

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station 5485 U.S. Highway 61 P. O. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 225 336 6225 Fax 225 635 5068

Rick J. King Director Nuclear Safety Assurance

April 20, 2000

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: River Bend Station - Unit 1 Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47 Licensee Event Report 50-458/00-005-00

File Nos. G9.5, G9.25.1.3

RBG-45331 RBF1-00-0094

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, enclosed is the subject Licensee Event Report. There are no commitments in this document.

Sincerely,

fich JKg

RJK/KHJ enclosure



Licensee Event Report 50-458/00-005-00 April 20, 2000 RBG-45331 RBF1-00-0094 Page 2 of 2

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center E-Mail

Mr. Jim Calloway Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3326

Mr. Prosanta Chowdhury Program Manager – Surveillance Division Louisiana DEQ Office of Radiological Emergency Planning & Response P. O. Box 82215 Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2215

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998)							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into											
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. burden Bounder Comments Comments Comments and U.S.										
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							the licensing process and fee back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.											
FACILITY NAME (1)								DO	DOCKET NUMBER (2)					PAGE (3)				
River Bend Station										05000-458					1 of 4			
TITLE (4) Operation Prohibited by the Plant's Technical Specifications due to Incorrectly Connected Motor Leads for the 'B' Primary Containment Unit Cooler Service Water Supply Valve																		
									ATE (7)		OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		ION	MONTH	DAY	YEAI	R	FACILITY NAME		E	DOCKET NUMBER			IBER		
03	21	2000	2000	05	00)	04	20	200	0	FAC	FACILITY NAME			DOCK	IBER		
OPERATING MODE (9) THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)										a) (11) a)(2)(viii)								
				20.2203(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)					50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)		a)(2)(x)		
		0%	20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)				73.71				
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)			+	50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v)			OTHER Specify in Abstract below					
	1544		20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.36(c)(2)			+	50.73(a)(2)(vii)				or in NRC Form 366A				
					LIC	ENS	SEE CONT		OR THIS	S.LEI								
NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)																		
D. N. Lorfing, Supervisor - Licensing 225-381-4157																		
			CON		LINE FOR I	AC	н сомро	NENT F	AILURE	E DE	SCRI	BED IN T	HIS REPORT (13)				
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE TO EPIX								REPORTABLE TO EPIX										
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							EXPECTED MONTH DAY				DAY	YEAR						
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).NO052400																		
ABSTR	ACT (L	imit to 140.	0 space	s, i.e., appro	oximately 1	5 sir	gle-space	d typev	vritten l	ines) (16	i)						
On March 21, 2000, with the plant in Mode 5 (Refueling), the motor operator breaker for the 'B' containment unit cooler service water supply valve was																		

breaker for the 'B' containment unit cooler service water supply valve was discovered to be incorrectly wired. This wiring error caused the valve's motor operator to move the valve in the closed direction upon receipt of an open signal. It was subsequently determined that this valve had been inoperable since the performance of its associated breaker overload functional test on February 9, 2000, when the plant was in Mode 1 (Power Operation). Since the plant was not shutdown until March 4, 2000, a time limit specified by Technical Specification 3.6.1.7 was exceeded. The 'A' primary containment unit cooler was continuously operable during this timeframe. This condition is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a) (2) (i) as an operation prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

The 'B' containment unit cooler service water supply valve was returned to an operable condition prior to plant startup. The failure of this valve had minimal safety or risk significance. A supplemental LER will be issued containing the root cause of this event.

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
	EE EVENT REPORT (LER)							
	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)				PAGE (3)			
River Bend Static	on	05000-458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	OF	4
			00	- 05	00			

REPORTED CONDITION

On March 21, 2000, with the plant in Mode 5 (Refueling), the motor operator breaker (**BKR**) for the 'B' containment unit cooler service water supply valve (**20**) was discovered to be incorrectly wired. This wiring error caused the valve's motor operator to move the valve in the closed direction upon receipt of an open signal.

It was subsequently determined that this valve had been inoperable since the performance of its associated breaker overload functional test on February 9, 2000, when the plant was in Mode 1 (Power Operation). Technical Specification 3.6.1.7 requires the plant to be shutdown following 7 days of operation with one primary containment unit cooler inoperable. Since the plant was not shutdown until March 4, 2000, this Technical Specification time limit was exceeded. The 'A' primary containment unit cooler was continuously operable during this timeframe, therefore; no other sections of this Technical Specification were affected.

This condition is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i) as an operation prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The primary containment unit coolers are automatically initiated on high drywell to containment differential pressure to supplement the condensing capability of the passive heat sinks within containment. These coolers are normally supplied with coolant from the chilled water system. During an accident, standby service water is used for cooling water.

The affected value is the standby service water supply value for the 'B' primary containment unit cooler. This value is designed to automatically open upon primary containment unit cooler initiation.

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)									
	ISEE EVENT REPORT (LER) XT CONTINUATION								
	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
River Bend Stat	ion	05000-458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3	OF	4	
			00	05	00				

INVESTIGATION AND IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The 'B' Containment Unit Cooler Supply Valve is electrically operated by a three phase motor. An inspection of the breaker for this motor operator revealed that the leads for two of these phases had been reversed. A review of the valve breaker's maintenance history determined that the last time these leads had been disconnected was during the breaker's overload functional test.

Placing the valve's control switch in the open position during the performance of a surveillance test caused the valve operator to attempt to close the valve. Since the valve was already fully closed, the motor drove the valve disk into the valve seat until the breaker thermal overloads tripped.

An engineering analysis was performed to evaluate the stresses experienced by the motor operator and the valve when the valve attempted to stroke in the wrong direction. This analysis concluded that the actual stresses applied to all motor operator and valve components were less than the corresponding material allowable stresses.

The valve's breaker was inspected, rewired, and tested to ensure that it had not been damaged. The valve was also inspected and tested to restore it to an operable condition.

All other three-phase breakers that were tested during the most recent performance of the breaker overload functional test were evaluated to ensure that similar wiring errors had not occurred. Each connected component was verified to be functional by the performance of surveillance testing, normal component operation, or other methods that would adequately confirm the component's function.

ROOT CAUSE, CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE, AND PREVIOUS OCCURRENCE EVALUATION

The root cause of this event is being evaluated. A supplemental LER will be issued containing the root cause, corrective actions to prevent recurrence, and an evaluation of previous occurrences.

NRC FORM 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
	EE EVENT REPORT (LER) T CONTINUATION							
	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)				PAGE (3)			
River Bend Statio	n	05000-458	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4	OF	4
		00 05 00						

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

The 'A' primary containment unit cooler was continuously operable while the 'B' primary containment unit cooler was inoperable. For this reason, this event did not involve a loss of safety function and this LER is not a safety system functional failure.

An evaluation was performed to determine the safety significance of the long-term inoperability of a primary containment unit cooler. For the purposes of this evaluation, it was assumed that the redundant unit cooler also failed to operate.

USAR Section 6.2.2 states that the primary containment unit coolers are not required to mitigate the effects of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) except in the case of drywell steam bypass. Calculations were performed to determine the magnitude of steam bypass leakage that would cause the primary containment pressure or temperature limits to be exceeded if no unit coolers were operating. The resulting leakage rates were greater than the Drywell Bypass Leakage Rate Surveillance Test acceptance criteria. The most recent performance of this surveillance test determined an actual leakage rate of approximately 11% of this acceptance criteria. Therefore, prior plant operation with one primary containment unit cooler inoperable would not have resulted in exceeding the primary containment pressure or temperature limits during a LOCA.

To further evaluate the significance, a risk evaluation for the inoperable unit cooler supply valve was performed. This evaluation concluded that failure of the containment ventilation system has insignificant impact on core damage frequency.

For these reasons, it may be concluded that failure of the 'B' Containment Unit Cooler Supply Valve had minimal safety or risk significance.

(Note: Energy industry component identification codes are annotated in the text as (**XXX**).)