Attachment I

CALCULATION M-DSC-373,

"Reactor Pressure Vessel Minimum Boltup Temperature"

 $\bar{\beta}$

SCE 26-121-1 REV. **3 9Wg6** (REFERENCE: S0123-XXNV-7. **15)**

Site File Copy

CALCULATION CROSS-INDEX

 λ

SCE 26-424 REV. 3 8/99 [REFERENCE, SO123-XXIV-7.15]

 $\sim 10^{11}$

 \mathcal{I} \mathbb{R}^2

 \pm

 \sim

 \sim

CALCULATION COVER **SHEET**

 $\sim 10^6$

 \sim

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\sim 10^7$

QAN45 REV. 8196

 \sim

 $M - 05C - 373$ 5H 4

STRESS REPORT CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

The undersigned, a Registered Professional Engineer competent in the field of design and stress analysis, certifies that the evaluation report complies with the requirements of the Design Specification, Section III of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code 1971 Edition through Summer 1971 addenda, and Section XI of the ASME Code 1989 Edition, is correct and complete with respect to the design calculations set forth in this report.

Havent Cyall

 $\frac{3/6}{\text{Date}}$

State of California

Certificate Number 17973 (9/30/01)

OAE17 **REV 8/96**

 $M - 05c - 373$ $5H.5$ Made by: Date: **Plane:** Client: $Calculation No.: AES-C-3865-1$ Checked by: Date: Project No.: Title: Reactor Pressure Vessel Flange Temperature **السمين العالمية العالمية التي تصدر العالمية العالمية** التي تصدر العالمية العالمية التي تصدر ال 0 1-2 **3** of 20 TABLE OF CONTENTS Section Title **Title** Page 1.0 INTRODUCTION 4 2.0 SUMMARY 6 3.0 ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS 7 4.0 METHODOLOGY 8 **5.0 DESIGN INPUT** 10 5.1 Component Geometry 10
5.2 Design Conditions 11 **Design Conditions 11** 5.3 Material Properties **11** 6.0 REFERENCES 16 7.0 NOMENCLATURE 18 8.0 CALCULATIONS 20 Appendix A — Verification of Safety Margins **A-1** of **A-11**

 $M - 05c - 373$ 5H 6

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The pressure-temperature (P-T) limits for the reactor coolant system (RCS) are governed by the Technical Specification (TS) for the plant (Ref. **1).** A minimum temperature of 86°F is required to tension the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) head studs. Hence the TS requires that the RCS temperature (i.e., flange metal temperature) cannot be less than **86'F** when the RPV head studs are tensioned. This limit is based on original design calculations to Appendix **G** of American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Section **III** for the establishment of the P-T curves for operation, including conditions for flange boltup (Ref. 2).

The purpose of this calculation is to determine an updated flange temperature limit for boltup based on Appendix G of ASME Section XI (Ref. 3). It is the objective of this calculation to justify a lower temperature limit for boltup than the current value of **86°F.**

This calculation makes use of material nil ductility (RT_{NDT}) data. The calculation also verifies the available safety margins, as determined by fracture analysis using stress distributions for pressure and boltup loadings that are adequate to the guidelines of ASME Section XI (Ref. 4). These verification calculations are included in Appendix A.

The RPV geometry showing the upper head, head flange, shell, and nozzles is given in Figure 1-1 (Ref. 5). The areas of interest are the RPV flange, closure head flange, and associated weld regions. The design specification for the RPV is given in Ref. 6.

المناصب والمتالين \sim

2.0 SUMMARY

 $\pmb{\quad \text{H\#RPTECHI}}$

The minimum boltup temperature (MBT) was determined from the RT_{NOT} of the flange materials; specifically, base material and welds for the RPV flange, shell, closure head flange, and torus ring. In applying the recommendation of Article G-2222(c) of ASME Section XI, Appendix G (Ref. 3), an MBT of 59°F was established. This minimum temperature limit includes a maximum temperature measurement error of $+19^{\circ}$ F based on RCS total loop uncertainties.

Further analysis, based on fracture mechanics, was performed to verify that adequate safety margins exist at MBT. The verification calculations follow the general procedures for determining P-T limits per Article G-2220 of ASME Section XI, Appendix **G,** with the objective of computing the available safety margins when metal temperature is equal to RT_{NDT} (i.e., nominal value for MBT). The details of this calculation are given in Appendix A. This analysis was performed for information only and confirms that reasonable margins exist for an MBT of 59°F.

Under the same analysis conditions imposed for P-T curve determination, and with the use of static initiation toughness reference curves, a minimum safety margin of 1.5 was established. It was judged, based on the flaw acceptance criteria of IWB-3613 (Ref. 4), that this margin level is reasonable and adequate for the vessel under boltup loading.

3.0 ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions were made in performing the flange temperature limit calculations:

- 1. The procedures of ASME Section XI, Appendix G, are used to determine minimum temperature limit for flange boltup conditions.
- 2. The maximum initial RT_{NOT} (from original construction) for the flange material from either Unit 2 or Unit 3 is conservatively used for both units to represent flange toughness.
- 3. The maximum initial RT_{NDT} (from original construction) for the weld/shell material from either Unit 2 or Unit 3 is conservatively used for both units to represent weld toughness.
- 4. Radiation embrittlement is assumed to be negligible since the flange region is remote from the core beitline.
- *5.* In the evaluation of available safety margins, a postulated defect of depth equal to 1/10t (where t is the vessel thickness) was assumed consistent with the original P-T limit calculations of Ref. 7.

4.0 METHODOLOGY

The calculation of minimum temperature limit for flange boltup was developed from the procedures of Article G-2220 of ASME Section XI, Appendix G (Ref. 3). The specific rule for bolt preloading is given in Article G-2222(c):

"It is recommended that when the flange and adjacent shell region are stressed by the full intended bolt preload and by pressure not exceeding 20% of the preoperational system hydrostatic test pressure, minimum metal temperature in the stressed region should be at least the initial RT_{NOT} temperature for the material in the stressed regions plus any effects of irradiation at the stressed regions."

Therefore, the MBT is established from the RT_{NDT} of the materials (base and weld) in the flange region, both on the vessel side and head side of the closure, with consideration for total loop uncertainties (TLU) in the measurement of temperature of the RCS cold leg. Hence, temperature measurement uncertainty is included so that

$$
MBT = RT_{NDT} + T_{TLU}
$$
 (4-1)

In order to verify the margins inherent in Eq. 4-1, P-T calculations for the flange are also performed. The P-T calculations are based on principles of fracture mechanics. The temperature limit is computed from the reference fracture toughness curve $(K_{IR} \text{ or } K_{tc})$, and applied stress intensity factor (K_1) , with appropriate safety factors from the following:

$$
K_1 < K_{IR} \tag{4-2}
$$

For vessel flanges under boltup condition, the $K₁$ value is determined from:

$$
K_{I} = K_{Im} + K_{Ib} \tag{4-3}
$$

where K_{lm} is the K_l value for primary membrane loading and K_{lb} is the K_l value for primary bending. The reference fracture toughness curves are defined by the following relationships:

$$
K_{IR} = 26.78 + 12.445 \exp[0.0145(T - RT_{NOT})]
$$
 (4-4)

$$
K_{1c} = 33.2 + 20.734 \exp[0.02(T - RT_{NOT})]
$$
 (4-5)

Equation 4-4 is the original toughness requirement given in Appendix G of ASME Section XI and is conservatively based on lower-bound dynamic initiation and crack arrest data of RPV steels (Ref. 3). Equation 4-5 is the alternate reference toughness curve in Appendix A of ASME Section X1 and is conservatively based on lower-bound crack initiation data for RPV steels (Ref, 4).

The determination of K, follows the general procedure of Appendix G, with crack shape parameters taken from Article A-3000 of Appendix A. The methods and description for the determination of K, are given in Appendix A of this calculation.

5.0 DESIGN INPUT

5.1 Component Geometry

The vessel geometry of general interest includes the shell, nozzle, and main flange. A schematic illustration of the vessel geometry is shown in Figure 5-1. The basic dimensions of the vessel components are as follows (Refs. 5, and 7 through 10):

QAE17 REV 8/96

The specific areas covered by this calculation are the RPV main flange, the flange-to-shell weld, the head flange, and the head flange-to-torus weld.

5.2 Design Conditions

The design and operating conditions for pressure and temperature are (Refs. **11** and 12):

 $P_D = 2500 \text{ psia}$ $T_{\text{D}} = 650^{\circ}F$ $P_0 = 2250$ psia $T_0 = 611.2$ °F

The hydrostatic test pressure for the vessel is 1.25 times design, or 3125 psia (Ref. 12).

Flange stresses due to boltup load were provided by Southern California Edison Company (SCE) in Ref. 7.

5.3 Material Properties

The materials that comprise the RPV, flange, closure head, and inlet nozzle (Refs. 10 through 12) are as follows:

In the analysis, the cladding is conservatively ignored in the determination of vessel strength. The reference temperature for RT_{NDT} for the base metal (Units 2 and 3) and weldments has been compiled in Ref. 13. A summary of the highest reported values for RT_{NDT} is given in Table 5-1 for base metal and in Table 5-2 for weld metal. Fracture toughness properties for the materials are determined from Eq. 4-3 or 4-4, as appropriate.

 \bar{z}

 $QAE17$
REV 8/96

 \cdot

 $\ddot{}$

M-DSC-373 5H.16

Calculation No.: AES-C-3865-1	Made by:	Date: 3/6/00	Client: SCE
Title: Reactor Pressure Vessel Flange Temperature	Checked by: 247	Date: 7 Med ϕ \hat{L} +	Project No.: AES 99123865-1Q
Limit for Boltup — SONGS, Units 2 and 3	Revision No.:	Document Control No.: Sheet No.:	
		1-2	14 of 20

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

J

M-056.373 $5H.18$

6.0 REFERENCES

- **1.** "RCS P/T Limits," 3.4.3, Technical Specifications, Amendment No. 116, San Onofre Unit 3; and "SDC and Coolant Circulation - High Water Level," 3.9.4, Technical Specifications, Amendment 153, San Onofre Unit 2 (ECD-22 from APTECH Project **ABS** 99063721 -1Q).
- 2. AS ME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code,* Section III, "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components," (1971 Edition, 1971 Summer Addenda).
- 3. ASME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code,* Section XI, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Failure," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1989 Edition.
- 4. ASME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code,* Section XI, Appendix A, "Evaluation of Flaws," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1989 Edition.
- *5.* Drawing 234-650, Revision 2, "General Arrangement (Elevation) 172" ID PWR," S023-901-205-0 (August 7, 1977) (ECD-5 from APTECH Project **AES** 99063721-1Q).
- 6. "General Specification for a Reactor Vessel Assembly," Specification No. 00000-PE-1 10, Revision 1, Combustion Engineering (August 11, 1971) (ECD-4 from APTECH Project **AES** 98063465-1Q).
- 7. Calculation No. RS-706, "Appendix **G** Evaluation," CE Power Systems (August 13, 1981) (ECD-11).
- 8. Drawing 234-554, Revision 7, "Nozzle Details," S023-901-44-4 (March 15, 1975) (ECD-5 from APTECH Project **AES** 99063721-1Q).
- 9. "Structural Analysis of the Closure Head and Vessel Assembly," Combustion Engineering Company Report, Appendix A, p. A-61 (ECD-11 from APTECH Project **AES** 99063721-1Q).
- 10. Drawing 234-651, "General Arrangement (Plan) 172" ID PWR," S023-901-204-0 (March 3, 1976) (ECD-5 from APTECH Project **AES** 99063721-1Q).

- 11. "Project Specification for A Reactor Vessel Assembly for San Onofre Units No. 2 and No. 3," Specification No. 01370-PE-110, Revision 4, Combustion Engineering, (May 7, 1976) (APTECH Project **AES** 98083465-10, ECD-2).
- 12. "Analytical Report for Southern California San Onofre Unit 2 Reactor Vessel," Report CENC-1269, Combustion Engineering (October 1976) (APTECH Project **AES** 98083465-1Q, ECD-3).
- 13. Letter to **J.** Gaor (SCE) from ABB/CE, "San Onofre Unit 2 and 3 Minimum Boltup Temperature," S-PENG-99-023 (December 28, 1999) (ECD-13).
- 14. "Revised P-T Curves for 20 EFPY, SONGS 2 & 3," Calc No. M-0011-063, Southern California Edison Company (May 31, 1994) (APTECH Project **AES** 99063721-1Q, ECD-10).

 \sim

7.0 NOMENCLATURE

T

 T_D T_{TLU} To

= Temperature, °F

= Design temperature, °F

= Temperature measurement uncertainty for total system loop, \degree F

= Operating temperature, 'F

8.0 CALCULATIONS

The determination of MBT requires the solution of Eq. 4-1. Per Article G-2222(c) of Appendix G, it is recommended that the minimum metal temperature be at least the initial RT_{NDT} of the material plus any effects of radiation embrittlement. Since the flange region is remote from the vessel core beltline, it is assumed that the embrittlement effects on RT_{NDT} are negligible.

From Tables 5-1 and 5-2, the maximum RT_{NDT} is established from base metal nil ductility temperature properties. The maximum RT_{NDT} is $40^{\circ}F$ (upper-shelf) for Unit 2 and 31[°]F (uppershelf) for Unit 3. It is assumed that the closure head dome is remote from the flange and, therefore, not subject to peak boltup stresses. From Eq. 4-1,

 $MBT = RT_{NOT} + T_{TLU} = 40 + 19 = 59^{\circ}F$

where the TLU on temperature (T_{TLU}) is equal to $\pm 19^{\circ}$ F (Sheet 20 of Ref. 14). Therefore, the MBT for the RPV flange for Units 2 and 3 is 59'F.

 $M - D5c - 373$ $34, 23$

Appendix **A** VERIFICATION OF SAFETY **MARGINS**

A.1 PURPOSE

To confirm that adequate safety margins exist at the minimum boltup temperature (MBT), a fracture mechanics analysis is performed. This evaluation follows the general procedures for determining the P-T curves per Article G-2220 of ASME Section XI, Appendix G (Ref. A-I). The objective of this calculation is to compute the available safety margins when flange temperature is equal to nominal MBT:

 $T = MBT = RT_{NDT} = 40^{\circ}F$

This calculation is provided as background information and verification for the determination of MBT.

A.2 Analysis Locations

The calculation for available margin was performed for four locations: (1) the flange-to-shell weld, (2) the RPV flange base metal, (3) the closure head flange, and (4) the flange-to-torus weld. The locations are shown in Figure **A-1.**

The postulated defect is a 1/10t deep surface crack with an aspect ratio (length-to-depth) of 6 to 1. The defect is postulated on the outside surface and oriented circumferentially. This flaw location and orientation will be bounding since the highest combined stresses will be axially oriented (i.e., normal to the plane containing the postulated defect).

A.3 Flange Stresses

The flange stress at the four analysis locations included the full bolt preload and a pressure of 20% of the preoperational hydrostatic test pressure. From Section 5.2, the hydrostatic test pressure is 3125 psia.

A.3.1 Pressure Stress

The pressure stress is assumed to be a primary membrane stress equal to the end cap pressure load divided by the cross-sectional area:

$$
\sigma_{\mathsf{m}} = \frac{\mathsf{p} \, \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{i}}^2}{(\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{o}}^2 - \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{i}}^2)} \tag{A-1}
$$

The internal pressure is computed as:

 $p = 0.20p_h = 0.20(3125 - 14.7)$ $p = 622 \text{ psig}$

From Section 5.1, the inside and outside radius (conservatively excluding the clad) and wall thickness of the flange locations are summarized below:

* Inner radius to base metal

From Eq. A-1, the pressure stress for each location is summarized below:

A.3.2 Boltup Stress

ENIEAPTE AHI **A**HI **A**HI **A**HI **AHI AHI** AHI ^A

The primary membrane and bending stresses due to boltup were obtained from stress information provided by SCE (Ref. **A-2).** The membrane and bending components were determined by linearization of the stress distribution across the wall. Peak tensile stress occurs at the vessel outside surface. The corresponding σ_m and σ_b values are listed below:

Bending stress was estimated by scaling σ_h at Section 1 stress based on the square of the thickness ratio between Section 2 and Section 1.

A.4 Available Toughness at MBT

The verification of the minimum temperature is determined from Section 4.0 for the two separate fracture toughness reference curves. For temperature equal to minimum possible temperature, $T = 40^{\circ}F$ (i.e., MBT - T_{TLU}), the reference toughness levels are given by:

$$
K_{IR} = 26.78 + 12.445 \exp[0.0145(40 - RT_{NDT})]
$$
 (A-2)

QAE17 REV 8196

and

$$
K_{IR} = 33.2 + 20.734 \exp[0.02(40 - RT_{NDT})]
$$
 (A-3)

 \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim

Equation A-2 is based on the original K_{IR} curve (Ref. A-1) and Eq. A-3 is the alternate definition
for K_{IR} (based on K_{Ic} in Ref. A-3) as permitted by Code Case N-640 (Ref. A-4). For the maximum
RT_{NDT} for each summarized below:

The definition of K₁, excluding a safety factor on primary loads, is

$$
K_{I} = K_{Im} + K_{Ib} \tag{A-4}
$$

Therefore, the safety factor margin (SF) is defined as:

$$
SF = K_{IR}/K_{I}
$$
 (A-5)

Determination of K₁ $A.5$

A.5.1 Analysis Equations

The determination of K_t follows the procedures of ASME Section XI, Appendix G (Ref. A-1), with crack shape parameters taken from Article A-3000 of Appendix A (Ref. A-3). The basic equations for K_i are:

$$
K_{lm} = \sigma_m G_0 [\pi a/Q]^{0.5}
$$
 (A-6)

$$
K_{1b} = \sigma_b [G_0 - 2G_1(a/t)] [\pi a/Q]^{0.5}
$$
 (A-7)

$$
\sigma_{m} = PR_{i}^{2}/(R_{o}^{2} - R_{i}^{2})
$$
 (A-8)

$$
Q = 1 + 4.593 (a/\ell)^{1.65}
$$
 (A-9)

where σ_b is the bending stress across the flange section due to boltup. For the purpose of this evaluation, bolt preload stresses are considered primary. The crack parameters G_0 and G_1 are defined in Article A-3320 for surface cracks in a linear varying stress field. The pressure P is defined as 20% of the preoperational hydrotest pressure per Article G-2222(c).

The calculation of K_r was completed using the 1995 Edition of ASME Section XI as guidance. The current approved Code for SONGS is the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI. However, the flaw evaluation methods and criteria are very similar in both the 1989 and 1995 Codes. The 1995 Edition is used herein because the equations and information are more complete and direct in application to the problem being evaluated. For these reasons, the 1995 Edition is technically equivalent to the 1989 Edition and can be used in the assessment of safety margins.

A.5.2 Flange-to-Shell Joint

The calculation of K_i for the flange weld region uses the following parameters for solving Eq. A-4:

 $a = 0.10t = 1.097$ inches

 27

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{$

A.5.3 RPV Flanae Base Metal

The flange head is thicker than the weld region, but at a lower stress. The calculation of $K₁$ follows below:

> $a = 0.10t = 1.95$ inches $a/\ell = 1/6$ $\sigma_{\rm m} = 1.20$ ksi $\sigma_{h} = 6.03$ ksi

> > $K_{lm} = (1.20)(1.1015)[\pi(1.95)/1.239]^{1/2}$

 K_{Im} = 2.94 ksi in^{1/2}

 $K_{1b} = (6.03)[1.1015 - 2(0.6803)(0.1)][\pi(1.95)/1.239]^{1/2}$

 K_{1b} = 12.94 ksi in^{1/2}

and

$$
K_{\text{I}} = K_{\text{Im}} + K_{\text{Ib}} = 2.94 + 12.94 = 15.9 \text{ ksi in}^{1/2}
$$

A.5.4 Head Flange Base Metal

The head flange is not as thick as the RPV flange in the ring section evaluated in Ref. A-2. The calculation of K_1 is given below:

 $a = 0.10t = 1.02$ $a/\ell = 1/6$ $\sigma_m = 2.45 + 1.19 = 3.64$ ksi

 σ_{h} = 24.4 ksi

 $K_{Im} = (3.64)(1.1015)[\pi(1.020)/1.239]^{1/2}$

 K_{1m} = 6.45 ksi in^{1/2}

 K_{1b} = $(24.4)[1.1015 - 2(0.6803)(0.10)][\pi(1.020)/1.239]^{1/2}$

 K_{1b} = 37.9 ksi in^{1/2}

and

 K_1 = K_{Im} + K_{In} K_1 = 6.45 + 37.9 = 44.4 ksi in^{1/2}

A.5.5 Head Flanae-to-Torus Joint

The calculation of K_t for the head flange-to-torus weld location is given below:

 $a = 0.10t = 0.7844$ $a/\ell = 1/6$ $\sigma_m = 1.27 + 3.25 = 4.52$ ksi $\sigma_{\rm b}$ = 23.9 ksi K_{lm} = (4.52)(1.1015)[π (0.7844)/1.239]^{1/2} K_{Im} = 7.02 ksi in^{1/2} $K_{Ib} = (23.9)[1.1015 - 2(0.6803)(0.10)][\pi(0.7844)/1.239]^{1/2}$

> **QAE17** REV **8196**

 K_{1h} = 32.5 ksi in^{1/2}

and

 K_1 = K_{lm} + K_{lh} K_1 = 7.02 + 32.5 = 39.5 ksi in^{1/2}

A-6 Evaluation of Margins

The available margins when metal temperature is equal to $40^{\circ}F$ (i.e., MBT - T_{TLU}) was calculated from Eq. A-3. From the previous analysis for K_I and K_{IR} , the following results were obtained:

Given the conservative assumptions of a large flaw located in the highest stressed region and lower bound fracture toughness, the safety margins exceed 1.0 for dynamic toughness and 1.5 for static toughness (as permitted by Code Case N-640). The limiting location is the closure head flange-to torus region. A safety factor of two is specified in ASME Section XI, Appendix G for P-T limits during plant operation. However, a safety factor of $\sqrt{2}$ is specified for flaw acceptance criteria for boltup under IWB-3613 of ASME Section X1.

Given the fracture toughness provisions of Code Case N-640 and a $\sqrt{2}$ safety factor, the computed margins for MBT are reasonable and adequate. Therefore, the MBT derived from Article G-2222(c) has acceptable margins for SONGS Unit 2 and 3 flange component materials.

 $\overline{1}$

 \sim \sim \sim

A.7 References

- A-1. ASME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code,* Section XI, Appendix **G,** "Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Failure," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1989 Edition.
- A-2. Calculation No. RS-706, "Appendix **G** Evaluation," CE Power Systems (August **13,** 1981) (ECD-11).
- A-3. ASME *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code,* Section XI, "Rules for the Inservice Inspection Requirements of Nuclear Power Plant Components," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1995 Edition.
- A-4. Code Case N-640, "Alternate Reference Toughness for Development of P-T Limit Curves," (February 26, 1999).

 \bar{z}