



**WUDOCs**  
**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF  
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY  
ACT (PA) REQUEST**

2000-0069

2

RESPONSE TYPE  FINAL  PARTIAL

REQUESTER

Wayne A. Hall

DATE

FEB 08 2000

**PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED**

- No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- APPENDICES Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- APPENDICES **6** Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.
- APPENDICES **6** Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- We are continuing to process your request.
- See Comments.

**PART I.A -- FEES**

AMOUNT \*  
\$

- You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.
- None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- You will receive a refund for the amount listed.
- Fees waived.

\* See comments for details

**PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE**

- No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

**PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)**

This confirms your conference call on December 14, 1999 with Mr. Vito of NRC's Region I office and Ms. Mary Jean Pool of my office, in which you narrowed the scope of your request to a listing of allegations from 1993 to the present on Indian Point 2 and 3.

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Carol Ann Reed

**APPENDIX B**  
**RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	1/24/00	Allegation Summary Sheet (6 pages)

---

---

**ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET**

Action Text

24-Jan-00

---

**NRR-1996-A-0026**

**3**      Date Received . 2/9/1996      Date Closed    6/12/1996      Substantiated N

INDIAN POINT 3 AND GENERIC - MOV OPERABILITY.

See file for closure letter dated 6/12/96.

---

---

1  
B/1

---

**INDEX OF CONCERNS**

**NRR-1996-A-0037**

---

**NRR-1996-A-0037**

*Monday, January 24, 2000*

---

**CONCERN:**

**1** Operations

Licensee Identified

Power Reactor

THERMAL POWER LIMIT EXCEEDED.

**SUBSTANTIATED**

**Y**

See memo dated 10/29/96

---

---

---

## ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET

Action Text

24-Jan-00

---

**NRR-1997-A-0091**

**2**      Date Received 8/28/1997      Date Closed 3/6/1998      Substantiated N/A

QC INSPECTOR SIGNED OFF THAT A DYE PENETRANT TEST HAD BEEN PERFORMED ON WELDS FOR A NEW NON-ASME VERTICAL PUMP TURBINE (SAFETY RELATED) SUPPLIED TO CONSOLIDATED EDISON BUT THE TEST HAD NOT BEEN PERFORMED. (Actions apply to all concerns)

Based on a review of your concerns, the NRC will not be expending further inspection efforts on these concerns. This not a finding that your concerns do not have merit, rather it is a recognition that the NRC must focus its limited resources on cases of higher priority. The staff reviewed the impact on safety of these concerns, including a review of information you provided to Ms. Jean Lee and Mr. Robert L. Pettis, Jr. during a telephone conversation on October 17, 1997, and concluded that although the quality records associated with these concerns contained procedural discrepancies, no safety issue appears to exist at this time since the required testing and inspection was performed prior to shipment of the equipment.

Thank you for informing us of your concerns. We feel that our actions in this matter have been responsive to your concerns. We take our safety responsibilities to the public very seriously and will continue to do so within the bounds of our lawful authority. Accordingly, absent any additional substantial information from you that would support your concern, the NRC staff plans no further follow up on the concerns you have provided to the NRC.

---

---

**INDEX OF CONCERNS**

**NRR-1998-A-0001**

---

**NRR-1998-A-0001**

*Monday, January 24, 2000*

---

**CONCERN:**

**1**

**Maintenance**

**Contractor Employee**

**Power Reactor**

LOOSE FIBROUS DEBRIS IN CONTAINMENT - THEY STARTED OUT AS A 100% CAL SIL (WITH ASBESTOS) PLANT AND OVER THE YEARS HAVE REMOVED THE CAL-SIL AS NEEDED FOR ISI OF WELDS. THEY REPLACED THE CANL-SIL WITH WHATEVER THE OUTAGE INSULATOR DETERMINED AND NOW HAVE NUKON, TEMPMAT, LOW DENSITY FIBERGLASS BY TRANSCO, AND EVEN SOME MINERAL WOOL. NO RECORDS WERE KEPT OF WHAT TYPE OF INSULATION WAS USED FOR PIPING. (Actions apply to both concerns)

**SUBSTANTIATED**

**N/A**

Region I initiated review prior to NRR receipt of allegation, including contact with allegor. Therefore, no NRR action warranted.

---

**CONCERN:**

**2**

**Maintenance**

**Contractor Employee**

**Power Reactor**

THE COATINGS ON STRUCTURES AND CONTAINMENT ARE PEELING, BLISTERING AND DELAMINATION READILY VISIBLE.

**SUBSTANTIATED**

**N/A**

Review (and contact with allegor) conducted by Region I. No NRR action warranted.

---

---

**INDEX OF CONCERNS****NRR-1998-A-0035**

---

NRR-1998-A-0035

*Monday, January 24, 2000*

---

**CONCERN:****1****Emergency Preparedness****Private Citizen****Power Reactor**

WESTCHESTER COUNTY CANNOT PROVIDE VOLUNTEER EMERGENCY RESPONSE WORKERS WITH NEEDED RADIATION MONITORING DEVICES BECAUSE THE AVAILABLE RADIATION MONITORING EQUIPMENT IS OLD (1950'S VINTAGE) AND CANNOT MEASURE LOWER RANGE EXPOSURES. (Actions apply to both concerns)

**SUBSTANTIATED****N**

FEMA Region II evaluated these concerns and in a letter dated July 8, 1998, attached, to Hubert Miller, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, reported their findings. FEMA determined that the dosimetry being used exceeded federal government requirements and that during exercises no deficiencies were found in the ability to protect emergency workers health and safety. The dosimeters are of varying types and sensitivity and are designed to monitor radiation exposure from a nuclear power plant. This includes the high range CDV-742 dosimeter and two types of low range dosimeters (611s). FEMA also determined that there was a large number of trained staff available from various Westchester County agencies and departments (including the State Guard, Naval Militia, and civil defense volunteers) to perform monitoring of the public if the need arises.

---

**CONCERN:****2****Emergency Preparedness****Private Citizen****Power Reactor**

WESTCHESTER COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT CAN NO LONGER PROVIDE ENOUGH PERSONNEL TO STAFF RADIATION (MONITORING) CENTERS IN THE EVENT OF AN EMERGENCY

**SUBSTANTIATED****N**

FEMA Region II evaluated these concerns and in a letter dated July 8, 1998, attached, to Hubert Miller, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I, reported their findings.... FEMA also determined that there was a large number of trained staff available from various Westchester County agencies and departments (including the State Guard, Naval Militia, and civil defense volunteers) to perform monitoring of the public if the need arises.

---

---

---

## ALLEGATION SUMMARY SHEET

Action Text

27-Jan-00

---

**NRR-1998-A-0078**

1      Date Received 9/1/1998      Date Closed 9/28/1998      Substantiated N/A

CHANGES MADE TO FSAR WITHOUT A 50.59 EVALUATION. TESTS WERE PERFORMED PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF SAFETY EVALUATION IN ORDER TO MEET PLANT SCHEDULE.  
(Actions apply to all concerns.)

Transferred to RI.

---

2      Date Received 9/1/1998      Date Closed 9/28/1998      Substantiated N/A

CONTRARY TO PLANT ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, THE SENIOR WATCH SUPERVISOR STATED THAT HE IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING OPERABILITY DETERMINATIONS FOR PLANT EQUIPMENT.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. I

---

3      Date Received 9/1/1998      Date Closed 9/28/1998      Substantiated N/A

HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS OF SETPOINT CONTROL ARE OUTSIDE REASONABLE BOUNDS. INSTRUMENTATION SCALLING IS NON-EXISTENT AND WITHOUT THIS INFORMATION, MANY REACTOR PROTECTION SETPOINTS ARE IN QUESTION.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. I

---

4      Date Received 9/1/1998      Date Closed 9/28/1998      Substantiated N/A

NUMEROUS SIGNIFICANT ERRORS IN PLANT DESIGN DRAWINGS.

TRANSFERRED TO REG. 1

---