

Template - NRR-058

March 22, 2000

Mr. H. L. Sumner, Jr.  
Vice President - Nuclear  
Hatch Project  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

SUBJECT: EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 RE: ISSUANCE OF  
AMENDMENTS (TAC NOS. MA6921 AND MA6922)

Dear Mr. Sumner:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 219 to Facility Operating License DPR-57 and Amendment No. 160 to Facility Operating License NPF-5 for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your two applications dated October 15, 1999.

The amendments revise the Safety Limit Minimum Critical Power Ratios (SLMCPR) in Technical Specification 2.1.1.2 to reflect the results of cycle-specific calculations for Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16. The calculations were performed using the new NRC-approved methodology for determining SLMCPRs.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Leonard N. Olshan, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

DISTRIBUTION:

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 219 to DPR-57
- 2. Amendment No. 160 to NPF-5
- 3. Safety Evaluation

- ~~██████████~~ OGC
- PUBLIC ACRS
- PDII-1 R/F GHill(4)
- SCahill, RII
- WBeckner, TSB

cc w/encls: See next page

OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-1\HATCH\ma6921amd.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No copy

OFFICE	PDII-1/PM	PDII-1/LA	E	OGC	PDII-1/SC
NAME	LOlshan:cn	CHawes	AMN	J Hill	REmch
DATE	3/10/00	3/9/00		3/15/00	3/20/00

DF01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 2000

Mr. H. L. Sumner, Jr.  
Vice President - Nuclear  
Hatch Project  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

SUBJECT: EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 RE: ISSUANCE OF  
AMENDMENTS (TAC NOS. MA6921 AND MA6922)

Dear Mr. Sumner:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 219 to Facility Operating License DPR-57 and Amendment No. 160 to Facility Operating License NPF-5 for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your two applications dated October 15, 1999.

The amendments revise the Safety Limit Minimum Critical Power Ratios (SLMCPR) in Technical Specification 2.1.1.2 to reflect the results of cycle-specific calculations for Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16. The calculations were performed using the new NRC-approved methodology for determining SLMCPRs.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

Leonard N. Olshan, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 219 to DPR-57
2. Amendment No. 160 to NPF-5
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encs: See next page

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant

cc:

Mr. Ernest L. Blake, Jr.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts  
and Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. D. M. Crowe  
Manager, Licensing  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Resident Inspector  
Plant Hatch  
11030 Hatch Parkway N.  
Baxley, Georgia 31531

Mr. Charles H. Badger  
Office of Planning and Budget  
Room 610  
270 Washington Street, SW.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Harold Reheis, Director  
Department of Natural Resources  
205 Butler Street, SE., Suite 1252  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Steven M. Jackson  
Senior Engineer - Power Supply  
Municipal Electric Authority  
of Georgia  
1470 Riveredge Parkway, NW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30328-4684

Charles A. Patrizia, Esquire  
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker  
10th Floor  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20004-9500

Chairman  
Appling County Commissioners  
County Courthouse  
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. J. D. Woodard  
Executive Vice President  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Mr. P. W. Wells  
General Manager, Edwin I. Hatch  
Nuclear Plant  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
U.S. Highway 1 North  
P. O. Box 2010  
Baxley, Georgia 31515

Mr. R. D. Barker  
Program Manager  
Fossil & Nuclear Operations  
Oglethorpe Power Corporation  
2100 East Exchange Place  
P. O. Box 1349  
Tucker, Georgia 30085-1349



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-321

EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 219  
License No. DPR-57

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment to the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 filed by Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), acting for itself, Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensees), dated October 15, 1999, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 219, are hereby incorporated in the license. Southern Nuclear shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Richard L. Emch, Jr., Chief, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Technical Specification  
Changes

Date of Issuance: March 22, 2000

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 219

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-57

DOCKET NO. 50-321

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

Insert

2.0-1

2.0-1

## 2.0 SAFETY LIMITS (SLs)

---

### 2.1 SLs

#### 2.1.1 Reactor Core SLs

2.1.1.1 With the reactor steam dome pressure < 785 psig or core flow < 10% rated core flow:

THERMAL POWER shall be  $\leq$  25% RTP.

2.1.1.2 With the reactor steam dome pressure  $\geq$  785 psig and core flow  $\geq$  10% rated core flow:

MCPR shall be  $\geq$  1.07 for two recirculation loop operation or  $\geq$  1.08 for single recirculation loop operation.

2.1.1.3 Reactor vessel water level shall be greater than the top of active irradiated fuel.

#### 2.1.2 Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Pressure SL

Reactor steam dome pressure shall be  $\leq$  1325 psig.

---

### 2.2 SL Violations

With any SL violation, the following actions shall be completed:

2.2.1 Within 1 hour, notify the NRC Operations Center, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72.

2.2.2 Within 2 hours:

2.2.2.1 Restore compliance with all SLs; and

2.2.2.2 Insert all insertable control rods.

2.2.3 Within 24 hours, notify the plant manager, the corporate executive responsible for overall plant nuclear safety, and the offsite review committee.

(continued)



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-366

EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 160  
License No. NPF-5

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment to the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-5 filed by Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), acting for itself, Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensees), dated October 15, 1999, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 160 are hereby incorporated in the license. Southern Nuclear shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Richard L. Emch, Jr., Chief, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Technical Specification  
Changes

Date of Issuance: March 22, 2000

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 160

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-5

DOCKET NO. 50-366

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

Insert

2.0-1

2.0-1

## 2.0 SAFETY LIMITS (SLs)

---

### 2.1 SLs

#### 2.1.1 Reactor Core SLs

2.1.1.1 With the reactor steam dome pressure < 785 psig or core flow < 10% rated core flow:

THERMAL POWER shall be  $\leq$  25% RTP.

2.1.1.2 With the reactor steam dome pressure  $\geq$  785 psig and core flow  $\geq$  10% rated core flow:

MCPR shall be  $\geq$  ~~1.12~~<sup>1.07</sup> for two recirculation loop operation or  $\geq$  ~~1.14~~ for single recirculation loop operation.

2.1.1.3 Reactor vessel water level shall be greater than the top of active irradiated fuel.  
1.08

#### 2.1.2 Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Pressure SL

Reactor steam dome pressure shall be  $\leq$  1325 psig.

---

### 2.2 SL Violations

With any SL violation, the following actions shall be completed:

2.2.1 Within 1 hour, notify the NRC Operations Center, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72.

2.2.2 Within 2 hours:

2.2.2.1 Restore compliance with all SLs; and

2.2.2.2 Insert all insertable control rods.

2.2.3 Within 24 hours, notify the plant manager, the corporate executive responsible for overall plant nuclear safety, and the offsite review committee.

(continued)

---



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 219 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-57

AND AMENDMENT NO. 160 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-5

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, INC., ET AL.

EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-321 AND 50-366

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letters dated October 15, 1999, Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear, the licensee), et al., proposed license amendments to change the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. The proposed changes would change the Safety Limit Minimum Critical Power Ratios (SLMCPR) in TS 2.1.1.2 to reflect the results of cycle-specific calculations performed for Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16.

### 2.0 BACKGROUND

The Unit 1 Cycle 19 core has 560 fuel assemblies, of which there are 192 fresh GE13 bundles, 188 once burned GE13 bundles, 176 twice burned GE13 bundles and 4 once burned GE14 bundles. The Unit 2 Cycle 16 core has 560 fuel assemblies of which there are 192 fresh GE13 bundles, 188 once burned GE13 fuel bundles, 4 once burned GE14 fuel bundles, and 176 twice burned GE13 fuel bundles.

The licensee proposed to change the SLMCPR values: (1) for current Unit 1 Cycle 19 operation from 1.10 to 1.07 for two recirculation loop operation and from 1.12 to 1.08 for single loop operation; and (2) for Unit 2 Cycle 16 operation from 1.12 to 1.07 for two recirculation loop operation and from 1.14 to 1.08 for single loop operation with the reactor steam dome pressure  $\geq 785$  psig and core flow  $\geq 10$  percent rated core flow.

The licensee described the methodology used to calculate the SLMCPR values for Unit 1 Cycle 19 operation and Unit 2 Cycle 16 operation. The SLMCPR analysis was performed by GE Nuclear Energy (GENE) using Hatch Units 1 and 2 plant- and cycle-specific fuel and core parameters and NRC-approved methodologies including GESTAR II (NEDE-24011-P-A-13, Sections 1.1.5 and 1.2.5), NEDO-10958-A (GETAB January 1977), NEDC-52505P, Revision (R-Factor Calculation Method for GE11, GE12 and GE13 Fuel), NEDC-32691P, NEDC-32694P, and Amendment 25 to NEDE-24011P.

### 3.0 EVALUATION

The staff has reviewed the justification for the SLMCPR value of 1.07 for two recirculation loop operation and 1.08 for single loop operation using the approach stated in Amendment 25 to

GESTAR-II. The staff has reviewed submittals which provide the detailed summary results of the analysis for Unit 1 current versus proposed Cycle 19 operation (Table 1 of the Unit 1 submittal) and for Unit 2 Cycle 15 and 16 operation (Table 1 of the Unit 2 submittal), and additional detailed proprietary information that was made available to the staff with respect to impact of revised uncertainties. Based on its review, the staff has concluded that the SLMCPR analysis for Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16 operation, using the plant-and cycle-specific calculation in conjunction with the approved method, is acceptable. The Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16 SLMCPR will ensure that 99.9 percent of the fuel rods in the core will not experience boiling transition which satisfies the requirements of Generic Design Criterion 10 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50 regarding acceptable fuel design limits. Therefore, the staff has concluded that the justification for analyzing and determining the SLMCPR value of 1.07 for two recirculation loop operation and 1.08 for single recirculation loop operation for Unit 1 Cycle 19 and Unit 2 Cycle 16 is acceptable since approved methodologies were used and reduction of the SLMCPR values due to revised power distribution uncertainties and SLMCPR uncertainties was fully justified.

The staff has reviewed the request to revise the TS of the Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. Based on the review, the staff concludes that these revisions are acceptable.

#### 4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Georgia State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

#### 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (64 FR 62715 and 64 FR 62716). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by

operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: T. Huang

Date: March 22, 2000