



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 12, 2000

Mr. Nathan L. Haskell Director, Licensing Palisades Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043

SUBJECT: PALISADES PLANT - COMPLETION OF STAFF REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO

GENERIC LETTER 98-04 (TAC NO. MA4078)

Dear Mr. Haskell:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures, or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
 - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design-basis loss-of-coolant accident (DBLOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

D/O/

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
- (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 10, 1998, for the Palisades Plant. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 29, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-3049.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:
Darl S. Hood, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate III
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-255

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

File Center PUBLIC

PDIII-1 Reading

ACRS

CLauron JDavis MJordan, RGN-III

PUBLIC OGC RPulsifer

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, INDICATE "C" IN THE BOX **OFFICE** PDIII-1/PM PDIII-1/LA EMCB/SC PDIII-1/SC RBouling 🕱 NAME DHood DS/ ESullivan & CCraid 1 10 /00 DATE 111 100 /00 /00

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIII-1\Palisades\ltra4078.wpd
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Palisades Plant

CC:

Mr. Thomas J. Palmisano Site Vice President Palisades Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, Mi 49043

Mr. Robert A. Fenech, Senior Vice President Nuclear, Fossil, and Hydro Operations Consumers Energy Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201

Arunas T. Udrys, Esquire Consumers Energy Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Jerry Sarno, Supervisor Covert Township P. O. Box 35 Covert, MI 49043

Office of the Governor P. O. Box 30013 Lansing, MI 48909

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office Palisades Plant 27782 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043 Drinking Water and Radiological Protection Division Michigan Department of Environmental Quality 3423 N. Martin Luther King Jr Blvd P. O. Box 30630 CPH Mailroom Lansing, MI 48909-8130

Michigan Department of Attorney General Special Litigation Division 630 Law Building P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909