

OFFICE OF THE

SECRETARY

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

April 21, 1989

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE REFER TO: M890407B

ACTION - Murley, NRR

Cys: Stello Taylor Thompson Blaha Beckjord

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

William C. Parler, General Counsel U.Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary

FROM:

SUBJECT:

STAFF REQUIREMENTS - AFFIRMATION/DISCUSSION AND VOTE, 11:30 A.M., FRIDAY, APRIL 7, 1989, COMMISSIONERS' CONFERENCE ROOM, ONE WHITE FLINT NORTH, ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND (OPEN TO PUBLIC ATTENDANCE)

<u>I. SECY-89-036 - Rulemaking on Early Site Permits, Design</u> <u>Certifications, and Combined Licenses</u>

This is to advise you that the Commission, by a 5-0 vote, approved the attached final rule amending 10 CFR to establish a new Part 52 and to make the necessary conforming changes to other sections of 10 CFR.

The final rule requires the staff to advise the Commission as \$90006; soon as practicable of the need for criteria for judging the safety of designs offered for certification that are different from or supplementary to current licensing standards in 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73, and 100. In this regard, the Commission wishes to be consulted as soon as instances arise in the staff's review of a particular application where the staff is proposing to go beyond the requirements of 10 CFR Parts 20, 50, 73, or 100, so that the Commission can -- (1) determine whether such an approach is necessary or sufficient for the design under review and, (2) evaluate the generic nature of the issue and determine whether additional rulemaking is necessary or appropriate prior to the design certification rulemaking. In its review of applications for design certification, the staff should identify with particularity each different or additional safety criterion which it believes should be applied to the design under review, and provide an analysis for each such criterion which documents why the current regulatory standards require modification or supplementation for that particular design. In addition, the staff should prepare and transmit to 8700063the Commission, as soon as practicable, a similar analysis with regard to the EPRI design requirements document now under staff review.

Rec'd Off. EDO Date 4-21-89 Time 1: 30p

Chairman Zech, while approving, would have preferred that the Commission retain for itself discretionary authority to impose additional measures to improve a certified design (and thus improve the plants built to that certified design). These measures would only have been imposed in instances where the Commission believed that there would be a significant improvement in the safety or reliability of plant operations.

(Subsequently, on April 7, 1989, the Secretary signed the Federal Register Notice.)

Attachment: As stated

> cc: Chairman Zech Commissioner Roberts Commissioner Carr Commissioner Rogers Commissioner Curtiss EDO GPA PDR - Advance DCS - P1-24