### 1 VERBATIM PROCEEDINGS

NUCLEAR ENERGY ADVISORY COUNCIL

FEBRUARY 17, 2000

WATERFORD TOWN HALL

15 ROPE FERRY ROAD

WATERFORD, CONNECTICUT

# ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5	Verbatim Proceedings of the Meeting of the State of Connecticut Nuclear Energy Advisory Council held February 17, 2000, at 7:00 P.M., at the Waterford Town Hall, 15 Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, Connecticut
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON TERRY CONCANNON: It's 7:05 And before we start the program, I just want to get approval of the Minutes from our last meeting. Now, as you know or some of you know, our meeting on January 20 had to be cancelled because of a storm that was looming. And I was very thankful today when I heard about tomorrow's storm that it was tomorrow and not today because it seems that whenever we decide to have a meeting, we invite a storm.
20 21 22 23 24	So we didn't have the meeting on the 20th of January. Instead, on the 27th, a week later, we just had a meeting to finalize and approve our annual report, which was held here. But it was a quick meeting. And we didn't have a program.

# 3 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

## ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	And tonight we're going to dedicate this meeting to a program on employee concerns.  So I would like a motion do we have the Minutes?
5 6 7 8	MR. WILLIAM SHEEHAN: Yes. A VOICE: Yes. Pass them out. MR. SHEEHAN: I move approval of the Minutes of the meeting of January 27.
9	A VOICE: Second.
10 11	MR. DENNY GALLOWAY: Well, actually, they have to have a correction.
12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. MR. GALLOWAY: I was believe it or not, I attended that meeting. And I know I attended the meeting because it says on Page 3 that I seconded John Markowicz's motion to accept the Annual Report. So
18 19	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. I remember you being there. So, all right.
20 21 22 23	A VOICE: (Indiscernible) MR. GALLOWAY: That's right. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So can you get that, Denny?
24	A VOICE: Say that again?
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

# ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	Holloway as in attendance.
3 4	MR. GALLOWAY: As attending, attending the last meeting.
5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Any other corrections? I since my fax is in the hospital, I didn't get this.
8 9	Okay. All those in favor of the Minutes as received?
10	VOICES: Aye.
11 12	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Opposed? Okay The Minutes are accepted as received.
13 14	Now we'll go into the program. Jim, would you like to say something?
15 16 17	MR. JAMES LINVILLE: Yes, I would. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: This is Jim Linville.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. LINVILLE: Good evening. I'm Jim Linville, the Director of the Millstone Inspection Director for the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I'd like to thank the Nuclear Energy Advisory Council for inviting us to participate in this meeting and to discuss the Safety-Conscious Work Environment and Employee Concerns Program at the
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

1	Millstone	etation	in itc	current	ctata
	IVIIIISTOTIC	Station	111 113	CullCill	State.

We last met with you in July to discuss
the results of our last Corrective Action Program and
Employee Concerns/Safety-Conscious Work Environment
Program inspection.

6 In April of last year, the Commission 7 met and authorized the restart of Unit 2. The Senior 8 Managers of the agency also met in April and made recommendations to the Commission that the units, 9 10 Millstone 2 and 3, be considered agency-focus and regional-focus plants. And at the time of Unit 2's 11 12 restart, both units needed to demonstrate sustained 13 performance before they'd be considered for removal 14 from the status.

Consequently, the agency has maintained an increased focus on the plants. As the Director of the Millstone Inspection Director, I report directly to the Regional Administrator, Mr. Hubert Miller, in NRC's Region One office in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania.

The purpose of the panel is to assess performance, make recommendations to senior agency management relative to allocation of inspection resources and other agency resources and to assure all our commitments to the Commission are made. The group

1 2	includes representation from both the regional office and our headquarters office.
3 4 5	In May of last year, Unit 2 restarted as authorized by the Commission. And Unit 3 restarted from their outage last June.
6 7 8 9 0	At this point, we're in the process of satisfying some of the commitments we made to the Commission. And last January, the Commission lifted the order on Employee Concerns and Safety-Conscious Work Environment with the provision that the utility
1	would continue to have oversight by their consultant,
2	Little Harbor Consultants. And the staff committed to
3	additionally providing oversight of the area, as well
4	as reviewing the evaluations by Little Harbor.
5	We have recently completed our third
6	inspection of this area since last July. And we'll be
7	talking about that for the next hour or so. First,
8	Little Harbor Consultants will present the results of
9	their audit. Then the team leader from the NRC
20	inspection team will present the results of ours. And
21	finally, the utility will have an opportunity to
22	respond to the results of the reviews. And, of course,
23 24	the members of the Nuclear Energy Advisory Council and members of the public will have an opportunity to ask

## 7 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

# ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	us questi	ons relative to our conclusions. Thank you very much.
3 4	you.	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank
5 6	not John	This one. I'm not used to this. I'm McCain or somebody like that.
7 8 9 0 1 2	the speak I might to question after Litt	What I have made a slight revision to da. Rather than waiting to go through all of kers before allowing the public to participate, be we're going to allow them to ask after each presenter. So, for instance, the Harbor has presented, we'll invite the ask questions. Thank you.
4		Welcome.
5 6	this on?	MR. JOHN BECK: Thank you very much. Is
7 8		CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. MR. BECK: Okay.
9 20 21 22 23 24	l'll stick i	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I think so. A VOICE: Speak, talk real close. MR. BECK: Okay. I'll just take it out. t under my chin, if that will work. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Go ahead. MR. BECK: Thank you, Madam Chairman.
		POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

24

1 2 3 4 5 6	Since our last opportunity to speak to the Nuclear Energy Advisory Council, we spent a total of almost four weeks on site at Millstone assessing the safety-conscious work environment, one week last October, two weeks this past January and from Monday this week until this afternoon.
7 8 9 10 11	Our report has been made available in the back of the room. I'd like to discuss briefly some of the highlights, along with Billie Garde who is with me this evening. And I will be pleased to answer any questions that the committee or the panel has proposed may have.
3  4  5  6  7	First I'd like to make it clear that it is our view that the safety-conscious work environment is being maintained at Millstone. There are challenges to the safety-conscious work environment which we have identified in our report and which management must continue to address.
19 20 21 22	We spoke directly in our visit with over 80 individuals. Over half of them requested to speak with us during our visits. We attended many meetings which gave us the opportunity to observe firsthand how

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

management interacted with each other and with the work force in many areas which bear on the safety-conscious

24

1	MACRIC	environ	mant
	WWIN		

2 We reviewed about half of the Employee Concerns Program files that had been completed since 3 our October visit. And these files included all of 4 those which had harassment, intimidation or retaliation 5 6 and discrimination, HIRD, or 10 CFR 50.7 allegations. 7 We reviewed essentially 8 documentation associated with the safety-conscious work 9 environment, such as Employee Concerns Program monthly reports, Employee Concerns Program assessments by 10 11 others, the Employee Concerns oversight panel quarterly reports for the third and fourth quarter of last year 12 and the culture study results that were conducted in 13 14 December of 1999. 15 I'd like to ask Billie Garde to discuss some of the specific issues that are contained in the 16 17 report and give you a better flavor for the kind of 18 non-nuclear safety concerns that have come up at the 19 site, as well as the ECP status and some other aspects 20 associated with training. 21 MS. BILLIE GARDE: Thank you. Following 22

what John said in terms of the description of the duties that we undertook to review, I'd like to point out first of all that in the area of employees'

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	willingness to raise nuclear safety concerns, the
2	concern that kind of got us here in the first place, I
3	haven't seen any degradation. In fact, I think there's
4	been an improvement in the comfort level of employees'
5	willingness to raise nuclear safety concerns, to have
6	those types of issues heard and addressed, openly
7	discussed within the work/management between workers
8	and management in a way that we didn't see several
9	years ago.

It's -- you know, I often say that employee concerns start out as questions and suggestions that get ignored. And in this case, I think we're seeing much more normalization of the types of issues that are being raised at a lower -- the lower level that are being handled as questions, that are being accepted as suggestions.

And in the context of all the documentation that we looked at and the people that we talked to, there was no hesitancy in raising nuclear safety concerns except with one individual. And that individual had had a bad experience in his work place, was working through that experience, but indicated a willingness to take those concerns to either the ECP or the NRC directly if he did not feel his management was

1 responding to it.

That's a much different flavor than when we started this project several years ago. In the area of non-nuclear safety concerns, concerns that -- and if you recall, when Millstone kind of started thinking through "Are we going to ask employees to bring up all concerns? Are we going to encourage this across the board?", there was a decision that they were going to encourage all issues to be raised. They did. And so that is part of the framework of what Millstone does and does differently than a lot of other sites.

In that area, there are more concerns than the last time that we were here. And although we didn't attempt to, and I don't think we're going to try to, say why that is, there have been more concerns raised to us throughout this last quarterly visit on issues that affect an employee's workplace environment, pay issues, schedule issues. The reorganization has affected the stability of a lot of the organizations. And those questions have not been handled as well as they probably could have been and should have been and, therefore, I think resulted in, when we came back, more employees coming to see us about those issues.

The report summarizes the kind of issues

9

10

11 12

23

24

1	that were raised. And I don't want to leave the
2	impression that those issues only came from the
3	Maintenance Department. But, clearly, the issues that
4	have kind of come to a head over the last couple of
5	weeks in the Maintenance Department are reflective of
6	the kind of things that we have seen and that were
7	raised to us throughout the last quarter.
8	And, again and I can answer questions

And, again -- and I can answer questions about those. But, again, those are issues that affect people's work environment, their work planning, their scheduling, their salaries, kind of an understanding of how work is going to get done.

13 I'd like to talk a little bit about the 14 ECP program and that's also covered in the report. And 15 I think one of the things that I found and one of the kind of points that I have been monitoring has been the 16 17 reasons that people were going to turn to the Employee Concerns Program instead of using line management. 18 That's one of the things that employees are asked when 19 they turn in a concern to the ECP. "Why did you come 20 21 here instead of having it taken care of through your 22 line management?"

This is the first time -- and this covers the last quarter -- that no employee cited fear

# 13 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

## ADVISORY COUNCIL

23 24

1	of retaliation as a reason to go to the ECP Program. And that is a major step forward.
3 4 5 6 7 8	I asked the ECP to figure out for me kind of what the statistics were. Last year, I think it was 5.7 percent of the time that was the reason cited. Or two years ago, in '98. '99, it was about two percent of the time total, and nothing in the last quarter.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The other reason other reasons were cited. "I don't think management will do anything. I don't want to I don't really, mainly, the mainly reason was management they didn't have confidence management would be able to resolve the issue or was resolving the issue that had been raised, that it wasn't being timely resolved. But not fear of retaliation.
17 18 19 20 21	So that was both a good indicator in terms of what's going on on the site as well as indicating confidence in the Employee Concerns Program to address issues that were not being handled by management.
22	Good news also on the front of the

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

staff. Those of you who have been following this know

that at some point we had some pretty serious morale

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	problems within the ECP staff itself. This quarter was
2	the first quarter that the ECP staff was entirely
3	homegrown. That is everyone that now works at the ECP
4	Program is from NU, came up through the ranks. And
5	they've put together a team, a new team and staff of
6	people that is an excellent cross-functional
7	organization. As they went through the reorganization,
8	the ECP Program picked up some very qualified people
9	technically with very good, wide varied backgrounds.
10	So they have a much stronger staff base than having a
11	lot of contract investigators who knew how to do
12	investigations but didn't know much necessarily about a
13	plant or this particular plant.

That's worked very well for them.

Strong morale, good mix of people. And you can just tell in the time that I spent there they've got a real good work ethic and staff is very strong.

I looked at the files. And for the most part -- I'll talk about the exception. But for the most part, the files have gotten increasingly better from -- every time I come to look at these things, they get better. But they're doing some very good

24 And they've -- some of the cases that I

analytical work now.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1	reviewed this quarter were very difficult, complex
2	cases that involved issues that had strung out for a
3	long period of time. They had a lot of different
4	little sub-issues, a lot of sub-text to them. Very
5	difficult work and a very, very professional job done
6	on some of the files that I reviewed. So you've got
7	some people doing some really thoughtful analysis, good
8	investigations, good writeups.

9 That said, there were a couple of files that did not have enough documentation in them. One in 10 particular was an NRC-referred case that there was a 11 lot of attention given to. And it's a case that should 12 have had no mistakes in it at all and it did have a few 13 14 in terms of the inability of a person to pick up the file, read the entire file and see that everything was 15 verified and accurate. 16

Now, in fact, all of the things that were in the file were accurate. They were able to put the files together and include everything pretty quickly. But that was one flaw.

And briefing of that particular file, of the individual who raised that file, was not handled as well as it should be and raised kind of more questions to the concerned employee than it answered, which

required redoing some additional work. And in my view,
that could have been avoided by a kind of better
handling of the debriefing of the employee.

The ECOP I'll mention briefly. If you remember the Employee Concerns Oversight Panel has matured into the type of organization I think that was envisioned at the beginning of the recovery effort and is providing a very strong independent voice, excellent reports. They've really exercised their charter and grown and matured into an organization that is doing essentially the same thing that Little Harbor is doing at this point in terms of looking over the shoulder of various parts of the organization and pointing out -- they're doing file reviews. They're doing as stringent a file review as I am.

So they -- although they don't look at every file, they are being utilized as an avenue by employees who come through the ECP Program and don't agree with the findings. When they get their closure letter, every employee who goes through the ECP is told "If you are dissatisfied with our findings, you can go to the ECOP and ECOP will review it." Some employees have exercised that option. And I spent some time today looking at a couple of those ECOP oversight files

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1 and they did an excellent j
-------------------------------

But what's even better from my perspective is the working relationship between ECP and ECOP is robust. They have strong arguments on their viewpoints, but they respect each other a lot. And it makes for a much better work product. So those things are being voiced and raised and I think is providing management a really good additional check and balance that is a valuable piece for them.

I think the only other point I wanted to
make, and obviously one we've made to the company, is
that when they initiated the special training for
supervisors in the area of Safety-Conscious Work
Environment expectations. That was a new path for them
and it was -- it was a task that was undertaken, was
well done and well delivered.

17 The supervisory staff has largely 18 changed. So you have a new group of people. And 19 although they have been doing refresher training, the 20 events or incidents that have come to our attention do seem to have a common thread of new supervisors who 21 22 either weren't trained or they were trained but they didn't absorb the training well enough to apply it in 23 24 their work place.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And so as we've said to the company and is included in our report, that Safety-Conscious Work Environment training for supervisors and managers is critical to continue to repeat and repeat and repeat because it provides, and I think provided, a very important prevention piece to avoid situations. And the situations that have occurred seem to have that as a theme.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BECK: We will continue to monitor all the changes and actions to ensure that the safety-conscious work environment at Millstone continues. Our next formal visit is scheduled after the Unit 2 refueling outage that's coming up within a couple of months. So we will definitely be back following that outage to pulse the organization again and issue a report after that.
17 18	That's all we have to present this evening. And we're open for questions.
19 20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you.
21	Yes. John Markowicz.
22 23 24	MR. JOHN MARKOWICZ: I have two questions. The first is is the Hotline still in use and have there been any Hotline calls?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And we haven't gotten any kind of general calls for about the last month because we were here. But we have gotten I'd say two or three calls a month. And we worked those issues over the phone. We did receive calls I don't know if they came in in the Hotline from people within the maintenance organization when we got back here last month. But there have been a few people that have called. Yes.
10 11 12	MR. MARKOWICZ: And would they be in the nature of HIRD or 10 CFR calls or the other non-nuclear? Do you know?
3  4  5	MS. GARDE: None of the calls okay. I'm thinking of three that I've dealt with. MR. MARKOWICZ: Right.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. GARDE: And I can't I think it's over the fall. All of them dealt with personnel type issues. Two of the three I sent to the ECP. I got the permission of the employee to the ECP. The ECP went to the ECP and they resulted in ECP investigations or handling. I think one just got handled in a rapid resolution forum.
23 24	One was a personnel matter that he just wanted to seek our opinion, told us the situation,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	sought	our opinion	on.	And I	didn't hear	from him
2	again.					

3 MR. MARKOWICZ: The second question then 4 is you kind of gave us a summary of your observations over this period of time. And you were here in October 5 and then January and February. So you've gone through 6 each separate inspection event. 7 Basically, in 8 conclusion, that you often see other trends from those 9 periods, October to January to February, that bear on your conclusions? Are they positive? Negative? Could 10 11 you share any thoughts on that with us?

MS. GARDE: Well, the ECP work I think is continuing to trend upward. I can't say that I've ever seen, you know, a degradation in the ECP's improvement since we've left. That's continued to grow.

I think in the context of dealing with - yes. ECOP has continued to get better, much better, and really grew by leaps and bounds under its current leadership. I think that the HR-related issues were kind of flat when we were here in the summer. I think there's been some slight improvement. But I also think that the issues that are now arising are arising as a result of not having strong focus in that area.

# ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BECK: I agree. The one area that I think has gotten most sensitive and where we've got the most activity with people coming to us in January was in the HR-related area and primarily from the Maintenance organization, but, as Billie said earlier, not exclusively. But that seems to be where most of the activity was.
8 9	MR. MARKOWICZ: Thank you. MR. BECK: Yes.
10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Bill Sheehan? MR. WILLIAM SHEEHAN: Just one quick question. I hope you can hear me since the mike's way down there. Here we go.
14 15 16 17 18	In your interviews and walk of the site and getting a feel, did you see any indication of employee concerns in the area of the restructuring or the pending sale of land and events that would be in that area? What's your take on that particular pressure point?
20 21 22 23 24	MR. BECK: There has been a lot of anxiety at the site largely because the nature of this business today is one of uncertainty in many respects and uncertainty leads to anxiety in the workplace.  Last summer, one of the things we
	DOCT DEDODTING CEDVICE

1 2	indicated in our report and to management and to the public was that centered around that issue, that as
3	soon as you do know something, find a way to
4	communicate it as fully and completely as you possibly
5	can to begin to chip away at that natural anxiety that
6	exists. And I think management has taken some steps in
7	the interim to begin to do that as best you can as soon
8	as you know the answers. Clearly, they can speak to
9	that issue far better than I.

I think the -- I've got a gut feel that that level of concern in the work force as a whole with respect to some of those questions, given the communication that has taken place, has diminished somewhat, especially since last fall. But Billie may have something to add to that.

MS. GARDE: One of the things that's mentioned in our report are these in-touch sessions that management has been having. And it's pretty new in terms of their communication activities. And I attended one of those sessions and kind of had monitored two others or talked to people who have attended two others. They seem to be going very well. And they maybe should have been started sooner. But, nonetheless, they're being very frank with employees,

1 2	including saying "We don't know the answer to that question." But really trying to reach all of the work
3	groups within the plant to tell them what they do know.
4	But there is anxiety and stress. I
5	can't say that that anxiety and stress has driven these
6	issues. I don't think it has. I think these issues
7	are driven by, you know, kind of the day-to-day
8	activities of the plant. And I've asked you know, I
9	actually am surprised it's not more than it is. And
10	when I had asked, a lot of employees had said, "You
11	know, we'll probably still be here whoever owns this
12	plant." You know. So I think there is some degree of
13	"Well, yes, it's all going to be different, but I'm
14	still the one that knows how to turn these knobs." So
15	
. •	
16	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Mark Holloway
18	MR. MARK HOLLOWAY: A couple of
19	questions. Little Harbor distinguishes a nuclear
20	safety concern from a non-nuclear safety concern. How
21	do they decide which basket those concerns go?
22	MS. GARDE: Well, it really is the way
23	we ask the question. If you had a nuclear safety-
24	related concern, would you raise that concern? And

1	when someone is bringing up we made a point of
2	asking that to everyone we talked to because we wanted
3	to judge make judgments about that.
4	I can't tell you what's in the mind of
5	every person or if we all have exactly the same
6	understanding. But I think the common understanding is
7	anything that could affect, you know, the integrity of
8	the plant and the safe operation of the facility. I
9	can't tell you that's that my interpretation is what
10	every single person said. But I think that there is a
11	pretty common understanding of that.
12	MR. HOLLOWAY: In reference to Bill's
13	question, you talked about the morale of the ECP
14	MS. GARDE: Yes.
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: team. And what was
16	your impression of the morale of most of the people
17	that you talked with?
18	MS. GARDE: Well, remember that in this
19	visit we only talked to people or for the most part
20	we talked to people who sought us out. So they had
21	something they needed to talk about. We didn't have
22	time in the context of the visit to say we're going to

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

interview 80 people and we're going to pick all those 80 people. We had a list of people that we wanted to

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1	talk to an	d we	talked	to	those	individuals	
	tain to air	<b>4 88</b> C	tantoa	w	uiosc	II IUI VIUUUIS	

I would say Maintenance morale is very low. And that came across loud and clear, although, you know, that -- where we have to look into that more, the company has to look into more what that is.

I saw some organizations where people that have in the past been very dissatisfied and I've had two or three people, three people, stop and tell me that their morale is great. Their work department is great. They have the best boss they ever had and it's turned completely around. And so we heard a lot of that in the first week we were there. And we thought, "Boy, this place has really changed." So for some people, their work environments are great. I mean they really have seen a turnaround. And these are -- these are people -- some of the people who you would recognize and have been here at these meetings are having very good experiences. But there are -- it's kind of erratic because there are other groups that I think -- I don't want to make an assessment. But my sense is the morale is not so good.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Isn't there some point where some of these non-nuclear issues, safety concerns, might become nuclear safety concerns?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. GARDE: Well, I'm not sure if a pay issue could become a nuclear safety concern.  MR. HOLLOWAY: Well, that  MS. GARDE: But the morale you know, morale can get to the point where it can become a problem. So I don't mean to imply that these are not important issues that need to be addressed
8	MR. HOLLOWAY: I was talking more about
9	the
10 11	MS. GARDE: before they become a bigger problem.
12	MR. HOLLOWAY: feedback to
13	suggestions type of thing and lack of response.
14	MR. BECK: I think you're exactly right,
15	Mark, in the that's why we paid a lot of attention
16	to it. It's how management reacts to concerns. If
17	they're a non-safety concern and the reaction is poor
18	or doesn't happen, if there's no feedback, then that
19	could well escalate into safety space. And that's why
20	you pay so much attention to these non-safety issues
21	and how they're dealt with and how they're treated
22	because they could be a precursor. The response could
23	be.
24	MR. HOLLOWAY: Has there been any

4

5 6

7

8 9

1	evidence of any chilling	effect on the part of	people
2	bringing up any sort of o	concerns?	

MS. GARDE: Well, that's one of the things that we've been measuring. You know? When you listen to someone and then you ask them, "Based on all of these things that are going on in your department and with you, would it impact your willingness to raise a nuclear safety concern?" No one has ever said, "Yes. I would not -- to us. has ever said. "I would not raise a safety concern. Things are getting so bad I wouldn't 10 11 raise a safety concern." And that's why I said there's 12 -- you know, there is -- there seems to be a higher degree of respect for that issue. It's like, "Nope. 13 14 Of course I can deal with that." And we've asked that 15 and that's how we check if there's a chilling effect. The ECP files check on the chilling 16 17 effect. And that's you check. So that's, at least at this point, is holding firm. I can't tell you if 18 19 things don't -- let me just use that, the Maintenance 20 Department, has an example. If things don't get under 21 control there, I can't tell you if three months from 22 now people will not say "No. It's not so bad. I won't say anything." They need -- those issues need to be 23 24 addressed.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I have a couple
of questions I'd like to ask. I haven't had time to
read the report. But have you made suggestions for
improvements to management about in handling the
non-nuclear issues, such as the pay, the scheduling,
the reorganization and so forth? Do you make
recommendations there?
MR. BECK: Yes. Solve the problem. Our
recommendations and feedback come in the context that
this is what we're hearing, this is what it sounds
like, this is what it looks like. And you need to
address it. Many of the concerns we got, although they
may have been in different bailiwicks, if you will,

reduced down to a lack of good, solid communication and feedback. People didn't feel like they were being heard. And that's what we brought back to management; "If you're listening, you're not feeding back to them. If you're listening in some cases, you're not hearing what they're saying because they feel like they're not being responded to." And that was a very loud drum beat. And we definitely said back, "The solution to that is to listen and respond." And some of the actions that management has taken of recent days are

# 29 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

# ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	certainly addressed to get at those kinds of people issues.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. GARDE: We during our first visit, you may recall, we did make recommendations, which were in our report, that people/team activities that had the you know, the people/team meetings, the ERB had somewhat diminished in their robustness and that those needed to be enhanced and rejuvenated. And they were.
10 11 12 13 14 15	And so when we looked in this quarter, we looked at how that had improved. And those things had improved. So we are still making kind of programmatic observations of things that need to be corrected and fixed. And I think I've spoken loudly where we think it needs to they need to hear it loudly.
17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And as regards the makeup of the ECOP, is that you said the ECP is now homegrown, to quote you.
20	MS. GARDE: Mm-hmm.
21 22	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: How about the ECOP?
23 24	MS. GARDE: ECOP is, too. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. And
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	finally, my question relates to Millstone 1. Now, when
2	you are here reviewing this, are you doing the station
3	as a whole or are you doing focusing on 2 and 3?
4	MS. GARDE: We're doing the station as a
5	whole. And some of the people who did come to talk to
6	us were out of Unit 1 and some of the files and things
7	that we looked at dealt with Unit 1 issues. So we have
8	not excluded Unit 1 from our activities.
9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And have you
10	encountered any changes there that could be attributed
11	to the concerns related to decommissioning, to changes
12	in work scheduling and assignments, in
13	employee/management issues?
14	MS. GARDE: Well, I think that there was
15	a learning curve that Entergy had to go through when
16	they got here to fully appreciate the differences and
17	extra expectations that Millstone has set for its
18	managers, supervisors and contractors. And it does
19	impose a lot of very different requirements than
20	anywhere else in the country. And I think that it took
21	them a while to kind of absorb those.
<b>-</b> '	them a wille to kind of absorb those.
22	I think they are now committed to and
23	either have already trained all their supervisors or
20	Cities have already trained all their supervisors of

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

are in the process of training their supervisors and

1	actively participating in the various boards and
2	processes that are ongoing as far as I can tell.
3	But it still is different to take
4	someone who has been at a different plant under a whole
5	different, you know, regime and structure and not have
6	been through what all of us have been through at
7	Millstone and expect those managers to be able to walk
8	in here and kind of just by osmosis absorb all of those
9	different expectations. And so there's been some
10	stumbles.
11	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Entergy is here.
12	And at one point I do want to ask them about their
13	makeup of the work force, an up-to-date makeup.
14	Through the who this is very
15	unstable (moving microphone). Through the grapevine,
16	you know, even though I don't live here I still have
17	had input and there are some rumblings. And I just
18	wondered so that's why I wanted to ask you the
19	question. And I'd ask the question of all the
20	speakers, I guess.
21	Are there any more questions from this
22	side? Any others?
23	Now, how about the public? This yes,
24	John?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MARKOWICZ: Well, John Beck, in December there was a Millstone meeting at which time (indiscernible) reported some facts that I want stated and I'd ask for your observations. The first was that the out of the number of employee concerns reported per month was decreasing from 22 a month to 13 a month, the average age had increased. This was a backlog issue. The first column reflected.
9 10 11 12 13 14	The response to the second was that the number of alleged HIRD issues that had been raised through ECP as of December, the total had increased and, in fact, were increasing from two a month to four a month. So would you comment or offer your views on that?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. GARDE: Sure. The back I can't comment very much on the backlog issue. I looked at the backlog and it the number had gone up. It had not gone up so much that I was alarmed by it. And I was but I did look at the cases and they were particularly difficult cases that required lengthier investigations and were taking some time. So I looked at that, but I don't remember the days well enough to comment one way or another.
24	In terms of the increase in the number

# 33 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

23

24

1	of HIRD issues, that's true. There was, and I think
2	continues to be, an increase in the number of HIRD
3	issues. I think it's important to delineate of those
4	HIRD the issue of retaliation or fear of retaliation
5	has gone down. And when they categorize HIRD issues
6	things like I'm talking about on pay, overtime pay,
7	work environment issues. Those are for the large
8	for the most part end up getting categorized under the
9	HIRD issues.

10 And so you've got a work force at 11 Millstone that is very enlightened about what their rights are and has a high degree of expectation of 12 fairness at a time when there's a lot happening at 13 14 Millstone which ends up -- and, you know, one of the 15 things that's happened through de-unitization and maintenance is a good example where you have people 16 that are moving together and they've been kind of paid 17 one way and the other unit's kind of been paid another 18 19 way and all of a sudden they're working next to one 20 another and they're comparing pay stubs and they are 21 coming to an understanding that they're not being 22 treated the same.

Those kinds of things also would fall under that category. So, although there have been more

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

1	issues raised and some of those issues are serious
2	issues raised about harassment, intimidation, improper
3	action by a supervisor, yelling or screaming, they're
4	issues that I find I actually, you know it's the
5	good and the bad side of it.

The good side of it is the employees are calling managers on stuff. I mean, you know, somebody acts inappropriately and says something and it's being raised. And if they're -- if it's not being handled, they're raising it to the ECP and saying this is improper behaviors. And that's good. And it's corated by their peers and their co-workers.

On the other hand, that's the kind of stuff that should be nipped in the bud as it goes up the line of management and not end up in ECP's face. And that's what they've got to work on. They've got to work on driving those behaviors into management to be responsive to employees' concerns so they don't end up at the ECP.

20 CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: What about the 21 article that -- the information that they read in 22 February about the NRC identifying Millstone as having 23 the largest number of harassment claims in the country? 24 And is -- might this be partially due to this

1	heightened awareness that you're talking about or	
2	MS. GARDE: I think part of it is due to	
3	the heightened awareness. This is a work force that's	
4	going to speak up about issues. And I think that, you	
5	know, Millstone was on the top of that list for a very	
6	long time, years it's been on the top of having them.	
7	I don't think it is now at the top of the list with the	
8	most number of allegations. I think that's been	
9	replaced by, what, Turkey Point, I think. I don't	
10	remember. But it's no longer the top of the list for	
11	the number of allegations. It still has a larger	
12	number of harassment allegations or	
13	harassment/intimidation allegations.	
14	But, as far as I know, of the number	
15	raised I think even only a few were investigated and	
16	they were not pursued. Actually, you probably should	
17	ask the NRC that because I don't remember those	
18	statistics.	
19	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. Okay	
20	Thank you very much.	
_0	mainty ou vory maon	
21	I'm trying to figure out how the public	
22	could participate. And I think if we put a seat at the	
23	end there of this table and we could move down that	
24	microphone, we could	

1 2	Okay. Susan, did you want to speak at this point?
	·
3	MS. SUSAN PERRY LUXTON: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Come ahead.
5	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Hi. My name is Susan
6	Perry Luxton. I'm from a citizens group here in
7	Waterford called the Citizens Regulatory Commission.
8	And for you, those of you on the end who don't know me,
9	our group has been actively monitoring Millstone
0	station since 1995. As a matter of fact, it was our
1	group, with the help of Paul Blanch, that encouraged
2	Senator Melodie Peters to form NEAC. So we are kind of
3	closely related, your group and our group.
4	So I'm concerned. I have some concerns
5	because our group from the outset has always been very
6	involved with people that work in the plant and getting
7	information from people that work in the plant. If
8	they felt like they couldn't come forward to ECP or to
9	Little Harbor, they call me. And I haven't heard from
20	people in the plant well, I've kind of been
21	incognito for the last year. But in the last several
2	months, I've been receiving some more calls and I've
23	done some extensive interviews with people from inside
24	the plant. And I'm concerned about what they have to
-	in a second control of the second control of

1	say.
2 3 4 5 6	And I'd like to question Little Harbor about some of the things in their report because it reaffirms some of the things that I've been hearing from inside the plant, especially having to do with incidents.
7 8 9 0 1 2	Now, what concerns me in your report about the safety-conscious work environment is w of course, are very concerned about non-nuclear concerns because non-safety concerns because with stress and frustration levels, that's when people have the accidents can happen.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And so what I was upset about on Page 10 of your report was that a number of individuals on the work force expressed their frustration about management's response to these types of concerns. The employees described disappointment having to do with frustration about not receiving acknowledgement or feedback to the suggestions that were solicited by management.
21 22 23 24	In one department, they said there were strong resentments that their ideas were being ignored, that changes were being made without any consideration of the impact on the original (indiscernible) and that

1 2 3 4 5 6	a number of individuals oh, that management was not listening. That management was not listening. A number of individuals were so frustrated that they were no longer willing to even raise such concerns to their management because they had no confidence that anything would be done about it.
7 8 9 10 11	That sounds to me a lot like it was in 1995 and '96. Why NRC decided to focus on making the problem with safety-conscious work environment and maybe it wasn't all that bad. One of the criteria for shutting down the plant. This is exactly the same thing; management ignoring.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Now and you say here the bulk of the input came from the Maintenance organization. And then you say, oh, this was not the exclusive source. What was another source besides Maintenance then? What was another department that had this problem?  MR. BECK: There was some input from the Engineering organization as well.
20 21	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So it was Engineering.
22 23 24	MR. BECK: Let me make sure that what we wrote is fully understood. This is what we heard from people and it's accurate insofar as it's summarized in
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	our report. What we did not do is to follow up on
2	getting that input that we got simply because we did
3	not have time to find out a lot more specifics about
4	exactly what was being talked about.

MS. GARDE: We didn't investigate -like if someone came to us and said, "I'm frustrated.
I raised this to management a half a dozen times and
nothing got done about it", we didn't investigate that.
We took that at the person's word that that's what they
had done. And our report, which we expect will also be
read by those people, we wanted to accurately reflect
what we were told. And this is what we were told and
this is what we believed they feel.

MS. PERRY LUXTON: Well, fine. Well, that's the same thing with me. I don't investigate, either. I can't go and investigate when someone calls me. I take it that they're telling me the truth. I assume they're telling me the truth. And I'm concerned about that. I don't care if you didn't investigate it. The point is they're saying these things. And this is what was said four years ago.

Now, another point I'd like to make is - what gets me in the next paragraph you say here "In each instant, Little Harbor -- several employees

1	reported specific examples of difficulty in pursuing
2	the matter with concern to them pursuing matters of
3	concern to them. Okay? In each instant, Little Harbor
4	pursued the matter with the permission of the involved
5	employee to reach a determination of the cause of the
6	conflict. It is Little Harbor's observation that once
7	identified, NICO management provided appropriate
8	follow-up of these cases, either resolving the issue to
9	the satisfaction of the employee or handling the issue
0	in an appropriate manner.

Now, that's fine. But this reminds me of exactly what went on in recovery. Millstone management would not pick up what was going on. They weren't sensitive to what was happening with their employees. Then Little Harbor would come in. They would advocate for the employees. They would go to Millstone management and say, "You've got to do this." Management would do it. And (coughing). That's fine.

But the reason that the NRC let them start up again and let you go away was so that we could see if Millstone could handle these problems without you. And this is telling me they still cannot handle these problems without you intervening. And this is Maintenance, which is a big department. There must be

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	over a hundred a hundred people in that department. It's not some little department with ten people. There's 200 people in Maintenance. This is a lot of people we're talking about
5	MR. BECK: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PERRY LUXTON: unsatisfied, upset. It's a mess, as a matter of fact, from what I've heard. And the management who is in charge there, they're new supervisors from the floor cascade, which I'll get to later, floor of the organization cascade. They are not whether they were trained or whatever, they don't seem to be doing, you know, the sensitive, caring job that we need in a safety-conscious work environment.
15	Now, another question
16 17	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I'm sorry Susan, can I ask? You said Page 10.
18	A VOICE: Page 3.
19 20	MS. GARDE: I think you're looking at the fax page number.
21 22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Oh. I'm sorry. MR. EVAN WOOLLACOTT: It's Page 3. MS. PERRY LUXTON: It was faxed to me from Little Harbor.

1 2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay.  MS. PERRY LUXTON: It came out 10.  MS. GARDE: She's on Page 3.  MS. PERRY LUXTON: I got both their reports since I hadn't seen them.
6 7 8 9 10 11	Now all right. Let's talk about ECOP for a minute. ICOP. If ICOP is doing so well, why didn't they solve the Maintenance problem with the why didn't they go to the senior management or whoever and say "Maintenance is having this problem. Let's work this out"? Is that their function with you not there?
3  4	MS. GARDE: ECOP that would not be ECOP's function.
5  6  7	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Whose function would it be? Would it be the Safety-Conscious Work Group that they supposedly have?
18	MS. GARDE: Yes.
19 20	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Safety-Conscious Work Group?
21	MS. GARDE: Yes.
22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. I've been hearing some very serious things about this lack the Safety-Conscious Work Group has practically evaporated

#### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	at Millstone. Am I right? Safety-Conscious Work Group that they touted was to be so strong with the recovery, people are telling me that the Safety-Conscious they're not even hear they don't hear about it any more, that it's weakened and disintegrated. The Safety-Conscious SCWE group. Can you comment on that? Do you agree with that assessment?
8	MS. GARDE: The Safety-Conscious Work
9	Environment organization is not currently in existence
10	the way it was before. The function of the Safety-
11	Conscious Work Environment was group was doing are
12	in the process of being distributed to other
13	organizations. And, frankly, the company has not done
14	a very good job rolling out where those functions are
15	going, who now has responsibilities for them. And I am
16	not surprised that people may have voiced to you a
17	belief that that organization has I don't know what
18	the word it's gone away. It's not gone away. But
19	it is it is not easily accessible the way it used to
20	be even three months ago.
21 22 23 24	Now, in a healthy functioning company, the activities that the Safety-Conscious Work Environment group was doing would be absorbed, for example, within an HR organization or within an

1 2 3	Employee Concerns Program. And that is where they're headed. But there is a vacuum right now. You're right.
4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So HR is not being (papers being shuffled) started them off and you were leaving, that it was fragile. And HR was one of the things that they had to work on. I'm hearing once again from you just now that HR is still having problems. Now, what are we going to do about HR? I mean what are they doing about HR?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. GARDE: It still has problems. MS. PERRY LUXTON: Still has problems. MS. GARDE: If it was as robust as you as we would like to see it, the issues in this report would not be here. I mean that's just frank. I mean it so there still are problems. They're not they are not delivering the results that I think a company expects and that everybody would hope for.  That does not mean that they're non-existent. And there's a lot that's been on their plate. But they do need to do some additional work in that area.
23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. And another question and this is on Page 11, maybe your 4. Okay.

You're talking about while responses have improved
since the summer of '99, management did not do an
adequate job of anticipating or preventing the issues
in the Maintenance organization from developing to the
point of requiring significant intervention. While
management was aware of the issues and often working to
resolve the matters, there have been ineffective
communications about these actions to the work force.

So what is happening is that management is still not sensitive enough when trouble spots begin or when trouble is brewing, they don't deal with the trouble brewing until somebody calls up Little Harbor and says, "Help." Somebody -- obviously, some of the 80 people you talked to or whatever came to advocate for that, which is okay, which is good. I'm glad they're doing that.

So we've got -- we've got HR not in office now. We've got -- what was it? The Safety-Conscious Work Group is in transition and is basically disintegrating or whatever it's doing or at least not there. And now we have management. Now -- okay.

Okay. And there's one more thing I want to mention and that is this. Little Harbor did not attempt to determine the reason of each of these

incidents but notes that there are many new managers
across the site with a lack of experience in addressing
employee issues and applying principles of management
establishing a recovery. While these managers are
being provided additional training, coaching and
mentoring, some situations are not being anticipated
and prevented as they may have been in the past.

Okay. That's another concern we have. For that reason, what it -- it has to do with Mr. Sheehan was saying. Going into -- going forward, as they say -- in this business, they say going forward. Going forward, with who knows what's going to happen, the anxiety and the frustration and the fear what's going to happen with a new buyer. What they've been doing is there were buyouts or whatever they call them, early retirements, people are leaving.

I'm concerned about the quality of leadership now. Now, you're saying the leaders are inexperienced. They say they've had training. The training isn't working very well. So they need to be trained again.

And, also, I'm wondering what's the quality of leadership as compared to the leadership prior to recovery? Now, there were some bad things

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	that were going on prior to recovery as far as
2	harassment and intimidation. But basically we had
3	people there who were 38-year-old 30 years in the
4	company, 20 years in the company, whatever. They were
5	long-time people in the company. They were working in
	nuclear for a long time. I don't know
6 7	So now we get these new inexperienced
8	leaders. And it's really a concern. I think it should
9	be a concern for the public and it needs to be a
0	concern for NEAC. You know, your reports always come
1	out sounding so sweet when you're talking in the
2	microphone. But when you really read the words, it
3	doesn't come out as sugar-coated as you sometimes make
4	it sound. I just wanted to make sure
5	A VOICE: Welcome back.
5	A VOICE. Welcome back.
6	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Yes. Anyway, that's
7	enough for Little Harbor. Thank you.
′	enough for Little Harbor. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Thank you,
9	Susan.
3	Susan.
20	MS. PERRY LUXTON: That was you? I like
21	that one.
. '	that one.
2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: That's a non-
23	nuclear issue.
.0	nuclear issue.
24	Would anybody else like to speak from
. т	vvodia arrybody cise like to speak from
	POST REPORTING SERVICE
	HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

6

7 8

1	the	pub	lic?
•			

Well, I thank you very -- oh. David? 3 MR. DAVID SILK: Yes. David Silk, 4 Stonington. So far, tonight the discussion has been 5 about NU, whether they're improved, whether they're not and, in the report, where they're going. I think the committee should be taking into consideration that we won't have NU to kick around 9 much longer. We've been talking to the Consumer 10 Council, for example, and he assures us that NU is 11 attempting to have the auction moved up to March 1. 12 March 1. That's six days away, something like that. It's an entirely different situation. 13 14 And I'm -- frankly, the conclusions in the report all deal with the future. We have Year 2000 and beyond at 15 16 Millstone they're engaging in changes in the philosophy 17 of management. It's going to be now participative and inclusive. Isn't it too late for that? They've only 18 19 got a couple of days. I'm not saying they will auction 20 it off then, but that's what they're trying to do. And 21 they referred to -- NU people referred to this early 22 day as being crucial to NU to have this auction soon. They are putting all force behind that. 23 24 And when we talk about Management 21

1

1 2 3	empowerment training, that's the is that realistic for them to institute a program such as that at a time like this?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13	MS. GARDE: Well, a lot of these employees and managers and supervisors are going to continue to run this plant for the licensee regardless of who the owner is. And I think it would be a terrible mistake if NU adopted a policy of stopping, "We're going to just stop advancing our employees working to improve the quality of management and leadership because NU may no longer be the owner."  So I think that the things that they're doing in terms of continuing to improve are very both progressive and appropriate.
5  6  7	MR. SILK: And you don't find it at all strange that they're changing their philosophy now at this time?
18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. GARDE: Well, NU's been changing their philosophy since we got here. I mean they have continued to move from one way of management style and way of doing business toward another, frankly, as most of the, you know, industry in America is continuing to move in that direction. I think if they tried to go backwards that I would find troubling

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5	MR. SILK: I think we'd be kidding ourselves if we thought that a new that a company that was going to that is going to take over the Millstone facility is not going to come in with their own philosophy
6	MS. GARDE: They will.
7 8	MR. SILK: their own management. MS. GARDE: They will.
9 10 11 12 13	MR. SILK: And I guess I've made my point that I don't think I don't think it's realistic to be I don't know would you call it beating a dead horse or not? But let's think about the future. What are we going to get now?
14	Thank you.
15 16	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Thank you very much.
17 18 19	Yes? Would you like to could you I know I'm meant to know your name. But it's escaping my mind right now.
20 21 22 23 24	MR. DAVID COLLINS: Oh. My name is David Collins. I'm actually a Millstone employee. I'm not here on behalf of anybody but myself. And I don't know how many people know me. You've probably seen me around and know that I've been extremely critical of

24

1	Millstone leadership, management, in the past.
2	But I'd like to say a couple of things
3	on some of the things I heard here. One is the issues
4	in the Maintenance Department. Another one is the
5	how the leadership is today as opposed to their old
6	leadership. And the third thing is is the Management
7	21 training that's been going on at Millstone trying to
8	improve the way it thinks about the workplace and is it
9	just the way we did before?

10 And the first thing is the Maintenance 11 issues. In fact, I was in this Management training with people of the Maintenance Department who were very 12 upset about not being listened to by supervision. And 13 14 what they were upset about is in Millstone 2 and 3 15 Maintenance groups have been combined. particularly, it was a Millstone 2 Maintenance person 16 17 who said, "Now I'm being asked to walk over to Millstone 3" to get his work order. Then he has to 18 19 walk all the way back across the site, which can be 20 about a half a mile, to get his tool box and then he 21 has to drag his tool box a half a mile back to 22 Millstone 3 to work.

So the point was that the issues are not safety-related issues. They're frustrating. The

1	workers want to do their job more efficiently. And
2	that's a lot different story than we had in the past
3	from workers grumbling. And what usually happens is we
4	have the Maintenance managers and they're putting
5	together teams to try to resolve these problems.
6	They're not resolved yet. But there's a very different
7	attitude. The attitude now is "Let's fix the
8	problems." And there is an interest in listening to
9	the employees now.

I'd like to kind of equate it to a story of values and cynicism. If you can think of your house on fire and you call the Fire Department and it takes 20 minutes for them to show up, well, scenario one is you ask the fireman, you say, "How come it took 20 minutes to show up?" And he said, "Well, the fire truck ran out of gas." And then you might say, "Well, gee, you know, shouldn't you guys have better values than that or better, you know, procedures?"

The second scenario is they show up 20 minutes after you call them and you say, "What happened?" and the fireman says, "Well, gee, there was another house on fire at the same time that had people inside and we had to get them out safely. So that's why we're late."

1	Now, the result is the same. But the
2	values and the culture is that they're protecting in
3	the first place, you might be cynical. But in the
4	second instance, you can say, well, gee, this had to
5	happen but you might not be cynical about you might
6	feel that the value system is appropriate. And I think
7	that's the difference between the old Millstone 2 and
8	Millstone. And I think it's also the difference
9	between the old Millstone leadership and the new
10	Millstone leadership.
11	And there was something else I was going
12	to talk about.
13	MR. SHEEHAN: Management 21 training.
14	MR. COLLINS: Management 21 training.
15	Thank you.
16	I just went through that and it's
17	different from anything else I've had in the training.
18	Up until now, all the training has been really to
19	program me to be a better employee in my job for the
20	company. And this training is a training that is
21	really strictly a self-development training. It's
22	really separate from the issues of the company. But
23	it's designed to make people think more proactively and
24	more clearly about where they want to go. If they

don't like and want to leave the company in the future
-- it's been given to -- I guess the military has
extensively used it. It's probably one of the largest
training courses of its type in the world. And it's
not just the employees, but their spouses are also
welcome to be included in the training, which is
something I've never seen before.

So -- and that's actually where I talked to Maintenance people about their issues. And it's having multiple benefits. One is it's making the employees think more about -- it's actually raising their expectations of the management. It's making their managers' life a little more difficult actually because employees are coming on down and they're saying, "Hey, you know, you want to set up? We want to see some actions. We see the future as being better and better in cost, capacity, safety, environment, all of these things. And we expect you guys to toe the line and walk the talk and get it done."

So, yes, the employees are more empowered than they were before and this program is making it even more so. And that's all I wanted to say. Oh, there is one more thing I want to say maybe a little bit later. And that's about Millstone is -- I

16

17

18

19

1	mean it just kind of makes sense. Willistone just got
2	beat up pretty bad. Millstone went out and looked for
3	the best they could find. I don't think it should be
4	any surprise it's a whole lot better than it was. They
5	spent a lot of money doing it. They spent a lot of
6	time doing it.
_	and danig a
7	And I think, though, that some of the
8	lessons learned, people who are in the NRC, the people
9	who are in the NECOG, the people who are I don't
0	know if the people in NEAC, that they have in the
1	industry, the people in the Nuclear Energy Institute,
2	you need to carry forward some of the lessons learned
3	at Millstone. Millstone is probably the least of our
4	problems in the nuclear industry right now. And I

20 CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank 21 you, David.

think the lessons at Millstone need to be carried

across the country to the other plants and they need to

learn from this. And I don't really see that happening

right now. I may come back a little bit later and talk

- I don't see any more hands. So thankyou very much, John Beck and --
- 24 MR. WOOLLACOTT: Joe.

with you more about that.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Oh, Joe Besade. Sorry.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JOSEPH BESADE: I wasn't going to speak, but I got a call this evening from Tom Massiano, one of the whistleblowers. I've been also in contact with James Plumb, one of the other whistleblowers, and quite a few of the others who are the ones that paid the price of going forward, putting their jobs and livelihood and families on the line, which cost them quite a bit. They haven't been compensated. They're the ones who caused all of this and have them pay the fine of 10 million dollars or a minimum of fine (indiscernible). So these gentlemen told the truth and they paid a very high price, whether it be NU or the NRC who also gave their people work out there for the past 18 years or \$10,000.00 bonus for what they supplied.
18 19 20 21 22 23	As far as Employees Concerns, I want to put these questions to Mr. Beck and Billie. Do you think that these people that are still working over there on the Safety Conscious Work Environment are going to come forward when they see what the price is these gentlemen paid?
24	MS. GARDE: Well, a lot of people are
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	coming forward. I mean there have been a tremendous number of employees who have utilized the Employee Concerns Program over the last three years and raised issues, sometimes very serious issues, that I think in the past they would have brought to the public or they would have taken to the newspaper or they would have taken to the citizens group. And those issues have been resolved. So I think that people are raising it. I do.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BESADE: I still feel very upset that when I send whistleblowers to you off-site that were terminated or were off that you didn't meet with you. All you did was meet with the people that are still employed. If you talked to those people that I just mentioned, I do believe I would have much more faith in what Little Harbor the final results of what's come out. Right now I have not too much faith. And I'm telling you that right now. I think it's just another way of covering up and smoothing things over to pacify them, shut a few up.
21 22	Thank you. Unless you have any questions?
23 24	MS. GARDE: No. Joe, I tried to meet with everybody whose name you gave me and spent a lot
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	of time with the kind of current and pre-cursor employees when Little Harbor started their work here. I don't think I ever did talk to Tom and I don't think I ever talked to Mr. Plumb.
5 6 7	MR. BESADE: How about Pete Reynolds? MS. GARDE: Yes. I spent a lot of time with Pete. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	MR. BESADE: Yes. I was hoping he was going to be here today, but he's on the road. He definitely wanted to be here to inform the public of what he's gone through, is still going through.  All right. I'll let somebody else have the floor.
14 15	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you again very much.
16 17	And your next one will be Bernie Summer, the next review.
18 19 20 21	MR. LINVILLE: After the outage. MR. WOOLLACOTT: After the outage. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. Okay. We'll proceed now to the NRC segment.
22 23 24	MR. RICHARD URBAN: Good evening. I'm going to be working from the slides that you have there in front of you. I'd like to start out my name is

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5	Rich Urban. I'm the Senior Project Engineer for the Millstone site and I work out of Region One. And I was the lead Inspector for the inspection of Employee Concerns and the Safety-Conscious Work Environment at Millstone.
6 7 8 9 10	The majority of our inspection was conducted January 10 through 14, 1999. And we did some additional inspection as part of the 40-500 Corrective Action Team inspection that was conducted January 31 through February 4. During this inspection, I was assisted by Mr. Bud Kelman.
12 13	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I think you probably meant 2000, not 1999.
14 15	MR. URBAN: Yes. Yes. It was just January of 2000.
16 17	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. MR. URBAN: Thank you.
18 19 20 21 22	Our preliminary concerns were that a generally healthy Safety-Conscious Work Environment has been maintained at Millstone and overall performance has been maintained since our previous inspection was done in October of 1999.
23 24	In the Employee Concerns area, the Employee concerns investigation case files generally

1	showed good investigative work, logical analysis and
2	sound conclusions. However, we did note some problems
3	with the documentation of corrective actions in a
1	particular case file, including the subsequent
5	communication of these corrective actions with a
3	concerned individual.

Several key performance indicators have improved. Some examples would be the number of concerns received by the Employee Concerns Program department declined significantly from an average of 20 per month to 12 per month.

While on this topic, the number of allegations received by the NRC has also declined significantly the latter part of 1999. It was recently published that harassment/intimidation allegations received by the NRC increased. But we don't believe this is a significant trend and I'll explain why.

Several of the allegations that we received were considered duplicates. They were very similar. And another allegation we received was four years old. It was an older concern. So basically, if you look at the concerns, they're about the same number of H&I concerns that we're receiving.

24 Another example of an improved key

1 2 3 4	performance indicator is that there was only eight cases under investigation by the licensee at the end of 1999. Also, 103 case files were closed during the last three months of 1999.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	One key performance indicator that we did note that was decreasing was the average age of cases under investigation. But it was still under the licensee's goal. So they put some more effort in some other areas and, you know, this area slipped a little bit. But it was still under their performance goal.  Corrective actions that the licensee took in response to previous issues that we raised back in October of 1999 were effective. Some examples would be the backlog of corrective actions for the Employee Concern case files was reduced. That's why there was a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	They also reviewed a potential chilling effect for a case file and that review was subsequently found to be non (indiscernible).  And, lastly, provisions to the Employee Concerns Program processing manual were effective. In the area of Safety-Conscious Work Environment, case files for identified problem areas were comprehensive, well maintained and contained good

1 corrective action plans
---------------------------

The Safety-Conscious Work Environment
Department was proactive when the problem areas were
identified. Some of the areas or methods that they
used are core group workplace surveys, daily review of
condition reports and site culture surveys.

Now, speaking to the site culture
survey, we noted that the one that was done in December

survey, we noted that the one that was done in December of '99 was -- the participation rate was down and including the overall scores. But the results still indicated that a safety-conscious work environment was being maintained at Millstone.

Some employees felt comfortable raising nuclear safety concerns. This conclusion was based on interviews of site employees that were conducted as part of the 40-500 corrective action team that was done by the NRC. And we used a standard set of questions that were asked of various employees.

The Employee Concerns Oversight Panel continues to effectively monitor the safety-conscious work environment at Millstone. Of note was a well-written, comprehensive quarterly report that provided good safety-conscious work environment activities at Millstone.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Daily people/team meetings were effective in discussing working issues and coordinating required action. Some examples would be management of the overtime issue for the upcoming Unit 2 outage with the cancellation of the job posting at the site and there were some several disciplinary actions that were discussed.
8 9 0 1	Lastly in this area, the Executive Review Board was effective in reviewing personnel or disciplinary actions to ensure these actions were appropriate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In closing, this (shuffling of papers) future issue. Although the licensee has effectively handled previous changes at Millstone and reporting to industry outage that is concurrent with the Unit 2 restart, the supervisory cascade and the union vote, future planned changes and I'm referring here to the reorganization through downsizing and the plant auction. They require continued management attention to minimize impacts on the safety-conscious work environment at Millstone.
22 23 24	And that basically concludes my presentation. And I'll address any questions.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Questions? John? Oh, the mike. While you're passing the mike, I just would like to ask how would you respond to the statements made by Ms. Perry Luxton about the lack of organization presently with the SCWE or the Safety-Conscious Work Environment and the response from Little Harbor that it's so operating in a vacuum?
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	MR. URBAN: Well, the licensee is, you know, trying to get back to some neutral ground. And, you know, they are providing some of the resources in the Human Relations and other departments. And we're keeping an eye on that. We haven't seen any direct effect on our end at this point. But we're going to continue to monitor that area.
6 7	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: How closely will you be monitoring it?
8 9 20 21 22 23	MR. URBAN: Well, we're going to any time Little Harbor comes on-site here, we're going to be aware of what they're doing. And we have to go through our senior management as to what type of inspections we'll be doing in the future. But we're going to maintain some sort of oversight of what is going on here and what Little Harbor is doing.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you.
3	Okay. John?
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MARKOWICZ: Two question. And the first is you're talking again of NRC allegations. Could you be more specific and give us some quantitative feels for the number of allegations per month that the NRC is currently receiving from them versus a year ago or totals for the year versus a year ago? You haven't mentioned
11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. URBAN: Yes. In the first six months of 1999, we received 16 allegations. And in the last six months, we received six. And if you break the first six months down into three-month periods, it was like ten in the first three months, six in the next three and then six in the last six. So you can see it's a large trend.
18 19 20 21 22 23	And basically what we've seen for the last several months and I want to say four, five, six months it's about one, sometimes two, per month.  MR. MARKOWICZ: And is there any thread of commonality between those and what ECP sees or are the specific allegations significant safety issues?  Are they (talking over each other) talk about at all?

1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. URBAN: Well, I can't talk in specifics, but I can say that there are some that ECP sees and some that we see that are the same or very similar. The utility obviously gets a lot more than we do. If we're getting one a month, then they're getting twelve.
7 8 9	MR. MARKOWICZ: Does Little Harbor know the substance of those allegations or did they just see the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. URBAN: Of our allegations? No. MR. MARKOWICZ: The second question has to do with something a year ago. And you may not know the answer. Maybe Jim does. But when the hearing was held back in January, there were a number of things that then-Chairman Jackson directed, 4500 inspections, 4,000 line inspections and those kind of things. And she also directed staff to provide her with triggering triggers, triggers that would indicate that there
19 20 21 22 23	would be a requirement for more NRC oversight if something happened. And I wonder whether anybody knows what the triggers are. Were those ever quantified or distributed to anybody so that the public kind of has a feel of what you get you get six allegations a month, that would (indiscernible) ask it at some point

1	in the future.
2 3 4 5	MR. URBAN: Well, the triggers were put out in a staff requirement memorandum. And I don't know whether that was public or not. But the triggering mechanism is in there.
6 7 8 9 10	MR. LINVILLE: They were put out in the staff requirements memorandum which we provided copies with the transcript of the last NEAC meeting that we attended, which was in last July. So those were have been made public. And somewhere here I've got them.
12 13	MR. MARKOWICZ: I'll take you to I don't remember getting them. So
14 15	MR. WOOLLACOTT: No. You had the whole transcript.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LINVILLE: It was we published this September 10 memo which forwarded the transcript and the staff requirements memo, which you had requested last July, to the Chairpersons.  MR. MARKOWICZ: Were there a lot of them? I mean were a lot of triggers you talk about it in general here.
23 24	MR. LINVILLE: Oh, that's public information. Sure.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I'm sorry. I do have a couple.
3 4	(Interruption in taping - changing from Tape 1-B to Tape 2-A.)
5 6	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: have to be more careful of to transmit material.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LINVILLE: We indicated we would consider increased oversight if there was a substantiated case of harassment/intimidation not appropriately addressed by the licensee. A significant increase occurs in Employee Concerns receipt and significant and substantiation rate increases. Significant increase occurs in NRC allegation receipt and significance. Significant adverse findings are identified as a result of our Corrective Action Program, our Employee Concerns Program inspections. However, there's a significant decline in NECO's Employee Concerns Program, Safety-Conscious Work Environment key performance indicators.  MR. MARKOWICZ: Thank you.  MR. LINVILLE: And there's a corresponding list for which we would consider returning to normal oversight.
24	MR. MARKOWICZ: Thank you.
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I'm sorry. You're done with your two questions.
3 4 5 6 7	Okay. Any more questions? Mark? MR. HOLLOWAY: Could you tell me how many Millstone allegations are currently being investigated by the NRC? In other words, how many open allegations are at present?
8 9	MR. URBAN: We have eleven open allegations at this time.
10 11	MR. HOLLOWAY: That's the total case load at this point?
12	MR. URBAN: That's it.
13 14 15 16 17	MR. HOLLOWAY: And how long is the how far back is the oldest one? Do you know? MR. URBAN: One is from '97. MR. HOLLOWAY: That's all I had. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: These are the 11 that were mentioned in early February?
19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. URBAN: No. These are the 11 that were mentioned I believe in the article you may have read were the number of H&I concerns received in fiscal year 1999. Some of those have been closed. So the 11 we're talking about are the ones that are currently open at Millstone.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Now, you say fiscal year or calendar year?
3	MR. URBAN: Fiscal year.
4 5	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So that would be September 30?
6 7	MR. URBAN: It would have ended October September 30 of last year.
8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. MR. WOOLLACOTT: Could I ask one question?
11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. (Dropped microphone). I'm sorry. Whoops. Just a minute.  MR. WOOLLACOTT: I'm Evan Woollacott.  Just following up on Mark's question before, does the NRC have any internal requirement to clear these cases up in a specific period of time? I think 1997 is an awful long time ago. In fact
18	A VOICE: Three years.
19 20 21	MR. WOOLLACOTT: three years. And the reaction of people to the NRC is not good to be holding them on for two years.
22 23 24	MR. URBAN: Okay. I can address that. We have a requirement to close allegations in 180 days, assuming that there is no Office of Investigations

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involvement. And that is one of our requirements and we pretty much kept to that 180 days. Once OI gets involved, the cases can run for a very long time. As you can see, there's one that's, you know, three years old. And at that point, there is no requirement by the agency to close it in any particular time. Although, there is a statute of limitations, typically five years.
9 10 11 12 13	MR. HOLLOWAY: That's ridiculous. I mean you're saying that the statute of limitations can expire while these things are being investigated. And obviously, if it's turned over to OI, it has some real significance.
14 15	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Careful of the other one now, Terry. Be careful.
16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I'll try. MR. LINVILLE: I've worked for the NRC for 20 years. I'm not aware of a statute of limitations expiring before we resolve we completed any OI investigations. It's possible, but I don't believe that's the case.
22 23 24	And these the investigation process becomes much more legal when Office of Investigations gets involved and there's a just it's a legal

## 72 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6	country; you know, time-consuming things.  MR. WOOLLACOTT: Maybe we should ask OI to revamp its review process. It doesn't seem to be working. And it's a real concern, the immediacy of action and so forth.
7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. I think we've is there any comment from the public at this juncture?
10	Okay. Susan?
11	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Hi.
12	MR. URBAN: Rich Urban.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. PERRY LUXTON: I would like to ask you about you're involved with the Safety-Conscious Work Environment. All right. I'd like to ask you about the leadership cascade that's within the organization that's gone in the last year at Millstone.  Did you monitor that? Did NRC monitor that, the matrix the way they conducted interviews and all that kind of stuff? Were you at all involved in that, overseeing that?
22 23 24	MR. URBAN: No, we were not. MS. PERRY LUXTON: You were not. Okay. MR. LINVILLE: I think we weren't
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

## 73 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	our Resident Inspectors at the site were well aware of what was going on as it was occurring. We knew that it was in progress and
5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. MR. LINVILLE: I believe we were being briefed on the steps and the results as they proceeded. MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So should I ask Mr. Cerne the question instead of Mr. Urban? MR. LINVILLE: I don't know what the question is. I'll
12 13	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. I'll get to the question.
14	MR. LINVILLE: Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. PERRY LUXTON: The question is there are certain minimum qualifications that what do they call them? a leader or a manager or a supervisor or whatever meets when the jobs are posted. It's called minimum qualification of the ANSI standard. ANSI. Industry standard, A-N-S-I?
21	MR. LINVILLE: Yes.
22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: What does that mean? MR. LINVILLE: American National Standards Institute.

## 74 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Now, and that's an NRC commitment that NU made?
3	MR. LINVILLE: Well
4 5	MS. PERRY LUXTON: That their leadership meets the ANSI standards?
6 7 8 9 10	MR. LINVILLE: Not exactly. They have a quality assurance program manual that is what they meet and that's based on the ANSI standards but may take some exceptions to them. And that document has been reviewed and approved by the NRC.
11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So you did check and make sure that present leadership in positions of leadership right now all have met the ANSI standards? That's the question. You have checked that? You've approved that? You didn't check it?  MR. LINVILLE: We have not checked each individual one necessarily, no.
18 19	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Then how do you know they meet the standards?
20 21 22 23	MR. LINVILLE: The licensee is required to do that review. Our whole inspection program is based on sampling and periodically checking. And so we don't review every thing that they do.
24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So we're
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 2 3 4 5	assuming that they do meet the qualifications we're assuming that the NRC is telling you that they do meet the questions. But you don't know for sure if they do.  MR. LINVILLE: We have not verified each one, no.
6 7 8 9 10	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Has do you know if management if your oversight of Northeast Utilities evaluated the cascade, evaluated that, interviewed the cascade? Do you know if NU's own oversight did that? Did you
11	MR. LINVILLE: No.
12 13 14	MS. PERRY LUXTON: You don't know if they reviewed it. Right? The Oversight Department, NU's Oversight Department.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. LINVILLE: Well, I believe they probably did. But I can't state that for a fact. We know that they normally conduct a review for each of those each of those assignments that are specified in the ANSI standard.
20 21 22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Sir, may I ask you something about oversight? Can I ask about NU oversight now or that doesn't really fit in here?  MR. LINVILLE: It's appropriate.  MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Now, I've been
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	that oversight has been diminished since the restart because during the it was a VP position. And since oversight was one of the criteria for restart prior to 1995, it wasn't a Vice President position. It wasn't on equal footing with the rest of the VP's. Right? So then they boosted it up to VP position. And now, since within the last year or whatever, it's back down to a
9	Director position.
10 11 12	MR. LINVILLE: That's a recent change within the last few months. That's correct.  MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Fine. So
13 14	MR. LINVILLE: I would say, though, that they've it's a Director position who also reports to
15	the Senior Vice President as opposed to another Vice
16	President. So the organizations that Oversight is
17	overseeing, that Director does not report to one of the
18	line Vice President.
19	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Oh, he's
20	MR. LINVILLE: He's independent of those
21	organizations. That's what the real requirement is.
22	And it's not unusual for a Director of QA to be
23	reporting have a different title perhaps than the
24	line Vice Presidents but still report to a higher level

# 77 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	of management.
2 3 4 5	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. So Oversight has no collateral duties. They're the Director of Oversight now. It has to do regulatory affairs and oversight, not just oversight.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LINVILLE: Yes. That's correct. MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Now, have your NRC renderings reviewed the quality of the products, the oversight products, since start-up? Because I heard from someone, several people, that the quality of oversight products, which during the recovery were actually considered industry standards, have diminished in quality.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LINVILLE: Not only have the Residents reviewed that, we have had two Corrective Action Program Team inspections at the site since start-up, one just the concluded today, actually. So and our conclusions have not been in general that the quality of the oversight organization activities has diminished, no.
21 22 23	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. And their products haven't diminished more now? You don't you haven't found that?
24	MR. LINVILLE: Not in our review. I'd
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	invite the Residents who have a more
2 3 4 5	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Do you have a comment? Okay. Interesting. Okay. Let me just bear with me a minute. Okay. I think that's it. Thank you.
6 7 8	MR. LINVILLE: Thank you. MR. MARKOWICZ: Could I follow up just -
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes.  MR. MARKOWICZ: The question Susan didn't quite get to ask is for those fellas that you did look at for compliance with ANSI standards, has the QA manual (indiscernible) did you find compliance?  MR. ANTONE CERNE: The recent review in all cases has found compliance. Going back a few years, there were some organizational difficulties and some violations that were actually written. And our follow-ups in a lot of cases were in response to that problem. But the recent situations and the recent changes that have been referred to, we've found compliance.
22 23 24	MR. MARKOWICZ: So the cascade, according to spot checks, is in compliance with the QA manual and the ANSI standards as they
	DOST DEDODTING SEDVICE

## 79 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	MR. CERNE: The cascade positions that we have reviewed have been in compliance with their commitments to the ANSI standards.
4 5	MR. HOLLOWAY: How many positions have you reviewed?
6 7 8	MR. CERNE: It depends on the individual. I can say that I personally have reviewed probably four.
9  0  1	MR. HOLLOWAY: I'm talking about this Resident staff as a whole. Do you have any feel for that?
2  3  4  5  6	MR. CERNE: Besides the other Resident Inspectors, we also have team inspections. And I could characterize that in general. But I think that the point being that Mr. Linville made is that our inspections are not 100 percent. It's an audit function.
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HOLLOWAY: I understand. MR. CERNE: And the key there, also, is corrective actions from prior to violation were significant to us to assure to us that the licensee had a handle. And when they make the statement to us that, in fact, there is compliance with the ANSI standard, we expect that to be true.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. I think - yes, David?
3 4	MR. COLLINS: I just wanted to say something. Could I say a few words?
5 6	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. Come up to the microphone please.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLINS: There's some questions about the taking a look at the leadership. What does the NRC do? I think the main question was what does the NRC do to assure that we have a leadership? Would that be a fair characterization of what was some of the questions just recently were? And, yes, there are ANSI standards and I think they talk about perhaps what your organization structure is, how many Vice Presidents you have and kind of different departments you have and maybe some of their basic qualifications.  But I would suggest that a lot of these things are not very relevant, that if we look at what happened at Millstone, one of the big problems with leadership was that the employees didn't trust their leadership. That was the fundamental root cause of a lot of things that happened. It kind of boiled down to can we trust the people who are there?
24	And I think that there's some kind of a

1	review of management, that it would be nice if it was
2	based more on questions like "Can we trust you? Are
3	you committed to the environment? Do you care about
4	nuclear safety? Do you care about the employees and
5	the community?"

And I don't think it's unreasonable to have perhaps some type of survey vehicle that would ask these questions to the employees. "Do you trust the (indiscernible). Do you trust your Vice Presidents? Do you trust your Director, your manager, your supervisor?"

I saw something on a bulletin board a while ago which was a little story where they were talking about values. And he said if you want to test the values of a leader, you can ask three questions. The first question is "Can I trust you?" The second question is "Are you committed to excellence?" And the third question is "Do you care about me?"

And I think if we ask Millstone employees to ask those three questions of each of the levels of management across the organization that it would be an interesting thing to see how it came out. I would feel it would come out very good for Millstone right now. But I also have a feeling -- this is

23

24

1	something I was talking about before. There's a plant
2	on the other side of the country called Diablo Canyon
3	which has very high INPO ratings. I guess it must be,
4	what, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. And
5	that's going to be the highest or the most important
6	rating that to nuclear plants. And Diablo Canyon
7	had a 5 INPO 1, the highest INPO ratings in a row, but
8	they also just terminated one of their employees for
9	raising safety concerns and dragging through some
0	mental, psychological evaluations where they stored the
1	evidence and made it look like he was crazy. He went
2	to some independent review and found out that he's
3	totally sane. The Department of Labor said you have to
4	take him back, give him back pay, respond to his
5	original position.

And I think if you were to send a survey to the employees of -- by the way, he was an operator. And there was a petition signed by 20 operators, his fellow operators, that this guy was not crazy and that his safety concerns should have been looked at and addressed.

If you were to ask the employees of Diablo Canyon, "Do you trust your leadership?", I think the answer would probably be no. If you were to ask

the employees of Millstone several years ago "Do you trust your leadership?", I think the answer would overwhelmingly have been no. I think if you ask them today "Do you trust your leadership?", I think the answer would overwhelmingly be Yes.

6 So that's just something that I know the NRC doesn't get into. It's really not in their purview 7 8 or bailiwick or whatever you want to call it to really 9 get their fingers into the management and talk to you here about this today. And I think you would agree 10 11 that maybe somebody should look at that, but it's not really the NRC's area. And it's something we ought to 12 suggest to INPO and something on the topic of nuclear 13 14 energy. But it's something also I talked to Millstone about and I talked to ECOP and I talked to their Safety 15 16 Engineering. And they're both willing to give it a 17 try. So I think that says something for the new attitude at Millstone. And I'm hoping that we do get a 18 19 little sample at Millstone and maybe it will become something real. But I think Millstone is the least of 20 21 our worries. And what I really hope is that this gets 22 done in the industry because I think that's where it's 23 needed most.

MR. HOLLOWAY: I have a question for Mr.

#### 84 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	Collins.
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Thank you. Okay. Go ahead. You need a microphone. Do you?
5 6 7 8	A VOICE: Do you want this one? MR. HOLLOWAY: I got this one here. MR. WOOLLACOTT: That's not a mike. MR. HOLLOWAY: Well
9 10 11 12	A VOICE: That records the MR. HOLLOWAY: Oh. Okay. I'll CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. It goes to the tape.
13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HOLLOWAY: What message does it send to you as an employee when you have a senior manager who is reprimanded by the NRC for harassment and intimidation and since that incident occurred this person has been promoted twice? Does that send any message to you?
19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLINS: Well, I think (indiscernible) who's our Chief Nuclear officer out there probably going cold right now because (indiscernible) about that issue. And I wasn't too happy with the results. The NRC sent two letters of reprimand to two of our managers and one of them was

1	made a Vice President. And I thought that what should
2	have happened was we have actually one of the Vice
3	Presidents out there who had who made a mistake and
4	they felt he was chilling employees. Actually, two
5	managers in the past two other managers in the past.
6	And what they did is they said, "Hey, we screwed up.
7	We admitted that we created a chilling effect. But
8	we're going to do better in the future." Now, I
9	thought that was a really good way in. And that's the
10	way the old Millstone handles it.
11	But I have to tell you. I know the
12	person who the reprimand who got improperly

person who the reprimand -- who got improperly terminated. And I know the managers who -- or actually, I know one of the managers who was involved. I know the one who is now the Vice President. And I've got to tell you. He is a real good man. And I don't have a problem at all with him. In fact, I was really happy to see him put in that position.

What I do have a slight problem with is
with not just coming out and saying -- what happened
was the way the person was terminated, the reason for
his termination being in error was attributed to a
Human Resource error, not because they screwed up
terminating. But what happened to him was he was told

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1 2	"Either you meet the schedule or you're going to be terminated." He was unable to meet the schedule. He
3	was terminated. I went to see William about that and I
4	said, "Do you think this is appropriate?" And I
5	believe what he said was what the managers did was not
6	really inappropriate according to HR procedures but
7	what was inappropriate was you don't tell somebody meet
8	a schedule or you're terminated. And what he felt was
9	I shouldn't put words in Lee's mouth. He can talk
10	about it if he wants. But I know that he feels that
11	what we do now and I don't think you're going to see
12	it happening again. But you go to the person and you
13	say, "Do you need help? We all want to get the job
14	done." And I don't see something like that happening
15	in under the leadership we have today at Millstone.
16	But I have to tell you, it can change

But I have to tell you, it can change like the weather. If you get another Chief Nuclear Officer in, he has another agenda and the whole picture of the organization can change. So tat's why I said something to the NRC about some kind of a vehicle, maybe a survey vehicle. I don't know. We need something to check and test the value of the leadership, the values and ethics and morals of the leadership that's in place because that has a direct

1	connection to the perception of nuclear safety.
2	Did that answer your question?
3	MR. HOLLOWAY: It answered my question
4	from your perspective. Unfortunately, the perspective
5	that I'm frequently getting is that there seems to be
6	another message also sent. And that is "Do as I do,
7	not as I say."
8	MR. COLLINS: I once again, I won't
9	really speak for leadership. But I think maybe I
10	don't know if it will be handled differently in the
11	future. I kind of like to say "Okay. We screwed up.
12	We're going to do better." But I think some of the
13	thought process behind we have is, let's focus on
14	the positive. Let's try not to focus on the negative
15	unless it looks like it's a perennial problem. And I
16	don't see it as being a problem. I don't think we have
17	a lingering problem as a result of that.
18	I know I've talked to the employees
19	and he doesn't have any complaints. He satisfied with
20	(indiscernible) and we respect that and just that
21	that's been my attitude of it. But like I say, I did
22	talk to him about it just to get his feelings and I was
23	satisfied with his answer.

24 MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Paul Blanch? Then David Silk.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PAUL BLANCH: I'm going to be very quick, as usual. I just think my name is Paul Blanch from West Hartford. I'm a consultant at Millstone. But I think some clarification is possibly required as a result of Mark Holloway's question. And his question related to had a statute of limitations ever expired before the NRC was able to take enforcement action. And Mr. Linville said that in his 20 years he's not aware of any.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm aware of a few. It has happened.  And I can't cite a specific case with 100-percent certainty right now. But I think that possibly Mr.  Linville needs to go back and see have there been any that have expired and have there been any at Millstone that have expired. And I think that with a little research, the answer might be different.  MR. LINVILLE: We'll check on that.  MR. BLANCH: Thank you. I just wanted
21	to provide that clarification because I've met with
22	your Director, previous Director of Enforcement, and
23	we've had this discussion in the past. And I felt the
24	clarification would be appropriate.

1 2	MR. LINVILLE: Thank you. MR. BLANCH: Thank you.
3 4	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. David Silk.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SILK: I wanted to follow up on that question of Mark's to the NU employee concerning the letter of reprimand. I'd like to have the comments of the NRC regarding that matter. In 1999, the NRC issues a rather rare letter of reprimand. NU promotes the people involved. And what's the reaction of the NRC to that? What did they do about it?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LINVILLE: The NRC, I believe, issued the letter of reprimand after the promotion occurred. But, nonetheless, the NRC has a range of options with respect to enforcement sanctions. And we apply those sanctions. They can range from an order that would exclude someone from licensed activities, which we have done in the past. I know that for certain. They include issuing of violations, letters of reprimand or findings that there was no concern.  We use the best judgment of the people involved in the issue as to what the appropriate sanction is. In this case, the sanctions that were
24	applied were the ones we thought were appropriate. And

1 2 3 4 5	we require the licensee and the individuals to respond to those. They indicated that they had taken appropriate corrective action, even though in some cases they didn't agree with them. And we found that acceptable.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think that in making that decision, we certainly looked at the whole history of the situation at Millstone where we had pervasive problems a number of years ago and many actions have been taken, including issuing of orders to require the facility to take extensive corrective action before they resumed power operation, civil penalties and a number of other actions. And that was the judgment as to what the action should be and the response.
15 16 17	MR. SILK: So the response of the NRC to NU ignoring, practically, these letters of allegation is nothing. That's the way it looks.
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LINVILLE: The NRC they did not ignore the they responded to them. And believe me, the individuals didn't take them lightly.  MR. SILK: Well, it looks to a lot of us like they may have responded, but they're still in high positions despite
24	MR. LINVILLE: And we we did not

1 2 3 4	require that they not be permitted to be in those positions based on the facts of the case.  MR. SILK: This has been a constant in our experience with the NRC.
5 6	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Did you get an answer, David?
7	MR. SILK: Pardon?
8 9	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Did you get an answer?
10 11	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: No. We really have to go on, David.
12 13	MR. COLLINS: It's just like a 10-second
14	MR. SILK: Okay.
15 16	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. No. We really do have to go on.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I don't see any new hands. So I just want to thank you, Rick, for telling us, reporting on the inspection. Will you be doing do you know ahead of time who will be doing the next one? Do you have different team leaders for each inspection?  MR. LINVILLE: Rick has been involved in all the last three. We haven't made a determination as to what our next evaluation will entail.

#### 92 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you.
3	MR. LINVILLE: Thanks.
4	A VOICE: Mike.
5 6	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Mike? A VOICE: Yes.
7 8	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: For what? A VOICE: A question.
9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I want to go to Lee Olivier. We're going to talk about Millstone 2 and the situation there after we finish this employee segment.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LEE OLIVIER: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Good evening. MR. OLIVIER: My name is Lee Olivier. I'm the Chief Nuclear Officer at Millstone. And with me is Tom Burns who is the Director of Maintenance. Tom Burns was previously the Director of Employee Concerns at Millstone. He's not the Director of Maintenance.
21 22 23 24	It's a pleasure to be here tonight. I'd just like to go through and update you on the activities that are taking place at Millstone station. The first thing I'd like to do and I think you have

1	a handout is to go through the presentation, just
2	kind of a recap of where we've been and where we're
3	going, some of the major initiatives at NRC. I believe
4	you have that. It starts on Page 2.

Well, certainly 1999 was a year of many, many challenges for Millstone and certainly with the recovery of Millstone Unit 2 and restart in May, as well as performing the refueling outage on Unit 3. And it was a year that we closed out a lot of the legacy issues in terms of the various orders that we had with ICAVP, the SCWE order and closed out the orders that we had on training.

We also got our training programs renewed, both the operator and maintenance training programs. We started to move forward to improve our overall performance. We had significant improvement on our radiation exposure on the site. We had a goal, as an example, of 310 person-REM and reduced that down to 246 person-REM.

The overall operating performance of the plants improved dramatically. If you remember, in 1998 we had a series of shutdowns in our Unit 3. And our Unit 3 has really operated extremely well. It's operated at 100-percent power essentially outside of

that performance.

1	the outage since January of 1999. So we made a number
2	of improvements on Unit 3 and we've seen the results of
3	those.

Where we're going now as we look forward

at Millstone station is we're really trying to position Millstone station to be successful across the board. Last year was a year of recovery. The year 2000, our focus is on overall improvements in every key area.

And if you go to the next slide, the next slide really looks at our overall strategic plan. What we've said we're going to do and we will do at Millstone is move Millstone 2 best of best performance in four key areas. And best of best is really kind of the north star. That's us looking at Millstone's performance and the terms of our safety performance, capacity, our cost and our environment, benchmarking against the best plants, the top decile plants in the country, and moving Millstone 3 initiatives to achieve

And the first one as an example -- let me just go through this. The first one, Safety, we have a number of key performance indicators we have tied to safety. Similar with capacity and cost. And under each one of these, we have set stakes in the

ground of performance, target level performance that we
must achieve. And in terms of safety, it is both
certainly Safety-Conscious Work Environment, as well as
other industrial safety and nuclear safety.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

We've had a number of business initiatives that we're going to use to fulfill achieving this best of best performance. The first one is our investment in people. And we'll talk more about that later. The second one is a major initiative in process improvement. And the third is moving to a more efficient organizational structure and design later in this year called asset management.

We measure those -- we measure those through effective measures both through the INPO evaluation, through a system called EPS, which is this Enhanced Performance System which is a windows-based system that looks at those four key areas of safety, capacity, cost and environment, and as well as conducting our culture surveys which we've just completed and our leadership surveys which we're going to complete next month.

The first stake in the ground and key result here is safety. And certainly, everybody at the Millstone site is very aware of the previous issues

- that had taken place at Millstone that impacted safety.
  And we have set very aggressive initiatives in terms of improving our overall safety.
  - One of the things that we conveyed to all of our people at Millstone is safety is not just nuclear safety. It's not just purely industrial safety statistics. It's an attitude. It's about people being able to speak openly and freely. It's about people being open to put issues on the table at any time with their supervision, with management, and treated respectfully and honestly and getting answers back in a timely manner.

It's the number one priority at Millstone. And, of course, safety is the gatekeeper. If you don't operate the plant safely, you don't get to go forward. You don't operate the plant in a competitive environment. So, essentially, it's good business. And safety is first and foremost in my personal commitment to Millstone and its employees.

I'm not going to cover the areas of capacity and cost. We have targets set for those. But those are more in the business realm. The last one is really -- we call it focus on environment. And when I say environment, I don't just mean the environment such

as our permits for releases and so forth. It's really
about the whole atmosphere at Millstone station.
And I like to say it all starts as you
go down the access road. It's how we maintain the
site, starting from the access road in. it's the
programs that we have. It's the material condition of
the plant. It's things like the levels of
contamination, radiation exposure. It's the level of
team work, safety-conscious work environment. It's how
our people feel about working at Millstone station. So
it's very, very complex. And we measure that through
basically an evaluation that we get through the

And the best plants in the country are what they call in the INPO-1 category. That is excellent. So our goal is to move Millstone to best of best performance in each of those four key areas.

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations.

The initiatives that we have started this year, the first one is this investment in people. And, of course, although the site is a large, massive site, very complex, it's really all about people. And if you look at the very best plants, what you find is they have the highest levels of safety. They have the lowest cost, highest levels of reliability, shortest

1	refueling outages	and they have a	heavy investment in
2	people.		

We have started the program at Millstone called Imagine 21. This is a five-day training program that you heard I referred to here before. What that training program is all about is giving people the tools and skills that they need to make change, to go through change, and not only survive but to thrive as they go through the change process.

It builds a higher self-efficacy in the individuals and it's the type of training that we're doing because where we're going with Millstone is moving Millstone to a much more collaborative, inclusive environment, using teams, moving away from the previous traditional hierarchy of top-down management. So we're having all of our people go through this training.

And it's about a five-day training program. There's three days of training up front and there's about a month in between the next two days whereby the individuals have a series of cassettes, 22 cassettes, where they go through. And at the end of this five-day training program, what this allows us to do is to start positioning the people to really run the

1	site, to have the workers have a significant say in how
2	that site is run.

This is a program that I have used previously at another nuclear power plant that I had managed. And we had tremendous success with going to a team-based and inclusive organization.

The other issue is continuous improvement. One of the issues at Millstone that we get constant feedback from from our work force is it's still very hard to do work. There's a lot of energy put into doing work, more so than is needed. Our processes are effective, but they're not always efficient. So we need to do extensive rework in our processes.

And what we've done with that is we put together teams of people that will basically use and operate the process. So these are teams of workers that were brought in, a company, an outside company to work with them in restructuring the processes. And we have four teams, process teams, up and running right now. And we're going to start additional teams.

We also -- you heard earlier about some of the issues that we have talked about in the Maintenance area. We have a series of teams there made

1	up of workers looking at all of the key issues ir
2	Maintenance that need to be resolved.

Now, historically, Millstone operated as three independent units. Well, we only have two units now. And the best sites, the most efficient sites, have a combined organization, a combined Maintenance organization/Engineering organization. So we are moving towards a combined Maintenance organization, as we call a single site, you know, one site, one team.

As we go through that, you know, we are finding issues of pay discrepancies and different policies that were different from one year to another. What we have to do is go through and resolve those. As an example, the issue on pay and differences in pay, we have a team of workers put together with management to

an example, the issue on pay and differences in pa
 have a team of workers put together with manager
 resolve all of the pay policy issues. And they will
 roll out a new pay policy procedure in the first
 quarter.

So the key issues here in terms of getting the workers involved, although we want to go faster, this is a new process that we're using here in terms of broad worker involvement. It's going to take some time. We're going to have to work our way through some of the bumps in the road, so to speak. But this

1	management team from the leadership from Bruce Kenyon
2	down is committed to have extensive involvement of the
3 4	work force in all aspects of operating Millstone station.

We are going to go over to a different design structure -- it's called a master process or asset management structure -- later in the year. And it really centers around, as the slide shows, nine key areas The core of the business is to manage the business -- the core processes, rather, manage the business, manage the asset, procure assets, which is this whole parts and procurement, maintain and operate the asset, and has four support areas.

So, later this year we'll be going over to this design which really moves us into more of a process enterprise which has natural flows of work coming together through processes using worker teams to do the work. So it moves away from a functional-based organization where you end up having lots of so-called silos and uses more teams.

So we'll moving towards that in the third quarter of this year. We will have a selection of officers and directors and managers. And this particular reorganization will differ from the previous

	one because fundamentally until we do the process
2	until we re-engineer the process, we will not select
3	all the way down through.

Now, realizing that as you do this, this creates some amount of anxiety in terms of any time you do a reorganization in anybody's business it creates anxiety. We have made a decision that we will not do layoffs at Millstone. No one will lose their job. If we have employees that decide that they would like to leave as a result of being displaced for business needs, they will be allowed to take an enhanced severance type package and leave the company. But we are not going to do layoffs.

As a result of the early retirement that we've just completed and other attrition, we feel that the numbers of people at Millstone are consistent with where we are at this point in terms of improving our overall performance.

So what's important for us to do is to get over into a more effective and efficient organization. And to do so, we want to make sure that we have all of our people that come in to Millstone focused on that initiative and focused and not worrying about -- not worrying about being laid off. So that's

1 a decision that was made to do that throughout the remainder of 2000.

We're going to measure ourselves on a routine basis. Now, obviously, you have our oversight organization. But we also developed this new tool, this enhanced performance system, this windows-based system, that allows you to look at each of the four key areas, look at the sub-areas that make them up and also evaluate the key performance indicators that make up each of these areas. This is a program that's available on our Net, our internal Net. And we reviewed that and the outputs of that once a month, once a month with the entire leadership team. But it's also available for all of the workers on-site who can access that through computer.

We have an INPO evaluation that will be coming up in July of this year. It will be a site evaluation where INPO will evaluate both Unit 2 and Unit 3. And, of course, the Millstone culture survey, which we just completed, the culture survey basically said that safety-conscious work environment is still strong, that we need to get on with improving our processes and that also the Millstone workers are looking for more involvement in day-to-day operations

of the site, more involvement in changing our processes and more involvement in changing processes -- not processes, but policies and procedures.

We also plan to conduct the Millstone leadership assessment in March. And we're committed to conduct the culture surveys and the leadership assessments. And we will continue to do that.

So what does it really mean this year for Millstone, for employees? Well, first of all, there will be no involuntary separations in 2000. The work force will be much more involved in creating success of the future, which is what they want. They want to move on with it. They want to improve processes. They want broad-based process improvement and they want to be involved in that process improvement. So we will have a significant effort under way this year on process improvement.

So we are going to change. We are into deregulation, into competition. But the environment that we're creating at Millstone we believe will be one that's very rewarding, one where there's openness, collaboration, inclusion and where all the people that work at Millstone will be owners. They will be custodies (sic) of Millstone's future and it will be a

# 105 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	rewarding atmosphere as we move forward. And this is consistent with what you find in plants that are best of best performance.
4 5 6 7	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Lee, thank you for your presentation. I think you generally covered one of my concerns. But I want to open up the book just a little bit more.
8	MR. OLIVIER: Sure.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WOOLLACOTT: As you know, someone's been working on the auction process. And we read or looked at NIA, the British equivalent of NRC looking at British Energy, and expressed a concern that maybe the cutback in people was such that maybe the safety could have been violated and raised some questions on that to British Energy. How do you balance? What do you do with the balance of safety consideration with cost in a competitive environment?
18 19 20	MR. OLIVIER: Well, the first thing we do what are doing now? What do we plan to do in the future?
21 22	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Well, I know we are now you have to do some work.
23 24	MR. OLIVIER: Okay. Well, you know, clearly, we have a number of mechanisms that we look or
	DOST DEDODTING SEDVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

22 23

24

1 2 3 4 5 6	a routine basis, many of which I just mentioned here. You know, this enhanced performance system looks at a number of key performance indicators, including safety. We have our safety culture index that we do every month and that's made up of a number of areas that look at safety.
7 8 9 10 11	We need to obviously maintain a strong Employee Safety Concerns Program, a very strong oversight program and managers need to be out there communicating with their people and listening to the issues that people bring up.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, we are going to benchmark all of our areas against the very best plants. And what we know when you do that, they have numbers that are less than us. And if you look at their overall performance, it's consistently superior. There are several utilities out there that for year in, year out have very strong safety culture, low cost and an excellent overall upgrading performance. So those are the plants that we're going to benchmark against. Those are the plants that we're going to emulate.

And we're starting to see in some of our areas the results of that type of benchmarking, that type of overall improved performance. As I mentioned,

## 107 NUCLEAR ENERGY FEBRUARY 17, 2000

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	our Unit 3 continues to get better. But overall, the culture at Millstone from the worker right up through the officer ranks clearly understands that, you know, strong competitive performance, profitable performance comes through excellence and not cutting budgets. I think it's a mistake to just cut budgets arbitrarily. We're not going to do that.
8	We're going to improve our performance,
9	improve our processes, work with our people. And as we
10	become more effective and efficient, then we'll reduce
11	our budgets.
12	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes, John?
13	MR. MARKOWICZ: Getting back to my two
14	questions. The first one is (people talking over
15	speaker) question which is the pending sale to Con Ed,
16	the pending auctioning of the site or plant. And the
17	programs that you briefed us on this evening are very
18	interesting and very challenging and offer great
19	promise. But how can you assure us or what kind of
20	consideration can you give us they will continue or
21	that new management will keep in place whatever the
22	changes are that come will continue with the vision
23	that you presented this evening?
24	MR. OLIVIER: Well, certainly the fact

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	that Northeast Utilities will not be bidding on the plant, this allows some flexibility in terms of speaking to the DPUC and the third-party auctioneer, J.P. Morgan.
5 6 7 8 9	One of the things that Northeast Utilities wants to do is to leave a legacy. The current leadership of Northeast Utilities from Bruce Kenyon, Mike Morris and myself have invested heavily in turning Millstone around. We do not want to see any type of relapse.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	When we look at the perspective of bidders, the DPUC as well as the agent, J.P. Morgan, will look at their present performance, their performance in terms of safety, nuclear safety, safety-conscious work environment. And clearly, there needs to be a fit. We need to have the new owner that is also dedicated to the types of programs and initiatives that we have started now.
19 20 21	So we will do our best to make sure that they all meet the qualifications. And, of course, the DPUC has that similar responsibility.
22 23 24	MR. MARKOWICZ: The second question is a little bit off the subject of what we've talked about so far. But it is a concern of 100 percent power. We
	DOST DEDODTING SEDVICE

talked about it in the shutdown. There's certainly a
transient condition associated with (indiscernible)
from my experience in smaller could you talk about
that event and give us a briefing as to where we stand
and what the position of Millstone 2 is?

MR. OLIVIER: Well, we did have a dropped rod while we conducting the 401 (coughing) testing, which is a test that we do on a monthly basis. And when we have that dropped rod, the procedures require us to do a power reduction to less than 70-percent power, at which point you can restore the loss of service. During that reduction, we had another rod that blew a fuse and dropped, which required the operators to manually shut the reactor down, which they did. They followed their procedures. The plant was stabilized and that shut down.

We had previously done some troubleshooting on this particular rod and we have found the ground and made a repair. And we don't know what the root cause of this present failure is right now. We're still evaluating that. We believe it's in a component called the coil stack that controls the voltage and current to the drive.

We will do further testing on that. But

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	we have replaced the parts. We did post-work testing
2	on it. The post-work testing proved satisfactory. And
3	we will restart the restart the reactor starting
4	tomorrow. So we have made repairs there. We're not
5	satisfied with the performance of Unit 2. It's similar
6	to the problems we had with Unit 3 back in the '98 time
7	frame. And we made a number of repairs and
8	modifications there back in 1998 and again during the
9	refueling outage in May and in June of last year.
10	And we will go over Unit 2 with a
11	similar fine-toothed comb and make whatever repairs
12	and/or modifications going forward so that we achieve
13	similar high performance that Unit 3 is giving us right
14	now.
	MD MADICOMICZ: And the change in
15	MR. MARKOWICZ: And the change in
16	condition, that was occurred when they were off,
17	there was no adverse effect or anything?
18	MR. OLIVIER: No. No. There was no
19	adverse effect. And the rods are designed to failsafe
20	to drop into the core, which they did.
21	MR. MARKOWICZ: Thank you.
22	MR. OLIVIER: Yes.
	WIRL OLIVIER. 165.
23	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Lee, I'm back again. I
24	listened to, John, your first question, not your second
	, , , , , , , , , ,

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	one. Okay. Your first one. And your answer. And I've been living at the DPUC, listening to all the hearings. And throughout that process, neither DPUC nor the Consumer Council nor Connecticut Light & Power in this case mentioned safety one time. And one time when safety was brought up, the lawyers declared it out of order.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NEAC has recommended that safety be a criteria, all of the criteria in the selection process. The Office of Consumer Council agreed with us. Connecticut Light & Power said, "No. That is not the proper venue to consider safety." And I think you people spurt me from your fountains (sic). Now, you have the past. You need to take a look at that a little bit. And maybe let the management made the decision and not the lawyers make the decision on safety at the DPUC.
18 19	MR. LINVILLE: Can I make a comment on that?
20 21 22 23 24	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Yes. Sure. MR. LINVILLE: While we don't get involved in the auction process per se, when it occurs, there will be a requirement for a transfer of the license. And when that occurs, we will do a technical
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	review as well as a financial review, as well as number of other reviews to assure that the new prospective owner is qualified to transfer the license to them. And even if that auctions occurs on March 6 or whatever it is, that that process of making that transfer is going to take some time. And I think that recent transfers that have occurred in the industry would bear that out. So
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WOOLLACOTT: I recognize the very clear role of the NRC. But I guess I'm coming from the point that safety is everybody's business. And it seems kind of foolish to go through an auction process when the NRC will say "That group cannot operate safely" without having looked at that beforehand to make sure the group was clearly qualified. I recognize your ultimate responsibility. But I just think safety should be a part of that auction process. And I know it hasn't been in others. But they didn't have an NEAC.
20	MR. OLIVIER: Okay.
21 22 23 24	MR. MARKOWICZ: Can I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Sure. MR. MARKOWICZ: Just one last question. There's a unique safety-conscious work environment in
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	operation at Millstone, will you require that the successor there maintain the same safety-conscious work environment? In other words, they have a system where everything is an employee concern, including on safety and with the safety issues. How will you  MR. LINVILLE: I guess at this point I can't really respond to that. I mean we have to get
8 9 10	the application and see what it entails. And that review would be done in our headquarters. So it's not really it's too early to tell.
1  2  3  4  5  6	MR. MARKOWICZ: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: While this is going back and forth in front of me, I'm going to ask a question at this time. Has the auctioneer, J.P. Morgan, got anybody on board, anybody advising the company, the firm, about safety issues or about nuclear matters?
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. OLIVIER: I really can't comment on that, Terry, at this time. I know the agent is in place. There have been some meetings. I cannot comment on the context of those meetings.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay.  Bill and then Mark.
24	MR. OLIVIER: And if I could just say
	DOST DEDODTING SERVICE

1	one thing? And that is anybody that's going to come in
2	and purchase Millstone station, whoever it may be
3	and there's a number of major nuclear companies that
4	will be interested in purchasing Millstone. They will
5	have to invest a significant amount of money to
6	purchase it. They will be in an environment where
7	there will not be the traditional rate of return from a
8	customer. In other words, if you don't have revenue,
9	there is no earnings. And the costs are all fixed.
10	I believe what you'll find and my
11	experience in the private asset sale in a previous
12	plant is that they will maintain a very strong safety-

experience in the private asset sale in a previous plant is that they will maintain a very strong safety-conscious work environment because if they don't, the consequences of not doing that, of having this type of long regulatory shutdowns or labor unrest makes it a really untenable investment.

So the major companies that are out there looking at these types of purchases by and large all have very strong records in terms of both nuclear safety and safety-conscious work environment. It's not to say that they're perfect. But I think they're certainly all acceptable.

23 CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Go ahead, 24 Bill.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SHEEHAN: I want to go to Question 2, a follow-up to the one I asked you and that is can I clarify what additional checks were run on other control rod mechanisms and their support equipment to ensure that there isn't another weak coil stack out there so that when you go critical and get to producing power and decide to shut down?
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. OLIVIER: Well, we did a series of diagnostics in all of the control rods. We actually did a modification. And one of the features we found is that the fuses that supply power to these control rods were somewhat underrated in size. They were 15 amp fuses and that makes it easier to blow. So it makes it safer rather than unsafer. But the industry has gone to a 30-amp fuse. So you have less inadvertent type fuse failures.
7  8  9  20	We also did diagnostics looking at the various coil voltages on the stacks and adjusted those appropriately in accordance with the technical manuals. And there's also been diagnostics done on what's called an NCR circuit, firing circuit.
22	So we believe that we have significantly improved the reliability of the control rod system at

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

Millstone Unit 2.

24

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. SHEEHAN: This may sound like a dumb question, but I'm going to ask an even dumber question. Since the industry has gone to 30-amp fuse sizes, I assume that all the wiring is going as capable of supporting the 30-amp load as opposed to the 15-amp load?
7 8 9	MR. OLIVIER: Yes. That was reviewed as part of the modification, reviewed by Engineering and found to be acceptable.
10	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Mark? MR. HOLLOWAY: You made a statement earlier that there would be no layoffs in the year 2000.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. OLIVIER: That's correct. MR. HOLLOWAY: Obviously, this is from Northeast Utilities' perspective. And now that the units are might be auctioned in the very near future, are you anticipating that the actual physical transfer of NU will go into 2001? Is that how you can make that determination for the year 2000? MR. OLIVIER: Yes. The present time frame of the divestiture schedule would have us select the new owner probably in the August time frame of this

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year. But, as Mr. Linville said, the actual license transfer process is quite long, complicated. You need to have approval from NRC, SEC, FERC, as well as DPUC. So you're looking at probably about eight-month license transfer process. So we right now estimate it would be about the end of the first quarter of 2001.  MR. HOLLOWAY: At the earliest.  MR. OLIVIER: At the earliest.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Mr. Burns has been sitting there, hasn't said a word.
11 12 13	Now, you were Director of ECP. Does the Director of ECP have another is that a full-time job?
14 15 16	MR. THOMAS BURNS: Yes, it is. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Who is the present Director?
17 18 19 20	MR. BURNS: A gentleman named Andy Vomastek, who was announced as the Director yesterday. He was the Corrective Actions Manager addressing Employee Concerns.
21 22	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Can you say his name again?
23 24	MR. BURNS: Andy Vomastek. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And you are now
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	Director of Maintenance?
2	MR. BURNS: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Was that the area you were in before you became Director of ECP? MR. BURNS: No. I was in Oversight for two years during the recovery period from '96 to '98 prior to going to the Employee Concerns group. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: How long have you been with NU?
10 11	MR. BURNS: 20 years with NU, 27 years at the station.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And what is the purpose of combining Millstone 2 and 3 Maintenance?  MR. BURNS: Well, we're moving the Maintenance organizations together to really get the best of both worlds. If you look at the teams that we talked about, we're working on putting pump crews together, valve crews together. So you really have a work force that can work on either unit at any time. And when you get that type of expertise where you've cross-trained the people so they can go back and forth, you've really got the best of both worlds in an organization.
24	But, also, trying to move people into
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	different locations so they're closer to where they
2	need to be. And you're talking about an organization
3	of about 450 people. And we had separate time, two
4	time organizations, two instrumentation control
5	organizations, two mechanical organizations. So, you
6	know, it was a process of trying to get those people
7	into facilities. It's just a difficult initiative in
8	itself. So that has caused some unrest.
9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Does that mean
10	there will be a decreased need for manpower/womanpower?
11	MR. BURNS: Right now we don't see any
12	decreased need. As Lee mentioned before, you know, our
13	processes are a challenge. They're effective, but we
14	need to work on making them better. And right now, a
15	big task of mine will be working on really the people
16	skills, working with the people that haven't worked
17	together before are now put into the same organization.
18	And we had separate planning procedures. We need to
19	come up with one set of procedures, how we're going to
20	do our work. So that's really the biggest focus right
21	now is getting the best of both organizations into one
22	organization.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

was talking earlier, he mentioned about the logistical

CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: When Mr. Collins

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

2	those?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BURNS: Well, we can overcome almost anything. I think we proved that by restart of the units. So I think it's just getting really what we're looking right now is employee involvement.  We proposed the other day putting together a partnership in business with the employees to start sorting out some of these issues. One of my tasks right away will be to set up that panel. We'll be working on that next week and selecting people from both management and the work force to work together on sorting out, you know, things such as starting hours, pay discrepancies between the units, location issues.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. BURNS: Thank you.
18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Pearl? MS. PEARL RATHBUN: Hi. Pearl Rathbun here. I just have a couple of questions, two questions. I'm unfamiliar with INPO is. Could you explain?
23 24	MR. OLIVIER: Yes. INPO is the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, which all U.S.
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

7

8

10 11

12

- nuclear power plants belong to. And it conducts an evaluation at every nuclear site approximately every 18 months. And it brings in a team of usually 20 to 25 people in each of the key functional areas, such as operations, maintenance, engineering. It's made up of, to some degree, industry peers.
  - So these will be people coming in from the various other nuclear power plants. They have a very prescribed evaluation tool which they evaluate each of the areas in. They look at all aspects of safety, the basic program, such as maintenance, training and so forth.

13 They spend extensive time inside the plant, in the field interviewing people, monitoring and 14 observing of what being conducted. They perform 15 interviews. And they basically -- this is a three-week 16 process. So there's a sequester week that takes place 17 18 in Atlanta which is where the headquarters for INPO is, 19 at which case they look at all of the plant's 20 performance. INPO keeps a comprehensive set of key 21 performance indicators on all the plants in the U.S. Those are reviewed for a week by the team. And then 22 23 the team comes out and performs a two-week evaluation, 24 which takes place usually seven days a week, and then

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	debriefs with the management organization and also debriefs with the CEO of the company.
3 4 5	MS. RATHBUN: Thank you. Also, under the safety slide, I noticed you had a program called the Human Event Clock. What is that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. OLIVIER: The Human Event Clock is a program that our Human Performance Group has whereby we monitor the human performance, in other words, events that would happen on each of the three units. And ideally, you don't have any human events. And that each day would tick off another day on the clock. And I think the highest was with Unit 1, which was somewhere around 153 days at one point in time.  So we have a challenge to the work force to obviously have the highest numbers we can without any type of human performance events.
17 18	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Where does the funding for INPO come from?
19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. OLIVIER: The funding for INPO comes from all of the member utilities. So each plant would have to each plant has an assessment. So it's based on the units. So for a two-unit site such as Millstone, they would pay a higher fee than a single-unit site. That's paid annually to INPO.

1

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

1	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Getting to an						
2	old subject about the independence of such an						
3	organization that's being funded by the utilities, can						
4	it be objective in its assessment of the utilities?						
5	MR. OLIVIER: I you know, the						
6	experience with INPO being objective, I think they're a						
7	lot more objective, certainly, since the Millstone						
8	event. And basically if INPO feels that the utility is						
9	not listening to the or take prompt action on the						
10	assessment, often what happens is the President of INPO						
11	will come up, along with the senior officers of INPO,						
12	and talk to the Board of Trustees and executive						
13	management of the host utility. So that they are very						
14	aggressive.						

And they also monitor the key performance indicators on a real-time basis so that if a plant starts to have degrading performance, as an example, then they will act immediately. They will assign what's called a senior representative that will work directly with the plant. And that opens up a whole host of remedies to improve performance. So they are very aggressive. They realize that if any nuclear power plant in the U.S. gets in trouble again, that impacts all the other nuclear power plants.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	you.							
3 4	MR. HOLLOWAY: Will those reports be available to us here at NEAC?							
5 6 7 8	MR. OLIVIER: The INPO reports are confidential as part of the charter of INPO. So they're not made publicly available. We do share those reports with NRC.							
9 10	MR. HOLLOWAY: So you wouldn't classify NEAC as having a need to know?							
11 12 13 14 15	MR. OLIVIER: We would give you an overview of the report. And certainly, in order for you to have the report, you would have to get permission from INPO. We do not control the dissemination of the report.							
16 17	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Do you want to write them a letter, Mark?							
18 19 20 21 22	MR. LINVILLE: I'd just clarify that NRC there we review the reports at the station. We don't take possession of copies of the reports. So CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Are there questions from the public?							
23 24	MR. BURNS: I would like to just talk a little bit about the Little Harbor assessment. You							

#### ADVISORY COUNCIL

20

21

22

23

24

know, each one of these assessments provides us with a lot of insight. Little Harbor does go on when they leave us and go and look at other facilities, other
nuclear power facilities. And when they come back,
each time they break us great ideas about continuous
improvements to our program. So between Little
Harbor's assessments and our department initiatives,
you know, I think that's why you're seeing this
constant improvement in the Employee Concerns Program
We are very pleased with the report. But certainly
they point out some areas for us to work on.
Now, one of the questions that came up
was about the safety-conscious work environment group.
That group has not gone away. It still is in
existence, the SCWE group. But it is it is in
transition. What we've put in place we have a lot
of transitions to go through. What we've put in place
is a transition manager. That gentleman reports to
Lee.

Each one of the groups have been proposing transition to a normal environment has to put a transition time line which goes through the transition manager. And that's where the SCWE organization is right now. They're planning.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	The functions of the SCWE, facilitation						
2	of conflict, tracking of what we call SCWE case files,						
3	culture surveys, leadership surveys, they'll all						
4	continue to exist. If you even in Little Harbor's						
5	report, they note the strength that ECOP has in						
6	assessing the safety-conscious work environment on the						
7	station. But we're looking at as part of this						
8	transition combining the SCWE oversight function with						
9	what ECOP is doing for us now so that you basically						
10	have ECOP, which is already providing oversight						
11	function, picking up some of the SCWE oversight						
12	functions.						
4.0							
13	So the organization will exist, does						
14	exist today. I just wanted to go on to clarify that.						
15 16	And if anybody has any questions about the Little						
10	Harbor report						
17	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I know that						
18	Joe Besade?						
	000 <b>2</b> 00000.						
19	MR. BESADE: I'll pass right now. I saw						
20	some other hands up.						
	•						
21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Mr. MacNiece?						
22	MR. MacNIECE: I have a quick question						
23	for Mr. Linville. Is it common practice for the NRC to						
24	refer concerns to the licensee for investigation and						

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	disposition?
2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Can you ask that through the microphone?
4 5 6	MR. MacNIECE: Is it common practice for the NRC to refer concerns to the licensee for investigation and disposition?
7 8 9 10 11	MR. LINVILLE: Yes, it is. It is common for us to refer concerns to the licensee for investigation and disposition. We do that typically in conjunction with discussion with the individual to make sure that that's acceptable to them.
12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MacNIECE: And if the licensee substantiates that an individual is getting harassed, should not the NRC adopt that as a finding?  MR. LINVILLE: Hypothetically, yes. But I guess you'd have to look at the particulars of the case, I guess. I can't say absolutely yes.  MR. MacNIECE: And is
19 20 21 22	MR. LINVILLE: We may not agree with the analysis that supports the finding. So MR. MacNIECE: So you'd warrant a further investigation then.
23 24	MR. LINVILLE: Absolutely. MR. MacNIECE: Is that not a trigger
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	value that was discussed earlier as part of augmented inspection team from Shirley Jackson's substantiated harassment claim?
4 5	MR. LINVILLE: I don't recall if it would specifically fit. But
6 7	MR. MacNIECE: They don't talk about the trigger values
8 9 10 11	MR. LINVILLE: Yes, we did. But I don't I'm trying to correlate that with it's not computing. It doesn't seem to equate exactly. I guess we'd have to have the specifics of the case to make that determination.
13 14	MR. MacNIECE: Okay. Thank you very much.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LINVILLE: You're welcome. MR. HOLLOWAY: Could I ask a question about what just happened here? Maybe I'm not following this correct, Mr. Linville, and you can what I believe he was saying, Mr. MacNiece, was that if there's an allegation that goes back to the utility in this case, the NRC's referred it back to them. And the utility has said, "Yes, there was an incident of harassment/intimidation." Then the NRC might not accept that as a res

1	MR. LINVILLE: That's correct. Think
2	you have to look at the way the licensee's program is
3	set up and the thresholds are much different and the
4	definitions of the terms are more conservative in their
5	case. If you recall Ms. Garde's presentation, she
6	talked about harassment and intimidation cases in the
7	area of Human Relations issue. Harassment and
8	intimidation as far as the NRC is concerned has very
9	specific definition. You have to have a person who
10	raised a nuclear safety concern and have an adverse
11	action taken as a result of that.
12	MR. HOLLOWAY: So what you're
13	MR. LINVILLE: A nexus between the two
14	to constitute harassment and intimidation within the
15	context of our regulations.
16	MR. HOLLOWAY: So what you're
17	essentially saying is that this might very well be, but
18	it doesn't fall within the particular jurisdiction of
19	the NRC.
20	MD LINIVILLE: Well within our numious
20	MR. LINVILLE: Well, within our purview
21	it may not be harassment/intimidation from a nuclear
22	safety standpoint. Whereas, certainly it's appropriate
23	for them to conclude that it that it is from their
24	definition.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	MR. HOLLOWAY: It might be MR. LINVILLE: And they might take appropriate action.
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HOLLOWAY: The Labor Department MR. LINVILLE: And we wouldn't well, they might take appropriate action. And we certainly wouldn't contradict that or anything. But it may not meet the definition that is laid out in our regulations.
10 11 12 13	MR. HOLLOWAY: Gotcha. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Once you have passed it back to the utility, do you ever check up to see what happens with it?
14 15 16 17 18	MR. LINVILLE: Yes. Whenever we refer a case to the utility with the agreement of the individual who raised it, we typically require a response from the utility and then we evaluate that as to the extent to which we would follow up on it on-site with inspection or investigation.
20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Susan?
22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Mr. Olivier, hi. MR. OLIVIER: Hi. How are you doing? MS. PERRY LUXTON: Fine. I'd like to
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

#### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	ask you a few questions regarding and I realize we						
ı	ask you a rew questions regarding and r realize we						
2	in the Citizens Regulatory Commission representing a						
3	lot of the public are not as excited about the Little						
4	Harbor survey as you may be and we have serious						
5	concerns about their findings.						

And one of the questions I'd like to ask you is since a lot of the findings that (people speaking over each other) and the -- you know, the source is not still functioning optimally. And the safety-conscious work environment group in transition/limbo, maybe -- I'm not sure -- but in transition, as you said. I heard this limbo/transition period was sending a message to workers that it wasn't that important.

But, anyway -- and, also, the doubts we have about the inexperienced supervisors that came out in the report. These are all we would say culture problems because they don't really deal with safety concerns, but they are culture problems which we know are vitally important.

Have you done a recent culture survey and did you supply that survey to Little Harbor?

MR. OLIVIER: Yes. We did do a recent culture survey. And that survey was made available to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

1	Littl	le l	Ha	ar	bc	r.

MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Good. I want to just make sure they saw it. Okay. Now, Question No. 2, since I'm telling you that we have these doubts, would you be willing to have Little Harbor do another survey at this time? I feel that we need -- the public needs to know more about what's going on overall on the site. Now, we've heard pockets of problems in Maintenance and Engineering. And we really don't know what other problem areas there could be. Would you be averse to having Little Harbor or someone else do a survey at this time?

13 MR. BURNS: Based on what Little Harbor 14 found -- and they were here before when the questions came up on Maintenance -- we asked them to do another 15 survey. And we had a full department meeting of the 16 two sections, all 450 people. And Little Harbor handed 17 18 out -- they presented some of their issues that they 19 found and talked to the Maintenance Department about 20 those. And they also handed out another survey. And 21 they're in the process of collecting those results 22 right now.

23 MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Good. All 24 right. Now --

1	MR. OLIVIER: So, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Yes. Now, the next question. What about a leadership survey? Has there been a leadership survey done on the new supervisors and new management since the cascade? And did Little Harbor have access to this new leadership? That means it's kind of like what Mr. Collins was mentioning; you know, workers rating their supervisors.  MR. OLIVIER: We have not we have not completed one since the cascade. We will be completing one in March. In fact, that will go out at the end of this month. And we'll have the results some time I think in the April time frame. I think it's the April time frame. And we'll make that available. We'll make
15 16	those results available to Little Harbor.  MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Will Little
17	Harbor make it available to the public?
18	A VOICE: A report.
19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. Will we get a copy of the report, the next report? Yes?  MR. OLIVIER: You will we will give Little Harbor, you know, a copy of compile the report. Now, inside that report, we will not release, for instance, the rating of each supervisor or manager

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	because that's proprietary. And what we use that for is to is a developmental tool with respect to the supervisors, managers and directors.
4 5 6 7 8	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay. That's okay. I mean you I don't necessarily have to see all that specific stuff. Basically. Okay. Now, let me would you define this term for me, operating capacity factor?
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. OLIVIER: Well, the operating capacity factor is the if you took the actual output at the plant in a percentage in megawatts, rather, and you divided it by the ideal, you would get a capacity factor that's measured in a percent. So if the plant
15 16	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Ideal output? Ideal output
17 18	MR. OLIVIER: Ideal output. MS. PERRY LUXTON: Okay.
19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. OLIVIER: So you would get a capacity factor percentage. So if the plant operated, for instance, its peak output, its license output, during this particular period of time, worked against the ideal, for instance, you would get 100 percent. But, generally speaking, you would have to power a
	DOCT DEPORTING CERVICE

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	plant down to do testing and maintenance and so forth. So it's the actual divided by the ideal, which gives you a percentage.
4 5 6	MS. PERRY LUXTON: And so is there there is a certain percentage that you are striving for?
7 8 9	MR. OLIVIER: Well, the world-class plants have a capacity factor, a cycle capacity factor, of greater than 90 percent.
10 11 12	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Greater than 90 percent? And what are we running? What are you running?
13 14 15 16 17	MR. OLIVIER: The combined capacity, operating capacity factor for Millstone 2 and 3 last year was about 95 percent. That's operating capacity factor. So that does not include the time that you would need, for instance, on the recent outage. That is cycle capacity factor.
19 20 21	MS. PERRY LUXTON: Thank you. MR. WOOLLACOTT: Any other member of the public? Way in the back.
22 23 24	MS. NANCY BURTON: Good evening. I'll try to be brief. My name is Nancy Burton, and I'm here with the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone. Back

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	you tell us you've given us the figure for last year for Units 2 and 3. Do you have the figure for Unit 2 for the past four months of November, December of '99 and January and February so far in 2000?  MR. OLIVIER: It's the capacity factor is slightly under 90. It's about at last approximately 88 percent.
9	MS. BURTON: Excuse me?
10 11 12 13	MR. OLIVIER: Approximately 88 percent. MS. BURTON: And how many days has Unit 2 been shut down during that four-month period of time? MR. OLIVIER: I don't have the exact figure right now.
15 16 17	MS. BURTON: What is the loss to the company for each day it was shut down during this period as a result of the dropped rod?
18 19 20	MR. OLIVIER: You know, that's a confidential, proprietary number which I will not disclose.
21 22 23 24	MS. BURTON: Well, I think you gave a figure for the number representative of what your company did in this report last spring, if I'm not mistaken. And, in fact, you used that figure to try to

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6	explain why an injunction should be issued.  MR. OLIVIER: That was under a very different structure. That was under a regulated structure. That plant is deregulated now and it sells its output to major companies outside of the regulated marketplace.
7 8	MS. BURTON: So the number would be different?
9 10 11	MR. OLIVIER: Potentially.  MS. BURTON: Do you know if it would be different?
12 13	MR. OLIVIER: I'm not prepared to answer that.
14 15 16 17 18	MS. BURTON: Now, on the question of the safety-conscious work environment, it is correct, is it not, that the U.S. Department of Justice is continuing their criminal investigation into the activities at Millstone?
19 20 21 22 23	MR. OLIVIER: You would have to inquire with the Department of Justice to find that out.  MS. BURTON: You're not aware of that?  MR. OLIVIER: You would have to inquire with them. I don't speak for them.
24	MS. BURTON: Well, I'm inquiring of you
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	here, maybe they'll come forward and answer that for us.
4 5 6	MR. OLIVIER: Well, I would recommend you speak to them. I'm not going to answer that tonight.
7 8 9 10	MS. BURTON: Well, I'd like to ask the Chairwoman of NEAC to assist at this point. I think it's a critical question, that the issue here of a safety-conscious work environment
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I'm sorry. I'm just wondering whether you really are on the subject.  MS. BURTON: Well, I'm really only directing on the subject because the criminal investigation to which the company pleaded Guilty did involve in part violations of the Clean Water Act. In fact, serious violations of the Clean Water Act. And I understand from other testimony in another proceeding recently, I believe it was Mr. Morris who did testify that there was some aspect of the criminal investigation that was ongoing with respect to the Clean Water Act violations. The question having to do with in relation to the issue of safety-conscious work environment. There have been other questions
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

raised this evening with respect to potential chilling
effect. For instance, in the issue of the reprimands
that were issued to individuals who had assumed high
positions of management, that those have been put out
this evening as to whether or not they are making a
potential chilling on workers as a result of that.
CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I think

MS. BURTON: I believe on this issue the criminal investigation in part, I believe, arose from charges that were made by whistleblowers at the Millstone nuclear power station and it has to do with the question as to whether or not the pendency of the criminal investigation has potentially a chilling effect on others, given that whistleblowers such as Mr. Joe Plumb, whose name came up earlier, have suffered deeply and greatly --

CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Well, I don't --may I say something? I don't think we're here to discuss Mr. Mastrianni or Mr. Plumb and the issues that they have had and the issue to do that was adjudicated in Hartford about the water, the fines that were imposed. And I think that we are -- I think we might agree with you or disagree the issues to do with some of the decisions that have been made. But I don't

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5	think we're here tonight to our purpose here isn't to dwell on these. We're not going to be able to cause a change in those decisions at this juncture.  MS. BURTON: Well, we're not trying to dwell on it.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: But I would like to go ahead because we're getting after 10:00 and we really came to find out the status of the ECP and the SCWE here at Millstone and the review that has just taken place in January of this year. It's getting you could draw some connection. However, it's the scope is getting too wide for this evening.  MS. BURTON: Well, let me just ask this.  At the time that Mr. Plumb made his allegations for work that was being done in violation of the Clean Water Act, the individual who was supervising the Chemistry Department at that time at the unit where he worked was subsequently elevated. Can you please tell us, Mr. Olivier, who is the present Director of Chemistry for the Millstone station?
21 22 23 24	MR. OLIVIER: Mr. Robert Griffin. MS. BURTON: And he will be MR. OLIVIER: He is the manager of Chemistry.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BURTON: So, in fact, he was promoted, was he not, after Mr. Plumb's allegations came out, including specific allegations as to his direction to Mr. Plumb to violate the law?  MR. OLIVIER: I am not here to talk about Mr. Plumb's case. Mr. Plumb's case is closed. And it would be inappropriate for me to discuss that case in any detail.
9 10 11 12	MS. BURTON: I'm asking, though MR. WOOLLACOTT: Ms. Burton MS. BURTON: Mr. Griffin is presently MR. WOOLLACOTT: Hello?
13 14	MS. BURTON: the head of Chemistry for Millstone.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Can I interrupt you one minute, if I may? I think you had a ruling from the Chair and you were asked to confine your remarks to the merits of the question we are considering tonight. I think you're going too far. We have a lot of things to do. Other people want to do. So if you'd get off the criminal investigation and onto something else, we'd appreciate it.
23 24	MS. BURTON: Thank you. On to Mr. Griffin, who is presently the head of Chemistry at

1	Willistone Correct?
2	MR. OLIVIER: That is correct.  MS. BURTON: And I'm just wondering to
4	what extent, if any, Little Harbor directed itself in
5	its most recent analysis of the status of the safety-
6	conscious work environment at Millstone considered that
7	issue, the issue of the promotion of Mr. Griffin and
8	his continuing occupation of that position at Millstone
9	while there is a pending U.S. criminal investigation
0	into the conduct of Millstone concerning allegations of
1	persistent violations of the Clean Water Act.
2	MR. OLIVIER: You would have to address
S	that question to Little Harbor.
4	MS. BURTON: So you have no knowledge as
5	to whether or not that was one of the issues that was
6	encompassed by the recent work by Little Harbor?
7	MR. OLIVIER: That is correct.
8	MS. BURTON: Well, if that was an issue
9	that was not encompassed by Little Harbor, isn't that
20	an issue that would be properly of concern to you as
21	Chief Nuclear Operator of the Millstone station?
22	MR. OLIVIER: No, not at all. Mr.
23	Griffin's performance is exemplary both in his
24	technical ability and safety-conscious work

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	environment.
2 3 4	MS. BURTON: Are you or are you not aware of a pending criminal investigation involving allegations of the Clean Water Act?
5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: This is really - please, Ms. Burton. I think we need to draw this to a conclusion. It isn't germane to what we are here for tonight.
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	MS. BURTON: I think your limitations are somewhat narrow because what we're trying to establish is what's happening in true life and not what is happening based on the same questions get asked and answered of the same people that don't ever get to the point of scratching the surface of what's meaningful to the public.
6 7 8 9	MR. LINVILLE: Could I just respond in general to your comment about criminal investigations? And we have worked with the Department of Justice on MS. BURTON: My question was specific, not general.
21 22 23 24	MR. LINVILLE: Well, I'm only going to respond generally. And that is that the investigations are a very secretive process for a reason. It has to do with the legal process. And we don't act until they

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1

2 3 4 5	where the civil penalty was administered, we have to wait for the conclusion before we can act, unless we're aware of some nuclear safety issue that we would deal with promptly as appropriate.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BURTON: Well, I think the interlapping or the overlapping between the criminal investigation and the threat that it poses to the ability of workers to come forward in what they perceive what happened to people like Mr. Plumb raises issues that are important, you know, central to your consideration of this issue this evening. And I would urge you to gather all the appropriate information that would help you fully assess that matter.  Thank you very much.
16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Are there any more comments, questions from the public? Yes?  A VOICE: I really had one for NEAC.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes.  A VOICE: Is that permissible?  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. We're here.
23 24	A VOICE: Okay. I hope to be brief. And I think I was a little bit dismayed by Little

reach a conclusion. And as in the case in Hartford

#### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	Harbor's problems - I mean of the problems that they
2	found, some of which were significant, because I
3	listened to them many times and I know that they always
4	put NU in the best possible light so that any concerns
5	I have I do get concerned about. And they have a lot
6	of confidence in their interviews and their
7	interviewing skills so that they think everything is
8	totally honest and, you know, they ask questions about
9	the chilling effect.

And I think it's tricky because I think the whole business of safety is kind of complicated. I was thinking about Indian Point and the problems there. And it was a very, very serious problem. But we find - - the people in Long Island found out that they really knew about it for two weeks beforehand but they had just done nothing about it. They were just sitting with it. And I think if we asked them, they would think that well, we think it was unsafe to wait. But they think at the time, you know, well, this isn't a safety issue. We'll just wait and see. So under pressure, serious safety issues get diminished.

Of course, Indian Point I believe is owned by Con Edison. Now, is this the same Con Edison that owns CL&P now?

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	purchase the nuclear. They bought
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	A VOICE: Yes. The CL&P point. A VOICE: The distribution. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Distribution no, not the distribution. Yes, the distribution. A VOICE: Because that may be, too I guess you know that the people of Long Island are absolutely furious because they were not given any kind of information about this until very late. You know, they weren't they weren't told that there was a problem until something very serious happened. And then, of course, they all headed for the highways and there was a big traffic jam.
5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22	But at any rate, I guess what I'm wondering about is that I have similar concerns about, you know, Con Edison if this is the way they operate. And I was wondering if any investigation was done of them with regard to their safety record in terms of NU selling them the CL&P portion. And, also, you know, what can be done really? You know, NU says that they're going to do their best to get a safe buyer. But, you know, what can be done really?
24	And I think as a citizen this is what
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

l'm asking you because we have felt at the local level, at the State level, DPUC, NRC, Congress, you know, that we really haven't had anybody to go to bat for us, the residents. You know, they all seem to -- well, they all seem to get along very well with the utility. Let's put it that way.

And, you know, what I'm wondering is what can you folks do for us? Because I don't really know of any other way, really, to investigate the safety record and to examine it and to guarantee us because, you know, it's really our right to have a safe plant and not just, you know -- all sympathy for NU and their intentions, but the sooner they sell they plant, they stand to make a ton of money, extra, you know, a bonus of some sort that I've heard about.

And so I'm just wondering what can you folks do and what would you advise us to do?

folks do and what would you advise us to do?

CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: We are advocating for safety in the process. And we have filed a brief with -- and if you would like a copy of it, we would be happy to send it to you, about our concerns and how we would like them to be addressed in the auction process. Con Ed will be involved in the distribution, not in the generation of power in

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	Connecticut.
2	A VOICE: Yes. Yes.
3 4	MR. WOOLLACOTT: Transmission and distribution. Yes. Transmission.
5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Right. But not generation. This was a generation issue that happened at Indian Point.
8	A VOICE: Right.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I think so if you by just coming here and speaking out, you have and the citizens have had the opportunity to have a voice. And I think it has made a difference in the last number of years. I think that the utility listens. I think the regulatory agents listen. I hope we make a difference. But I do think that we've had the opportunity and it hasn't gone unnoticed.  A VOICE: Well, I just wanted to thank you. I just wanted to make you aware of how desperate I feel. I feel, you know, very, very concerned about this sale and very, very concerned about what's going to happen. And anything that you can do with the legislature, you know. Beat them over the head with a club or whatever you have to do to make it a safe situation, I would appreciate. And I wish to say also

1 2		preciate everybody coming and listening and plate and being so patient.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that if the regarding them and and say v time as sa reassurin	MR. WOOLLACOTT: In our brief we mention e DPUC does not take our recommendations a safety and require that the consultant use other people, we will go to the legislature we don't want it to be sold to them until such afety is considered. That was in our brief. A VOICE: Oh. Okay. Well, that's very ng. I would love to have a copy of that.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Could you are address please?
13		A VOICE: I will.
14 15	Mary.	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thanks,
16		A VOICE: Thank you.
17 18	the last o	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Joe? This is one.
19 20 21	get home	MR. WOOLLACOTT: I've got to get home. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: We all have to before the next storm.
22 23	travel.	MR. WOOLLACOTT: I've got a long way to
24		MR. BESADE: Our attorney has to go all
	i	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

2	here. So you're not the only one.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	What I have here is the article today from the Associated Press of Kim Fitzgerald (shuffling of papers) plant safety. I'm not going to read the whole thing. But the last paragraph is the one that really touches my heart. "Mark Jacobs, an Executive Director of the Winchester People's Action Coalition, said the Indian Point plants are old and failing and should be investigated independent of the NRC." Gentlemen, James (indiscernible) what I just said? MR. LINVILLE: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17	MR. BESADE: Okay. So we people that are the watchdogs of you fellas who are supposed to be doing your job, it's not just here in Waterford. It's nationwide. So I understand that you're losing 25 percent of your funding. True?
18	A VOICE: Yes.
19 20	MR. LINVILLE: I'm not aware of any 25 -
21 22	MR. BESADE: You're not? The NRC hasn't been cut back 25 percent?
23	A VOICE: No.
24	MR. BESADE: Is there anybody else that
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 2 3 4 5	would like to come forward with that answer?  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: I think it's threatened nearly every year. And it doesn't happen. Sometimes there is a cutback, but nothing like 25 percent.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BESADE: Well, this one here I'm told was already taking place (indiscernible) correct me on that, I'll be more than happy to apologize.  I know you're all anxious to go. And it's been a very informative evening. But, like I say, I have to keep an eye on the NRC because they're paid by the utilities and I still remember the old saying they never bite the hand that feeds them.  Thank you.
15 16	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Thank you. Thank you, Lee. Thank you, Tom.
17 18 19	MR. OLIVIER: Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And keep in touch. We'll be in
20 21 22	MR. OLIVIER: We will. We look forward to coming back here again and updating you on the performance. Thank you.
23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Thank you. Now, I did ask did you want to say
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	something now or is there anything to be said?  MR. LINVILLE: Well, I'd just also like to thank you for this opportunity to appear with you again. And you've heard Little Harbor review and our review. There continue to be things to work on, but we also see continued improvement with respect to the Employee Concerns, Safety-Conscious Work Environment. And we'll continue to monitor it. And as Little Harbor indicated, they will. And we'll be making those reports available as we have in the past to the public.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think I didn't know whether you wanted to take a break or just keep on going.  I did ask Bechtel and Entergy to be here. I want to very briefly pardon? Did somebody say something to me? I just wanted to ask some very quick questions on employee statistics and so forth and issues at Millstone 1 and Connecticut Yankee. About five minutes?
21 22	MS. MARJORIE DeBOLD: Who are you asking? Speak into the microphone.
23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Oh, I'm sorry. I forgot that I don't have this appendage. I need
	POST REPORTING SERVICE

HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2	well, who is here from Bechtel? Oh, you are Bechtel. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I wanted to ask some questions about the employee the status with employees at the plants that are being undergoing decommissioning so that we can get a handle on the number of employees versus the number of contractors at the and so on.  Have you got did you come with those facts or not?
10 11 12	MR. RUSS MILLER: (Inaudible response.) CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Pardon me? Do you have anything? Okay.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MILLER: (Inaudible response.) CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Can you come to microphone so we can get you on record, Russ? Whoever is responsible for us having food, I do want to thank you very much. I have no idea how it happened. Manna from Heaven maybe. It's very welcome for those of us who are here for MR. MILLER: My name is Russ Miller. I'm Vice President of Operations in decommissioning at Connecticut Yankee. From my perspective of the utility, there are approximately 100 people involved in
23 24	the oversight of decommissioning at Connecticut Yankee.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

these employees who have been with you for a while, the 75?  MR. MILLER: Many of them are and have been with us for 20, 30 years. Others are new. Like myself, for instance, who has only been with CY for about three years.  But in general, it's an established work force. It's very competent in decommissioning activities. And it has a very strong oversight presence.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Have you active ECP program?  MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very	1 2 3 4 5 6	Right now, about 75 of those people are Connecticut Yankee employees. The rest are sub-contracted to us. They are not Bechtel employees, however. They are various contractors from various organizations.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So that's the CY aspect.
these employees who have been with you for a while, the 75?  MR. MILLER: Many of them are and have been with us for 20, 30 years. Others are new. Like myself, for instance, who has only been with CY for about three years.  But in general, it's an established work force. It's very competent in decommissioning activities. And it has a very strong oversight presence.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Have you active ECP program?  MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very		
been with us for 20, 30 years. Others are new. Like myself, for instance, who has only been with CY for about three years.  But in general, it's an established work force. It's very competent in decommissioning activities. And it has a very strong oversight presence.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Have you active ECP program?  MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very	10	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. And are these employees who have been with you for a while, the 75?
force. It's very competent in decommissioning activities. And it has a very strong oversight presence.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Have you active ECP program?  MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very	13 14	been with us for 20, 30 years. Others are new. Like myself, for instance, who has only been with CY for
21 active ECP program?  22 MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we 23 have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very	17 18	force. It's very competent in decommissioning activities. And it has a very strong oversight
have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very		•
or detailed, very proceed enemied, very, very enimal		MR. MILLER: Very. Very active. And we have a Connecticut Yankee program which is very, very structured, very process-oriented, very, very similar

1 2 3 4 5	to what you've heard about here this evening. Bechtel and I'll let Sarah Snider speak to that has a companion program, virtually a mirror image of the Connecticut Yankee program also active and well established.
6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Have you do you keep separate statistics regarding well, you would because it's a single about any complaints? Do you have
10	MR. MILLER: Yes, we do.
11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And how is your what's the trending of the complaints that you are having?
14 15 16 17	MR. MILLER: In 1999, we had about 46 internal complaints. There were 11 NRC allegations. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: How many? 11? MR. MILLER: 11.
18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: That number keeps on coming up. That's the third time tonight.  And how many of those how many open cases do you have right now?
22 23	MR. MILLER: There are right now three open cases.
24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And are these
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1	harassment or intimidation, HIRD, or
2 3 4	MR. MILLER: Of the 46 cases that occurred in 1999, there were 15 allegations of HIRD. None were substantiated.
5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Okay. Does anybody Marj or you don't have any questions to ask of CY as regards employee concerns?
9	MS. DeBOLD: No.
10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And Bechtel, you have your statistics then. Right? Now, are those, those 46, common or are they just CY's?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MILLER: For the majority of 1999, it was a CY program. The Bechtel program came into existence during the period of transition. And it really came into play at the end of November. I think there was one case related to Bechtel's interaction.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. When was the date that you officially took over? What Bechtel?
21 22 23 24	MR. MILLER: The actual official date of transition from CY to Bechtel for much of the site activities was November 19. We do, however, run a not a common program, but separate ECP programs.

1 2	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Common but separate.
3 4	MR. MILLER: No. I said we don't run common programs.
5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. MR. MILLER: But separate CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: But separate ones.
9 10 11 12	MR. MILLER: and distinct programs. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. MR. MILLER: But anyone on site can go to either program.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Oh. Now, Bechtel, what's your staffing in terms of numbers?  MS. SARAH SNIDER: We have about 449 people on staff. That includes the management team, which we consider non-manuals, is 93. We have 197 subcontractors. And the rest are craft/laborers.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: 197 is part of the 449?
21	MS. SNIDER: Yes, it is.
22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: How many people have you got that are long-time employees with CY? MS. SNIDER: We worked with CY and held
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 2 3 4 5 6	advertised for the CY staff that were going to be released or take early retirement. We also used vendors and suppliers. For direct hire, we picked up all told close to 30 people who had at least two years of CY experience.
7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: 30 people. How many did you bring people how many people did you bring in with you?
10 11 12 13 14	MS. SNIDER: Most of the management team were brought in, with the exception of some critical areas, health physics and operations. The managers of both those programs for Bechtel were with CY.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So would that be a dozen people or more that came in?
16 17	MS. SNIDER: The operations staff numbers about 13.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: 13.  MS. SNIDER: All but I think two had CY experience. The health physics staff, the manager that we have for our program has CY experience. And we used the same sub-contractor that CY uses for health physics and they all have CY experience for the most part.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Because your
	POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	you're just taking statistics from the time that you took over in transmission? Is that the term that's used?
4	MR. MILLER: Transition.
5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Transition. What am I doing here? It's late. Yes. It's late. MR. MILLER: Yes.
8 9 10 11	MS. SNIDER: Actually, our staffing fluctuates. That figure is good as of yesterday.  CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Do you have - what's the general feeling from your perspective of the employee morale on-site?
13 14	MS. SNIDER: Within Bechtel? Within the decommissioning operation?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Yes. I can only ask from your perspective at this juncture.  MS. SNIDER: We've made great progress.  I think it's relatively good. We've made a lot of progress with CY. We've had a lot of team-building and partnering sessions. The transition process was the key to all of that because it forced counterparts to sit down and talk through essentially how you're going to be handing over tasks and responsibilities, how oversight would be accomplished. And those meetings

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

2	chance to talk through how work was going to proceed safely.
4 5	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. I didn't catch your name.
6 7 8 9 10	MS. SNIDER: My name is Sarah Snider. I'm the Community Relations Manager and also the Employee Concerns Coordinator for Bechtel. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So you're a little bit like Mike Cavanaugh, but you're Bechtel. Is that right?
12 13 14 15 16	MS. SNIDER: Kind of a combination. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Okay. Does anybody Marj? No? Okay. Thank you very much. And I appreciate you waiting all this length of time. MR. MILLER: Thank you.
18	A VOICE: Who is this?
19 20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: This is Bob Frazier from Entergy.
21 22 23 24	MR. FRAZIER: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: And probably could you just could you give us more or less the same type of information that I just asked?
	DOCT DEPORTING CERVICE

1	MR. FRAZIER: Certainly. Hi start
2	right off top with the numbers. Presently we're at
3	about 300 folks. The organization is made up of
4	approximately 100 Northeast Utilities employees,
5	approximately 100 contract engineering/project
6	management type folks and approximately 100 manuals as
7	I heard them called, the labor in the field. Those
8	numbers are fluctuating daily as projects start up, as
9	projects finish off, as we continue to go through the
10	transition. So right now it's about 100/100 breakdown.
11	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Is it Tom
12	Burns, he no. The new person.
	•
13	MR. FRAZIER: Andy Vomastek.
14	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Andy Vomastek.
15	Yes. He has oversight of the program for three plants?
16	MR. FRAZIER: Yes. Unit 1 is under the
17	site Employee Concerns Program.
18	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: It is.
19	MR. FRAZIER: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Do you have a
21	separate person there that works for sort of under
22	him or a subsidiary role but in ECP for the
23	decommissioning?
24	MR. FRAZIER: There is not a specific
	POST REPORTING SERVICE
	HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3 4	person from the ECP group. We do use Brian Ford from the Entergy group as a liaison with those folks to work on issues, if necessary. But, typically, everything goes right to Andy's group.
5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Were you familiar with the style of the ECP when you came here? That is Entergy, not you personally.
8 9	MR. FRAZIER: Were we familiar with the what?
10 11	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: The style of the SCWE or SCWE in the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FRAZIER: We had, I guess, from a distance it is totally different than what you see in person. And we definitely had to, say, check and adjust our management styles in some cases. And I think we have gone through the training on-site. In fact, the entire management team on Unit 1 has gone through a refresher course of the training and that has helped substantially.
20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Anybody? Any you haven't got two questions, John?
22	MR. MARKOWICZ: No.
23 24	MR. WOOLLACOTT: He's ready to go to bed.

### ADVISORY COUNCIL

1 2 3	that we'll be seeing you as part at the NIDAC meetings.
4	MR. FRAZIER: Yes.
5 6	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: So the next one is on the second of March?
7	MR. FRAZIER: Yes.
8 9	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: Did you get a copy of the Minutes?
10 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FRAZIER: Yes, I did. CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: You did. Okay. Thank you. I know there are more questions. There are a lot more questions. But I think it will evolve as time goes on. Basically, it's NIDAC's oversight, anyhow, to be concerned about the employee issues. But we also need to okay. Thank you very much.
18	MR. FRAZIER: Thank you.
19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON CONCANNON: That concludes everything except our business. That's yes. Your job is over.
22 23	(Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 11:45 P.M.)
24	