January 19, 2000

Mr. Michael B. Roche Vice President and Director

GPU Nuclear, Inc. **Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station** 

P.O. Box 388

Forked River, NJ 08731

Distribution: File Center

PUBLIC

**HPastis YCLi HAshar** 

**OGC ACRS** 

PEselaroth, RI

PD 1-1 R/F **GGalletti SPeterson KDesai** 

e-mail RScholl (SE only) SLittle

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT FOR USI A-46 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AT OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M69467)

Dear Mr. Roche:

On February 19, 1987, the NRC issued Generic Letter (GL) 87-02, "Verification of Seismic Adequacy of Mechanical and Electrical Equipment in Operating Reactors, Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-46." In the GL, the NRC staff set forth the process for resolution of USI A-46. and encouraged the affected nuclear power plant licensees to participate in a generic program to resolve the seismic verification issues associated with USI A-46. As a result, the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG) developed the "Generic Implementation Procedure (GIP) for Seismic Verification of Nuclear Plant Equipment," Revision 2 (GIP-2, Reference 1).

We have reviewed your submittals and find that you have adequately addressed the actions USI A-46, as discussed in the enclosed safety evaluation.

Your USI A-46 program at Oyster Creek was established in response to GL 87-02 through a Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50.54(f) letter. We conclude that your USI A-46 implementation program has, in general, met the purpose and intent of the criteria in GIP-2 and the staff's SSER No. 2 for the resolution of USI A-46. We have determined that your corrective actions and completed physical modifications for resolution of outliers will result in safety enhancements that are, in certain aspects, beyond the original licensing basis, and as a result, provide sufficient basis to close the USI A-46 review at the facility. We also conclude that your implementation program to resolve USI A-46 at the facility has adequately addressed the purpose of the 10 CFR 50.54(f) request. Licensee activities related to the USI A-46 implementation are subject to NRC inspection.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Helen N. Pastis, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1 **Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management** Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

**Enclosure: Safety Evaluation** 

cc w/encl: See next page

ARC FILE CENTER COP

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDI-1\Ovster Creek\SEa69467.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No

**OFFICE** PDI-1/PM PDI-1/SC HPastislen NAME MGAMberoni 18 199 9/95 00 /99 DATE **'/9**9

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY





## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 19, 2000

Mr. Michael B. Roche
Vice President and Director
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT FOR USI A-46 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

AT OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M69467)

Dear Mr. Roche:

On February 19, 1987, the NRC issued Generic Letter (GL) 87-02, "Verification of Seismic Adequacy of Mechanical and Electrical Equipment in Operating Reactors, Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-46." In the GL, the NRC staff set forth the process for resolution of USI A-46, and encouraged the affected nuclear power plant licensees to participate in a generic program to resolve the seismic verification issues associated with USI A-46. As a result, the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG) developed the "Generic Implementation Procedure (GIP) for Seismic Verification of Nuclear Plant Equipment," Revision 2 (GIP-2, Reference 1).

We have reviewed your submittals and find that you have adequately addressed the actions USI A-46, as discussed in the enclosed safety evaluation.

Your USI A-46 program at Oyster Creek was established in response to GL 87-02 through a Title 10 of the <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u> (10 CFR) Part 50.54(f) letter. We conclude that your USI A-46 implementation program has, in general, met the purpose and intent of the criteria in GIP-2 and the staff's SSER No. 2 for the resolution of USI A-46. We have determined that your corrective actions and completed physical modifications for resolution of outliers will result in safety enhancements that are, in certain aspects, beyond the original licensing basis, and as a result, provide sufficient basis to close the USI A-46 review at the facility. We also conclude that your implementation program to resolve USI A-46 at the facility has adequately addressed the purpose of the 10 CFR 50.54(f) request. Licensee activities related to the USI A-46 implementation are subject to NRC inspection.

Sincerely,

Helen N. Pastis, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1

lelen N. Paster

Project Directorate 1

Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

**Enclosure: Safety Evaluation** 

cc w/encl: See next page

M. Roche GPU Nuclear, Inc.

CC:

Mr. David Lewis Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Manager Licensing & Vendor Audits GPU Nuclear, Inc. 1 Upper Pond Road Parsippany, NJ 07054

Manager Nuclear Safety & Licensing Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Mail Stop OCAB2 P. O. Box 388 Forked River, NJ 08731

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mayor Lacey Township 818 West Lacey Road Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 445 Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Nuclear Engineering CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625 Deborah Staudinger Hogan & Hartson Columbia Square 555 13<sup>th</sup> St., NW Washington, DC 20004