

21154



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

000150 FD

'00 JAN 19 P 5:05

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL

January 18, 2000

G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop: T 3F-23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter S. Lam
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop: T 3F-23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Frederick J. Shon
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Mail Stop: T 3F-23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of
CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant)
Docket No. 50-400-LA, ASLBP No. 99-762-02-LA

Dear Administrative Judges:

The NRC staff has identified two statements in the "NRC Staff Brief and Summary of Relevant Facts, Data and Arguments Upon Which the Staff Proposes to Rely at Oral Argument on Technical Contentions 2 and 3" (Jan. 4, 2000) (Staff Brief) that needed to be corrected. The first is an incorrect citation on page 37 of the Staff Brief, note 10, to *Florida Power and Light Co.* (Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4), Nos. 50-250-OLA-2; 50-251-OLA-2, 1999 NRC LEXIS 13381, at *13396-98 (March 25, 1987)(unpublished). The correct citation is *Florida Power and Light Co.* (Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4), Nos. 50-250-OLA-2; 50-251-OLA-2, 1987 WL109478, at *23-27 (NRC LBP March 25, 1987) (unpublished).

The second is a statement on page 33 of the Staff Brief that "[t]he AEC received only one comment on proposed GDC 66," which refers to a comment submitted by William B. Cottrell, Director of the Nuclear Safety Information Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in response to the proposed GDC published for comment at 32 Fed. Reg. 10,213 (July 11, 1967). Carolina Power & Light Company, in its "Summary of Facts, Data, and Arguments on Which Applicant Proposes to Rely at the Oral Argument," dated January 4, 2000, identifies a second comment on GDC 66 as proposed in July 1967, namely, one submitted to the AEC by Atomic International. The staff does not intend to rely on the Atomic International comment letter at

D507

- 2 -

the oral argument scheduled for January 21, 2000, and does not know of any other comments submitted on GDC 66, as proposed in 1967. The staff regrets any inconvenience this may have caused.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Weisman

Robert M. Weisman
Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service List