSS flood protection seals were installed. The degraded internal RSS flood protection seals were repaired. An extensive walkdown and inspection of selected Unit 1 and 2 flood protection hydrostatic seals was performed. The RSS pump cubicle deep manway hatch flood protection seals were identified as degraded during the walkdown and were subsequently repaired. An immediate report of this condition was made on January 11, 1997, at 1741 hours, pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(I)(A), 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(I)(B), and 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(A). The plant was cooled down to Mode 5 based upon a conservative management decision.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
412-97-008 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On December 16, 1997, with Unit 2 operating in Mode 1 at 100 % power, it was determined that the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System did not meet single active failure criteria as specified in the UFSAR and design bases. Review determined that certain 'A' Train component failures could induce failures in 'B' Train. As a result of this information, Unit 2 entered Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operation 3.0.3 and commenced shutting down to Mode 3. This was reported pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(I)(A) as the initiation of any nuclear plant shutdown required by the plant's TS. Under the LER Rule it is also reportable pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(I)(B) any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's TS, since it involved entry into TS 3.0.3. There are two root causes for this event. The design of the control system for pressure differential switch 2HVC*PDS241, located between the discharge of Train "A" Control Room Emergency Ventilation System was less than adequate. Also, independent reviews of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System, performed in 1982, 1984, and 1986 by the architect/engineer, were less than adequate in that these evaluations did not recognize that the current design was susceptible to several credible single failures. As completed corrective actions, a scoping assessment of the potential single active failures to equipment in the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System was performed, and Design Change Request packages were completed to modify the Unit 2 Control Room Filtered Air Intake Fan 2HVC*FN241B. A detailed extent of condition review for a sampling of similar QA Category 1 ventilation systems was performed. Should a single active failure cause both Unit 2 Control Room Emergency Ventilation systems was performed. Should a single active failure cause both Unit 2 Control Room Emergency Ventilation systems is required to automatically initiate and function (as a result of a Contaimment Isolation B or Control Room H

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
412-97-010 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On December 19, 1997, at 1335 hours, as a result of an extent of condition review for a previous condition report at Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS), it was determined that the Unit 2 Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) Ventilation System temperature control circuitry did not have electrical isolation between the Class 1E and non-Class 1E portions of the circuit. Both Units were in Mode 5 at the time. As a consequence, it was postulated that a failure in the non-safety related portion of the control circuit could potentially degrade the safety related portion of the circuit and prevent it from performing its safety function. This function is to maintain the ambient air temperature in the EDG rooms within design limits while the EDGs are operating. In addition, it was concluded that without electrical isolation between the Class 1E and non-Class 1E portions of the involved circuits, they were not completely Quality Assurance Category 1 circuits and should not be credited with being capable of performing their safety related function. At 1335 hours on December 19, 1997, both Unit 2 EDGs were declared inoperable and the appropriate Technical Specification (T.S.) action statement was entered. Additionally, a prohibition was placed on Unit 1 entering Mode 4 until this issue was resolved. With both Unit 2 EDGs inoperable, Unit 1 could not meet its T.S. Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) for its Control Room Emergency Habitability System. Unit 1 was already in the associated T.S. action statement for this LCO as described in BVPS Unit 2 LER 97-008-00. The apparent cause of this event was an inadequate design of the subject EDG ventilation system temperature control circuits during the original plant construction for Unit 2. Temporary modifications were completed on December 20, 1997 to address the lack of electrical isolation. Following completion of the temporary modifications, both Unit 2 EDGs were declared operable at 1816 hours on December 20, 1997. A permanent design change was completed by December 31, 1997

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
412-97-011 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6a	On December 29, 1997, at 1940 hours, as a result of an extent of condition review at Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) for BVPS Unit 2 Licensee Event Report 97-010-00, it was determined that a design error existed in the Unit 2 safety related Secondary Process Racks. This resulted in inadequate electrical isolation between non-Class 1E circuits contained in the racks and the Class 1E power supplies, which power all circuits in the racks. Consequently, a fault in the non-Class 1E circuits could potentially trip the Class 1E power supplies, thereby disabiling Class 1E circuits that these power supplies also feed. Unit 1 was in Mode 3 and Unit 2 was in Mode 5 at the time of this event. At 1940 hours on December 29, 1997, the appropriate Unit 2 Technical Specification (T.S.) action statements were entered and both Unit 2 Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs) were declared inoperable due to the potential to disable EDG room ventilation system temperature control circuits. These circuits are required to maintain the EDG room ambient air temperature within design limits while the EDGs are operating. Additionally, with both Unit 2 EDGs declared inoperable, Unit 1 could not meet its T.S. Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.7.7.1.a for its Control Room Emergency Habitability Systems and entered the applicable T.S. action statement at 1940 hours on December 29, 1997. Since neither Unit 2 EDG was restored to operability within 7 days, a Unit 1 shutdown to Mode 5 was required. This was completed by 1052 hours on January 4, 1998. Based on subsequent corrective actions to correct the identified electrical isolation deficiencies, Unit 2 as a result of this event. All necessary corrective actions to restore equipment operability and to remove any T.S. restrictions from Unit 2 as a result of this event were completed by 1350 hours on January 26, 1998 with Unit 2 still in Mode 5. The apparent cause of this event was an error in the design of the Unit 2 safety related Westinghouse 7300 Series Secondary Process Rack circuitry. T
413-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	NRS - 6a	Event Description: On 5/15/97, with both units in Mode 1, at 100% power, engineering was reviewing the hydraulics of the non-safety suction source to the Auxiliary Feedwater (CA) pumps, when a problem was discovered that would lead to the introduction of air into the suction of the CA pumps. The event scenario is a dual unit Loss Of Offsite Power (LOOP) coincident with a steam line or feedwater line break on one unit occurring prior to transfer of suction for the CA pumps to the safety grade assured source, Nuclear Service Water (RN). Root Cause: This event is attributed to an unanticipated interaction of systems or components. The limiting design criteria, for ensuring the CA pumps receive a constant water supply, was assumed to be the ability of the CA System to survive a break in the nonseismic condensate piping at a point downstream of where the three condensate sources tie together. Because this was the criteria used, no transient analysis of the individual sources was performed. Corrective Actions: Following the initial evaluation on 5/15/97, the CACST was isolated pending further evaluation. Engineering, with off-site assistance will continue evaluation of the condensate quality suction sources issue to ensure that all possible configuration concerns have been identified and evaluated, and that all necessary corrective actions have been taken.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-96-049-01	3b	On December 11, 1996, with the plant in Mode 5, it was identified that the spacing between a Class 1E and a non-Class 1E cable in the Cable Spreading Room did not meet the minimum separation distance specified in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). Inspections were performed to identify additional deviations. Inspections from March through May 1997 identified 976 deviations. The deviations with the exception of the three discussed below met operability criteria previously established within an electrical separation operability determination document. On April 29 and May 21, 1997, two instances where the separation distance between cables in the Instrument Rack Room, and one instance within a manhole, where the Sil-temp protective wrap was peeled back, were identified, resulting in electrical separation violations which were immediately corrected. The results of the Wyle Test Report provide technical justification for the acceptability of electrical separation configurations with spacing less than those described in the FSAR. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition, in that the unit has not experienced an event as a result of a failure in electrical separation of circuits or equipment. Training on electrical separation has been conducted for applicable plant personnel. Work planning procedures have been revised to incorporate guidance for electrical separation inspection plan development. Identified electrical separation deviations will be corrected or restored to compliance with the design basis prior to entry into Mode 4.
423-97-003	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 13, 1997, an engineering evaluation determined the Recirculation Spray System (RSS) heat exchangers and piping may be susceptible to water column separation, and subsequent water hammer, if the RSS pumps are restarted during design basis accident conditions. This condition has been determined to be outside the design basis and the RSS was declared inoperable. NRC notification of this event was made pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), on January 13, 1997. The Unit was in Mode 5 and in an extended shutdown. The cause of this event is design deficiency due to inadequate RSS design scope which did not incorporate and analyze the system susceptibility to water hammer and its effects. An evaluation of the RSS water column separation issue will be performed to determine if transient piping and equipment loads are acceptable or require design modification.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-009	NRF - 5b	On January 23, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, an investigation into High Energy Line Break (HELB) door control problems resulted in discovery of a previously unidentified historical reportable event. On August 3, 1995, with the unit in Mode 1 at 100 percent power, three fire doors, that were also HELB barriers, on the 66 foot level of the Auxiliary Building, were found open without a continuous fire watch posted. A fourth fire door was properly controlled from a fire protection perspective but was not recognized as a HELB door. On August 8, 1997, several deficiencies were identified for HELB doors in the Auxiliary and Control Buildings as part of follow-up field walkdowns performed after a self-assessment of the HELB program. These deficiencies consisted mostly of door adjustment and maintenance issues, e.g.; damaged thresholds and gasket bars, missing sections of gaskets and weather-stripping, and several gaps around door gaskets exceeding allowable criteria. The cause of the August 1995 historical event was a failure to develop and implement an effective high energy line break door control program. This resulted in personnel not understanding HELB requirements associated with the design basis. The cause of deteriorated HELB door conditions identified in August 1997 was a failure to develop and implement an effective high energy line break door (barrier) periodic inspection and maintenance program prior to initial licensing. Recognition of inspection criteria development and necessity to inspect doors prior to startup should have been addressed within the original corrective actions to this Licensee Event Report. As a result of the August 1997 HELB area walkdown/inspections the following additional corrective actions will be taken prior to entry into Mode 4: HELB doors identified not to comply with high energy line break barrier design requirements will be restored to compliance, and procedures and work control documents will be revised and/or developed to provide for periodic inspection and position verificat
423-97-010	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 13, 1997, with the plant in Mode 5, a review of electrical calculations associated with Class 1E 480V and 120V systems identified discrepancies between related electrical calculations used to demonstrate design basis compliance. On January 29, 1997, these concerns were sufficiently substantiated to question the validity of the Degraded Grid Voltage calculations. A prompt report was made, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii) as an event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition. The degraded grid voltage (DGV) relays are required to ensure that safety related equipment and devices either have adequate voltage to perform their safety functions or are not damaged due to a degraded voltage condition. These analytical limit worst-case minimum values were not utilized as the source voltage for separate voltage drop calculations performed for the 480V and 120V bus loads. If the 4160V bus voltage were to be at its analytical limit worst-case minimum value then inadequate voltage at individual devices supplied by the distribution system could result. Therefore, these systems may not be able to perform their design safety functions under degraded grid voltage conditions. The cause was determined to be a lack of configuration management for the calculation program is being reviewed and revised as part of the ongoing 50.54(f) effort. In addition, in order to address configuration management of the calculation program, formal work practices have been established for processing nuclear engineering calculations.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-011	6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. During a review of design attributes and supporting design specifications and calculations for Technical Specifications, discrepancies were found between the minimum required voltages for safety related heaters and their capability to perform their design functions based on reduced voltage at the safety related buses. Reviews performed of heater applications found that the heaters were able to perform their design function with the exception of the Hydrogen Recombiner heaters. On January 29, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, a prompt event report was submitted regarding the reduction in Hydrogen Recombiner heating capability under degraded voltage conditions pursuant to 10CFR50.72 (b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. There have been no safety consequences as the result of a degraded grid voltage supply to the Hydrogen Recombiner heaters. Recombiner heaters is safety significant in that it could result in reliance on operation of the Containment Hydrogen Purge system to remove hydrogen from the containment following a Loss of Coolant Accident. The cause has been determined to be a lack of configuration management for the comprehensive calculation program which is required to establish and maintain the design basis of the unit. As reported on LER 97-010-00, 'The calculation program is being reviewed and revised as part of the ongoing 50.54(f) effort. In addition, in order to address configuration management of the calculation program, formal work practices have been established for processing nuclear engineering calculations. Additional corrective action includes evaluation of Hydrogen Recombiner heater performance under degraded voltage conditions, and, if required, implementation of design changes to restore the Hydrogen Recombiners to their design basis requirements.
423-97-012	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 29, 1997 at approximately 1435 hours, with the plant in Mode 5, temporarily installed concrete blocks serving as ballast for tornado missile restraint of safety related electrical manhole covers were removed from the covers. This was done to allow removal and reinstallation of sealant on the covers. Within approximately three minutes, the blocks were properly reinstalled following recognition by the Unit Supervisor, that the blocks were functioning as a temporary modification for tornado protection. Prompt notification to the NRC of this event was made pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) on January 29, 1997 as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. The blocks were previously installed in 1996 as part of corrective action to an event reported in LER 96-027-00, which identified an original lack of installed tornado restraint on the subject covers. This event resulted from failure of the Operations Department personnel reviewing the work activity to recognize the safety-related function of the concrete blocks on the manhole covers. Consequently, the involved work documentation was coded as minor maintenance and subsequent planning prior to the work activity did not identify that the blocks were functioning as a temporary modification. In addition, prior to removing the blocks, workers did not notice an installed tag that identified the blocks as a temporary modification. Personnel involved in the event have received appropriate counseling on the importance of attention to detail during review and planning of job activities.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-013	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On January 30, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified that the instrument air operated, Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump (TDAFW) Steam Supply [Containment] Isolation Valves (3MSS*AOV31/A/B/D) do not satisfy the remote manual operation requirement specified in General Design Criteria (GDC) 57. Air to permit remote operation of these valves cannot be assured in the event of an accident due to the non-seismic nature of the instrument air lines. On February 3, 1997, an engineering evaluation confirmed these valves do not meet containment isolation valve criteria. As a result an immediate notification was made on February 3, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as an existing condition outside the design basis of the plant. While the TDAFW Steam Supply Isolation Valves do not fulfill all the requirements of GDC 57, the downstream valves meet the requirements and do satisfy GDC 57. Therefore, in the event of an accident the potential failure of the TDAFW Steam Supply Isolation Valves would not prevent isolation of the affected penetrations. The procedures and design documentation are being revised to reflect the revised containment boundary for these penetrations.
423-97-015	За	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 4, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, a review of design calculations identified that the calculated minimum water level in the containment sump at the time of Recirculation Spray System (RSS) pumps start (approximately eleven minutes after a Containment Depressurization Actuation signal) would be below the level of the containment sump vortex suppression gratings during a large break Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). Vortex formation and the resulting air entrainment, could result in cavitation of the RSS pumps, such that they might be unable to perform their intended safety function(s) in an accident. Following evaluation, this condition was immediately reported on February 7, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), and is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the design basis of the plant. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition, in that the unit has not experienced a LOCA and therefore operation of the RSS has not been necessary. However, the potential inoperability of the RSS pumps is significant because it represents a condition outside the design basis of the plant. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition, in that the unit has not experienced a LOCA and therefore operation of the RSS has not been necessary. However, the potential inoperability of the RSS pumps is significant because it represents a condition outside the design basis of the plant. The RSS is credited in the safety analyses to cool the containment by spraying containment sump water into the containment atmosphere post-accident, provide iodine removal, and provides long-term core cooling post-accident. Formation of vortexes due to the water level being below the level of the vortex suppression gratings, resulting in cavitation, could create a common cause failure of the four RSS pumps, resulting in potential loss of safety function. Corrective actions needed to ensure operability of the RSS and design basis compliance will be implem

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-016	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 6, 1997, at 17:00 with the plant in Mode 5 at 0-percent power, it was determined that piping supports for portions of the Residual Heat Removal system (RHS) were in a condition inconsistent with the design installation details. Specifically, while implementing piping support modifications to address conditions previously identified in LER 96-007-00, workers found the piping system to be outside of its designed configuration. An engineering review determined on February 7, 1997 that the supports would not function as designed. As a result, an immediate notification was made pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) of a condition during operation that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that is outside of the design basis of the plant. This condition is significant in that had the plant experienced a design basis accident in containment the potential existed that the RHS might have been unable to fulfill its required safety function. However, there were no adverse safety consequences from this condition since the RHS system has never been required to operate in a post accident environment nor has it experienced a seismic event. Immediate corrective action was taken to restore the piping supports to their designed configuration. In addition, a representative sample of other safety related pipe supports will be inspected to determine if conditions exist which would cause the piping support to not perform as designed.
423-97-020	NRF - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 18, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified that operator entry into the Containment could potentially be restricted due to elevated ambient temperature during a loss-of-offsite-power (LOP) event. A LOP event is considered coincident with postulated fires in accordance with the 'Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3, Branch Technical Position (BTP) 9.5-1 Compliance Report.' For certain postulated fire events, Containment entry is credited to operate equipment necessary to achieve cold shutdown. Insufficient cooling flow was supplied to the Containment Air Recirculation (CAR) System fans cooling coils by the Reactor Plant Component Cooling Water (CCP) System during a LOP. An engineering estimate indicates a resulting Containment temperature of approximately 160 Degrees F. Personnel entry to Containment at this temperature may not be possible to operate equipment, even with compensatory measures. Consequently, the fire shutdown strategy assumed in the BTP 9.5-1 compliance report as part of the design basis may not be possible. This event is considered reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition outside the design basis. The cause of this event was the original design placement of the throttle valves controlling flow to the CAR System fans when cooling is supplied by the Reactor Plant Component Cooling Water System. The secondary side of the Containment Air Recirculation System will be reconfigured to provide the required flowrates when supplied by the Reactor Plant Chilled Water (CDS) or CCP Systems. Containment temperature and personnel entry concerns as a result of CAR fan cooling capability will be reevaluated.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-021	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On February 18, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was determined that defects existed in the original design of tie rod assemblies in eight Recirculation Spray System (RSS) expansion joints (3RSS*EJ1A through D and 3RSS*EJ2A through D). These joints are essential to containment integrity and to the heat removal function of the RSS. The specific design deficiency is a failure to provide adequate clearance for intermediate tie rod nuts. As a result of this defect, if the joints were subjected to their design basis loads, the stress levels in the joint tie rod assembly could have exceeded its analyzed operating limits and the joint could experience deformation. This condition was reported on February 18, 1997 pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B) as a condition during operation that resulted in the nuclear power plant being outside of the design basis of the plant. The cause of this event was determined to be a defective design which had been issued by the vendor, the Temp Flex division of Johnson Controls. This vendor has subsequently gone out of business. This condition is significant since failure of the joints could adversely affect containment integrity and long term cooling capability for the core. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition in that the plant has not experienced a design basis event that would challenge the expansion joint. The required physical modifications to the eight expansion joints within the RSS will be completed prior to entry into mode 4. Additionally, a review of similar expansion joints provided by the original vendor will be performed.
423-97-028	За	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On April 10, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, a review of design calculations for Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH) identified that a potential for flashing existed in the suction lines to the Recirculation Spray System (RSS) pumps (3RSS*1A,B,C&D). Calculations for the RSS System had originally determined that sufficient NPSH existed at the centerline of the first stage of the RSS pump impellers utilizing a saturated sump model. However, these calculations contained an inherent assumption that the fluid would remain single phase. This assumption is inappropriate when utilizing the saturated sump model. Flashing, resulting in insufficient NPSH, would result in cavitation of the RSS pumps, such that they might be unable to perform their intended safety functions during a design basis event (DBE). In this condition the RSS system would not be capable of supplying the minimum volume of cooling water credited in the containment analysis. Following evaluation, this condition was immediately reported on April 16, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii)(D) as a loss of safety function. It is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a loss of safety function and as a condition outside the unit design basis. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition, in that the unit has not experienced a DBE and therefore operation of the RSS has not been necessary. However, the potential inoperability of the RSS pumps is significant because it represents a condition outside the design basis of the plant. The RSS s credited in the safety analyses to cool the containment by spraying containment sump water into the containment atmosphere post-accident, provide iodine removal, and provides long-term core cooling post-accident. Insufficient NPSH, resulting in cavitation, could create a common mode failure of the four RSS pumps, potentially resulting in a loss of safety function. Corrective actions to ensure operability of the RSS and compliance with the design bas

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-029	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 17, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was determined that between February 8, 1996 and March 18, 1996, the minimum number of Main Steam Pressure Relief Bypass Valve (MSPRBV) flowpaths required to depressurize the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) to satisfy the steam generator margin to overfill analysis may not have been available in the event of a Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR) concurrent with a single failure. Further investigation confirmed on April 17, 1997 that the minimum number of MSPRBV flowpaths required after a SGTR combined with a single failure, could not be met. This condition existed for a period of approximately one month. This event is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition that resulted in the plant being outside the design basis. The cause of this event was a failure to recognize design and single failure requirements necessitating that the MSPRBV be included in the Technical Specifications. A Technical Specification change request establishing a requirement for control of the MSPRBVs will be submitted prior to June 15, 1997.
423-97-030	6b	On May 1, 1997, at 1030 hours, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified that the current Reactor Coolant System heatup and cooldown pressure and temperature (P/T) limit curves and the Power-Operated Relief Valve (PORV) setpoint curves for the Cold Overpressure [Protection] System (COPS) contained in Technical Specifications (TS) 3.4.9.1, "Pressure/Temperature Limits," and 3.4.9.3, "Overpressure Protection Systems," respectively, were non-conservative. From initial startup until May 9, 1997, the P/T limits and the PORVs setpoint (COPS) curves contained within the TS did not properly account for instrumentation and system configuration uncertainties. Since 1993, interim administrative controls were imposed within operating procedures to account for the pressure drop across the core with the RCPs in operation. However, the PORV setpoint curves were not revised to account for this effect or the other uncertainties recently identified and therefore, PORV operation may not have occurred in the past when required. This condition is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the unit design basis. Inadequate design verification and validation was performed by the Nuclear Steam Supply System vendor and the utility. Administrative precautions on system operation minimize the likelihood and severity of COPS events. A review of system operational aspects will be performed to determine if the 10 CFR 50 Appendix G limits have been met since startup. Based on preliminary information Appendix G limits are considered to have been met.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-035	6b	On June 3, 1997, with the Unit in Mode 5, a Configuration Management Program review identified that the present Technical Specification (TS) instrumentation setpoints for Steam Generator (SG) Water Level Low-Low may be non-conservative. Margin in interim TS setpoints developed in response to a separate issue had been utilized to account for an increase in the Process Measurement Accuracy term. However, on August 7, 1993, the SG Water Level Low-Low interim setpoints within the surveillance procedures were approved to be restored to the values specified within the TS. On June 13, 1997, the condition was determined to be reportable, and the investigation concluded that from approximately August 7, 1993, until the present, the unit has implemented a SG Water Level Low-Low setpoint that is inconsistent with the safety analyses. This condition is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition outside the design basis. This is a historical event. The interim Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low setpoints in effect for the Process Measurement Accuracy issue were changed back to the Technical Specification values without proper coordination and administrative control, resulting in elimination of previously credited margin. The probability that the instrument will fail to actuate by the bottom 1 to 2 percent of span where there is a possibility that the Nominal Trip Setpoint could be exceeded on a decreasing level is low. Additionally, the SG Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected. The Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low is only minimally affected.
423-97-036	6b	On June 5, 1997, with the Unit in Mode 5, the Configuration Management Program Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) verification identified that incorrect stress analysis limits may have been used in the design of moderate-energy fluid system piping. Also, the affects of a design change which changed the Containment design from sub-atmospheric to atmospheric on Containment leakage integrity were not evaluated. These two conditions were determined to be reportable on June 9, 1997. On October 1, 1997, it was determined that the failure to include in the ISI program some weld locations (for high and certain moderate-energy lines) within penetration areas required to be identified as break exclusion areas (BEA's) was reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(I)(B) as a condition or event prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications. The cause for not applying the correct stress limits in the design of moderate-energy piping, was inadequate communication within the Architect/Engineer (A/E) organization during the original design. The cause for not reviewing the effects on Containment integrity of changing from a sub-atmospheric to an atmospheric design was inadequate technical review within the utility engineering and A/E organizations during operation. There were no adverse safety consequences from these conditions. These conditions are significant in that a through wall pipe crack would result in exceeding the leakage assumptions utilized in dose assessment calculations. Pipe stress calculations will be reconciled to the proper allowable stresses. The FSAR and design documents will be updated. The ISI program will be updated to include weld inspection for the defined BEA's.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-046	6a	On May 23, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, a Configuration Management Program (CMP) review revealed that inleakage of groundwater results in a potential to flood the Recirculation Spray System (RSS) pump cubicles in the Engineered Safety Features Building (ESF), if the non-safety related sump pumps should fail to operate. On July 11, 1997, upon further investigation into the event, it was determined that this situation could result in the loss of both trains of RSS. The RSS cubicle non-safety related sump pumps are not fed from vital AC power. Being non-safety related, the RSS cubicle sump pumps cannot be credited as available, post-accident. Therefore, groundwater inleakage could accumulate in each of the RSS cubicle sumps which are connected to the drain lines under the Containment basemat. If the sumps are not pumped out, the groundwater could eventually affect both trains of the RSS. This is an unanalyzed condition which could lead to loss of both trains of RSS and is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(D), as any event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident. This is a historical event. The cause of this condition was a design deficiency. The potential impact on RSS operability of inleakage into the sumps in the RSS Pump cubicles was not recognized during initial plant design. This condition was identified as part of the Configuration Management Program review process. The Recirculation Spray System is required to be operable in Modes 1 through 4. Consequently no immediate corrective action is required with the unit in Mode 5. Prior to entry into Mode 4, a design modification will be implemented to address the Recirculation Spray System cubicle sumps groundwater inleakage condition.
423-97-048	5b	On August 6, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified during an engineering review of the Primary Grade Water System (PGS) pump flow rate calculation, that a non-representative pump curve had been used to determine the maximum boron dilution flow rates. This condition was discovered and described in accordance with the Millstone Corrective Action Program via Condition Report M3-97-2539. Prior to initial unit startup in 1986, a number of efforts were conducted to address the inability of the PGS pumps to attain their design flow rate. A review of historical engineering and design coordination reports identified several system modifications that were implemented at that time to increase the flow rates. These included replacing the original 7-inch pump impellers with 7.5-inch impellers. However, this design change was not addressed in the PGS flow rate calculation used for the Boron Dilution Event Safety Analysis. Design engineering has estimated that the pump flow rate with the larger 7.5-inch impellers would be approximately 154 g.p.m. and 170 g.p.m. for one and two pumps operating, respectively. The use of the larger diameter impeller for both one and two pump operation, results in estimated dilution flow rates greater than those assumed within the safety analysis. Consequently, this is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as any event or condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded, or that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. The cause is attributed to insufficient configuration control within the design control program. During the time frame when the system was modified, there was an incomplete evaluation of the RCS. Prior to entry into Mode 4 and, before using the PGS for reactor coolant system makeup, the system will comply with the flow rate limits of the boron dilution event analysis.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-051	NRF - 6b	On October 6, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified that the overcurrent protection design for 4.16kV feeder circuits (non-Class 1E and Class 1E) does not clear a short-circuit in sufficient time to provide adequate cable protection for short-circuit conditions. The overcurrent protection design is relied upon implicitly to respond to a short circuit within the Fire Protection and Appendix R Program analysis bases. This condition is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition outside the unit design basis. The cause for this condition was an inadequate design control process during original design implementation. This condition includes a lack of verification and validation of calculation design inputs by the Architect/Engineer and the utility engineering organizations during construction. There were no adverse safety consequences from this condition. However, this condition is significant in that it is contrary to the Fire Protection and Appendix R Program analysis bases. The electrical protection relaying scheme for the individual 4.16kV affected circuits will be restored to conformance with the design basis.
423-97-054	7	On October 29, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, Westinghouse informed Millstone Unit 3 that a developmental fuel rod performance modeling computer code indicated that in very limiting cases for high power, high burnup Integral Fuel Burnable Absorber fuel rods, that the calculated pressures within the rod could be in excess of the fuel rod design criterion and the pellet-to-clad gap could re-open. This could result in the 17 percent (%) maximum cladding oxidation limit, defined in 10 CFR 50.46, potentially being exceeded following a postulated Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). On October 29, 1997, this condition was reported as an event or condition outside the design basis of the unit pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). During performance of the Cycle 6 gap re-opening evaluation, one fuel assembly was predicted to exceed the maximum cladding oxidation limit by the end of the current cycle. This condition was identified by Westinghouse. Preliminary, generic reviews revealed that the modeling criteria assumptions may be potentially non-conservative regarding fuel rod internal pressures, cladding corrosion rates, and gap re-opening limits for certain combinations of fuel design features and operating conditions. There were no adverse safety consequences as a result of this condition. Westinghouse has performed a plant specific evaluation for Millstone 3 Cycle 6. This evaluation concludes that none of the fuel assemblies in the Cycle 6 core have the combination of design features which make them susceptible to the gap re-opening scenario. Administrative controls will be in place to ensure that the limiting fuel assembly is in compliance with the 17 % limit for maximum cladding oxidation.
423-97-056	6b	On November 7, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, during a review of original construction era Service Water System (SW) Design Deficiency Reports, it was determined that following the initiation of a Containment Depressurization "A" (CDA) signal coincident with a loss of offsite power (LOP), SW System pressures may not be sufficient to refill the SW supply piping to the control building air conditioning (A/C) condensers. Although SW flowrates are tested at each refueling outage, the test does not replicate design basis conditions, e.g., a degraded SW pump, high SW strainer differential pressure and a low intake water level as well as a LOP. If SW cooling water flow to the control building A/C condensers is lost, air temperatures could ultimately rise high enough to adversely affect the operability of safety-related equipment contained within the control building. However, there have been no adverse safety consequences as a result of this condition in that the unit has not experienced a CDA coincident with a LOP accident. This is a condition outside the design basis of the plant and is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). The event is historical and is attributable to a design deficiency in the Service Water System. A design modification will be implemented to ensure that sufficient Service Water system pressure is available to refill the control building A/C condensers following a design basis accident.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-057	6c	On November 13, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, it was identified that the "A" Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) may have been inoperable from October 11, 1997. On October 11, 1997, the air intake damper for the Emergency Ventilation System serving the "A" EDG cubicle failed open. The exhaust dampers had been failed in the full open position as part of temporary modifications to provide cooling to support EDG operation in the event of an emergency because replacement parts could not be readily obtained. A review on November 13, 1997, of the associated safety and engineering evaluations, identified that credit had been taken for placing the EDG Emergency Ventilation System in full recirculation in order to be able to re-open the exhaust tornado dampers. This condition was determined reportable on November 18, 1997. The cause of this event was an inadequate development and review of the safety and technical evaluations associated with a temporary modification to fail open the 'A' EDG Cubicle ventilation dampers. Actions to alert personnel that a failure of the air intake damper could result in invalidating the tornado recovery actions specified within AOP 3569 'Severe Weather Conditions' were not explicitly identified and compensatory actions were not put in place. The ability of the EDG to provide emergency power in order to mitigate the consequences of an accident was not challenged during this time period. There were no safety consequences as result of this condition. However, the failure to recognize and prevent the circumstances leading to this degraded condition is safety significant. The actuator for the "A" Emergency Ventilation System air intake damper has been replaced with a functional actuator.
423-97-059	NRF - 6a	On September 10, 1997, with the unit in Mode 5, during preparation of a design change to upgrade the pilot solenoid valve associated with the auxiliary feedwater turbine exhaust condensate drain line, an error was identified in the BTP 9.5-1 (Appendix R) Compliance Report. Upon system actuation, the turbine exhaust drain isolation valve must close and remain closed to prevent turbine exhaust steam from entering the room, potentially impacting proper operation of the turbine. The Compliance Report identifies that this valve is not required for shutdown, based on the assumption that failure of the valve would not prevent proper operation of the turbine. Because of this classification, the consequences of spurious valve operation due to fires were not evaluated. As a result, the ability to achieve and maintain safe shutdown could not be assured for fire areas where cables associated with this pilot solenoid valve are routed and the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump is credited for safe shutdown. There have been no adverse safety consequences as a result of this condition in that the unit has not experienced the postulated fire damages. On November 25, 1997, this condition was identified outside the design basis of the plant and reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). The condition is attributable to a design deficiency introduced during construction, when it was decided to divert this condensate drain line from a drain header to the open floor drain. A design modification has been implemented to tie this exhaust condensate drain line to the existing drain header, thereby preventing exhaust steam from entering the room in the event that the valve fails to close or spuriously opens.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
423-97-063	5b	On October 28, 1996, Unresolved Item Report (UIR) 1068 was initiated for a potential issue related to the plant operators' ability to meet the operator response time of 10 minutes assumed in Chapter 15 of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) for termination of an Inadvertent Safety Injection (SI) event. On December 31, 1997 it was determined that the assumptions contained within the FSAR could not be consistently met. This determination was made on the basis that one of ten crews had previously failed to prevent a solid water condition from occurring and that no crew met the required Inadvertent SI termination response time during simulator exercises. Because it can not be shown that the operators can consistently terminate an Inadvertent SI event within 10 minutes as described in the FSAR, and because it could not be conclusively shown that the Condition II criteria will not be exceeded, this condition is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as a condition that resulted in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. Probable causal factors identified were conflicting program requirements and lack of commitment to program implementation. These causal factors are historical in nature and further evaluation would provide no additional insight into the cause of the condition. This condition is significant to the safety of the plant. Mitigating the significance of this condition is the fact that the resulting transient is bounded by the SBLOCA analysis. Additionally, five of the six operating crews have demonstrated, on a plant specific simulator, the ability to terminate SI prior to a solid water condition occurring. There have been no adverse safety consequences as a result of this condition since the plant has not experienced an inadvertent SI while operating with a PORV block valve closed.
443-97-001	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. The Seabrook Station design basis flood analysis is documented in Section 2.4 of the Updated Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). The UFSAR addresses two flooding scenarios: a probable maximum hurricane (PMH)/Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) event and local intense probable maximum precipitation (PMP). The limiting event identified in the UFSAR is the PMH/PMF event which was analyzed to produce flood levels on the Seabrook Station site to an elevation of 21' mean sea level (msl) or less. Flooding associated with the PMH/PMF occurs primarily as a result of waves overtopping the vertical seawall. A critical assumption in the analysis is that the flood water will flow off the site via several flow paths that are specified in the UFSAR. North Atlantic has identified that certain obstructions to the flow of flood water off the site may produce site flood levels exceeding the elevations specified in the UFSAR. This condition was reported to the NRC on January 9, 1997, pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. An operability determination was performed to provide the basis for continued operation. Modifications to the flow obstructions will be implemented and the flooding analyses will be updated. The cause of this event has been determined to be a misapplication of design inputs and inadequate independent review.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
443-97-002	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. In response to NRC Generic Letter 96-06 North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (North Atlantic) completed an evaluation of the three issues outlined in GL 96-06. North Atlantic reported to the NRC in its response to GL 96-06 that Seabrook Station's containment air cooler cooling water system was not susceptible to either water hammer or two phase flow. North Atlantic also reported that the containment penetrations themselves were not susceptible to thermally induced over pressurization. However, several containment isolation valves were found to have the potential for over pressurization due to the heatup of trapped fluid in the piping inboard of the inner containment isolation valve. Corrective actions were initiated to ensure that the affected containment isolation valves are not challenged by overpressure conditions and would remain capable of performing their intended safety function. North Atlantic initiated non-emergency one hour reports on January 13 and 24, 1997, due to the potential for containment bypass. The postulated bypass scenario is one in which the inner containment isolation valves that are susceptible to the thermally induced overpressure and bypass condition. The two systems are the Safety Injection System [BQ] test line and accumulator fill line, and the Waste Liquid System [WK]. A vent valve in each system was opened to provide a controlled relief path should the postulated condition develop.
443-97-005	5b	On March 14, 1997 North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (North Atlantic) discovered that the four Main Steam Line Radiation Monitors [IL] (MSLRM) were positioned downstream of the pipe branches to the atmospheric steam dump valves (ASDV) contrary to the UFSAR piping and instrumentation diagrams for the Main Steam System. With the MSLRMs located downstream of the ASDV pipe branches, radioactive steam releases through these valves under steam generator tube rupture accident conditions would not have been correctly quantified and non-conservative input data could have been used by the emergency plan dose projection models. For the period from full power license issuance in March 1990 to March 20, 1997, as a result of the mispositioning of the MSLRMs, non-conservative input data could have been used by the dose projection models which could have resulted in an underestimation of offsite radiation doses, and inappropriate protective action recommendations. The condition described in this LER is limited to a steam generator tube rupture accident. It would not have affected emergency classifications or protective action recommendations initiated based on plant Critical Safety Function conditions, field monitoring results, or radioactive releases from the plant vent. During normal operation, steam releases from the ASDVs are quantified as to radiological content by sampling and analysis of the Main Steam System. This condition was discovered during a system walkdown performed as part of operator training. This event is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(I). The causes of this event are attributed to (1) human errors involving inadequate instrument plan drawings, installation details and P&ID walkdowns and (2) misjudgment errors during the preparation of a minor modification. The MSLRMs were declared inoperable on March 14, 1997. The MSLRMs were repositioned upstream of the pipe branches to the ASDVs and the dose projection models revised to reflect the new location. The MSLRMs were declared operable at 1

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
443-97-016	6b	On November 5, 1997, North Atlantic determined that the ATWS mitigating system actuation circuitry (AMSAC) C-20 interlock setpoint was non-conservative in that it enabled AMSAC at 40 percent turbine power rather than at 40 percent reactor power as assumed in the Westinghouse ATWS analysis. At that time, North Atlantic did not have an analysis that determined the effect of voiding in the reactor vessel at 44 percent reactor power, which is estimated to correspond to approximately 40 percent turbine power under steady state conditions. On November 5, 1997, North Atlantic reported this as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). Subsequent Westinghouse analysis determined that arming AMSAC at the C-20 interlock based on 40 percent turbine power remains appropriately conservative. As a result, on February 5, 1998, North Atlantic retracted the November 5, 1997 report made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B). This condition was caused by the lack of a precise definition of the required power level for the C-20 interlock in the applicable WCAPs. This condition did not create any significant adverse safety consequences. North Atlantic issued a Standing Operating Order to promptly reduce reactor power to less than 40 percent if the C-20 interlock status light de-energize due to turbine power being less than 40 percent while reactor power is above 40 percent. Subsequently, a design change was implemented to revise the AMSAC C-20 interlock setpoint to reflect a turbine impulse pressure equivalent to 20 percent reactor power, thus ensuring that AMSAC is armed prior to reaching 40 percent reactor power.
445-97-002 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	Зb	On March 19, 1997, at approximately 10:40 a.m. CST, a condition was identified where the time for containment spray switchover from the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) to the containment sump could take longer than the time assumed in the supporting calculation: thus resulting in insufficient water to supply containment spray. On March 19, 1997, a TU Electric engineer (utility, non-licensed), conservatively concluded that the postulated scenario for the analyzed time for containment spray switchover from the RWST to the sumps compared to the available volumes in the RWST was considered outside of the CPSES design basis. TU Electric believes that the cause of this condition was a failure of contract engineering to verify the assumption of valve stroke times assumed in the design of the facility. Analysis of this condition has determined that the containment spray system remained operable. TU Electric is revising calculations, including level setpoints as appropriate, and the FSAR to reflect the capability to complete containment spray switchover without interruption of flow. Although the current emergency operating procedures should result in switchover without interruption of flow under realistic scenarios, the procedures are being revised to assure the operator can complete the switchover without interruption of flow under design basis accident conditions including the worst single active failure.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
445-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	5b	On May 8, 1997, TU Electric Engineering personnel (utility, non-licensed) were performing a 10CFR50.59 safety screen on a condition described in a Comanche Peak Steam Electric (CPSES) deficiency document. The condition being reviewed involved air flowing out of floor drains. During the safety screen Reactor Engineering noted a Unit1/Unit2 difference which could impact the environmental analysis on the Main Steam (MS) (EIIS:(SB)) and Feedwater (FW) (EIIS:(SJ)) outside containment penetration areas. TU Electric believes the cause of this condition was that implementation of the System Interaction Program (SIP) did not adequately consider the direct communication paths between multiple rooms in the Safeguard Building through interconnecting floor drains when evaluating the effects of a postulated one square foot pipe crack in the break exclusion zones of main feedwater and steam system piping. Compensatory action has been taken to plug the drains in the MS and FW outside containment penetration areas of both units to mitigate the consequences of the postulated line breaks. This condition is being further analyzed by Engineering. The identified condition does not impact OPERABILITY per the CPSES Technical Specifications (TS), since these breaks are not part of the bases for the TS. Additionally, the compensatory action eliminated postulated adverse effects which could have exceeded the CPSES design.
445-97-008 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On October 2, 1997, at approximately 1:00 p.m. CDT, a condition was identified with the potential to prevent multiple Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) lines from performing their intended design function following a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). The as found condition was that the containment recirculation sump screen mesh size was greater than the available gap in more than one ECCS injection throttle valve. This condition could potentially result in the interruption of ECCS flow for the affected lines. On October 2, 1997, at approximately 4:43 p.m. CST, TU Electric concluded that the postulated scenario was credible and a condition that resulted in the plant being outside of the design basis did exist. TU Electric believes that the cause of this condition was the failure to identify the severely throttled valve position and the internal valve design which resulted in an available gap size of less than the containment sump screen mesh size. This condition existed within the original configuration of the plant after ECCS flow balancing to Westinghouse supplied criteria. Analysis of this condition has determined that the ECCS remains OPERABLE. However, corrective action will be implemented by completion of design modifications to restore the original design basis of the plant by the end of the seventh refueling outage for Unit 1 (1RF07) and the next available refueling outage for Unit 2 (2RF04).
454-97-013	NRS - 6c	The 1B Safety Injection pump suction vent valve was found open on operator rounds and was promptly closed. Difficulties had occurred with pump venting on the previous day. The last time the valve was known to have been operated was 20 hours earlier to support troubleshooting. Had a design LOCA occurred with the vent open, the non-seismic piping downstream of the vent is assumed to fail. The resulting leakage of contaminated sump water could cause offsite doses to substantially exceed regulatory limits. This exceedance would occur during recirculation when the Residual Heat Removal pump pumps containment sump water to the Safety Injection pump suction. The cause of the valve being open is unknown. Work activities in the area were reviewed and none were found that manipulated the valve. An evaluation will be done to increase awareness/strengthen controls on components that could increase post-LOCA ECCS leakage. Based on evaluations of pump operability by the vendor, flooding impact, effects on ECCS flow and realistic dose estimates, this event did not pose a threat to the health and safety of the public or plant personnel. This event is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), Operation Outside the Design Basis.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
454-97-019 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	Westinghouse analysis shows that for high power, high burnup assembly Integral Fuel Burnable Absorber fuel rods, calculated rod internal pressures are in excess of the Westinghouse fuel rod design criterion that "the fuel rod pellet-to-clad gap shall not re-open." Additionally, if the "no gap re-opening" criterion is exceeded, the 17% clad oxidation limit following postulated Loss of Coolant Accident event, as defined in 10CFR50.46, can likewise be exceeded. Westinghouse has since determined that all currently operating plants are in compliance with 10CFR50.46. Westinghouse has completed the Byron Unit 2 analyses using plant specific conditions which conclude there is no gap re-opening and the 17% post-LOCA clad oxidation limit is not exceeded. All fuel design limits and 10CFR50.46 criteria are met. Cause of the issue was that Westinghouse to review and improve analytical models; gather additional data; and perform plant by plant assessments. Byron Station will address this issue in the Byron Unit 1 Cycle 9 safety evaluation. A search of the Nuclear Station Regulatory Assurance database found no previous occurrences. The fuel rod design issues potentially result in a condition outside the design basis reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii).
455-97-001	5a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. On March 15, 19 97, Unit 2 was cooling down and entering maintenance outage B2F18. The Unit 2 containment floor drains were found full of water during the Mode 3 walkdown. It was determined that debris had clogged the flow control device. This blockage would not allow the weir box to detect a one gallon per minute leak in containment. A water hose was used to blast the blockage clear at the flow control device and all drains opened up. An at power containment entry was made for Unit 1 to check the containment drains on March 19, 1997, which found no drains blocked. The root cause for this blockage was the concrete and bar grating to the entrance of the drain system was not installed in accordance with design specifications. This allowed debris to enter the drain system and become lodged in the flow control device. Operability assessment 97-027 was performed for the Unit 2 leak detection system [BD] for the deficiency in the bar gratings. There have been no previous occurrences of this event. To prevent recurrence, a new bar grating design will be installed. There were no adverse consequences to the health and safety of the general public or plant personnel.
456-97-009 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	7	Westinghouse analysis shows that for high power, high burnup assembly Integral Fuel Burnable Absorber fuel rods, calculated rod internal pressure are in excess of the Westinghouse fuel rod design criterion that "the fuel rod pellet-to-clad gap shall not re-open." Additionally, if the "no gap reopening criterion is exceeded, the 17% clad oxidation limit following postulated Loss of Coolant Accident event, as defined in 10CFR50.46, can likewise be exceeded. Westinghouse has since determined that all currently operating plants are in compliance with 10CFR50.46. Cause of the issue was Westinghouse used inadequate methods and incorrect assumptions in fuel rod design code. Corrective actions: Westinghouse completed plant-specific analyses on March 6, 1998. These analyses indicate that gap re-opening occurs during Braidwood 1 Cycle 7 operation, but that the 10 CFR 50.46 cladding oxidation criterion continues to be met. The criterion will be met through the cycle's End of Life (EOL) burnup of 20,000 MWD/MTU. In addition, all analyses supporting the conclusions of the Cycle 7 Reload Safety Evaluation demonstrate that operation until EOL is valid. Braidwood System Engineering and Nuclear Fuel Services have reviewed the results of these analyses and concur with the conclusion. A search of the Nuclear Station Regulatory Assurance database found no previous occurrences.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
461-97-006	6c	On February 19, 1997, the plant was in Mode 4 (Cold Shutdown) and reactor coolant temperature was being maintained between 95 and 105 degrees Fahrenheit, reactor pressure was atmospheric. An engineer discovered that there was a circuit breaker coordination problem between 120 volt circuit breakers and safety related 480 volt circuit breakers that supply 120 volt distribution panels. This is contrary to the design basis of the plant which requires that the 120 volt circuit breakers be coordinated with the 480 volt supply circuit breaker to the 120 volt distribution panel on electrical distribution systems that contain safe shutdown and non-safe shutdown equipment. Three occurrences of this condition were discovered. The cause of this event has been attributed to an error by the architect engineer for Clinton Power Station. Corrective actions for this event include modifying the three affected circuits and reviewing the electrical distribution scheme to ensure proper circuit breaker coordination.
461-97-007	NRS - 6a	POWER LEVEL - 000%. With the plant in COLD SHUTDOWN, a System engineer recognized that a Probabilistic Risk Analysis (PRA) performed for General Electric Magne Blast breakers in Division 3 High Pressure Core Spray System switchgear in the racked-down (disconnected) Position was not valid for evaluating the seismic qualification and operability of the switchgear. Further, procedures were not adequate to prevent the breakers from being left in the breaker cubicle in the racked-down Position while performing on-line maintenance activities for an extended period of time. Leaving the breakers in the racked-down position in the cubicle for extended periods of time was a normal practice. The cause of this event is attributed to lack of procedural guidelines to maintain seismic qualification of the Division 3 breakers and switchgear. Corrective actions include verifying breakers were in the racked-in (connected) position, issuing an Operations Night Order requiring that racked-down breakers must be removed from the cubicle, performing a revised PRA, reviewing and revising as needed the seismic analysis, and revising procedures to address the acceptability of breaker configurations.
461-97-008	6b	POWER LEVEL - 000%. In 1992, Illinois Power electrical design engineers raised a concern that the setpoints for second-level undervoltage relays in the Auxiliary Power system may not be correctly set to provide adequate voltage for proper equipment operation. In 1994, an extensive study of the AP system response under Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) conditions identified the minimum voltage required at all levels from the 4160-volt to the 120-volt levels and concluded that the second-level undervoltage relay minimum reset point of 3799 volts would not ensure sufficient voltages for all equipment fed from the 120-volt motor control center distribution panels following a postulated LOCA scenario. This condition was identified on Licensee Event Report (LER) 94-005. However, it was not recognized at that time that the relays must be considered inoperable. Corrective actions for LER 94-005 included a Main Control Room alarm, revising the annunciator procedure for operator guidance, training of operators to respond to an undervoltage relays and regulating transformers. In March of 1997, the Improved Technical Specification requirements for power sources and instrumentation were reviewed to submit an amendment to the Facility Operating License No. NPF-62. Based on that review, the operability requirements of the undervoltage relays were not being met, so the relays and the associated Emergency Diesel Generators were declared inoperable.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
461-97-010	6b	Due to a concern raised throughout the nuclear industry about degraded voltage protection, Illinois Power (IP) engineers began a study of the effects of degraded voltage on safety-related equipment. This study involved, in part, a review of the calculations used to set the minimum bus voltage required to ensure proper operation of safety-related (Class 1E) 120-VAC equipment. In review of these calculations plant engineers determined a new minimum voltage value of 4030-VAC required to be present at the off-site power sources vice the previous value of 3744-VAC. Review of past voltage data during the first week of April, 1997, showed that fluctuations in bus voltages had resulted in voltage less than the new minimum value of 4030-VAC and that one of the offsite power sources should have been declared inoperable. It was determined that the required action as stated in the Technical Specifications (TS) section 3.8.2, "Initiate action to restore required off-site power circuit to OPERABLE status immediately," was not met. This event was personnel error in the failure to adequately review operability requirements of plant equipment. Corrective action will include a revision to the appropriate surveillance procedures that determine equipment operability.
461-97-016	NRF - 5a	On June 17, 1997, the plant was in mode 4 (Cold Shutdown), and the sixth refueling outage was in progress. A Quality Assurance Technical Specialist identified that the required fixed sealed beam lighting units with eight hour minimum battery supplies were not provided for one location where manual action is required to safely shutdown the plant by the Safe Shutdown Analysis (SSA). The requirement for eight hour emergency lights, which constitutes the design basis for emergency lighting, is specified in Branch Technical Position-APCSB 9.5-1 and 10CFR50 Appendix R section III J. The manual action that was required to be performed was to open valve 1E12-F024B locally because a hot short of the cables associated with valve 1E12-F006B could cause that valve to open which would actuate an interlock that prevents valve 1E12-F024B from opening. The cause of this event could not be determined. Corrective actions for this event include: preparing a modification and administrative controls to de-energize valve 1E12-F006B during modes when it is not necessary, this prohibits 1E12-F024B from being affected by a hot short and the subsequent requirement for emergency lights, and a review of other manual actions in the SSA to determine if there were other occurrences where adequate lighting were not provided as required by the plant design basis.
461-97-020	3b	On July 15, 1997, Illinois Power (IP) personnel were reviewing the effect of degraded protective coatings in containment on the ability of the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) pumps to have the required net positive suction head (NPSH). IP personnel could not determine that these protective coatings would not fail during an accident and deposit on the ECCS pump suction strainers causing the pumps to not meet their design NPSH requirements. Also, the quantity of non-qualified flexible materials in the containment may have had similar effects during accident conditions on the ECCS NPSH. The cause of this event was the failure to recognize the impact of the degraded protective coatings on the ECCS pumps NPSH and the failure to implement better controls on the use of flexible materials in the containment. Corrective actions include: removal of degraded coatings, periodic inspection and evaluation of the remaining protective coatings, testing of existing coatings, and providing additional procedural controls and training on the use of flexible materials in the containment building. In addition, excess flexible materials were removed.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
461-97-022	За	The diesel generator (DG) room ventilation system was designed based on an outside air temperature range of -2 degrees Fahrenheit (F), winter minimum temperature, and 96 degrees F, summer maximum temperature. The Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR) identifies temperature extremes of -22 degrees F and 112 degrees F based on surrounding area temperature data. Analysis determined that the DG ventilation system is unable to maintain DG room temperature within the design limit during the area extreme high temperature identified in the USAR. The cause for this condition was design engineers, oversight. During the investigation, annunciator power supplies located in the DG rooms were identified as not meeting Class 1E qualification due to improper implementation of a design modification. Corrective actions include revising procedures, evaluating components for increased room temperature, installing a temporary modification to reduce temperature inside a control panel, design changes to the DG Direct Current (DC) electrical distribution system, reviewing other ventilation systems for the design deficiencies, correcting the USAR, correcting the DG DC annunciator power supply Class 1E deficiency, evaluating the effects of outside air temperature extremes and verifying Class 1E deficiencies do not exist in other control panels. This condition is reportable under 10CFR21.
461-97-025	NRF - 3a	On October 2, 1997, the plant was in Mode 4, reactor coolant temperature was being maintained within a band of 100 to 120 degrees Fahrenheit and pressure was atmospheric. Engineering personnel were resolving a condition report written to investigate the potential for a fire in the Main Control Room causing hot shorts of circuitry for motor operated valves (MOV) required for safe shutdown of the plant from the remote shutdown panel. A hot short could potentially cause the MOV to spuriously operate bypassing the MOV control circuitry protective features. When the MOV control circuit protective features are bypassed, the potential exists for the valve to be damaged to the extent that the valve could not be operated either manually or electrically. This is contrary to the design basis of the plant described in 10CFR50 Appendix R, Section III.G. The cause of this design deficiency could not be determined. Approximately fifty valves are potentially affected by this condition. Corrective actions for this event include: analyze and modify, as required, each affected MOV circuit so that a fire in the Main Control Room will not affect the ability of the valve to operate so that the capability to achieve safe shutdown is assured, review of other component types for applicability, and revise the engineering review standards to require that changes to safe shutdown electrical circuits be reviewed for this condition.
461-97-026	5b	On October 14, 1997, the plant was in Mode 4 (Cold Shutdown) for the sixth refueling outage (RF-6), reactor [RCT] coolant temperature was being maintained within a band of 100 to 120 degrees Fahrenheit and pressure was atmospheric. Plant engineers identified that the level of silt in the area of the shutdown service water system (SX) pump intake area exceeded the level required to ensure the operability of the Division I and II SX pumps. The cause of this event was attributed to the failure to perform an adequate inspection of the SX pump intake area. The inspection procedure for the plant service water screenhouse did not specifically require an inspection of the SX pump intake area and establish an acceptance criteria for the level of silt in the plant service water intake area. Corrective actions for this event include: cleaning of the plant service water intake structure, evaluating, inspecting or testing the Division I and II SX heat exchangers to determine operability, and revising the inspection procedure to include acceptance criteria for silt levels, trending of silt accumulation, and periodic inspection of the SX portion of the pump intake structure.

LER NUMBER	SAFETY CATEGORY	EVENT ABSTRACT
498-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	POWER LEVEL - 100%. During an evaluation in response to Generic Letter 96-06, 'Assurance of Equipment Operability and Containment Integrity During Design-Basis Accident Conditions,' an engineering review identified a 3-inch Liquid Waste Processing System line on each unit that could potentially be susceptible to thermal expansion of fluid with resultant piping over pressurization in the event of a design-basis accident. Lines 3'WL-1009 (Unit 1) and 3'WL-2009 (Unit 2) are used to drain the Reactor Coolant Drain Tank to the Liquid Waste Processing System. Conservative analysis of the uninsulated line identified a potential over pressurization condition during a design basis accident that could result in piping stresses exceeding ASME Code allowable limits. To ensure that over pressurization of this piping would not occur, the affected section of the line was drained. Additional analysis showed that piping stress allowable limits would not be exceeded if the line were insulated. Subsequently, a design change installed insulation on the piping and the line was returned to service. No loss of containment integrity would have occurred as a result of this condition. The potential condition was reported in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(1)(ii) on January 23, 1997. This potential condition is also reportable accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), condition outside the design basis of the plant.
528-97-003 (MULTI-UNIT APPLICABILITY)	6b	On July 25, 1997, at approximately 1200 MST, Palo Verde Units 1, 2, and 3 were in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION), operating at approximately 100 percent power when APS Engineering personnel identified that certain electrical conduit penetration fittings within safety related buildings had been in a condition which would have allowed the migration of flood water to other elevations and potentially render safety related equipment unable to perform its safety function. The cause of the condition was attributed to a construction design deficiency which did not consider the submergence characteristics of conduit fittings used for the penetrations. As corrective action, the fittings were sealed to prevent the migration of flood water to other elevations and equipment and appropriate drawings have been revised to provide for the current proper sealing of the penetration following maintenance, modifications, and new construction. No previous similar events have been reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73.