

Electric Corporation Fuel Division **Fuel Division Electric Columbia South (803) 776 2610** 

Westinghouse **Commercial Nuclear** Commercial Commercial Communication Columbia South Carolina 29250

NRC-00-11

March 21, 2000

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Mr. Harry Felsher Licensing Section 1, Licensing Branch FCS&S Division, NMSS 11545 Rockville Pike Mail Stop T8D14 Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Dear Mr. Felsher:

#### SUBJECT: SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER SYSTEM LICENSE ANNEX (TAC NO. L31138) CHANGE

In accordance with our facsimile commitment of February 23, 2000 (copy attached), changed pages to the License Annex for the Safe Geometry Dissolver system are hereby submitted.

The only substantive change was the addition of crystalline UNH as an acceptable material form in the Safe Geometry Dissolver. NCS analysis determined that crystalline **UNH** is bounded by the previous analyses of the system. No criticality controls were affected. If you have any questions, please contact me at (803) 647-1000, Extension 3393.

Sincerely,

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY

nowili

Robert A. Williams Licensing Project Manager Westinghouse Columbia Plant

Docket 70-1151 License SNM-1107

Attachment

MMSSOIPublic

#### Williams, Robert **A.**



On February 22, 2000, Westinghouse Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility (CFFF) Regulatory Management apprroved a project that will require a change to the License Annex submitted in support of the Safe Geometry Dissolver Sys

#### Project Description

This change is to cover shipment receipt, receipts unloading, container transfer, and re-loading of shipment overpacks into transport containers or truck trailers for return of, shipments of UO2, U308 and UNH Crystals from outside sources, into Dock 3 in the URRS Area. Such shipments are to be an on-going source of alternate uranium supplies.

#### Regulatory Review

Project approved for startup and operation (by Manager; Environment, Health and Safety).

Necessary changed pages to the License Annex for the Safe Geometry Dissolver System will be submitted on (or about) March 22, 2000. (ACTION: DAVE WILLIAMS, DAISY MIXON)

Licensing Project Manager Westinghouse CFFF

Dudrawilliams

# SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER SYSTEM

# *SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER SYSTEM*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS



Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 Mar 00

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Page No. i Revision No. 1

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $-$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

# *SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER SYSTEM*

# REVISION RECORD



Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 Mar 00

 $\sim$ 

Page No. ii Revision No. 1

### *SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER SYSTEM*

### Process Summary

The Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility utilizes an ADU conversion process to produce a ceramic grade uranium oxide powder. The multi-staged ADU conversion process is designed to convert uranium hexafluoride into uranium dioxide powder. During this process, two broad categories of off-stream uranium-bearing solids are generated. They are referred to as "clean scrap" and "dirty scrap." The uranium that is collected as scrap throughout the manufacturing process is dissolved in nitric acid, producing an intermediate product, uranyl nitrate (UN). The UN is eventually converted to a usable uranium oxide powder using the same ADU conversion process as for uranium hexafluoride. The scrap solids are dissolved in one of three safe geometry dissolver systems which are installed adjacent to the Solvent Extraction area. Two of the dissolver systems are primarily for "clean scrap" and the third is for "dirty scrap." The key components of each system are horizontally mounted contactors in which the solids are mixed with a heated nitric acid  $(HNO<sub>3</sub>)$  water mixture for dissolution. The contactors and all associated intermediate vessels are of safe geometry size.

The "clean scrap" dissolvers feature a single contactor for each system. Feed material for these dissolvers is clean scrap  $U_3O_8$  or crystalline UNH which dissolves completely with almost no residue forming UN solution clean enough for immediate use in ADU conversion. Solids, nitric acid, and water are metered into each contactor continuously while a slow-turning paddle agitator mixes the inputs. Solution produced at the dissolvers is collected in safe geometry intermediate storage vessels, analyzed for  $^{235}U$  and free  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  contents, then pumped to UN bulk storage tanks. The maximum allowed <sup>235</sup>U concentration is 5.0  $g^{235}$ U/l and the minimum allowed free  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  is 4 weight percent in the UN solution analyses before pumping it to bulk storage. Pumpouts are also continuously monitored by gamma monitors.

The "dirty scrap" dissolver features a set of three interconnected contactors. The three contactors are arranged to provide for uranium dissolution and also for separation and water washing of insoluble residues, which are a substantial part of "dirty scrap" feed materials. Incinerator ash is the primary solid feed material for this dissolver. UN solution from this dissolver contains high levels of impurities and it must be purified via solvent extraction before it can be returned to the ADU conversion process. The impure UN solution is pumped directly from intermediate safe geometry dissolver vessels to other safe geometry process vessels in the Solvent Extraction area. Residues are dried in Blue-M ovens in the Fluoride Stripping area and either recycled through the dissolver for further uranium recovery, or put into drums for burial disposal.

Key drawings and procedures for these Safe Geometry Dissolver Systems are identified in the tables below:



Page No. Revision No. 1

# **CLEAN** SCRAP **DISSOLVERS**





# DIRTY SCRAP DISSOLVERS







 $\sim$ 

# Environmental Protection and Radiation Safety Controls

Adequate controls have been established for postulated environmental and radiation safety accident sequences for the URRS Safe Geometry Dissolver System. Controls include various administrative controls, monitors, equipment design, filtration, scrubbers, interlocks, and passive controls. Appropriate regulatory and maintenance surveillance is performed to assure that the systems and controls are functional. These combined engineered, administrative, and passive controls assure that appropriate safeguards for radiation safety and environmental control have been implemented. The site location and inherently large diffusion factors would further mitigate any potential off-site environmental and radiation safety effects.

## TABLE **1**



### **ENVIRONMENTAL AND** RADIATION **ACCIDENT SEQUENCE ANALYSIS**

Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 MAR 00

Page No. Revision No.  $1$ 

# Nuclear Criticality Safety **(NCS)** Controls and Fault Trees

#### Control Parameters and Safety Limits:

#### Control Parameters

Dissolver Input Hood (3)

- mass
- moderator

Waste Residue Discharge Hood Overflow Chute (3)

- mass (material available to overflow chute)
- geometry (level)

Favorable Geometry Tanks and Vessels

• geometry

Filter Presses (2) and Cartridge Filters

**0** geometry

Safety Limits

- See Table 5.3-2 Feed Hood Components
- See Table 5.3-3 Wet Residue Overflow Chute
- See Table 5.3-4 Spacing Interaction for favorable geometry vessels, and individual cylinders diameters with either  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  or uranyl nitrate

#### Bounding Assumptions: (From Table in **SNM-1107)**

- Homogeneous UO<sub>2</sub> for feed hoods, filter presses, and wet residue discharge canister/overflow chute and pack
- Uranyl Nitrate  $UO_2(NO_3)$  for vessels
- Optimum  $H_2O$  moderation
- **\*** Partial Reflection
- **0** 5.0 wt% enrichment
- **\*** No neutron absorbers in system

#### Controls

#### Safety Significant Controls

The "X" in the controls below indicates that the control exists for all three dissolvers. Otherwise, the number indicates to which dissolver the control applies: the clean dissolvers (#1 and #2) or the dirty dissolver (#3). The one exception to the numbering



convention is active engineered control SGD-3-10, which refers to the clean dissolver systems' single overflow tank.

#### Passive engineered controls (PEC)

Passive engineered controls are described in the License and in Regulatory Affairs-108. The requirements for functional verification are determined from this evaluation.



#### Active engineered controls (AEC)

Active Engineered Controls are defined in the License and in Regulatory Affairs Procedure RA-108. They are also called safety significant interlocks The requirements for functional verification are defined in RA-108 and/or area operating procedures.



Administrative controls with computer or alarm assist (AC)

Administrative controls with computer or alarm assist (AC) typically consist of operator actions that are prompted or assisted by computer output. The requirements for functional verification are determined by this evaluation.

\* none

#### Administrative controls

Safety Significant administrative controls are required operator actions that usually occur without prompting from a computer/control panel alarm or indication. These controls may



require documentation via Control Form or some other record. Functional verification is not normally required.



#### Margin of Safety

The nuclear criticality margin of safety for the safe geometry dissolver system is evaluated to be very strong. Calculations indicate that keff  $\leq 0.95$  for all normal operating conditions. Further, for any credible process upset,  $k_{\text{eff}} \leq 0.98$ .

The parameters that directly affect neutron multiplication for the safe geometry dissolver system, assuming 5.0 wt $\%$  <sup>235</sup>U enrichment, are listed above. A criticality would be possible in the safe geometry dissolver system given the following:

dissolver input hood, feed motor housing, or ventilation enclosure

 $49$  kg UO<sub>2</sub> accumulates inside any of the three, and greater than 20 liters of moderator (water from outside or solution from the dissolver system) enters any of the three, and greater than a 6 inch slab of moderated material forms.

#### wet residue discharge hoods overflow chute

• 49 kg UO<sub>2</sub> gets into the wet residue discharge hood due to failure of the dissolving system, and the material accumulates in the overflow chute such that a critical configuration (16.5 inch accumulation) is formed.

dissolver system vessels, tanks, cartridge filters, and filter presses

Vessels are replaced with nonfavorable geometry vessels and are filled with optimum material.

#### Summary **Of** Initiating Events Which Lead To Credible Process Upsets





Page No. Revision No. 1



Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 MAR 00

 $\alpha$  , and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  , and  $\alpha$ 

Page No. 7 Revision No. 1 Table 5.3-2: Nuclear Criticality Safety Limits for  $k_{eff}$  0.90, 0.95, and 1.00 for the Safe Geometry Dissolver System Feed Hood



Table 5.3-3: Nuclear Criticality Safety Limits for k<sub>eff</sub> 0.90, 0.95, and 1.00 for the Safe Geometry Dissolver System Wet Residue Overflow Chute



Table 5.3-4: Nuclear Criticality Safety Limits for  $k_{eff}$  0.90, 0.95, and 1.00 for the Safe Geometry Dissolver System Cylindrical Vessels - For Fixed Spacing and for Individual Cylindrical Vessels With Oxides or Uranyl Nitrate



Calculations for cylinders with uranyl nitrate have been performed for full water reflection and bare cases only. The full water reflection limits are extremely conservative. Limits were taken from CRI-94-051 for oxides and CRI-94-022 for uranyl nitrate. The spacing interaction data are from CRI-94-023.







 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

**Page-i**  10/27198



Page No. 11 Revision No. 1

#### SAFE GEOMETRY DISSOLVER INPUT HOOD ASSEMBLY DOUBLE CONTINGENCY PROTECTION MASS DEFENSE



SGDS CRIT ANNEX.DOC Page-<mark>1</mark><br>10/27/98



Page No. 12 Revision No. 1



SGDS CRIT ANNEX.DOC<br>Page-1<br>10/27/98



Page No.  $13$ Revision No. 1

#### **SAFE** GEOMETRY DISSOLVER WET **RESIDUE** OVERFLOW **CHUTE DOUBLE CONTINGENCY** PROTECTION



Page-1 10/27/98



Page No. 14 Revision No. 1

#### **SAFE** GEOMETRY DISSOLVER WET **RESIDUE** OVERFLOW **CHUTE MASS CONTINGENCY**



**SGDS** CRIT ANNEX.DOC Page-1 10/27/98



Page No. 15 Revision No. 1

#### **SAFE** GEOMETRY DISSOLVER WET **RESIDUE** OVERFLOW **CHUTE**  GEOMETRY **CONTINGENCY**

 $-$ 



Page-1 **10127/98**

Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 MAR 00 Page No. 16 Revision No. 1

# Fire Safety and Chemical Safety Controls

The fire hazard potential of these dissolvers is low due to the non-combustible materials of construction (stainless steel) and to the fact that no combustible material is used in the dissolvers. Area housekeeping minimizes combustible materials.

The chemical hazard potential of these systems is moderate. Most generated vapors are contained inside the ventilated system which are sent to a scrubber and then to a HEPA filter before being exhausted to the environment. Small leaks and spills are handled by area operators who are trained and equipped with the proper PPE and respiratory protection.

#### *TABLE 2*



#### *FIRE HAZARDS POTENTIAL*

Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 MAR 00

Page No. 17 Revision No. 1

## *TABLE 3*

### *CHEMICAL HAZARDS POTENTIAL*



Initial Issue Date: 30 OCT 98 Revision Date: 21 MAR 00

Page No.  $18$ Revision No. 1