Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on Reactors Safeguards

Thermal Hydraulics Subcommittee

Open Session

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: teleconference

Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023

Work Order No.: NRC-2267 Pages 1-26

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1716 14th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 234-4433 _

DISCLAIMER

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

The contents of this transcript of the proceeding of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, as reported herein, is a record of the discussions recorded at the meeting.

This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, and edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS
5	(ACRS)
6	+ + + +
7	SUBCOMMITTEE ON THERMAL HYDRAULICS
8	+ + + +
9	THURSDAY
10	FEBRUARY 16, 2023
11	+ + + +
12	The Subcommittee met via Teleconference,
13	at 8:30 a.m. EST, Jose March-Leuba, Chair, presiding.
14	
15	COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
16	JOSE MARCH-LEUBA, Chair
17	RONALD G. BALLINGER, Member
18	VICKI M. BIER, Member
19	CHARLES H. BROWN, JR., Member
20	VESNA B. DIMITRIJEVIC, Member
21	WALTER L. KIRCHNER, Member
22	GREGORY H. HALNON, Member
23	DAVID A. PETTI, Member
24	JOY L. REMPE, Member
25	MATTHEW W. SUNSERI, Member

		2
1	ACRS CONSULTANT:	
2	STEPHEN SCHULTZ	
3		
4	DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL:	
5	KENT HOWARD	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 **AGENDA** 2 PAGE 1. Opening Remarks and Objectives 3 4 2. NRC Opening Remarks 5 3. Framatome Opening Remarks 6 4. ANP-10311P, Revision 1, Supplement 1P, 7 Revision 0: HTP fuel assembly, Regulatory Requirements, critical heat flux (CHF) 8 9 Testing and data, Subchannel Analysis code, 10 Correlation development and performance, 11 Correlation assessment and statistical 12 analysis 11 13 5. ANP-10311P, Revision 1, Supplement 1P, 14 Revision 0: OREFO-HMP CHR Correlation, 15 HMP/HTP Grid Design, Reviewer Concerns 15 16 February 21, 2023 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:30 a.m.
3	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: The meeting will now
4	come to order. This is a meeting of the Accident
5	Analysis Thermal Hydraulics Committee. I am Jose
6	March-Leuba, the Chairman.
7	In addition to in-person attendance at NRC
8	headquarters, the meeting is broadcast via MS Teams.
9	Members in attendance are Ronald Ballinger, Vesna
10	Dimitrijevic, Greg Halnon, Dave Petti, Joy Rempe, and
11	our consultant, Steve Schultz, is also present. We
12	expect Member Charles Brown to come here any minute.
13	MEMBER KIRCHNER: Jose, this is Walt. I'm
14	here too.
15	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: And I see that Walt
16	Kirchner has logged in and Matt Sunseri has logged in.
17	Anybody else?
18	MEMBER BIER: Jose, did you get my name?
19	I ducked out for a minute. This is Vicki.
20	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, Vicki Bier is also
21	here.
22	MEMBER BIER: Okay.
23	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: We have a full
24	complement of members. Today, we are reviewing
25	Supplement 1P of Topical Report AMP-10311P-A, or not
ļ	I

1 A, entitled COBRA-FLX: ORFEO-HMP Critical Heat Flux 2 Correlation. Portions of our meeting will be closed 3 the public to protect Framatome proprietary 4 information. 5 We have not received requests to provide comments, but we have an opportunity for public 6 7 comments before the beginning of the closed section of 8 the meeting. 9 The ACRS was established by a statute and 10 is governed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, FACA. As such, the Committee only speaks through its 11 published letter reports. 12 The rules for participation in all ACRS 13 14 meetings were announced in the Federal Register on 15 June 13, 2019. The ACRS section of the U.S. NRC public 16 17 website provides our charter, bylaws, agendas, letter reports, and full transcripts for the open portions of 18 19 full and subcommittee meetings, including slides 20 The Designated Federal Official presented there. 21 today is Ken Howard. 22 A transcript of the meeting is being kept. 23 Therefore, speak into the microphones clearly and 24 state your name for the benefit of the court recorder,

and if you're in the conference room with many people

1 on the line, please remember to identify yourself 2 regularly for the accuracy of the transcript. Please 3 keep all of your electronics and the microphone on 4 mute when not being used. 5 Members, I have reviewed the safety evaluation and my conclusion would be to write a 6 7 positive ACRS letter recommending that the staff issue the SER. 8 However, a full committee letter will 9 10 new presentation and will delay 11 publication by up to a couple of months, which I don't 12 Therefore, I am proposing that think is worth it. according to our bylaws, we use the P&P approved 13 14 meeting summary procedure. 15 I will write a couple of paragraphs that will be included in the official summary of this 16 meeting, and the full committee P&P approves it by a 17 Think of it like a letter lite. 18 vote. 19 During the presentations today, keep in 20 mind if any item raises to the importance of requiring 21 a letter, in which case we will follow standard 22 procedure and write а letter during the 23 committee. Basically, if our letter would have said 24

great job, issue it, it is not worth delaying SER

If our letter will have substantive 1 publication. 2 comments that need to be addressed, the delay is 3 necessary. At the end of the meeting, I will poll you 4 about your opinion. Once more, the situation is 5 covered by our bylaws. At this point, I'd like to request M.J. 6 7 Ross-Lee from the NRC staff to present her opening 8 remarks. M.J.? 9 MS. ROSS-LEE: Thank you. Good morning. 10 My name is M.J. Ross-Lee. I am the Deputy Division Director of the Division of Safety Systems at NRR. 11 This topical report was one of two that 12 were used to test the efficiency of the regulatory 13 framework that was established in NUREG-KM-0013 for a 14 15 CHF topical report. The staff performed a joint audit to 16 17 address both topical reports at the same time, which efficiency 18 further increased the οf resource 19 allocation by both the agency and the applicant. 20 Framatome did their part in submitted 21 high-quality topical reports and responding 22 requests for additional information in a timely 23 manner. 24 As a result, the safety evaluation for

this topical report review was completed in just five

1 months, which is significantly shorter than the 2 average time of over 12 months for CHF topical reports 3 in the past. 4 Wе believe this type of regulatory 5 framework can be a large benefit to the NRC and are actively developing frameworks in other technical 6 7 And with that, I will pass it back. Thank 8 you. 9 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Thank you for that 10 presentation and now we'll pass the microphone to Gayle Elliott, I believe you have some 11 Framatome. opening remarks, or anybody from --12 MS. ELLIOTT: Yes, this is Gayle Elliott 13 14 and I am the Director of Licensing and Reg Affairs for 15 Framatome. And first of all, 16 good morning, 17 welcome to the ACRS subcommittee members, NRC staff, and our own Framatome Inc. staff for attending our 18 19 discussion today on the ORFEO-HMP Critical Heat Flux 20 Correlation topical report. 21 This health report was a result of the of 22 efforts their fuel organization's Mihnea 23 Anghelescu, Bob Baxter, and Marc Dziuba, as well as 24 one of your own former staff, Tony Attard. Their time

and efforts resulted in a quality submittal to the NRC

in December of 2021 and NRC's review of the topical report in less than a year.

So, thank you, gentlemen, Mihnea, and Marc, for your efforts, but I'd also like to recognize Dr. Kaizer for his detailed review of the report and the timely and efficient manner in which he performed his review.

Framatome has found that Dr. Kaizer is one of the leading reviewers who can successfully perform an audit for understanding at our facility and have a safety evaluation substantially drafted before he leaves the facility.

So, as I discussed in our ACRS Subcommittee meeting yesterday, Framatome's objective is to get innovation and improved performance methods to the industry, and with our submittal and NRC's review, when it is as cohesive and efficient as the review on this topical report, then we are able to meet that objective, so thank you as well, Dr. Kaizer.

So, as we continue to work towards quality reports for all of our submittals, I'd like to encourage the NRC to continue to develop their own reviewers to be able to perform audits for understanding that result in a draft SE during the audit or in a short duration afterwards.

1	I understand some of our topical reports
2	are more complex than others and it may take more than
3	one audit for understanding, but we have found that if
4	there's a large time lapse between audits and
5	discussions with our subject matter experts, then
6	context and understanding sometimes may not be as
7	distinct.
8	So, that concludes my opening remarks, and
9	again, thank you for your attendance today.
10	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Thanks, Gayle. I'd
11	like to note for the record that Member Brown has
12	joined us, so we now have full contingent of our
13	members.
14	And as I said in my introductory remarks,
15	ACRS only speaks through letter reports and we have
16	spoken on this topic before, and it's related to what
17	Gayle was talking about of the quality of the review
18	that Dr. Kaizer performs.
19	So, I wanted to, even though ACRS wrote a
20	letter on that, I wanted to put my personal opinion
21	that Gayle is right. The moment I saw who had written
22	the SER, I knew we wouldn't have any problems.
23	With that in mind, let's go into the
24	Framatome presentation. Let me remind you that this

is the open session, so do not discuss any data or any

1	proprietary information.
2	So, for any member of the public that is
3	really interested, you will find that it's a little
4	short, but we members are going to dig into all of the
5	details and numbers in the closed session.
6	So, Framatome, it's your turn and you can
7	start sharing the slides in open session. So far, we
8	see no action.
9	MR. ANGHELESCU: Good morning, everyone.
10	My name is Mihnea Anghelescu. I'm a core thermal
11	hydraulics engineer with Framatome and I'm going to
12	present the ORFEO-HMP critical heat flux correlation.
13	So, I understand you want me to share the slides,
14	right?
15	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Yes, you were going to
16	share the slides, right?
17	MR. ANGHELESCU: Okay.
18	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: I don't know.
19	MR. ANGHELESCU: Give me a second, please.
20	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: We can see a screen.
21	Can you maximize it somehow so we can actually read
22	it?
23	MR. ANGHELESCU: Can you see it now?
24	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: No, we are seeing your
25	desktop.

1	MR. ANGHELESCU: Okay.
2	MR. OTTO: I can share if you want me to,
3	Mihnea.
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MR. OTTO: This is Ngola. Just let me
6	know if you wanted me to share. I can share.
7	MR. ANGHELESCU: Yes, I'd prefer you to
8	share.
9	MR. OTTO: All right.
10	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: And you will have to
11	stop sharing first or maybe you can link it all by
12	yourself, Ngola.
13	MR. OTTO: If you can please stop sharing,
14	Mihnea, I'll go ahead and share.
15	MR. ANGHELESCU: Yeah, give me a second,
16	please.
17	MR. OTTO: Sure, no problem.
18	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Are you a presenter?
19	MR. ANGHELESCU: Give me a second, please.
20	Okay, I can see now, yes.
21	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Okay, Mihnea, you can
22	go ahead. We can see everything.
23	MR. ANGHELESCU: Yeah, so good morning,
24	everyone, again. My name is Mihnea Anghelescu. I'm
25	a core thermal hydraulics engineer with Framatome and

1 I'm going to present the ORFEO-HMP critical heat flux 2 correlation. Next slide, please? 3 So, I'm going to discuss the HTP fuel 4 assembly design and the ORFEO-HMP version of the 5 capability to this fuel assembly design. I'm going to for 6 discuss the regulatory requirements 7 correlation, the CHF testing and data, the subchannel 8 analysis code, the correlation development and 9 performance, and then the correlation assessment and 10 statistical analysis. Next slide, please? This is a list of acronyms that are common 11 12 throughout the presentation slides. Next, please? CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Well, at this point, 13 14 this is the end of your presentation. 15 It is through, yes. MR. ANGHELESCU: CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, if you could give 16 17 us a summary? I see you don't have a slide here that summarizes. What have you done? 18 What is the ORFEO 19 correlation used for? And what are the conclusions? 20 Anything that you can say in the open session, nothing 21 propriety. 22 Yes, I can discuss a ANGHELESCU: 23 little bit of correlation. So, essentially, ORFEO-HMP 24 CHF correlation is applicable to the HTP fuel assembly 25 This is a standardized fuel assembly design design.

1 that's produced by Framatome and delivered to our 2 customers. 3 The HMP correlation is applicable for the 4 entire fuel end of the assembly. In terms 5 regulatory requirements, we use 10 CFR 50, GDC 10. terms of CHF data, we collect the data in each of the 6 7 facilities and the data was not new for this 8 correlation. It was before. 9 In terms of subchannel code analysis, we 10 use COBRA-FLX, which is fully approved by the NRC. For correlation development and performance, we use 11 the framework that is described in NUREG-0013, and the 12 statistical analysis was performed using standardized 13 14 statistical methods. That's pretty much it. 15 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Okay, thank you very 16 much. 17 MR. ANGHELESCU: Thank you. 18 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, at this point, we 19 will transfer the open session to the staff which has 20 a little more longer slides. Jose, this is Walt. 21 MEMBER KIRCHNER: have a question for levity. 22 23 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Please. 24 MEMBER KIRCHNER: What does ORFEO stand 25 for? Orfeo is famous Greek mythology and Monteverdi's

1 first modern opera, in fact, the first modern opera is 2 So, what's ORFEO stand for? 1600s. So, yes, it comes from 3 MR. ANGHELESCU: 4 the Greek mythology, I believe. I didn't propose this 5 name, but the idea was not to produce here an acronym, but to take a name from history, from the past, so it 6 7 doesn't stand for anything in particular. 8 MR. KAIZER: When we went to see some test 9 data -- Jeff Kaizer, NRC staff. The staff visited the 10 Karlstein test facility and we actually commented on I think I lost a dollar because I was sure that 11 it. AREVA was just trying to spell Oreo and misspelled it. 12 But they, I think, had a number of things 13 14 that were developed that were based on that kind of Greek literature, and I'm not sure if ARITA falls in 15 16 there because I don't know about that area, but I know there were a number of different names. 17 They were trying to come up with some interesting back stories. 18 19 ANGHELESCU: ARITA is an acronym. 20 ARTEMIS is from the Greek mythology, I believe. CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Excellent. Any more 21 questions? 22 23 All right, so Josh Kaizer, MR. KAIZER: 24 NRC staff. I was the lead reviewer for this, and 25 primarily the reason we're here is the COBRA-FLX

ORFEO-HMP critical heat flux correlation, but there was also another correlation associated with this, which was the Biasi CHR correlation, and they looked very different if you saw the documents that came in.

One came in as a supplement to a topical.

One came in as a supplement to a topical.

One seemed to come in under, I think it was EMF 23-10

as a supplement, but they were both CHF correlations.

They came in around the same time, and so the staff reviewed them pretty much at the same time.

It was because when you're looking at CHF correlations, you're generally looking at the same things, so it was just a very good efficiency gain and the -- I remember having the discussion with my branch chief at the time, Bob Lukes, about how we would perform this review.

The NRC staff, like everybody else, you're always worried about okay, we take, use this as a training exercise for someone new, have them more involved in it, and at the time, we said, you know, we've developed this NUREG. We've used this NUREG a lot. We want to see how much we can actually push this.

And I kind of thought and discussed it with my branch chief and said we have two options.

One, we can have someone else do the review and I can

1	kind of do a peer review, or two, we can say okay, if
2	we really needed to, how fast can we get these reviews
3	done? And my branch chief said let's try that second
4	one, so that was kind of the main focus.
5	We wanted to use this as, I'd say
6	demonstration cases, even though this NUREG has been
7	used a number of times by different individuals, but
8	this was okay, how good can it get? So, the joint
9	review was also a new aspect. Sorry.
10	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Yeah, first, for the
11	transcript, you're talking about NUREG-KM?
12	MR. KAIZER: Correct, yes.
13	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: I&C still says draft.
14	MR. KAIZER: Yes.
15	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: It was like four years
16	that we've been
17	MR. KAIZER: Yes, and I'm glad you're
18	yelling at me for this because the last time I
19	presented in front of the ACRS, I specifically asked
20	you guys to yell at me to get the NUREG-KM done
21	because I had had it mostly done. I had a couple of
22	public comments, some changes to make, and it was very
23	hard, but I had resources to it.
24	I mean, I just had, every time I'd go
25	back, there was always other things popping up, and

1 because I knew I had to come back here today, after 2 you yelled at me then, I made sure that earlier this 3 week, I actually turned it all over to the person who 4 is doing peer review. 5 So, I was literally writing Tuesday, finishing it up. Okay, I've got all of the comments 6 7 addressed. I've got all of the updates. 8 going to that peer review person. 9 expect Newsham (phonetic), 10 probably look at it within a month or two because of 11 just other priorities, and then after that, it will go 12 to OGC to be finalized. So, I am, I'm grateful for that, and we 13 actually used the ACRS letter as a part of the 14 15 abstract in the NUREG saying hey, we not developed it, but we used this and it works. 16 Side note, I do a lot of stuff for VVUQ 17 and everybody loves coming up with frameworks. 18 Oh, 19 you should use this framework to determine if you can 20 trust your model or simulation. And the biggest 21 problem with almost every framework I've seen is 22 nobody validates them. Nobody actually uses their framework and 23 24 says hey, does this actually work? And I think that's

one of the biggest advantages of this framework.

We've used it and I was counting coming in this morning. It's about ten or 11 times, and so it's been really successful. It works.

We wanted to focus on efficiency, review efficiency, and here we got very, I'll say lucky, fortunate. Framatome, and I don't know, okay, yeah, so Framatome provided a very high-quality submittal, and the NRC has used that term multiple times and it's sometimes hard to judge what it is, but here, I think, a high-quality submittal was a submittal that addresses all of the things that you need to make that regulatory decision.

And so, what you're going to hear more in the closed session are there weren't really any major issues. Like almost always when I go to a CHF correlation, there are certain major issues that I find. Here, Framatome had already found them and addressed them, and so when I was reading the topical, it was like well, there's not a ton for me here to do.

On those couple of issues -- oh, okay, on those couple of issues where we did have some minor review issues, and we'll go into those and that's what I'm going to highlight, Framatome actually kind of thought about those, addressed those, already had the plots, just didn't put it in the topical.

1	So, I think that having this type of
2	NUREG, having this very clear technical guidance, it
3	allows high quality submittals to go through, and I
4	think M.J. said like we had a cost savings of review
5	time of like over 50 percent, so compared to other
6	topicals, the review time for this was less than 50
7	percent
8	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, in your opinion,
9	NUREG-KM-0013 was not only used for the review, but it
10	was also used to generate a topical report?
11	MR. KAIZER: Yeah.
12	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, they used it with
13	your review in mind.
14	MR. KAIZER: Yeah.
15	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: So, that, the
16	fastness, and the quickness, and the efficiency of the
17	review
18	MR. KAIZER: Yeah.
19	CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: was a two-way
20	street.
21	MR. KAIZER: Yeah, for us, and it's
22	similar to like a reg guide where a reg guide, ideally
23	the reg guide is what the industry gets and they write
24	their document according to the reg guide, and then
25	the standard review plan is what the staff uses to

review the document.

Sometimes there isn't a one to one parity between the two, but usually the industry knows hey, this is what the staff is going to look for, and so here, because we were able to clearly say hey, these are all of the things we're looking for, we could get that.

It's important to me as a regulator that I don't want my review to just be an extra 200 or 300 hours. To me, the goal is to bring every approved method up to a certain level, and if it comes in very far below the level, it might take 200 or even 2,000 hours to bring it up to the level, but if that method is already really close to at that level, it should just be documentation and that's it.

So, I think having this type of reg guide or, sorry, NUREG is extremely helpful in that area. I think Joy has a comment or question.

MEMBER REMPE: Sure, thank you. I was impressed with the opening statements made on your behalf, Josh, and I know what you mean when you say that ACRS was yelling at you, that you meant that we gently nudged you, but this is the transcript for it that can be preserved, and some folks, and I can't imagine why, think ACRS isn't a friendly, professional

1 organization, and so perhaps we ought to correct the 2 transcript to -- I was present in those meetings and 3 I don't think anyone yelled at you at all. 4 (Laughter.) 5 MEMBER REMPE: So, if you don't mind, for benefit, perhaps 6 ACRS' some corrections modifications to that statement? 7 8 MR. KAIZER: Absolutely. To clarify, I 9 requested the ACRS, well, I say yell at me, but I 10 asked that you guys provide me with that deadline 11 because I knew that if you provided me with a 12 deadline, I would now have a justification, and then you certainly provided me with the deadline and then 13 14 I could actually meet that deadline. So, is that --15 hopefully that's a good enough correction. 16 MEMBER REMPE: Yes, that's a more, I 17 think, factual way of putting it. Thank you very much. 18 19 MR. KAIZER: Sure. 20 DR. SCHULTZ: Josh, this is Steve Schultz. 21 Just for clarity in terms of the overall process, in 22 using the NUREG and setting up for this review, then 23 early on in the process, you set the structure that's 24 shown in the safety evaluation? 25 MR. KAIZER: Yes, this is the --

DR. SCHULTZ: All of the elements that --1 2 MR. KAIZER: Yeah. -- would be essentially 3 SCHULTZ: 4 filled in by you at the end of the evaluation so that 5 the applicant, so Framatome knew what was expected --6 MR. KAIZER: Yes. 7 DR. SCHULTZ: -- right from the outset --8 MR. KAIZER: Yes. 9 SCHULTZ: -- and could work on DR. 10 developing the details for your review throughout the process? 11 12 MR. KAIZER: Correct, I would say the structure was, I think the first time we were really 13 14 developing it was in 2017. So, I mean, we had 15 previously used this with the OREFO-CHF correlation. I think that was actually one of the first ones that 16 17 we used the NUREG on, so Framatome was well aware of it. 18 19 On a side note, we've had some challenges 20 with some critical heat flux and critical power, 21 because this works for both correlations, where we've 22 seen some interesting data appear in the real world, 23 and one of the things that made us very happy with the 24 framework was those challenges didn't have us adjust 25 the framework, like the framework already had areas

1 where it would identify those. 2 So, this is, I think, is very robust in 3 terms of if you can address all of these issues, then 4 you have a CHF or critical power correlation that can 5 be considered credible. DR. SCHULTZ: That helped with our review 6 7 also. So, the summary for 8 MR. KAIZER: Yeah. 9 the open session, we think the credibility frameworks, 10 they can resolve the large efficiency increases. On average, it's about 50 percent. 11 actually got a couple of different data points for 12 that where I've seen that consistently. 13 14 And I think one of the major issues here, or not major issues, but major benefits is that while 15 I think these frameworks are good in general for 16 17 bringing all reviews up to the same standard, if you do give a high-quality review, you can get that much 18 19 faster turnaround at less resources. 20 And then the last thing, which is what 21 you'll see mostly in the closed slides, is I'll go 22 over those remaining issues that Framatome didn't 23 address and just how they were easily resolved. 24 So, yeah, the final is my conclusion. 25 NRC staff believes the CHF correlation's design limit

1 has the predictive capability as other same 2 correlations the staff had previously approved. 3 that is the end of my open session if there are any 4 questions or comments. 5 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Thank you, Josh. Any more comments or questions from the members? 6 7 MEMBER KIRCHNER: Jose, I think I should 8 finish the story. It's rather early in the morning. 9 Orfeo went into the underworld to rescue his beloved, 10 Eurydice, and he sang so well that he convinced the gods of the underworld to let her come back to the, 11 you know, the real world, but on the way back, there 12 was a condition. 13 14 Don't look back, but he did, and they So, my -- I guess the lesson 15 turned her into stone. 16 here or the parable is don't look back. Move forward. Let's get onto the closed session. 17 18 (Laughter.) 19 CHAIR MARCH-LEUBA: Any more 20 So, at this point, I would like to open references? 21 the microphone to any members of the public that would 22 want to raise a comment on the record? If so, just 23 unmute yourself and state your comments. 24 Hearing none, we are going to go on a

recess on this line.

25

In a moment, we are going to

1	transfer to the closed session, which is to say
2	everybody that is somebody has a link to the closed
3	session, but it's going to take us ten minutes to
4	unmute everybody in the lobby to make sure that they
5	are allowed to see the proprietary information.
6	So, let's restart at 9:10. So, we'll go
7	on recess until 9:10. We will not come back to this
8	line and we will continue the meeting on the closed
9	link. So, we're on recess.
LO	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
L1	off the record at 8:56 a.m.)
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



NRC Staff Safety Evaluation: COBRA-FLX: ORFEO-HMP Critical Heat Flux Correlation (open session)

Joshua Kaizer

NRR/DSS/SFNB

February 16, 2023

ORFEO-HMP and Biasi CHF

- Demonstration cases of DRAFT NUREG/KM-0013 CHF CAF.
- Two topical reports were performed in a joint review.
- Review efficiency was a large focus.
- NUREG was necessary for maintaining the same standard.
- NUREG demonstrated that these were "high quality" submittals.



Summary

- Creditability Assessment Frameworks can result in large efficiency increases estimated resource savings of over 50%.
- Framatome had already addressed the major issues which would have been the staff concerns.
- The NRC staff raised some minor issues which were easily dispositioned.
- The NRC staff believes the CHF correlation and its associated design limit has the same predicative capability as the other CHF correlations that staff has previously approved.



The ORFEO-HMP Critical Heat Flux Correlation

Mihnea Anghelescu, US PWR Fuels, Framatome

Rockville, MD, 02/16/2023

CONTENT

- 1. HTP Fuel Assembly
- 2. Regulatory Requirements
- 3. CHF Testing and Data
- 4. Subchannel Analysis Code
- **5.** Correlation Development and Performance
- **6.** Correlation Assessment and Statistical Analysis

Acronyms

- ANOVA Analysis of Variance
- ARITA ARTEMIS/RELAP Integrated Transient Analysis Methodology
- BOHL Beginning of Heated Length
- CE14x14 Combustion Engineering type 14x14 fuel assembly design for PWRs
- CE16x16 Combustion Engineering type 16x16 fuel assembly design for PWRs
- CHF Critical Heat Flux
- DNB Departure from Nucleate Boiling
- EOHL End of Heated Length
- GAIA Framatome's PWR fuel assembly and grid design
- GT Guide Tube
- IFM Intermediate Flow Mixer
- HMP HMP grid design
- HTP HTP grid design
- M/P Measured CHF divided by predicted CHF
- MSLB Main Steam Line Break
- OEFEO Framatome's CHF correlation form for PWR fuel assemblies
- PWR Pressurized Water Reactor
- W15x15 Westinghouse type 15x15 fuel assembly design for PWRs
- W17x17 Westinghouse type 17x17 fuel assembly design for PWRs



Begin CLOSED SESSION