



NUREG-1307, Rev. 17

# Report on Waste Burial Charges

Changes in Decommissioning Waste  
Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste  
Burial Facilities

Final Report

## AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

### NRC Reference Material

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Library at [www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html](http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html). Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; *Federal Register* notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and Title 10, "Energy," in the *Code of Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources.

#### 1. The Superintendent of Documents

U.S. Government Publishing Office  
Washington, DC 20402-0001  
Internet: [bookstore.gpo.gov](http://bookstore.gpo.gov)  
Telephone: (202) 512-1800  
Fax: (202) 512-2104

#### 2. The National Technical Information Service

5301 Shawnee Road  
Alexandria, VA 22312-0002  
[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)  
1-800-553-6847 or, locally, (703) 605-6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

Address: **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission**  
Office of Administration  
Multimedia, Graphics, and Storage &  
Distribution Branch  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
E-mail: [distribution.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:distribution.resource@nrc.gov)  
Facsimile: (301) 415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at NRC's Web site address [www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs](http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs) are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

### Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, transactions, *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

#### The NRC Technical Library

Two White Flint North  
11545 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

#### American National Standards Institute

11 West 42nd Street  
New York, NY 10036-8002  
[www.ansi.org](http://www.ansi.org)  
(212) 642-4900

Legally binding regulatory requirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractor prepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared by the staff (NUREG-XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR-XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP-XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA-XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR-XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of NRC's regulations (NUREG-0750).

**DISCLAIMER:** This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employee, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this publication, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

# Report on Waste Burial Charges

## Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities

### Final Report

Manuscript Completed: December 2018

Date Published: February 2019

Prepared by:

S. Short

M. Toyooka

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

P.O. Box 999

Richland, WA 99352

R. Turtill, K. Lois, and E. Tabakov, NRC Project Managers

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This NUREG provides guidance for implementing the mandatory information collections in 10 CFR Parts 50 that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et. seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0011. Send comments regarding this information collection to the Information Services Branch (O-1F13), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to [Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov), and to the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0011), Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20503; e-mail: [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov).

## **Public Protection Notification**

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

## ABSTRACT

Pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.75, "Reporting and Recordkeeping for Decommissioning Planning," the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requires nuclear power reactor licensees to adjust annually, in current year dollars, their estimate of the cost to decommission their plants. The annual updates are part of the process for providing reasonable assurance that adequate funds for decommissioning will be available when needed. This NUREG, which is periodically revised, describes the formula in 10 CFR 50.75(c) that is acceptable to the NRC for determining the minimum decommissioning fund requirements for nuclear power reactor licensees. This formula is based on the estimated cost of decommissioning a reference pressurized-water reactor (PWR) and a reference boiling-water reactor (BWR) in 1986, and is escalated to current year dollars using an adjustment factor provided in 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2). The primary purpose of this report is to provide the technical basis, including references, for the estimated cost of decommissioning the reference PWR and reference BWR, and to develop the escalation factor for the low-level radioactive waste (LLW) burial cost portion of the adjustment factor. Escalation factors for the other portions of the adjustment factor (i.e., labor and energy costs), are also provided in this report.

This 17<sup>th</sup> revision of NUREG-1307, "Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities," contains waste burial cost escalation factors updated to the year 2018 for the reference PWR and for the reference BWR. As presented in Table 2-1, "Values of  $B_x$  as a Function of LLW Burial Site and Year," multiple burial cost escalation factors are provided that reflect various LLW burial scenarios for each reactor type. These were developed because licensees may have the option to ship waste to one or more of the four currently operating LLW disposal facilities in the United States, and the cost of disposal varies among each of the four facilities. In addition, there are various limitations on LLW disposal facility access by reactors, based upon the state in which the reactor is located. The different LLW burial scenarios are described in detail in Section 1.2, "LLW Disposal Cost Scenarios."

The currently operating LLW disposal facilities are located in 1) Texas, 2) South Carolina, 3) Washington, and 4) Utah. The Texas, South Carolina, and Washington facilities are the host disposal sites for the Texas LLW Disposal Compact (Texas Compact), the Atlantic Interstate LLW Management Compact (Atlantic Compact), and the Northwest Compact on LLW Management (Northwest Compact), respectively (Appendix E provides additional information about LLW compacts), and are referred to in this report as compact-affiliated disposal facilities. The Washington LLW disposal facility also accepts LLW generated in the three member-states of the Rocky Mountain LLW Compact (Rocky Mountain Compact). The fourth site (Utah) is not associated with a specific LLW compact, and so is referred to in this report as a non-compact disposal facility. Nuclear power plant facilities located within the LLW compacts for the compact-affiliated disposal facilities can dispose of their LLW at the affiliated disposal facility or, in some cases, can dispose of a portion of their LLW at the non-compact disposal facility. Nuclear power plants not located within a LLW compact having a compact-affiliated disposal facility can dispose of their LLW at either the Texas or Utah disposal facilities. The Utah site accepts only Class A LLW while the Texas site will accept Class A, B, and C LLW (see Section 1.1 for definitions of these LLW classes). For plants that have no disposal site available within their designated LLW compact, this report assumes that the cost for disposal of Class A LLW is the same as that for the Utah disposal facility, and the cost for disposal of Class B and C LLW is the same as that for the Texas disposal facility, and includes accounting for out-of-compact fees.

In the 2017 decommissioning fund status reporting cycle, in which licensees provided decommissioning trust fund data to the NRC by March 31, 2017, as required by 10 CFR 50.75(f), 79 of the 100 operating reactors in the U.S. applied LLW burial cost escalation factors based on the Table 2-1 scenario in which non-compact affiliated generators used the Utah and Texas disposal sites. In this current revision to NUREG-1307, estimated 2018 disposal costs for this scenario are approximately 3.1 percent higher for the reference PWR and 2.2 percent higher for the reference BWR, compared to 2016 disposal costs. Increases in disposal costs were attributed mostly from increases in disposal fees for the Utah disposal facility.

In the same 2017 NRC reporting cycle, four of the 100 operating plants in the U.S. applied LLW burial cost escalation factors based on the Table 2-1 scenario in which compact affiliated generators used only the Texas disposal site. In this current revision to NUREG-1307, estimated 2018 disposal costs for Texas LLW compact affiliated generators did not change, as the state-approved rate schedule for disposal of LLW at the Texas disposal facility remained unchanged from the 2016 state-approved rate schedule used in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

Also in the 2017 NRC reporting cycle, 12 of the 100 operating plants in the U.S. applied LLW burial cost escalation factors based on the Table 2-1 scenario in which compact affiliated generators used only the South Carolina disposal site, or used a combination of both the South Carolina disposal site and the non-compact disposal facility. (The South Carolina disposal site accepts LLW from facilities located in South Carolina, New Jersey, and Connecticut, all members of the Atlantic Compact.) In this current revision to NUREG-1307, estimated 2018 disposal costs using only the South Carolina disposal site, are approximately 7.5 percent higher for both the reference PWR and BWR, compared to 2016 disposal costs. Increases in disposal costs were attributed mostly from increases in disposal costs associated with the weight and activity (or curie) content of LLW, and charges for irradiated hardware. The estimated disposal costs using the combination of both the South Carolina disposal site and the non-compact disposal facility are approximately 6 percent higher for both the PWR and BWR, compared to 2016 disposal costs. As previously stated, increases in disposal costs were derived mostly from increases in disposal costs associated with the weight and activity (or curie) content of LLW, and charges for irradiated hardware, for the South Carolina site, and increases in disposal rates for the non-compact disposal facility.

Lastly, in the 2017 NRC reporting cycle, one of the 100 operating plants in the U.S. applied a LLW burial cost escalation factor based on the Table 2-1 scenario in which a compact affiliated generator used only the Washington disposal site. The estimated 2018 disposal cost using only the Washington disposal site, which accepts LLW from the 11 member-states of the Northwest and Rocky Mountain Compacts, are approximately 25 percent higher for both the reference PWR and BWR, compared to 2016 disposal costs. Increases in disposal costs were determined mostly from increases in LLW volume and container charges.

Licensees may use the escalation factors from this NUREG in their cost analyses, or they may generate and report site-specific cost estimates that result in a total cost estimate of no less than the amount estimated by using the 10 CFR 50.75(c) formula and cost escalation factors presented in this NUREG. In the 2017 NRC reporting cycle, four of the 100 operating plants in the U.S. reported cost data using site-specific cost estimates.

Revision 17 to NUREG-1307 assumes that LLW generated from day-to-day plant operations would be disposed of using the licensee's operating funds, and thus would not rely on decommissioning funds identified in the formula calculation. However, facilities located in states that are members of a LLW compact with no available LLW disposal site may be forced to

provide interim storage for this waste (although most LLW could potentially be disposed of at the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah, or at the compact-affiliated disposal facility located in Texas). Accordingly, some of the LLW may ultimately need to be disposed of during decommissioning following interim storage. For those plants operating through extended license terms, this volume can become significant and the disposal cost would not be accounted for in a decommissioning trust fund based on the formula calculation.

The views expressed in this report are not necessarily those of the NRC. NUREG-1307, Revision 17, is not a substitute for NRC regulations. The approaches and methods described in this NUREG are provided for information only. Publication of this report does not necessarily constitute NRC approval or agreement with the information contained herein.





## FOREWORD

Nuclear power reactor licensees are required by 10 CFR 50.75, "Reporting and Recordkeeping for Decommissioning Planning," to annually adjust the estimated decommissioning costs of their nuclear facilities to ensure adequate funds are available for decommissioning. The regulation (10 CFR 50.75(c)(2)) references NUREG-1307 as the appropriate source for obtaining the escalation factor for waste burial/disposition costs. This 17<sup>th</sup> revision of NUREG-1307 provides current, as of July 2018, waste burial/disposition costs using the compact-affiliated disposal facilities located in Andrews County, Texas; Barnwell, South Carolina; and Richland, Washington, and the non-compact disposal facility in Clive, Utah. In addition, this revision includes a disposal cost scenario that provides for disposal of low-level waste (LLW) using a combination of non-compact and compact-affiliated disposal facilities. Licensees can factor these numbers into the adjustment formula, as specified in 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2), to determine the minimum decommissioning fund requirement for their nuclear facilities. Although this NUREG is specifically prepared for the use of power reactor licensees, it also can be a valuable source of information for material licensees on current waste burial/disposition costs.

On July 1, 2000, the South Carolina disposal facility became the host disposal facility for the newly formed Atlantic Compact, comprised of the States of Connecticut, New Jersey, and South Carolina. Effective July 1, 2008, LLW from States that are not members of the Atlantic Compact was no longer accepted at the South Carolina disposal facility. The South Carolina Public Service Commission annually determines the costs of waste disposal at the South Carolina disposal facility and provides the site operator with an allowable operating margin.

The Richland, Washington, facility only accepts LLW from the Northwest and Rocky Mountain Compacts. The Northwest Compact is comprised of the States of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, and Hawaii. The Rocky Mountain Compact is comprised of the States of Colorado, Nevada, and New Mexico. The costs of disposal for this facility are determined annually based on waste generator volume projections and a maximum annual operator revenue set by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. If the total operator revenue is exceeded in a given year, a rebate may be sent to the waste generator.

The Andrews County, Texas, facility or Texas Compact Waste Facility (CWF), accepts LLW from both the Texas Compact and out-of-compact generators. The fees for LLW disposal are determined by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Out-of-compact generators, however, must submit an import petition to the Texas Compact Commission for approval prior to shipping. The State of Texas also limits total non-compact waste disposed at the CWF to 30 percent of licensed capacity.

Since the South Carolina and Washington LLW disposal facilities are available only to licensees located within their respective compacts, an alternative available to licensees is to dispose of decommissioning Class A LLW at a non-compact disposal facility. Costs for this scenario are based on a price quote received from the operator of the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah. Revision 17 to NUREG-1307 provides waste burial/disposition cost escalation factors for this scenario, in addition to the standard scenario of disposing of 100 percent of decommissioning LLW at a compact-affiliated disposal facility.

In addition to the traditional LLW disposal facilities described above, staff continues to evaluate LLW disposal trends and evolving industry practices that may impact minimum decommissioning fund formula cost calculations provided for in 10 CFR 50.75. NRC is aware

that some LLW disposal methods, such as the disposal of very low-level waste at unlicensed waste disposal facilities, e.g., Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facilities, and other alternatives as authorized under 10 CFR 20.2002, "Method for obtaining approval of proposed disposal procedures," may be less costly than disposal at traditional LLW sites. However, these alternatives are subject to case-by-case approvals and thus, the impact on future LLW disposal practices, costs, and cost savings, is difficult to forecast and quantify. Accordingly, the final version of NUREG-1307, Revision 17, does not consider the use of alternative disposal methods or their potential impact to minimum decommissioning fund formula calculations.

Revision 17 to NUREG-1307 assumes that LLW generated during plant operations is disposed of using operating funds. Nuclear power plants that are members of a LLW Compact that has no disposal site available for LLW may be forced to provide interim storage for this waste, although most LLW may be able to be disposed of at the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah or at the compact-affiliated disposal facility located in Texas. The LLW volume could be significant for plants with extended operating periods (e.g., beyond 40-years), and the disposal cost of this additional volume may not be accounted for in a decommissioning trust fund based on the formula calculation.

For licensees having no disposal site available within their designated LLW Compact, NUREG-1307, Revision 17, assumes that the cost for disposal of Class A LLW is the same as that for the Utah disposal facility, and the cost for disposal of Class B and C LLW is the same as that for the Texas disposal facility including accounting for out-of-compact fees. Accordingly, given these considerations, licensees may want to set aside additional funds to cover associated future decommissioning costs.

Louise Lund, Director  
Division of Licensing Projects  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>FOREWORD</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Definitions .....	1
1.2 LLW Disposal Cost Scenarios .....	2
<b>2 DECOMMISSIONING COST ELEMENTS</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>3 DEVELOPMENT OF COST ESCALATION FACTORS AND COST ADJUSTMENT FACTOR</b> .....	<b>9</b>
3.1 Development of the Cost Adjustment Factor .....	10
3.2 Labor Cost Escalation Factors.....	12
3.3 Energy Cost Escalation Factors .....	13
3.4 Waste Burial Cost Escalation Factors.....	14
3.5 Sample Calculations of Estimated Reactor Decommissioning Costs .....	15
<b>4 REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>APPENDIX A LOW-LEVEL WASTE BURIAL/DISPOSITION PRICES FOR THE CURRENT YEAR</b> .....	<b>A-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX B CALCULATION OF LOW-LEVEL WASTE BURIAL/DISPOSITION COST ESCALATION FACTORS</b> .....	<b>B-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX C BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS ON THE INTERNET</b> .....	<b>C-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX D REPRESENTATIVE EXAMPLES OF DECOMMISSIONING COSTS FOR 2006 THROUGH 2018</b> .....	<b>D-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX E LOW-LEVEL WASTE COMPACTS</b> .....	<b>E-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX F COMMENT RESOLUTION MATRIX</b> .....	<b>F-1</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Values of $B_x$ as a Function of LLW Burial Site and Year <sup>(a)</sup> .....	7
Table 3-1	Evaluation of the Coefficients A, B, and C in January 1986 Dollars.....	10
Table 3-2	Regional Factors for Labor Cost Adjustment.....	13
Table 3-3	Radioactive Materials Included in the Estimate of LLW Burial Cost.....	15
Table A-1	Schedule of Maximum Allowable LLW Disposal at the South Carolina Disposal Facility <sup>(a)</sup> .....	A-2
Table A-2	Price Quotes for Disposition of Class A LLW at the Non-Compact Disposal Facility Located in Clive Utah.....	A-4
Table B-1	PWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-4
Table B-2	BWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-5
Table B-3	PWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-6
Table B-4	BWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-7
Table B-5	PWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2018 dollars).....	B-8
Table B-6	BWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2018 dollars).....	B-9
Table B-7	PWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2016 dollars).....	B-10
Table B-8	BWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2016 dollars).....	B-11
Table B-9	PWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2018 dollars).....	B-12
Table B-10	BWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2018 dollars).....	B-13
Table B-11	PWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2016 dollars).....	B-14
Table B-12	BWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2016 dollars).....	B-15
Table B-13	PWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2018 dollars).....	B-16
Table B-14	BWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2018 dollars).....	B-17
Table B-15	PWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2016 dollars).....	B-18
Table B-16	BWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2016 dollars).....	B-19
Table B-17	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-20
Table B-18	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-21
Table B-19	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-22
Table B-20	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-23
Table B-21	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-24
Table B-22	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2018 dollars).....	B-25
Table B-23	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-26
Table B-24	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-27
Table B-25	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-28
Table B-26	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-29

Table B-27	PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-30
Table B-28	BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2016 dollars).....	B-31

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BIO	biological
BLDG	building
BLS	U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics
BWR	boiling water reactor
B <sub>x</sub>	LLW burial cost escalation factor
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHG	charge
CONTAINM	containment
CONTAM	contaminated
CNS	Chem-Nuclear Systems, L.L.C.
DHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
ECI	Employment Cost Index
EHx	excess letdown heat exchanger
E <sub>x</sub>	energy cost escalation factor
ft <sup>3</sup>	cubic foot
F <sub>x</sub>	cost escalation factor for diesel and other fuels for transportation and other heavy equipment operation
gal	gallon
GTCC	greater-than-Class C LLW
ID	identification number
ISFSI	interim spent fuel storage installation
lbs	pounds
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LLRWPA	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985
L <sub>x</sub>	labor cost escalation factor
MATRL or Matl	material
Misc	miscellaneous
mR/h	millirem per hour
MWt	megawatt-thermal
NA	not available
NPP	nuclear power plant
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P	reactor power level
P <sub>x</sub>	cost escalation factor for industrial electric power
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PPI	Producer Price Index
PWR	pressurized water reactor
RAD	radioactive
RCW	Revised Code of Washington

REACT	reactor
R/hr	rem per hour
R.Hx	regenerative heat exchanger
SAC	sacrificial
TAC	Texas Administrative Code
TG	turbine-generator
TMI	Three Mile Island Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant
U.S.	United States
VEN	vendor



# 1 INTRODUCTION

Nuclear power reactor licensees are required by Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.75, "Reporting and Recordkeeping for Decommissioning Planning," to annually adjust the estimated decommissioning costs (in current year dollars) of their nuclear facilities to ensure adequate funds are available for decommissioning. This is one step of a multi-step process for providing reasonable assurance to the NRC that adequate funds for decommissioning are planned for and accumulated beginning in licensing and through operations. This NUREG provides escalation factors for the waste burial/disposition component of the decommissioning funding formula, as required by 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2). This NUREG also provides the regional escalation factors for the labor and energy components of the decommissioning fund requirement. Together, these escalation factors are used to adjust the NRC minimum decommissioning fund requirement by means of an "adjustment factor." The term "adjustment factor," as used in this NUREG and in 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2), refers to increases and decreases in estimated decommissioning costs subsequent to issuance of the 10 CFR 50.75 regulations. The base decommissioning fund requirements in these regulations were established in 1986 dollars. The adjustment factor escalates the cost, in 1986 dollars, to costs in today's dollars. This NUREG is updated periodically to reflect changes in waste burial/disposition costs and accounts for changes in the labor and energy values.

This NUREG provides the development of a formula for estimating decommissioning costs that are acceptable to the NRC. Sources of information used in the formula are identified. Values developed for the escalation of radioactive waste burial/disposition costs, by site and by year, are also provided. Licensees may use the formula, the coefficients, and the burial/disposition cost escalation factors from this NUREG in their analyses, or they may use an adjustment rate at least equal to the approach presented herein.

The formula and its coefficients, together with guidance to other data sources needed to complete the formula calculation, (i.e., U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics), are summarized in Chapter 2. The development of the formula and its coefficients, with sample calculations, are presented in Chapter 3. Price schedules for LLW burial/disposition for the year 2018 are given in Appendix A for compact-affiliated and non-compact disposal facilities. Calculations to determine the burial/disposition escalation factors,  $B_x$ , for each site and year of evaluation are summarized in Appendix B.

## 1.1 Definitions

This section provides the definition of key terms utilized throughout this NUREG.

**Low-level radioactive waste (LLW).** LLW is a general term for a wide range of items that have become contaminated with radioactive material or have become radioactive through exposure to neutron radiation. Radioactive materials are present at nuclear power plants undergoing decommissioning as the result of plant operations prior to permanent shutdown and as the result of decommissioning activities. Examples include radioactively contaminated equipment, piping, tanks, hardware, and tools; concrete debris and soil; liquid radioactive waste (radwaste) treatment residues; and radioactively contaminated protective shoe covers and clothing; cleaning rags, mops, and filters. The radioactivity in these wastes can range from just above natural background levels to much higher levels, such as seen in components from inside the reactor vessel of a nuclear power plant. LLW from decommissioning activities is typically shipped to a disposal site specifically licensed for disposal of LLW.

**LLW Classification.** 10 CFR 61.55(a)(2) defines three classes of LLW acceptable for routine near-surface disposal based on its radiological and physical characteristics:

(i) Class A waste is waste that is usually segregated from other waste classes at the disposal site. The physical form and characteristics of Class A waste must meet the minimum requirements set forth in § 61.56(a). If Class A waste also meets the stability requirements set forth in § 61.56(b), it is not necessary to segregate the waste for disposal. (e.g., dry active waste, protective shoe covers and clothing);

(ii) Class B waste is waste that must meet more rigorous requirements on waste form to ensure stability after disposal. The physical form and characteristics of Class B waste must meet both the minimum and stability requirements set forth in § 61.56. (e.g., primary resin, primary filters);

(iii) Class C waste is waste that not only must meet more rigorous requirements on waste form to ensure stability but also requires additional measures at the disposal facility to protect against inadvertent intrusion. The physical form and characteristics of Class C waste must meet both the minimum and stability requirements set forth in § 61.56. (e.g., radioactive components).

**LLW Compacts.** The Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 (LLRWPA) makes each state responsible for disposing of the LLW generated within its boundaries and establishes a mechanism for states to enter into compacts to establish regional LLW disposal facilities. Appendix E identifies the compacts that have been formed and the states affiliated with each. Appendix E also identifies the states that are not affiliated with any compact.

**Compact-affiliated Disposal Facility.** A LLW disposal facility that has been established by a compact in accordance with the LLRWPA. Four compacts, representing 16 states, have established three LLW disposal facilities: (1) Northwest Compact and Rocky Mountain Compact – U.S. Ecology disposal facility located in Richland, Washington, (2) Atlantic Compact – EnergySolutions disposal facility located in Barnwell, South Carolina, and (3) Texas Compact – Waste Control Specialists disposal facility located in Andrews County, Texas.

**Non-compact Disposal Facility.** A LLW disposal facility that was established outside of the framework of the LLRWPA and is not affiliated with a compact. Only one LLW disposal facility meets this definition – the EnergySolutions disposal facility located in Clive, Utah.

## **1.2 LLW Disposal Cost Scenarios**

NUREG-1307, Revision 17, contains disposal costs updated to the year 2018 for the reference pressurized-water reactor (PWR) and the reference boiling-water reactor (BWR). Three scenarios for estimating these costs are presented. The first scenario assumes that 100 percent of the low-level waste (LLW) generated during decommissioning is disposed of at one of the three compact-affiliated disposal facilities located in Washington, South Carolina, and Texas. This is the second revision of NUREG-1307 to include costs for the Texas site, which became operational in 2012. Year 2018 B<sub>x</sub> escalation factors, expressed as a ratio of 2018 disposal costs to the original 1986 disposal costs, are also provided. For historical purposes, disposal costs for the reference reactors and B<sub>x</sub> escalation factors at the Washington and South Carolina

sites for the years 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2016 are also provided. See previous revisions of NUREG-1307 for disposal costs prior to 2006.

The second scenario provides for disposing of LLW using a combination of non-compact and compact-affiliated disposal facilities. For a PWR under this scenario, 93 percent of the LLW is assumed to be disposed of at a non-compact disposal facility (Utah) and the remaining 7 percent is assumed to be disposed of at a compact-affiliated disposal facility. For a BWR under this scenario, 95 percent of the LLW is assumed to be disposed of at a non-compact disposal facility (Utah) and the remaining 5 percent is assumed to be disposed of at a compact-affiliated disposal facility. This scenario, which is considered an acceptable alternative for licensees, allows NPP licensees to take advantage of potentially lower disposal costs for much of their LLW.  $B_x$  escalation factors for these “alternative” disposal costs are also provided.

The third scenario provides for disposing of all LLW at non-compact disposal facilities or compact-affiliated disposal facilities that accept out-of-compact waste.

NUREG-1307, Revision 17, assumes that LLW generated during plant operations is disposed of using operating funds. Plants that are members of a LLW Compact that has no disposal site available for LLW may be forced to provide interim storage for this waste (although most LLW may be able to be disposed of at the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah or at the compact-affiliated disposal facility located in Texas). Some of this waste may ultimately need to be disposed of during decommissioning. This LLW could be significant for plants with extended operating periods (e.g., beyond 40-years), and the disposal cost of this additional volume may not be accounted for in a decommissioning trust fund based on the formula calculation.

For plants that have no disposal site available within their designated LLW Compact, NUREG-1307, Revision 17, assumes that the cost for disposal of Class A LLW is the same as that for the Utah disposal facility, and that the cost for disposal of Class B and C LLW is the same as that for the Texas disposal facility, including accounting for out-of-compact fees. As new disposal scenarios become available, they will be incorporated into subsequent revisions of NUREG-1307.



## 2 DECOMMISSIONING COST ELEMENTS

The elements of decommissioning costs under 50.75(c)(2) are assigned to three categories: (1) those that are proportional to labor costs,  $L_x$ ; (2) those that are proportional to energy costs,  $E_x$ ; and (3) those that are proportional to burial costs,  $B_x$ . The adjustment of the total decommissioning cost estimate can be expressed by:

$$\text{Estimated cost (Year X)} = [1986 \$ \text{ cost}] [A \cdot L_x + B \cdot E_x + C \cdot B_x]$$

where A, B, and C are coefficients representing the percent or portion of the total 1986 dollar costs attributable to labor (0.65), energy (0.13), and burial (0.22), respectively, and sum to 1.0. The factors  $L_x$ ,  $E_x$ , and  $B_x$  are defined by:

$L_x$  = labor cost escalation factor, January of 1986 to the latest month of Year X for which data are available,

$E_x$  = energy cost escalation factor, January of 1986 to the latest month of Year X for which data are available, and,

$B_x$  = LLW burial/disposition cost escalation factor, January of 1986 to the latest month of Year X for which data are available.

For labor and energy cost escalation factors used in calculating the total decommissioning cost estimate for years subsequent to 1986,  $L_x$  and  $E_x$  are based on the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) national producer price indexes, national consumer price indexes, and local conditions for a given site (see Chapter 3).

$B_x$  is evaluated by recalculating the costs of burial/disposition of the radioactive wastes from the reference PWR (Reference 1) and the reference BWR (Reference 2) based on the price schedules provided by the available disposal facilities for the year of interest. The results of these recalculations are presented in Table 2-1, by site and by year. These recalculations are performed by an NRC contractor.

Effective January 1, 1993, radioactive waste from states that are not members of the Northwest Compact (comprised of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming, Alaska, and Hawaii) or Rocky Mountain Compact (comprised of Colorado, Nevada, and New Mexico) was no longer accepted at the Washington disposal site.

Effective July 1, 2000, the South Carolina LLW burial site applied different price schedules for waste from states within and outside the then newly created Atlantic Compact (comprised of South Carolina, Connecticut, and New Jersey). Effective July 1, 2008, radioactive waste from States that are not members of the Atlantic Compact was no longer accepted at the South Carolina disposal site.

Beginning in the spring of 2012, a new LLW disposal facility became available for disposal of waste from States within the Texas Compact (comprised of Texas and Vermont). Disposal costs for this facility were included in NUREG-1307 Revision 16 for the first time.

Licenses not located in the Northwest, Rocky Mountain, Atlantic, or Texas Compacts should use the  $B_x$  values for “Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility” (see footnote (c) in Table 2-1).

Effective with Revision 8 of this NUREG (December 1998), the scenario to use a combination of waste vendors, or non-compact disposal facilities, and compact-affiliated disposal facilities was made available, and was referred to as “Direct Disposal with Vendors.”

Effective with Revision 15 of this NUREG (ML130223A030, January 2013), the nomenclature for the two disposal scenarios as used in the previous revisions of NUREG-1307, (referred to as “Direct Disposal” and “Direct Disposal with Vendors,”) was changed to “Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only” and “Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities,” to better describe these scenarios. The  $B_x$  values for this scenario are also provided in Table 2-1 (see footnotes (d) and (e) in Table 2-1). The decision rests with the licenses to determine the scenario that best represents their particular situation.

**Table 2-1 Values of B<sub>x</sub> as a Function of LLW Burial Site and Year<sup>(a)</sup>**

Year	B <sub>x</sub> Values for Washington Site <sup>(f)</sup>				B <sub>x</sub> Values for South Carolina Site				B <sub>x</sub> Values for Texas Site <sup>(b)</sup>				B <sub>x</sub> Values for Generators Located in the Unaffiliated States and those Located in Compact-Affiliated States having no Disposal Facility <sup>(c)</sup>	
	Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only <sup>(e)</sup>		Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities <sup>(d,e)</sup>		Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only <sup>(e)</sup>		Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities <sup>(d,e)</sup>		Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only <sup>(e,f)</sup>		Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities <sup>(d,e)</sup>			
	PWR	BWR*	PWR	BWR	PWR	BWR*	PWR*	BWR	PWR*	BWR	PWR	BWR	PWR*	BWR*
2018	10.854	9.118	8.697	7.186	32.329	28.314	11.607	12.872	8.508	8.293	11.054	10.731	12.853	13.422
2016	8.706	7.290	8.129	6.668	30.061	26.329	10.971	12.111	8.508	8.293	10.672	10.441	12.471	13.132
2012	7.335	6.704	7.375	6.076	30.581	27.295	13.885	14.160	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2010	8.035	7.423	6.588	5.458	27.292	24.356	12.280	12.540	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

- (a) The values shown in this table for the years 2018 and 2016 are developed in Appendix B, with all values normalized to the 1986 Washington PWR and BWR values by dividing the calculated burial costs for each site and year by the Washington site burial costs calculated for the year 1986. Refer to previous revisions of NUREG-1307 for development of values prior to 2018.
- (b) Effective with NUREG-1307, Revision 16, the Compact Waste Facility (CWF) in Andrews County, Texas, is available as a full-service (i.e., Class A, B, and C) LLW disposal facility for waste generators located in States affiliated with the Texas Compact. Hence, B<sub>x</sub> values are not available (NA) for earlier versions of NUREG-1307. For Texas LLW generators that may choose to ship some LLW to the Clive, UT, facility, negotiated discounts may be offered based on waste volume. However, information on such discounts would be determined on a case-by-case basis and was not provided by the disposal facility to PNNL, the contractor used by NRC for this revision to NUREG-1307. Accordingly, B<sub>x</sub> values presented in this table do not reflect potentially lower, negotiated discounted burial costs.
- (c) Effective with NUREG-1307, Revision 16, the CWF in Andrews County, Texas, is also available as a full-service (i.e., Class A, B, and C) LLW disposal facility for waste generators located in States not affiliated with the Texas Compact. Out-of-compact generators, however, must submit an import petition to the Texas Compact Commission for approval prior to shipping. The State of Texas also limits total non-compact waste disposed at the CWF to 30 percent of licensed capacity and imposes additional fees on LLW disposed of from out-of-compact generators. With the availability of this full-service disposal facility to out-of-compact waste generators and the Clive, Utah disposal facility for any Class A LLW generated in the U.S., the Generic LLW Disposal Site scenario used in previous versions of NUREG-1307 is replaced with this scenario, which provides B<sub>x</sub> values representing a composite of the disposal rates for these two disposal facilities. These B<sub>x</sub> factors are recommended for use for plants that currently have no disposal site available within their designated LLW Compact.
- (d) Effective with NUREG-1307, Revision 8 (Reference 3), an alternative disposal scenario was introduced in which the bulk of the LLW is assumed to be dispositioned by waste vendors. Effective with NUREG-1307, Revision 14, the bulk of the LLW is assumed to be dispositioned at the Clive, Utah disposal facility.
- (e) Effective with NUREG-1307, Revision 15, the nomenclature for the two disposal scenarios, referred to as “Direct Disposal” and “Direct Disposal with Vendors” in previous revisions of NUREG-1307, was changed to “Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only” and “Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities” to better describe these scenarios.
- (f) For plants using the “Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only” for the Texas site, the base waste volume charges, base radiology charges, and surcharges remain unchanged from 2016 to 2018. For further explanation see Section 3.4.
- (\*) The six columns highlighted with an asterisk reflect B<sub>x</sub> LLW burial cost escalation factor data used by the 96 operating power reactor licensees that utilized the minimum decommissioning fund formula in decommissioning trust fund status reports submitted to the NRC in 2017.





### 3 DEVELOPMENT OF COST ESCALATION FACTORS AND COST ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

The minimum decommissioning fund requirement, or minimum formula amount, for radiological decommissioning of a nuclear power plant, was established using January 1986 dollars, and is defined in 10 CFR 50.75(c) as follows (where P is power level of the nuclear power reactor in megawatt-thermal (MWt))<sup>1</sup>:

For a PWR (10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)(i)) –

Greater than or equal to 3400 MWt.....\$105 million

Between 1200 MWt and 3400 MWt.....\$(75 + 0.0088P) million  
(For a PWR of less than 1200 MWt, use P=1200 MWt)

For a BWR (10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)(ii)) –

Greater than or equal to 3400 MWt.....\$135 million

Between 1200 MWt and 3400 MWt.....\$(104 + 0.009P) million  
(For a BWR of less than 1200 MWt, use P=1200 MWt)

The minimum formula amount represents an actual base-year (1986) cost estimate to decommission a nuclear power plant. These 1986 costs are obtained from studies finalized in the late 1970s and early 1980s (References 6 and 7), and adjusted to 1986 dollars through addendums to these PWR and BWR documented studies (References 1 and 2).

Present day minimum formula amounts rely on an adjustment factor that is applied to the initial cost estimate. In this way, the adjustment factor accounts for, or escalates, the initial formula amount to a dollar figure that incorporates inflation and other cost escalation factors.

In 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2), the adjustment factor is defined to be at least equal to  $0.65L + 0.13E + 0.22B$ , where L and E are cost escalation factors for labor and energy, respectively, and values are to be taken from regional data of U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and B is a cost escalation factor for waste burial and is to be taken from this report.

In summary, the adjustment factor incorporated in 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2) provides a mechanism for escalating the decommissioning fund requirement (minimum formula amount) to current year dollars to reflect inflation and other changes in economic conditions since January 1986. This section summarizes how the coefficients (i.e., 0.65, 0.13, and 0.22) in the adjustment factor were originally developed and provides updated L, E, and B cost escalation factors for use in calculating the minimum decommissioning fund requirement in current year (2018) dollars.

---

<sup>1</sup> The energy input in a heat engine is measured as MWt.

### 3.1 Development of the Cost Adjustment Factor

For the purpose of adjusting the 1986 minimum decommissioning formula cost estimate into today's dollars, the NRC, working with Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, determined that the total decommissioning cost could be divided into three principal components (major cost drivers) for cost escalation purposes. These components are: (1) labor, materials, and services, (2) electric power and diesel or other fuels for transportation, and (3) radioactive waste burial/disposition. The major elements contributing to each of these three components are provided in Table 3-1. The percent, or portion, of the total decommissioning cost, in January 1986 dollars, categorized as labor, materials, and services, is defined by the coefficient A. The percent, or portion, of the total decommissioning cost, in January 1986 dollars, categorized as energy and radioactive waste transportation, is defined by the coefficient B. The percent, or portion, of the total decommissioning cost, in January 1986 dollars, categorized as radioactive waste burial/disposition, is defined by the coefficient C.

**Table 3-1 Evaluation of the Coefficients A, B, and C in January 1986 Dollars**

Cost Category	Reference PWR Values		Reference BWR Values	
	1986 \$ (millions)	Coefficient	1986 \$ (millions)	Coefficient
Staff Labor	17.98 <sup>(a)</sup>		35.12 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Special Equipment	1.64 <sup>(a)</sup>		4.03 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Misc. Supplies	3.12 <sup>(a)</sup>		3.71 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Specialty Contractor	12.9 <sup>(a)</sup>		21.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Nuclear Insurance	1.9 <sup>(a)</sup>		1.9 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Containers	10.9 <sup>(d)</sup>		8.14 <sup>(c)</sup>	
Added Staff	7.5 <sup>(a)</sup>		4.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Added Supplies	1.2 <sup>(a)</sup>		0.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Spec. Contractor	0.78 <sup>(a)</sup>		0.71 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Pre-engineering	7.4 <sup>(a)</sup>		7.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Post-TMI-backfits	0.9 <sup>(a)</sup>		0.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Environmental Surveillance	0.31 <sup>(a)</sup>		--	
License Fees	0.14 <sup>(a)</sup>		0.14 <sup>(b)</sup>	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>66.67</b>	<b>A = 0.64</b>	<b>86.95</b>	<b>A = 0.66</b>
Energy	8.31 <sup>(a)</sup>		8.84 <sup>(b)</sup>	
Transportation	6.08 <sup>(d)</sup>		7.54 <sup>(c)</sup>	
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>14.39</b>	<b>B = 0.14</b>	<b>16.38</b>	<b>B = 0.12</b>
Burial	22.48 <sup>(d)</sup>	<b>C = 0.22</b>	29.98 <sup>(c)</sup>	<b>C = 0.22</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>103.54</b>		<b>133.31</b>	

Note: All costs include a 25 percent contingency factor.

(a) Based on Table 3.1, NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 4.

(b) Based on Table 3.1, NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 3.

(c) Based on Table 5.2, NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 3.

(d) Based on Table 6.2, NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 4.

Per Table 3-1, the C (LLW burial associated) coefficient, or that percentage representing the portion of decommissioning cost attributable to LLW burial charges, are the same (.22) for both PWRs and BWRs. The A (labor associated) and B (energy associated) coefficients differ only slightly between the two reactor types. Consequently, due to the close similarity in these coefficients, and uncertainty contained within the labor and energy assessments used in developing the minimum formula, the formula in 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2) was simplified. The

simplified formula is a composite of the two reactor types by averaging the A and B coefficients derived from the separate PWR and BWR estimates. Hence, the 10 CFR 50.75(c)(2) formula for determining the decommissioning cost of both PWR and BWR reactor types assume the same coefficients, as follows:

$$A_{\text{average}} = 0.65 \quad B_{\text{average}} = 0.13 \quad C_{\text{average}} = 0.22$$

All costs categorized as labor, materials, and services are escalated from 1986 dollars to current year dollars by multiplying coefficient A (0.65) by a labor cost escalation factor  $L_x$ . All costs categorized as energy and radioactive waste transportation are escalated from 1986 dollars to current year dollars by multiplying coefficient B (0.13) by an energy cost escalation factor  $E_x$ . Values for  $L_x$  and  $E_x$  for years subsequent to 1986 are based on the national producer price indexes, national consumer price indexes, and local conditions for a given site, as described below in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. All costs categorized as radioactive waste burial/disposition are escalated from 1986 dollars to current year dollars by multiplying coefficient C (0.22) by a burial cost escalation factor  $B_x$ . The values to be used in determining  $B_x$  are derived from published cost schedules at the three compact-affiliated disposal facilities and a price quote from the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah, as described in Section 3.4.

Note that values for  $B_x$  for year 2016 and earlier, are provided in Table 2-1 for information purposes only; licensees will need only the 2018 figures for the minimum formula calculation required by March 31, 2019.

In summary, a simple equation was developed and incorporated into 10 CFR 50.75(c) to determine the minimum decommissioning fund requirement, or minimum formula amount, escalated to current year dollars. This equation is as follows:

Estimated cost (Year X) =  $[1986 \$ \text{ Cost}] * (A * L_x + B * E_x + C * B_x)$  where:

Estimated cost (Year X) = estimated decommissioning costs in Year X (e.g., 2018) dollars,

[1986 \$ Cost] = estimated decommissioning costs in 1986 dollars (base cost for PWR/BWR in 1986 dollars),

A = percent or portion (also referred to as coefficient) of the [1986 \$ Cost] attributable to labor, materials, and services (0.65),

B = percent or portion (also referred to as coefficient) of the [1986 \$ Cost] attributable to energy and radioactive waste transportation (0.13),

C = percent or portion (also referred to as coefficient) of the [1986 \$ Cost] attributable to radioactive waste burial/disposition (0.22),

$L_x$  = labor, materials, and services cost escalation, January of 1986 to latest month of Year X for which PPI data are available,

$E_x$  = energy (electricity and fuel oil) and waste transportation cost escalation, January of 1986 to latest month of Year X for which CPI data are available,

- $B_x$  = Low-level waste (LLW) burial/disposition cost escalation, January of 1986 to the latest month of Year X for which data are available,  
 =  $(R_x + \Sigma S_x) / (R_{1986} + \Sigma S_{1986})$ , where:
- $R_x$  = radioactive waste burial/disposition costs (excluding surcharges) in Year X dollars,
- $\Sigma S_x$  = summation of surcharges in Year X dollars,
- $R_{1986}$  = radioactive waste burial costs (excluding surcharges) in 1986 dollars, and
- $\Sigma S_{1986}$  = summation of surcharges in 1986 dollars.

### 3.2 Labor Cost Escalation Factors

In addition to costs categorized as labor, certain materials and services are also assumed to escalate at the same rate as labor and therefore included in coefficient A. Examples of these costs include container costs, certain equipment costs, insurance costs, and costs of supplies and materials. Table 3-1 provides additional examples.

Current employment cost indexes for labor (column 3, Table 3-2, below) can be obtained from the "Employment Cost Indexes," published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) (Reference 4). Specifically, the appropriate regional data from Table 6 of Reference 4 entitled "Employment Cost Index for total compensation, for private industry workers, by bargaining status, census region and division, and metropolitan area status" should be used. These indexes may also be obtained from BLS databases available on the Internet (see Appendix C for instructions).

In order to calculate the current labor cost escalation factor ( $L_x$ ) for a particular region, it must be recognized that the BLS "re-indexed" the Employment Cost Index (ECI) in 2005, to 100. Accordingly, two (2) BLS cost index numbers are required to calculate the current  $L_x$  value: 1) the base labor cost escalation factor in 2005 (provided below in Table 3-2), and 2) the current Employment Cost Index (ECI) from the BLS. The December 2005 base labor cost escalation factors, by region, are presented in column 2 of Table 3-2, and current ECIs, for the sake of example, are presented in column 3. The base labor cost escalation factor is the value of  $L_x$  at the time the BLS most recently re-indexed the ECI (December 2005). As such, current values of  $L_x$  (column 4) are obtained from the simple proportion:

$$L_{x(\text{current})}/ECI_{(\text{current})} = \text{Base } L_{x(2005)}/100$$

For example, to calculate  $L_x$  with a 2005 base value for the Northeast region in first quarter 2018,

$$L_x/133.7 = 2.16/100$$

or

$$L_x = 2.16 * 133.7 / 100 = 2.89$$

**Table 3-2 Regional Factors for Labor Cost Adjustment**

Region	Base L <sub>x</sub> (Dec 2005)	Qtr 1 2018 ECI (Dec 2005 = 100)	L <sub>x</sub> (Qtr 1 2018)
Northeast	2.16	133.7	2.89
South	1.98	130.4	2.58
Midwest	2.08	129.8	2.70
West	2.06	134.6	2.77

### 3.3 Energy Cost Escalation Factors

The cost escalation factor for energy, E<sub>x</sub>, is a weighted average of the following components: industrial electric power for onsite decommissioning, P<sub>x</sub>, and diesel or other fuels for transportation and heavy equipment operation, F<sub>x</sub>. For the reference PWR, E<sub>x</sub> is given by:

$$E_x (\text{PWR}) = 0.58P_x + 0.42F_x$$

and for the reference BWR E<sub>x</sub> is given by:

$$E_x (\text{BWR}) = 0.54P_x + 0.46F_x$$

These equations are derived from Table 6-3 of Reference 1 and Table 5-3 of Reference 2. The 0.58 and 0.54 coefficients for P<sub>x</sub> are calculated as the ratio of energy cost to the total energy and fuel for transportation cost for the reference PWR and BWR, respectively. The 0.42 and 0.46 coefficients for F<sub>x</sub> are calculated as the ratio of fuel for transportation cost to the total energy and fuel for transportation cost for the reference PWR and BWR, respectively.

The current values of P<sub>x</sub> and F<sub>x</sub> are calculated from the Producer Price Indexes (PPI), available in the "PPI Detailed Report," published by the U.S. Department of Labor, BLS (Reference 5). These indexes also can be obtained from BLS databases available on the Internet (see Appendix C for instructions). Because the energy cost category is the cost of the electricity and fuel needed to provide essential systems and services to the plant during decommissioning, the indexes used to calculate P<sub>x</sub> should be taken from data for industrial electric power (PPI Commodity Code 0543). The transportation cost category is assumed to escalate with the cost of diesel fuel or light fuel oils. The indexes used to calculate F<sub>x</sub> should therefore be taken from data for light fuel oils (PPI Commodity Code 0573). The BLS data available for these PPI commodity codes are currently available by region.

P<sub>x</sub> and F<sub>x</sub> are the values of current producer price indexes (PPI Codes 0543 and 0573, respectively) divided by the corresponding indexes for January 1986. All PPI values are based on a value of 100 for the year 1982 (base 1982 = 100). Thus, the values of P<sub>x</sub> and F<sub>x</sub> for March 2018 (latest data available) are:

$$P_x = 241.0 (\text{March 2018 value of code 0543}) \div 114.2 (\text{January 1986 value of code 0543}) = 2.110$$

$$F_x = 214.0 (\text{March 2018 value of code 0573}) \div 82.0 (\text{January 1986 value of code 0573}) = 2.610$$

The value of  $E_x$  for the reference PWR is therefore

$$E_x (\text{PWR}) = [(0.58 \times 2.110) + (0.42 \times 2.610)] = 2.320.$$

This value of  $E_x = 2.320$  should then be used in the equation to adjust the energy cost (to March 2018 dollars) for decommissioning a PWR.

For the reference BWR,

$$E_x (\text{BWR}) = [(0.54 \times 2.110) + (0.46 \times 2.610)] = 2.340.$$

### **3.4 Waste Burial Cost Escalation Factors**

The waste burial cost escalation factors,  $B_x$ , for the year 2018 are provided in Table 2-1 for each of the LLW disposal sites.

To calculate the  $B_x$  for a particular LLW burial site, the cost of disposal of each of the radioactive materials identified in Table 3-3 was first estimated using the year 2018 price schedules provided in Appendix A of this report for each of the LLW disposal facilities. The cost of disposal for each of the radioactive materials was calculated based on numerous factors, including its classification (e.g., Class A, B, and C), its weight and volume, the number of packages, the number of shipments, its activity, and its surface dose rate. These factors are reported in NUREG/CR-0130 and NUREG/CR-0672 (References 6 and 7), and associated Addendums 3 and 2 (References 8 and 9), respectively. The estimated disposal cost was summed for all radioactive materials and then divided by the 1986 disposal cost estimate identified in Table 3-1 to develop the year 2018  $B_x$  factors reported in Table 2-1.

A comparison of the year 2018  $B_x$  factors in Table 2-1 to the corresponding year 2016  $B_x$  factors reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307, shows that the values increased for both the Washington site and the South Carolina site. These changes were influenced by increases in the disposal price schedules provided by the operators of those disposal facilities (see Appendix A).

Regarding changes to the disposal price schedules, the following summarizes the changes:

- For the Washington disposal facility, the volume and shipment disposal rates, the dose rate charge per container, and charges per container increased while the annual site charges and environmental site surveillance fee did not change from year 2016.
- For the South Carolina disposal facility, all of the charges and surcharges increased except for the Atlantic Compact Commission administrative surcharge, which remained unchanged.
- For the Utah disposal facility, the disposal rates for both solid and liquid LLW increased.
- For the Texas disposal facility, the base waste volume charges, base radioactivity charges, and surcharges remain unchanged. The current approved rate schedule for disposal of LLW at the Texas CWF has not changed from the 2016 reported values.

**Table 3-3 Radioactive Materials Included in the Estimate of LLW Burial Cost**

<b>PWR</b>	<b>BWR</b>
Vessel Wall	Steam Separator
Vessel Head & Bottom	Fuel Support Pieces
Upper Core Support Assembly	Control Rods & In-core Instruments
Upper Support Column	Control Rod Guide Tubes
Upper Core Barrel	Jet Pump Assemblies
Upper Core Grid Plate	Top Fuel Guide
Guide Tubes	Core Support Plate
Lower Core Barrel	Core Shroud
Thermal Shields	Reactor Vessel Wall
Core Shroud	Sacrificial Shield
Lower Grid Plate	Reactor Water Recirculation
Lower Support Column	Other Primary Containment
Lower Core Forging	Containment Atmospheric Control
Miscellaneous Internals	High Pressure Core Spray
Biological Shield Concrete	Low Pressure Core Spray
Reactor Cavity Liner	Reactor Building Closed Cooling
Reactor Coolant Pumps	Reactor Core Isolation Cooling
Pressurizer	Residual Heat Removal
Heat Exchangers, Sump Pump, Cavity Pump	Pool Liner & Racks
Pressurizer Relief Tank	Contaminated Concrete
Safety Injection Accumulator Tanks	Other Reactor Building
Steam Generators	Turbine
Reactor Coolant Piping	Nuclear Steam Condensate
Other Containment Building	Low Pressure Feedwater Heaters
Other Buildings	Main Steam
Filter Cartridges	Moisture Separator Reheaters
Spent Resins	Reactor Feedwater Pumps
Combustible Wastes	High Pressure Feedwater Heaters
Evaporator Bottoms	Other Turbine-Generator Building
Post-TMI-2 Additions	Radwaste and Control Building
	Concentrator Bottoms
	Decontamination Solutions, Filter Sludges, & Spent Resins
	Post-TMI-2 Additions

### **3.5 Sample Calculations of Estimated Reactor Decommissioning Costs**

Four sample calculations are provided in this section to demonstrate the use of the decommissioning cost equation developed above using the appropriate cost escalation factors of  $L_x$  for labor, material, and services;  $E_x$  for energy and fuel for waste transportation; and  $B_x$  for radioactive waste burial/disposition. The coefficients A, B, and C (0.65 coefficient for labor, 0.13 coefficient for energy, and 0.22 coefficient for LLW burial) used in the examples are developed in Table 3-1. Waste generators with no LLW compact disposal site availability should use the  $B_x$  values for the generic LLW disposal site scenario (i.e., the column in Table 2-1 titled “ $B_x$  Values for Generators Located in the Unaffiliated States and those Located in Compact-Affiliated States having no Disposal Facility”). Sample decommissioning costs for other years are provided in Appendix D.

**Example 1 (No Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facilities)**

Scenario Description

Reactor Type: BWR  
Thermal Power Rating: 3,400 MWt  
Location of Plant: Midwest Compact  
LLW Disposition Preference: Non-Compact Disposal Facilities  
LLW Burial Location: Non-Compact Disposal Sites (Texas and Utah)

Base Cost (1986 Dollars) = \$135 million [from 10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)]

$L_x = 2.70$  [from Table 3-2]

$E_x = 2.340$  [from Section 3.3]

$B_x = 13.422$  [from Table 2-1]

Decommissioning Cost (2018 dollars)  
 $= (\$135 \text{ million}) \times [(0.65) \times (2.70) + (0.13) \times (2.340) + (0.22) \times (13.422)] = \$677 \text{ million}$

**Example 2 (Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only)**

Scenario Description

Reactor Type: PWR  
Thermal Power Rating: 3,400 MWt  
Location of Plant: Texas Compact  
LLW Disposition Preference: Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only  
LLW Burial Location: Texas

Base Cost (1986 Dollars) = \$105 million [from 10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)]

$L_x = 2.58$  [from Table 3-2]

$E_x = 2.320$  [from Section 3.3]

$B_x = 8.508$  [from Table 2-1]

Decommissioning Cost (2018 dollars)  
 $= (\$105 \text{ million}) \times [(0.65) \times (2.58) + (0.13) \times (2.320) + (0.22) \times (8.508)] = \$404 \text{ million}$



**Example 3 (Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities)**

Scenario Description

Reactor Type: PWR  
Thermal Power Rating: 3,400 MWt  
Location of Plant: Atlantic Compact  
LLW Disposition Preference: Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities  
LLW Burial Location: South Carolina and Utah

Base Cost (1986 Dollars) = \$105 million [from 10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)]

$L_x = 2.89$  [from Table 3-2]

$E_x = 2.320$  [from Section 3.3]

$B_x = 11.607$  [from Table 2-1]

Decommissioning Cost (2018 dollars)  
 $= (\$105 \text{ million}) \times [(0.65) \times (2.89) + (0.13) \times (2.320) + (0.22) \times (11.607)] = \$497 \text{ million}$

**Example 4 (Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only)**

Scenario Description

Reactor Type: BWR  
Thermal Power Rating: 3,400 MWt  
Location of Plant: Northwest Compact  
LLW Disposition Preference: Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only  
LLW Burial Location: Washington

Base Cost (1986 Dollars) = \$135 million [from 10 CFR 50.75(c)(1)]

$L_x = 2.77$  [from Table 3-2]

$E_x = 2.340$  [from Section 3.3]

$B_x = 9.118$  [from Table 2-1]

Decommissioning Cost (2018 dollars)  
 $= (\$135 \text{ million}) \times [(0.65) \times (2.77) + (0.13) \times (2.340) + (0.22) \times (9.118)] = \$483 \text{ million}$



## 4 REFERENCES

1. Konzek G.J. and R.I. Smith, "Technology, Safety, and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized-Water Reactor Power Station—Technical Support for Decommissioning Matters Related to Preparation of the Final Decommissioning Rule," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 4, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1988.
2. Konzek G.J. and R.I. Smith, "Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling-Water Reactor Power Station—Technical Support for Decommissioning Matters Related to Preparation of the Final Decommissioning Rule," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1988.
3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, "Report on Waste Burial Charges—Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities," NUREG-1307, Revision 8, December 1998.
4. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Employment Cost Index*, data for Series IDs CIU2010000000210I, CIU2010000000220I, CIU2010000000230I, and CIU2010000000240I obtained from <http://www.bls.gov/data>, data retrieved on July 30, 2018.
5. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Producer Price Index - Commodities*, data for Series IDs WPU0543 and WPU0573 obtained from <http://www.bls.gov/data>, data retrieved on July 30, 2018.
6. Smith, R.I., G.J. Konzek, and W.E. Kennedy, Jr., "Technology, Safety, and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized-Water Reactor Power Station," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0130, Vol. 1 and 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, June 1978.
7. Oak, H.D., G.M. Holter, W.E. Kennedy, and G.J. Konzek, "Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling-Water Reactor Power Station," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0672, Vol. 1 and 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, June 1980.
8. Murphy, E.S., "Technology, Safety, and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized-Water Reactor Power Station— Classification of Decommissioning Wastes," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, September 1984.
9. Murphy, E.S., "Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling-Water Reactor Power Station—Classification of Decommissioning Wastes," (Report prepared by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington), NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, September 1984.



# **APPENDIX A**

## **LOW-LEVEL WASTE BURIAL/DISPOSITION PRICES FOR THE CURRENT YEAR**

This appendix contains the price schedules for burial/disposition of LLW at the Texas, Washington, and South Carolina sites for the year 2018. Also provided is a price quote for the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah. These schedules are used to calculate the burial/disposition costs discussed in Appendix B.

### **A.1 Texas LLW Disposal Site**

Beginning in the spring of 2012, a new facility located in Texas became available for disposal of LLW from states within the Texas Compact (comprised of Texas and Vermont). The Texas facility, or Texas Compact Waste Facility (CWF), also accepts LLW from out-of-compact generators. The fees for LLW disposal are determined by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Out-of-compact generators, however, must submit an import petition to the Texas Compact Commission for approval prior to shipping. The state of Texas also limits total non-compact waste disposed at the CWF to 30 percent of licensed capacity and charges additional fees for out-of-compact LLW.

The current approved rate schedule for disposal of LLW at the CWF is provided in Section 336.1310 (Subchapter N) of Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC). This rate schedule is provided in Exhibit A-1. The fees in this exhibit are the maximum disposal rates that can be charged to in-compact generators. Fees charged to out-of-compact generators must be greater than these rates. Various established Texas fees charged to out-of-compact LLW currently amounts to an additional 31.25 percent on top of the rates shown in Exhibit A-1. In addition, it is assumed that an additional 20 percent in fees/taxes is charged for out-of-compact LLW.

### **A.2 South Carolina LLW Disposal Site**

Access to the South Carolina site by waste generators outside the Southeast Compact ended June 30, 1994, with site closure scheduled for December 31, 1995. However, effective July 1, 1995, the scheduled closure was canceled and access to the South Carolina facility was extended to all states except North Carolina. In June 2000, prohibition on waste from North Carolina was lifted.

Effective November 1, 1996, the operator of the South Carolina disposal site implemented a restructured waste disposal rate schedule. The restructured pricing is based on weight, dose rate, and curies with a cost incentive toward higher density packaging. All business after November 1, 1996, is through customer-specific contracts.

In the years between 2001 and 2008, the maximum allowable volume of LLW disposed of at the South Carolina LLW disposal site from all sources was governed by a schedule contained in the Atlantic Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Compact Implementation Act, which was enacted into law July 1, 2000. This schedule is shown in Table A-1.

**Table A-1 Schedule of Maximum Allowable LLW Disposal at the South Carolina Disposal Facility<sup>(a)</sup>**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Maximum Allowable LLW Volume from All Sources (ft<sup>3</sup>)</b>
2001	160,000
2002	80,000
2003	70,000
2004	60,000
2005	50,000
2006	45,000
2007	40,000
2008	35,000

<sup>(a)</sup> Reference: Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, Section 1, Title 48, Chapter 46.

Effective July 1, 2008, out-of-compact waste was prohibited from disposal at the South Carolina disposal site.

Weight charges, curie surcharges, and irradiated hardware charges all increased approximately 7.5 percent from the 2016 Atlantic Compact rates, while dose rate and administrative surcharge remained constant. As a result of these changes, the cost to disposition the LLW from both a BWR and a PWR increased approximately 7.5 percent. The rate schedule for the South Carolina LLW disposal site, effective July 1, 2018, is presented in Exhibit A-2.

### **A.3 Washington LLW Disposal Site**

Beginning in 1993, the Northwest Compact imposed on eligible (Northwest or Rocky Mountain Compact) waste generators an annual permit fee based on the volume of waste to be shipped to the Washington site for disposal. For 2018, the permit fees range from \$424 to \$42,400. Hospitals, universities, research centers, and industries pay the lower fees; NPPs pay the highest fee of \$42,400. Permit fees for NPPs are included in this analysis for the years 1993 and later.

Beginning in 1994, the rate schedule for handling and disposing of heavy objects (greater than 5,000 pounds) at the Washington site was revised to recover additional crane rental costs from the waste generator. In 1996, the heavy object limit was raised to 17,500 pounds. A series of shipments of heavy objects for disposal was assumed that would minimize the crane surcharge and result in a one-time only heavy object charge.

Effective January 1, 1996, the operator of the Washington site implemented a restructured rate schedule based on waste volume, number of shipments, number of containers, and dose rate at the container surface. Each waste generator also is assessed an annual site availability charge based on cumulative volume and dose rate at the surface of all containers disposed.

The 2008 rate schedule reflects increases in volume (14 percent), shipment (22 percent), and container (17 percent) charges compared to 2006. In addition, dose rate charges per container increased by a factor of 2.8. As a result of these changes, the cost to disposition a PWR increased moderately to 21 percent. However, the cost to disposition a BWR, with its larger volume of high dose rate material, almost doubled.

In 2010, two algorithm changes were implemented to project more accurately charges for waste generated from the decommissioning of an NPP. The first was a discount to the volume disposal rate of 20 percent for LLW generated from the decommissioning of NPPs. The second was to cap the container dose rate charge. According to the settlement agreement between U.S. Ecology Washington, Inc., the operator of the Washington disposal facility, and the State of Washington, only 14.2 percent of the Washington site's revenue requirement (which changes annually) may be recouped from container dose rate charges.

Compared with the 2016 rate schedule used in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307, the 2018 schedule reflects increases in volume (33.5 percent) and shipment (8.4 percent), container (32.4 percent), and dose rate (67 percent) charges. As a result of these rate changes, subject to the limitations described in the previous paragraph, the cost to disposition the LLW from a PWR increased by 25 percent and the cost to disposition the LLW from a BWR increased by 25 percent. The rate schedule for the Washington LLW disposal site, effective May 1, 2018, is presented in Exhibit A-3.

#### **A.4 Non-compact Disposal Facility**

In the 1990s rapidly increasing fees for disposal of low-level radioactive waste spawned the creation of a niche market for firms specializing in the management and disposal of LLW. Increasingly, NPP licensees began to outsource LLW management functions to waste vendors for a negotiated fee (usually \$/pound of LLW processed) and disposing of Class A LLW at the non-compact disposal facility in Utah. Waste vendors could manage waste from generation to disposal (including packaging, transportation, and volume reduction) or any subset of these functions that the licensee desired.

The vendor determined the most efficient disposition process for each waste stream. These take into consideration sorting into clean and contaminated streams, recycling where possible, volume reduction through the many techniques currently commercially available, and disposal of the residual LLW at the most cost-effective disposal site; including the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah. The vendor's profit was the difference between the price negotiated with the licensee and the total cost for waste minimization, recycling, volume reduction, packaging, transportation, and disposal. The more effective the vendor was at minimization, recycling, volume reduction, and obtaining volume discounts for packaging, transportation, and disposal, the greater its profit.

The decommissioning analyses reported in NUREG/CR-0130 and NUREG/CR-0672 did not consider the possible use of waste vendors or non-compact Class A, LLW disposal facilities, given that these market niches essentially did not exist at the time. Beginning with Revision 8, NUREG-1307 (Reference 3) included a scenario that provided for contracting with waste vendors to manage the disposition bulk LLW generated during decommissioning. This new scenario did not modify or alter in any way the bases for the decommissioning fund requirement specified in 10 CFR 50.75, "Reporting and Recordkeeping for Decommissioning Planning." It merely provided an alternative burial cost escalation factor ( $B_x$ ) that reflected the scenario of disposing of LLW using a combination of waste vendors, non-compact disposal facilities, and compact-affiliated disposal facilities.

In support of the analysis performed for NUREG-1307, Revision 8, several waste vendors were surveyed to develop a representative cost for waste vendor services. Each vendor was asked to provide a generic price quote for processing two waste streams: activated and contaminated concrete and contaminated metal. Vendors were asked to provide these quotes as a price per

pound of waste, or as a range of prices per pound, based on the waste concrete and metal inventories in NUREG/CR-0130 and NUREG/CR-0672. The price quotes were to encompass complete disposition of these waste streams (from generation to disposal) and to be developed assuming the vendor had a contract with a licensee engaged in a large decommissioning project.

Based on the results of the survey, NUREG-1307, Revision 8, introduced an alternative burial cost escalation factor ( $B_x$ ) that assumed the use of waste vendor services and disposal of Class A LLW at the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah as an alternative to disposal of all decommissioning LLW at a compact-affiliated disposal facility. The scenario was introduced to provide potential savings from the use of waste vendors. For a PWR under this scenario, 98 percent of the waste was assumed to be dispositioned by waste vendors and the remaining 2 percent was assumed to be disposed of at a compact-affiliated disposal facility. For a BWR under this scenario, 96 percent of the waste was assumed to be dispositioned by waste vendors and the remaining 4 percent was assumed to be disposed of at a compact-affiliated disposal facility. These proportions were determined from a component-by-component analysis of the reference BWR and PWR. The portions of waste assumed to be dispositioned by waste vendors were priced at the rates obtained from the vendor surveys, and the portions of waste assumed to be disposed of at compact-affiliated disposal facilities were priced at rates obtained for those facilities.

In support of Revision 17 of NUREG-1307, a similar survey was conducted. In response to this survey, a price quote to disposition the components of the reference PWR and BWR at the Utah disposal facility was obtained. Unit costs, exclusive of taxes, were provided for several different categories of components, which are provided in Table A-2. The updated rates represent an average increase of 5 percent, with the exception of evaporator bottoms which increased by approximately 8 percent, compared to the 2016 rates. These rates assume no volume discounts, which can be substantial. The development of the  $B_x$  factor for the “Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities” scenario and the “Non-Compact Disposal Facilities” scenario was based on these rates and assumed a 10 percent tax.

**Table A-2 Price Quotes for Disposition of Class A LLW at the Non-Compact Disposal Facility Located in Clive Utah**

Component Class	Cost	Per Unit
Large Components	\$398	ft <sup>3</sup>
Debris	\$165	ft <sup>3</sup>
Oversize Debris	\$188	ft <sup>3</sup>
Resins/Filters	\$523	ft <sup>3</sup>
Combustibles	\$653	ft <sup>3</sup>
Evaporator Bottoms	\$27	gal



**Exhibit A-1**

**Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Chapter 336 – Radioactive Substance Rules**

**SUBCHAPTER N: FEES FOR LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL**

**EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 26, 2015**

**§336.1310. Rate Schedule.**

Fees charged for disposal of party-state compact waste must be equal to or less than the compact waste disposal fees under this section. Additionally, fees charged for disposal of nonparty compact waste must be greater than the compact waste disposal fees under this section.

Figure: 30 TAC §336.1310<sup>1</sup>

**Disposal Rate for the Compact Waste Disposal Facility**

**1. Base Disposal Charge:**

<b>1A. Waste Volume Charge</b>	<b>Charge per cubic foot (\$/ft<sup>3</sup>)</b>
Class A LLW - Routine	\$100
Class A LLW - Shielded	\$180
Class B and C LLW	\$1,000
Sources	\$500
Biological Waste (Untreated)	\$350

<b>1B. Radioactivity Charge</b>	
Curie Inventory Charge (\$/mCi)	\$0.55
Maximum Curie Charge (per shipment) (excluding C-14)	\$220,000/shipment
Carbon-14 Inventory Charge (\$/mCi)	\$1.00
Special Nuclear Material Charge (\$/gram)	\$100

---

<sup>1</sup> The Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Title 30, Part 1, Chapter 336, Subchapter N, Rule §336.1310 is available at: [https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/readtac\\$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p\\_dir=&p\\_rloc=&p\\_tloc=&p\\_ploc=&pg=1&p\\_tac=&ti=30&pt=1&ch=336&rl=1310](https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/readtac$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p_dir=&p_rloc=&p_tloc=&p_ploc=&pg=1&p_tac=&ti=30&pt=1&ch=336&rl=1310)

2. Surcharges to the Base Disposal Charge:

2A. Weight Surcharge - Weight (lbs.) of Container	Surcharge (\$/container)
10,000 to 50,000 lbs	\$10,000
Greater than 50,000 lbs	\$20,000

2B. Dose Rate Surcharge - Surface Dose Rate (R/hour) of Container	Surcharge per cubic foot (\$/ft <sup>3</sup> )
1-5 R/hour	\$100
Greater than 5 to 50 R/hour	\$200
Greater than 50 to 100 R/hour	\$300
Greater than 100 R/hour	\$400

2C. Irradiated Hardware Surcharge	
Surcharge for special handling per shipment	\$75,000/shipment

2D. Cask (Shielding Waste) Surcharge	
Cask handling surcharge per cask	\$2,500/cask

Adopted February 4, 2015

Effective February 26, 2015

**Exhibit A-2**

*Pursuant to 48-46-40(A)(2), S.C.C.*

**Uniform Schedule of Maximum Disposal Rates  
for Atlantic Compact Regional Waste**

**EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2018**

The Uniform Schedule of Maximum Disposal Rates for Atlantic Compact Regional Waste is a permanent ceiling on disposal rates applicable to Atlantic Compact waste that is adjusted each year in accordance with the Producer Price Index. South Carolina may charge Atlantic Compact generators less than the Uniform Maximum Schedule, but cannot charge regional generators more than this rate.

**THE MINIMUM CHARGE PER SHIPMENT, EXCLUDING SURCHARGES AND SPECIFIC OTHER CHARGES, IS \$1,000.00**

**1. WEIGHT CHARGES (not including surcharges)**

**A. Base weight charge**

**Density Range**

	<b>Weight Rate</b>
i) Equal to or greater than 120 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup>	\$8.169 per pound
ii) Equal to or greater than 75 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup> and less than 120 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup>	\$8.986 per pound
iii) Equal to or greater than 60 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup> and less than 75 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup>	\$11.028 per pound
iv) Equal to or greater than 45 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup> and less than 60 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup>	\$14.298 per pound
v) Less than 45 lbs./ft <sup>3</sup>	\$14.298 per pound

multiplied by: (45 ÷  
pounds per cubic foot  
of the package)

**B. Dose multiplier on base weight charge**

**Container Dose Level**

**Multiplier on Weight Rate, above**

0 mR/hr - 200 mR/hr	1.00
>200 mR/hr - 1 R/hr	1.08
>1R/hr - 2R/hr	1.12
>2R/hr - 3R/hr	1.17
>3R/hr - 4R/hr	1.22
>4R/hr - 5R/hr	1.27
>5R/hr - 10R/hr	1.32
>10R/hr - 25R/hr	1.37
>25R/hr - 50R/hr	1.42
>50R/hr	1.48

**C. Biological Waste:** Add \$1.705 per pound to rate calculated above

**2. SURCHARGES**

A. Millicurie surcharge \$0.612 per millicurie\*

\*In lieu of above, generator may opt for an alternative millicurie charge of \$1.222 per millicurie applicable only to millicuries with greater than 5-year half-life. Such election must be provided in writing to the disposal site operator prior to July 1, 2018.

**MAXIMUM MILLICURIE CHARGE IS \$244,843 PER SHIPMENT (400,000 MCI).**

B. Irradiated hardware charges \$92,845 per shipment  
(See Note B under Miscellaneous)

C. Special nuclear material surcharge \$18.564 per gram

D. Atlantic Compact Commission administrative surcharge \$6.00 per cubic foot  
(Subject to change during year)

## Exhibit A-2

### NOTES

- A. Surcharges for the Barnwell Extended Care Fund and the Decommissioning Trust Fund are included in the rates.
- B. Irradiated hardware: As a general rule, billing as irradiated hardware pertains to shipments of exceptionally high activity that require clearing of the site and special off-loading into a slit trench. These generally include TN-RAM<sup>1</sup> and other horizontally offloaded cask shipments. In addition to items of irradiated hardware, shipments considered irradiated hardware, for purposes of disposal, have included certain sealed sources and materials with exceptionally high levels of radioactivity.
- C. Large components (e.g., steam generators, reactor pressure vessels, coolant pumps).
- Disposal fees for large components (e.g., steam generators, reactor pressure vessels, reactor coolant pumps, or items that will not fit into standard sized disposal vaults) are based on the generally applicable rates, in their entirety, except that the weight and volume used to determine density and weight related charges is calculated as follows:
1. For packages where the large component shell qualifies as the disposal vault per Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) regulations, weight and volume calculations are based on all sub-components and material contained within the inside surface of the large component shell, including all internals and any stabilization media injected by the shipper, but excluding the shell itself and all incidental external attachments required for shipping and handling; and
  2. For packages with a separate shipping container that qualifies as the disposal vault per DHEC regulations, weight and volume calculations are based on the large component, all sub-components, and material contained within the inside surface of the shipping container, including any stabilization media injected by the shipper (including that between the large component and the shipping container), but excluding the shipping container itself and all incidental external attachments required for shipping and handling.
- D. Co-mingled shipments from brokers and processors: For containers that include waste from different generators (DHEC permittees), the weight and density of the waste from each generator will be assessed separately for purposes of the weight charge in I.A. The dose of the container as a whole will be used to assess the dose multiplier in I.B. The millicurie charge 2.A. above, applies individually to each portion of waste in the shipment from each generator. The disposal site operator will provide guidelines for application of this method.
- E. Transport vehicles with additional shielding features may be subject to an additional handling fee, which will be provided upon request.
- F. In certain circumstances, the disposal site operator may assess additional charges for necessary services that are not part of and are additional to disposal rates established by the State of South

---

<sup>1</sup> TN-RAM is a radioactive material cask used to transport irradiated non-fuel bearing solid materials.

Carolina. These include decontamination services and special services as described in the Barnwell Site Disposal Criteria.

- G. The disposal site operator has established the following policies and procedures, which are provided herein for informational purposes:
- i. Terms of payment are net 30 days upon presentation of invoices. A per-month service charge of one and one-half percent (1.5 percent) shall be levied on accounts not paid within thirty (30) days.
  - ii. Company purchase orders or a written letter of authorization and substance acceptable to Chem-Nuclear Systems, L.L.C. (CNS) shall be received before receipt of radioactive waste material at the Barnwell Site and shall refer to CNS Radioactive Material License, the Barnwell Site Disposal Criteria, and subsequent changes thereto.
  - iii. All shipments shall receive a CNS shipment identification number and conform to the Prior Notification Plan.

### Exhibit A-3

U.S. ECOLOGY WASHINGTON, INC.  
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON FACILITY  
RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL

SCHEDULE OF CHARGES  
EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 2018  
SCHEDULE A, 16th REVISION

Note: Rates in this Schedule A are subject to adjustment in accordance with the rate adjustment mechanism adopted in the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's Sixth Supplemental Order in Docket No. UR-950619 as extended by Commission Order in Docket Nos. UR-010623 and UR-010706, and TL-070848.

A. SITE AVAILABILITY CHARGE

1. Rates

<u>Block</u>	<u>Block Criteria</u>	<u>Annual Charge per Generator in \$</u>
0	No site use at all	\$299
1	Greater than zero but less than or equal to 10 ft <sup>3</sup> and 50 mR/h	573
2	Greater than 10 ft <sup>3</sup> or 50 mR/h* but less than or equal to 20 ft <sup>3</sup> and 100 mR/h*	1,100
3	Greater than 20 ft <sup>3</sup> or 100 mR/h* but less than or equal to 40 ft <sup>3</sup> and 200 mR/h*	2,111
4	Greater than 40 ft <sup>3</sup> or 200 mR/h* but less than or equal to 80 ft <sup>3</sup> and 400 mR/h*	4,054
5	Greater than 80 ft <sup>3</sup> or 400 mR/h* but less than or equal to 160 ft <sup>3</sup> and 800 mR/h*	7,785
6	Greater than 160 ft <sup>3</sup> or 800 mR/h* but less than or equal to 320 ft <sup>3</sup> and 1,600 mR/h*	14,931
7	Greater than 320 ft <sup>3</sup> or 1,600 mR/h* but less than or equal to 640 ft <sup>3</sup> and 3,200 mR/h*	28,670
8	Greater than 640 ft <sup>3</sup> or 3,200 mR/h* but less than or equal to 1,280 ft <sup>3</sup> and 6,400 mR/h*	55,038
9	Greater than 1,280 ft <sup>3</sup> or 6,400 mR/h* but less than or equal to 2,560 ft <sup>3</sup> and 12,800 mR/h*	105,673
10	Greater than 2,560 ft <sup>3</sup> or 12,800 mR/h* but less than or equal to 5,120 ft <sup>3</sup> and 25,600 mR/h*	145,252
11	Greater than 5,120 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25,600 mR/h*	145,252

\* For purposes of determining the site availability charge, mR/hour is calculated by summing the mR per hour at container surface of all containers received during the year.

2. Exemptions

- a. As to waste that is generated by educational research institutions for research, medical or educational purposes, such institutions shall be placed in a rate block for the site availability charge which is one (1) lower than what would otherwise apply through application of the block criteria shown above. "Educational research Institution" means a state or independent, not-for-profit, post-secondary educational institution.
- b. As to waste that arises as residual or secondary waste from brokers' provision of compaction or processing services for others, if application of the block criteria shown above would place a broker in a rate block for the site availability charge which is greater than Block No. 7, such broker shall be placed in the rate block which is the greater of (i) Block No. 7, or (ii) the block which is two (2) lower than what would otherwise apply

through application of the block criteria shown above. "Brokers" are those customers holding the "broker" classification of site use permits issued by the Department of Health.

3. Payment Arrangements

a. Initial Determination

Initial determination as to the applicable rate block for each customer shall be based on projections provided by customers prior to the beginning of each calendar year. For those customers who do not intend to ship waste to the facility during the calendar year (those assigned to block No. 0) and for those customers who are initially determined to fall into block Nos. 1–2, the entire site availability charge for the year will be due and payable as of January 1. For those customers who are initially determined to fall into block Nos. 3–8, the entire site availability charge will also be due and payable as of January 1, although those customers may make special arrangements with the Company to pay the charge in equal installments at the beginning of each calendar quarter. For those generators who are initially determined to fall in block nos. 9-11, 1/12 of the site availability charge will be due and payable as of the beginning of each calendar month. These customers may pay in advance if they wish.

b. Reconciliation

The site availability charge is assessed on the basis of actual volume and dose rate of waste delivered during the calendar year. Assessment of additional amounts, or refunds of overpaid amounts, will be made as appropriate to reconcile the initial determination regarding applicable rate block with the actual volume and dose rates during the calendar year.



### Exhibit A-3

#### SCHEDULE A (Continued)

#### B. DISPOSAL RATES

1. Volume: \$152.20 per cubic foot
2. Shipment: \$14,650 per manifested shipment
3. Container: \$10,320 per container on each manifest.
4. Exposure:

<b>Block No.</b>	<b>Dose Rate at Container Surface</b>	<b>Charge per Container in \$</b>
1	Less than or equal to 200 mR/h	\$40
2	Greater than 200 mR/h but less than or equal to 1,000 mR/h	2,844
3	Greater than 1,000 mR/h but less than or equal to 10,000 mR/h	11,310
4	Greater than 10,000 mR/h but less than or equal to 100,000 mR/h	16,940
5	Greater than 100,000 mR/h	284,600

#### EXTRAORDINARY VOLUMES

Waste shipments qualifying as an "extraordinary volume" under RCW 81.108.020(3) are charged a rate equal to 51.5 percent of the volume disposal rate.

#### NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING WASTE

The volume disposal rate applicable to waste from the decommissioning of nuclear generating units shall be 80 percent of those set forth above; provided, however, that such waste must satisfy the quantity requirements for "extraordinary volume" under RCW 81.108.020(3)<sup>2</sup>.

#### SCHEDULE B Surcharges and Other Special Charges Eighth Revision

#### ENGINEERED CONCRETE BARRIERS

72" x 8' barrier	\$13,487.00 each
84" x 8' barrier	\$14,661.00 each

---

<sup>2</sup> Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 81.108.020(3) - "Extraordinary volume" means volumes of low-level radioactive waste delivered to a site caused by nonrecurring events, outside normal operations of a generator, that are in excess of twenty thousand cubic feet or twenty percent of the preceding year's total volume at such site, whichever is less.

<http://leg.wa.gov/> or (<http://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=81.108.020>)

SURCHARGE FOR HEAVY OBJECTS

The Company shall collect its actual labor and equipment costs incurred, plus a margin thereon of 25 percent, in handling and disposing of objects or packages weighing more than seventeen thousand five hundred (17,500) pounds.

SCHEDULE C  
Tax and Fee Rider  
First Revision

The rates and charges set forth in Schedules A and B shall be increased by the amount of any fee, surcharge, or tax assessed on a volume or gross revenue basis against or collected by U.S. Ecology Washington, Inc. as listed below:

Perpetual Care and Maintenance Fees	\$1.75 per cubic foot
Business & Occupation Tax	3.3 percent of rates and charges
Site Surveillance Fee	\$26.00 per cubic foot
Surcharge (RCW 43.200.233)	\$6.50 per cubic foot
Commission Regulatory Fee	1.0 percent of rates and charges

## **APPENDIX B**

### **CALCULATION OF LOW-LEVEL WASTE BURIAL/DISPOSITION COST ESCALATION FACTORS**

The calculations necessary to determine the costs for burial/disposition of radioactive wastes resulting from decommissioning the reference PWR and the reference BWR are performed using spreadsheet models. The spreadsheets evaluate the burial/disposition costs for each of the items originally budgeted in the PWR and BWR decommissioning studies and in Addendums 4 and 3 (References 1 and 2), respectively, to those reports. The costs are based on the published price schedules from the compact-affiliated disposal facilities and a price quote from the non-compact disposal facility located in Utah.

The  $B_x$  values reported in this document reflect the updated rate schedules and price quote. All the calculations are based on the same inventory of radioactive wastes as was postulated in the 1986 and 1978–1980 analyses. Starting in 1988, the inventories also included post-Three Mile Island (TMI)-2 contributions from the reference PWR and the reference BWR (References 1 and 2).

#### **B.1 Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility**

Both the Utah and Texas disposal facilities are available for the disposal of all LLW regardless of whether a generator has a compact-affiliated disposal facility available for disposal of their LLW or not. The Utah facility can only dispose of Class A LLW, while the Texas facility is a full-service disposal facility and so can dispose of Class A, B, and C LLW (subject to the constraints described in Section A.3). For the year 2018,  $B_x = 12.853$  and  $13.422$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, for disposal of most Class A LLW at the Utah non-compact site, and for the remainder of LLW at the Texas LLW disposal site. The  $B_x$  values include the additional fees imposed for the disposal of non-compact LLW at the Texas disposal facility. The  $B_x$  values are summarized in Table 2-1. These  $B_x$  values should be used by generators located in States not affiliated with a compact having a disposal facility.

Waste burial costs for the year 2018 were developed using both the rate schedules for the Texas disposal facility provided in Exhibit A-1, and the associated additional fees for out-of-compact waste, and the price quote for the non-compact disposal facility provided in Table A-2. The spreadsheet calculations for the current year, which are too voluminous to present here, are summarized in Table B-1 and Table B-2 for PWR and BWR plants, respectively. For comparison purposes, Table B-3 and Table B-4 provide summaries of waste burial/disposition costs for 2016, respectively, for both PWR and BWR plants. These estimates were originally reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

#### **B.2 Texas LLW Disposal Site**

For the year 2018,  $B_x = 8.508$  and  $8.293$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, at the Texas disposal facility. These  $B_x$  values reflect the adjustment in waste burial costs at the Texas LLW disposal site normalized to the 1986 Washington LLW disposal site burial costs.

Waste burial costs for the year 2018 were developed using the rate schedules provided in Exhibit A-1. The spreadsheet calculations for the current year, which are too voluminous to present here, are summarized in Table B-5 and Table B-6 for PWR and BWR plants,

respectively. For comparison purposes, Table B-7 and Table B-8 provide summaries of the waste burial costs at the Texas LLW disposal site for 2016, respectively, for both PWR plants and BWR plants. These estimates originally were reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307. The waste burial costs at the Texas disposal facility have not changed from the 2016 costs reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

### **B.3 South Carolina LLW Disposal Site**

For the year 2018,  $B_x = 32.329$  and  $28.314$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, at the South Carolina disposal facility. These  $B_x$  values reflect the adjustment in waste burial costs at the South Carolina LLW disposal site normalized to the 1986 Washington LLW disposal site burial costs.  $B_x$  values for several previous revisions of NUREG-1307 are summarized in Table 2-1.

Waste burial costs for the year 2018 were developed using the rate schedules provided in Exhibit A-2. The spreadsheet calculations for the current year, which are too voluminous to present here, are summarized in Table B-9 and Table B-10 for PWR and BWR plants, respectively. For comparison purposes, Table B-11 and Table B-12 provide summaries of the waste burial costs at the South Carolina LLW disposal site for 2016, respectively, for both PWR plants and BWR plants. These estimates originally were reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

### **B.4 Washington LLW Disposal Site**

The LLW disposal site located in Washington was used to develop the original decommissioning cost estimates for the reference PWR and BWR. These estimates are the basis for the minimum decommissioning fund requirement specified in 10 CFR 50.75(c), which is in 1986 dollars. Thus,  $B_x = 1.0/1.0$  (for PWR/BWR) for 1986.

For the year 2018,  $B_x = 10.854$  and  $9.118$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, at the Washington disposal facility. These  $B_x$  values reflect the adjustment in waste burial costs at the Washington LLW disposal site since 1986.  $B_x$  values for several previous revisions of NUREG-1307 are summarized in Table 2-1.

Waste burial costs for the year 2018 were developed using the rate schedule provided in Exhibit A-1. The spreadsheet calculations for the current year, which are too voluminous to present here, are summarized in Table B-13 and Table B-14 for PWR and BWR plants, respectively. For comparison purposes, Table B-15 and B-16 provide summaries of the waste burial costs at the Washington LLW disposal site for 2016, respectively, for both PWR plants and BWR plants. These estimates originally were reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

### **B.5 Combination of Non-Compact and Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facilities**

For the year 2018,  $B_x = 8.697$  and  $7.186$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, for disposal of most Class A LLW at the Utah non-compact disposal site, and for the remainder of LLW at the Washington LLW disposal site.  $B_x = 11.607$  and  $12.872$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, for disposal of most Class A LLW at the Utah non-compact disposal site, and for the remainder of LLW at the South Carolina disposal site.  $B_x = 11.054$  and  $10.731$  for a PWR and BWR, respectively, for disposal of most Class A LLW at the Utah non-compact site, and for the remainder of LLW at the Texas LLW disposal site.  $B_x$  values are summarized in Table 2-1.

Waste burial costs for the year 2018 were developed using both the rate schedules for the compact-affiliated disposal facilities provided in Exhibits A-1, A-2, and A-3 and for the price quote for the non-compact disposal facility provided in Table A-2. The spreadsheet calculations for the current year, which are too voluminous to present here, are summarized in Table B-17 through Table B-22 for the Washington, South Carolina, and Texas LLW disposal sites, respectively, for both PWR and BWR plants. For comparison purposes, Table B-23 through Table B-28 provide summaries of the Washington, South Carolina, and Texas waste burial/disposition costs for 2016, respectively, for both PWR and BWR plants. These estimates were originally reported in Revision 16 of NUREG-1307.

## **B.6 Other**

As other low-level radioactive waste burial sites come into service in the interstate compacts, values for  $B_x$  will be calculated using the price schedules for each of those sites and will be incorporated into subsequent issues of this NUREG. Those materials whose activity concentrations exceed the limits for Class C LLW are identified by footnote as greater-than-Class C (GTCC) material. Because the analyses in this NUREG postulate placing this material in a LLW disposal facility, the disposal costs for this material may be significantly overestimated compared with high-density packaging and geologic repository disposal. It may also be feasible to store GTCC waste in independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSIs) or other interim storage facilities, as permitted by 10 CFR Part 72, "Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-Level Radioactive Waste, and Reactor-Related Greater Than Class C Waste."

**Table B-1 PWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	NEW VEN CHG	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	785,840	785,840
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,751,200	1,751,200
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	0	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	0	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	0	124,080	124,080
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	0	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	0	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	0	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	0	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	0	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	0	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	0	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,161,728	5,161,728
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	0	105,882	105,882
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,838,760	1,838,760
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,576,080	1,576,080
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	0	248,160	248,160
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	827,200	827,200
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,352,284	9,352,284
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	0	682,440	682,440
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,879,334	10,879,334
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	98,666,555	98,666,555
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	0	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	0	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,010,143	5,010,143
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	0	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,088,546	2,088,546
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,216,998	3,216,998
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>12,865,000</b>	<b>5,550,000</b>	<b>400,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>34,223,750</b>	<b>3,166,500</b>	<b>142,563,388</b>	<b>198,848,638</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)								32,364,019
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>								<b>231,212,657</b>

<sup>a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-2 BWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	NEW VEN CHG	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	0	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	0	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	0	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,212	29,212
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	0	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	0	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	80,334	80,334
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	0	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	58,424	58,424
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	657,275	657,275
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	0	642,669	642,669
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,263,948	2,263,948
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,823,618	25,823,618
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	350,547	350,547
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	124,152	124,152
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	73,031	73,031
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	233,698	233,698
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	94,940	94,940
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	452,790	452,790
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,782,466	2,782,466
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,169,528	3,169,528
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,363,041	10,363,041
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,737,789	21,737,789
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,651,011	2,651,011
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,382,355	5,382,355
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	518,517	518,517
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,221,687	5,221,687
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,999,382	2,999,382
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	883,670	883,670
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,470,959	35,470,959
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	17,563,858	17,563,858
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,510,638	1,510,638
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	0	4,078,411
TG BLDG - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,019,872	1,019,872
TG BLDG - CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	0	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	880,311	880,311
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	0	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	516,031	516,031
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	0	17,957,041
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,270,732	1,270,732
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	262,910	262,910
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>16,703,806</b>	<b>19,575,000</b>	<b>1,245,000</b>	<b>520,000</b>	<b>71,218,365</b>	<b>2,988,901</b>	<b>145,089,394</b>	<b>257,340,466</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)								64,544,366
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>								<b>321,884,832</b>

<sup>a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-3 PWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	NEW VEN CHG	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	748,220	748,220
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,667,600	1,667,600
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	0	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	0	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,140	118,140
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	0	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	0	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	0	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	0	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	0	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	0	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	0	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,914,624	4,914,624
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	0	100,813	100,813
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,750,980	1,750,980
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,840	1,500,840
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	0	236,280	236,280
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	787,600	787,600
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,905,818	8,905,818
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	0	649,770	649,770
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,358,515	10,358,515
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	93,943,156	93,943,156
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	0	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	0	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,772,295	4,772,295
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	0	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,933,839	1,933,839
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,062,992	3,062,992
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>12,865,000</b>	<b>5,550,000</b>	<b>400,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>34,223,750</b>	<b>3,166,500</b>	<b>135,687,762</b>	<b>191,973,012</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)								32,364,019
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>								<b>224,337,030</b>

<sup>a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.



**Table B-4 BWR Disposition Costs for Generators Located in States Not Affiliated with a Compact having a Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	NEW VEN CHG	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	0	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	0	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	0	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,814	27,814
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	0	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	0	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	76,488	76,488
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	0	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,628	55,628
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	625,810	625,810
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	0	611,903	611,903
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,155,568	2,155,568
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,587,381	24,587,381
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	333,765	333,765
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,209	118,209
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	69,534	69,534
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	222,510	222,510
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	90,395	90,395
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	431,114	431,114
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,649,262	2,649,262
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,017,795	3,017,795
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,866,938	9,866,938
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,700,055	20,700,055
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,524,100	2,524,100
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,124,689	5,124,689
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	493,695	493,695
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,971,713	4,971,713
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,856,195	2,856,195
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	841,367	841,367
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	33,772,881	33,772,881
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	16,723,035	16,723,035
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,438,320	1,438,320
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	0	4,078,411
TG BLDG - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	971,049	971,049
TG BLDG - CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	0	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	838,168	838,168
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	0	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	477,807	477,807
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	0	17,957,041
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,209,899	1,209,899
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	250,324	250,324
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>16,703,806</b>	<b>19,575,000</b>	<b>1,245,000</b>	<b>520,000</b>	<b>71,218,365</b>	<b>2,988,901</b>	<b>138,133,410</b>	<b>250,384,482</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)								64,544,366
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>								<b>314,928,849</b>

<sup>a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-5 PWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	684,000	2,850,000	95,000	380,000	8,360,000	1,140,000	13,509,000
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	720,000	3,000,000	100,000	0	11,000	0	3,831,000
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	72,000	300,000	10,000	0	5,500	80,000	467,500
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	72,000	300,000	10,000	0	55,000	80,000	517,000
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	108,000	450,000	15,000	0	55,000	60,000	688,000
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	2,496,000	0	0	490,000	1,100,000	0	4,086,000
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	51,200	0	0	0	5,500	0	56,700
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	420,000	0	0	240,000	42,713	0	702,713
PRESSURIZER	360,000	0	0	80,000	2,789	0	442,789
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	40,000	0	0	0	6,485	0	46,485
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	120,000	0	0	20,000	2,222	0	142,222
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	400,000	0	0	80,000	44,792	0	524,792
STEAM GENERATORS	2,136,200	0	0	640,000	2,420,000	0	5,196,200
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	330,000	0	0	70,000	163,900	0	563,900
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	5,260,800	0	0	0	123,085	0	5,383,885
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	47,711,100	0	0	0	101,338	0	47,812,438
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS A	697,500	0	0	0	127,875	0	825,375
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	1,692,000	0	235,000	0	7,592,750	890,000	10,409,750
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,555,608	0	0	0	0	0	1,555,608
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>77,791,408</b>	<b>12,450,000</b>	<b>865,000</b>	<b>2,080,000</b>	<b>54,443,697</b>	<b>5,416,500</b>	<b>153,046,605</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)							0
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>							<b>153,046,605</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-6 BWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	25,427	450,000	30,000	0	55,030	28,252	588,708
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	69,923	1,200,000	77,500	0	357,610	77,692	1,782,725
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	50,853	1,500,000	55,000	0	1,188,014	56,503	2,850,371
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	317,831	0	0	140,000	93,506	0	551,338
REACT. WATER REC	310,768	0	0	50,000	24,173	0	384,942
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	1,094,753	0	0	380,000	85,156	0	1,559,909
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	12,487,243	0	0	0	971,327	0	13,458,570
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	169,510	0	0	10,000	13,185	0	192,696
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	60,035	0	0	20,000	4,670	0	84,705
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	35,315	0	0	10,000	2,747	0	48,062
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	113,007	0	0	0	8,790	0	121,797
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	45,909	0	0	0	3,571	0	49,480
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	218,951	0	0	50,000	17,031	0	285,982
POOL LINER & RACKS	1,345,486	0	0	180,000	104,659	0	1,630,146
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	1,532,654	0	0	280,000	119,218	0	1,931,872
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	5,011,142	0	0	0	389,794	0	5,400,936
TURBINE	4,965,233	0	0	820,000	386,223	0	6,171,456
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	1,281,920	0	0	130,000	99,715	0	1,511,635
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	2,602,686	0	0	420,000	202,451	0	3,225,137
MAIN STEAM	250,734	0	0	20,000	19,503	0	290,237
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	2,524,994	0	0	260,000	196,408	0	2,981,402
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	685,103	0	0	60,000	53,291	0	798,394
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	427,307	0	0	80,000	33,238	0	540,545
OTHER TG BLDG	17,152,301	0	0	0	1,334,201	0	18,486,502
RAD WASTE BLDG	8,493,161	0	0	0	660,645	0	9,153,807
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS A	730,483	0	0	0	135,438	0	865,920
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	4,078,411
TG BLDG - CLASS A	493,168	0	0	0	91,438	0	584,606
TG BLDG - CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS A	425,682	0	0	0	78,925	0	504,607
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS A	3,127,461	0	432,500	1,730,000	798,600	2,129,164	8,217,725
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	17,957,041
OTHER	1,106,053	0	152,500	610,000	180,950	191,394	2,240,897
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	127,133	0	0	0	0	0	127,133
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>83,986,029</b>	<b>22,725,000</b>	<b>1,992,500</b>	<b>5,770,000</b>	<b>78,927,875</b>	<b>5,471,906</b>	<b>198,873,311</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)							0
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>							<b>198,873,311</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-7 PWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	684,000	2,850,000	95,000	380,000	8,360,000	1,140,000	13,509,000
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	720,000	3,000,000	100,000	0	11,000	0	3,831,000
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	72,000	300,000	10,000	0	5,500	80,000	467,500
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	72,000	300,000	10,000	0	55,000	80,000	517,000
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	108,000	450,000	15,000	0	55,000	60,000	688,000
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	2,496,000	0	0	490,000	1,100,000	0	4,086,000
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	51,200	0	0	0	5,500	0	56,700
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	420,000	0	0	240,000	42,713	0	702,713
PRESSURIZER	360,000	0	0	80,000	2,789	0	442,789
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	40,000	0	0	0	6,485	0	46,485
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	120,000	0	0	20,000	2,222	0	142,222
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	400,000	0	0	80,000	44,792	0	524,792
STEAM GENERATORS	2,136,200	0	0	640,000	2,420,000	0	5,196,200
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	330,000	0	0	70,000	163,900	0	563,900
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	5,260,800	0	0	0	123,085	0	5,383,885
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	47,711,100	0	0	0	101,338	0	47,812,438
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS A	697,500	0	0	0	127,875	0	825,375
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES - CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	1,692,000	0	235,000	0	7,592,750	890,000	10,409,750
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,555,608	0	0	0	0	0	1,555,608
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>77,791,408</b>	<b>12,450,000</b>	<b>865,000</b>	<b>2,080,000</b>	<b>54,443,697</b>	<b>5,416,500</b>	<b>153,046,605</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)							0
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>							<b>153,046,605</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-8 BWR Burial Costs at the Texas Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	25,427	450,000	30,000	0	55,030	28,252	588,708
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	69,923	1,200,000	77,500	0	357,610	77,692	1,782,725
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	50,853	1,500,000	55,000	0	1,188,014	56,503	2,850,371
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	317,831	0	0	140,000	93,506	0	551,338
REACT. WATER REC	310,768	0	0	50,000	24,173	0	384,942
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	1,094,753	0	0	380,000	85,156	0	1,559,909
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	12,487,243	0	0	0	971,327	0	13,458,570
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	169,510	0	0	10,000	13,185	0	192,696
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	60,035	0	0	20,000	4,670	0	84,705
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	35,315	0	0	10,000	2,747	0	48,062
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	113,007	0	0	0	8,790	0	121,797
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	45,909	0	0	0	3,571	0	49,480
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	218,951	0	0	50,000	17,031	0	285,982
POOL LINER & RACKS	1,345,486	0	0	180,000	104,659	0	1,630,146
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	1,532,654	0	0	280,000	119,218	0	1,931,872
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	5,011,142	0	0	0	389,794	0	5,400,936
TURBINE	4,965,233	0	0	820,000	386,223	0	6,171,456
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	1,281,920	0	0	130,000	99,715	0	1,511,635
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	2,602,686	0	0	420,000	202,451	0	3,225,137
MAIN STEAM	250,734	0	0	20,000	19,503	0	290,237
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	2,524,994	0	0	260,000	196,408	0	2,981,402
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	685,103	0	0	60,000	53,291	0	798,394
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	427,307	0	0	80,000	33,238	0	540,545
OTHER TG BLDG	17,152,301	0	0	0	1,334,201	0	18,486,502
RAD WASTE BLDG	8,493,161	0	0	0	660,645	0	9,153,807
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS A	730,483	0	0	0	135,438	0	865,920
REACTOR BLDG - CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	4,078,411
TG BLDG - CLASS A	493,168	0	0	0	91,438	0	584,606
TG BLDG - CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS A	425,682	0	0	0	78,925	0	504,607
RAD WASTE & CONTROL - CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS A	3,127,461	0	432,500	1,730,000	798,600	2,129,164	8,217,725
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS - CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	17,957,041
OTHER	1,106,053	0	152,500	610,000	180,950	191,394	2,240,897
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	127,133	0	0	0	0	0	127,133
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>83,986,029</b>	<b>22,725,000</b>	<b>1,992,500</b>	<b>5,770,000</b>	<b>78,927,875</b>	<b>5,471,906</b>	<b>198,873,311</b>
OUT-OF-COMPACT TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)							0
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>							<b>198,873,311</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-9 PWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	4,858,921	3,528,110	9,304,034	0	2,332,282	20,023,347
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	3,095,560	3,713,800	12,240	0	0	6,821,600
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	292,242	371,380	6,120	0	93,517	763,259
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	270,186	371,380	61,200	0	86,460	789,226
UPPER CORE BARREL	128,682	185,690	489,686	0	61,767	865,825
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	321,705	464,225	1,224,215	0	154,418	2,164,563
GUIDE TUBES	476,123	557,070	61,200	0	128,553	1,222,947
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	2,058,912	2,971,040	7,834,976	0	988,278	13,853,206
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	386,046	557,070	1,469,058	0	185,302	2,597,476
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	298,859	371,380	14,935,423	0	143,452	15,749,114
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	321,705	464,225	2,448,430	0	154,418	3,388,778
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	81,607	92,845	244,843	0	39,171	458,467
LOWER CORE FORGING	886,651	1,021,295	1,530,000	0	425,593	3,863,539
MISC INTERNALS	718,880	742,760	1,224,000	0	345,062	3,030,702
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	17,522,700	0	1,224,000	0	0	18,746,700
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	352,896	0	6,120	0	0	359,016
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	6,143,088	0	47,528	0	0	6,190,616
PRESSURIZER	2,788,110	0	3,103	0	0	2,791,213
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	257,364	0	7,215	0	0	264,579
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	772,092	0	2,472	0	0	774,564
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	2,749,716	0	49,841	0	0	2,799,557
STEAM GENERATORS	22,481,088	0	2,692,800	0	0	25,173,888
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	2,448,216	0	182,376	0	0	2,630,592
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	43,279,386	0	136,959	0	0	43,416,345
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	332,949,767	0	112,761	0	0	333,062,528
FILTER CARTRIDGES	441,126	557,070	1,469,058	0	52,935	2,520,189
SPENT RESINS	1,617,480	1,856,900	4,896,860	0	776,390	9,147,630
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	4,487,785	0	142,290	0	0	4,630,075
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	2,426,220	5,570,700	321,300	0	194,098	8,512,318
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	7,602,156	8,727,430	8,448,660	0	1,038,422	25,816,668
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	15,249,315	0	0	0	0	15,249,315
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>477,764,584</b>	<b>32,124,370</b>	<b>60,588,769</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,200,120</b>	<b>577,677,844</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE						3,883,494
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>						<b>540,772,391</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-10 BWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	299,456	2,599,660	3,427,802	0	143,739	6,470,657
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	131,774	1,299,830	428,400	0	63,252	1,923,256
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	392,451	742,760	1,958,744	0	188,376	3,282,331
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	110,326	1,114,140	61,200	0	40,821	1,326,487
JET PUMPS	318,105	3,713,800	4,896,860	0	152,690	9,081,455
TOP FUEL GUIDES	545,322	6,684,840	17,628,696	0	261,755	25,120,613
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	366,499	2,878,195	397,800	0	135,605	3,778,098
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,067,923	12,998,300	34,278,020	0	512,603	48,856,846
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	232,425	2,042,590	1,321,920	0	85,997	3,682,932
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	4,898,601	0	104,040	0	0	5,002,641
REACT. WATER REC	2,119,571	0	26,898	0	0	2,146,469
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	12,685,936	0	94,755	0	0	12,780,691
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	88,985,743	0	1,080,822	0	0	90,066,565
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	1,090,645	0	14,672	0	0	1,105,317
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	551,531	0	5,196	0	0	556,727
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	244,585	0	3,057	0	0	247,641
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	857,390	0	9,781	0	0	867,171
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	295,383	0	3,974	0	0	299,357
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	1,629,433	0	18,951	0	0	1,648,384
POOL LINER & RACKS	10,928,571	0	116,457	0	0	11,045,029
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	12,051,745	0	132,657	0	0	12,184,403
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	32,242,187	0	433,735	0	0	32,675,922
TURBINE	40,426,469	0	429,761	0	0	40,856,230
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	8,248,001	0	110,955	0	0	8,358,957
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	17,942,683	0	225,273	0	0	18,167,956
MAIN STEAM	1,613,245	0	21,702	0	0	1,634,947
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	16,246,063	0	218,549	0	0	16,464,612
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	4,408,023	0	59,298	0	0	4,467,321
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	2,911,386	0	36,985	0	0	2,948,371
OTHER TG BLDG	110,359,621	0	1,484,602	0	0	111,844,223
RAD WASTE BLDG	54,645,849	0	735,118	0	0	55,380,967
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	4,699,997	0	150,705	0	0	4,850,702
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	11,762,405	5,942,080	338,895	0	940,992	18,984,373
TG BLDG – CLASS A	3,173,095	0	101,745	0	0	3,274,840
TG BLDG – CLASS B	7,719,078	3,899,490	228,735	0	617,526	12,464,830
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	2,738,882	0	87,822	0	0	2,826,704
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	6,983,928	3,528,110	197,370	0	558,714	11,268,122
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	24,021,694	16,062,185	888,624	0	4,232,262	45,204,765
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	7,220,394	4,827,940	12,731,836	0	1,272,125	26,052,295
OTHER	8,470,077	5,663,545	201,348	0	211,058	14,546,028
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,246,255	0	0	0	0	1,246,255
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>506,882,748</b>	<b>73,997,465</b>	<b>84,693,762</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,417,514</b>	<b>674,991,489</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE						4,020,364
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>						<b>631,408,538</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-11 PWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	4,513,937	3,277,614	8,681,138	0	2,166,690	18,639,379
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	2,876,052	3,450,120	11,380	0	0	6,337,552
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	271,519	345,012	5,690	0	86,886	709,107
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	251,027	345,012	56,900	0	80,329	733,268
UPPER CORE BARREL	119,547	172,506	456,902	0	57,383	806,338
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	298,868	431,265	1,142,255	0	143,456	2,015,844
GUIDE TUBES	442,324	517,518	56,900	0	119,427	1,136,169
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	1,912,752	2,760,096	7,310,432	0	918,121	12,901,401
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	358,641	517,518	1,370,706	0	172,148	2,419,013
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	277,667	345,012	13,935,511	0	133,280	14,691,470
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	298,868	431,265	2,284,510	0	143,456	3,158,099
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	75,820	86,253	228,451	0	36,394	426,918
LOWER CORE FORGING	823,778	948,783	1,422,500	0	395,414	3,590,475
MISC INTERNALS	667,840	690,024	1,138,000	0	320,563	2,816,427
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	16,278,600	0	1,138,000	0	0	17,416,600
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	327,872	0	5,690	0	0	333,562
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	5,706,928	0	44,189	0	0	5,751,117
PRESSURIZER	2,590,185	0	2,885	0	0	2,593,070
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	239,094	0	6,709	0	0	245,803
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	717,282	0	2,299	0	0	719,581
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	2,554,488	0	46,339	0	0	2,600,827
STEAM GENERATORS	20,884,928	0	2,503,600	0	0	23,388,528
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	2,274,612	0	169,562	0	0	2,444,174
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	40,210,427	0	127,337	0	0	40,337,764
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	309,340,162	0	104,838	0	0	309,445,001
FILTER CARTRIDGES	409,806	517,518	1,370,706	0	49,177	2,347,207
SPENT RESINS	1,502,640	1,725,060	4,569,020	0	721,267	8,517,987
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	4,169,202	0	132,293	0	0	4,301,494
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	2,253,960	5,175,180	298,725	0	180,317	7,908,182
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	7,062,408	8,107,782	7,855,045	0	964,695	23,989,930
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	14,166,612	0	0	0	0	14,166,612
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>443,877,846</b>	<b>29,843,538</b>	<b>56,478,510</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,689,002</b>	<b>536,888,896</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE						3,883,494
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>						<b>540,772,391</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.



**Table B-12 BWR Burial Costs at the South Carolina Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	278,198	2,415,084	3,198,314	0	133,535	6,025,131
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	122,430	1,207,542	398,300	0	58,766	1,787,038
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	364,587	690,024	1,827,608	0	175,002	3,057,221
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	102,494	1,035,036	56,900	0	37,923	1,232,353
JET PUMPS	295,523	3,450,120	4,569,020	0	141,851	8,456,514
TOP FUEL GUIDES	506,611	6,210,216	16,448,472	0	243,173	23,408,472
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	340,478	2,673,843	369,850	0	125,977	3,510,147
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	992,112	12,075,420	31,983,140	0	476,214	45,526,886
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	215,944	1,897,566	1,229,040	0	79,899	3,422,449
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	4,550,800	0	96,730	0	0	4,647,530
REACT. WATER REC	1,969,271	0	25,008	0	0	1,994,279
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	11,785,233	0	88,098	0	0	11,873,330
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	82,668,738	0	1,004,882	0	0	83,673,620
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	1,013,221	0	13,641	0	0	1,026,862
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	512,373	0	4,831	0	0	517,204
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	227,241	0	2,842	0	0	230,083
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	796,525	0	9,094	0	0	805,619
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	274,414	0	3,694	0	0	278,108
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	1,513,745	0	17,620	0	0	1,531,364
POOL LINER & RACKS	10,152,764	0	108,275	0	0	10,261,038
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	11,197,151	0	123,337	0	0	11,320,488
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	29,953,348	0	403,260	0	0	30,356,608
TURBINE	37,559,811	0	399,566	0	0	37,959,377
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	7,662,484	0	103,160	0	0	7,765,644
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	16,670,360	0	209,445	0	0	16,879,805
MAIN STEAM	1,498,723	0	20,177	0	0	1,518,900
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	15,092,772	0	203,193	0	0	15,295,965
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	4,095,102	0	55,132	0	0	4,150,234
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	2,704,680	0	34,387	0	0	2,739,066
OTHER TG BLDG	102,525,308	0	1,380,292	0	0	103,905,599
RAD WASTE BLDG	50,766,598	0	683,468	0	0	51,450,065
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	4,366,350	0	140,116	0	0	4,506,466
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	10,927,273	5,520,192	315,084	0	874,182	17,636,731
TG BLDG – CLASS A	2,947,840	0	94,596	0	0	3,042,436
TG BLDG – CLASS B	7,171,023	3,622,626	212,664	0	573,682	11,579,995
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	2,544,451	0	81,652	0	0	2,626,103
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	6,488,068	3,277,614	183,503	0	519,045	10,468,230
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	22,316,151	14,921,769	826,188	0	3,931,770	41,995,879
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	6,707,745	4,485,156	11,879,452	0	1,181,804	24,254,157
OTHER	7,868,701	5,261,433	187,201	0	196,073	13,513,407
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,157,771	0	0	0	0	1,157,771
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>470,904,409</b>	<b>68,743,641</b>	<b>78,991,229</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,748,896</b>	<b>627,388,174</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE						4,020,364
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>						<b>631,408,538</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-13 PWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	462,688	556,700	392,160	643,720	2,055,268
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	487,040	586,000	412,800	1,600	1,487,440
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	48,704	58,600	41,280	45,240	193,824
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	48,704	58,600	41,280	45,240	193,824
UPPER CORE BARREL	24,352	29,300	20,640	33,880	108,172
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	60,880	73,250	51,600	84,700	270,430
GUIDE TUBES	73,056	87,900	61,920	67,860	290,736
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	389,632	468,800	330,240	542,080	1,730,752
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	73,056	87,900	61,920	101,640	324,516
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	48,704	58,600	41,280	67,760	216,344
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	60,880	73,250	51,600	84,700	270,430
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	12,176	14,650	10,320	16,940	54,086
LOWER CORE FORGING	133,936	161,150	113,520	186,340	594,946
MISC INTERNALS	97,408	117,200	82,560	135,520	432,688
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	3,039,130	717,850	2,012,400	7,800	5,777,180
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	62,341	14,650	41,280	160	118,431
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	511,392	175,800	82,560	320	770,072
PRESSURIZER	438,336	117,200	82,560	320	638,416
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	48,704	14,650	30,960	120	94,434
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	146,112	29,300	20,640	80	196,132
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	487,040	117,200	82,560	320	687,120
STEAM GENERATORS	2,601,037	468,800	330,240	1,280	3,401,357
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	401,808	102,550	72,240	280	576,878
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	6,405,550	1,479,650	4,241,520	16,440	12,143,160
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	58,093,035	11,661,400	38,276,880	148,360	108,179,675
FILTER CARTRIDGES	38,354	87,900	433,440	313,281	872,976
SPENT RESINS	243,520	293,000	206,400	0	742,920
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	849,276	439,500	9,597,600	0	10,886,376
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	383,544	439,500	4,334,400	0	5,157,444
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	1,144,544	1,377,100	970,080	0	3,491,724
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,894,108	146,500	1,248,720	0	3,289,328
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE					204,239
SITE AVAILABILITY CHARGES					435,756
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>78,809,048</b>	<b>20,114,450</b>	<b>63,777,600</b>	<b>2,545,981</b>	<b>165,887,074</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)					7,133,144
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)					22,099,781
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)					127,200
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>					<b>195,247,200</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-14 BWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	42,999	205,100	288,960	848,660	1,385,720
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	21,500	102,550	144,480	237,160	505,690
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	64,499	117,200	82,560	848,660	1,112,919
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	17,200	87,900	123,840	203,280	432,220
JET PUMPS	60,199	293,000	412,800	408,220	1,174,219
TOP FUEL GUIDES	103,198	1,054,800	743,040	0	1,901,038
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	47,299	234,400	319,920	0	601,619
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	202,096	2,051,000	1,444,800	0	3,697,896
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	34,399	293,000	227,040	0	554,439
SAC SHIELD NEUTRON-ACTIVATED MATL	386,992	205,100	144,480	0	736,572
REACT. WATER REC	378,392	73,250	61,920	0	513,562
SAC SHIELD CONTAMINATED MATERIAL	1,332,971	556,700	392,160	0	2,281,831
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	15,204,467	2,490,500	10,010,400	0	27,705,367
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	206,395	14,650	20,640	0	241,685
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	73,098	29,300	20,640	0	123,038
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	42,999	14,650	10,320	0	67,969
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	137,597	29,300	61,920	0	228,817
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	55,899	14,650	30,960	0	101,509
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	266,594	73,250	72,240	0	412,084
POOL LINER & RACKS	1,638,264	263,700	381,840	0	2,283,804
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	1,866,159	410,200	1,114,560	0	3,390,919
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	6,101,566	673,900	4,024,800	0	10,800,266
TURBINE	6,045,667	1,201,300	2,868,960	0	10,115,927
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	1,560,866	190,450	454,080	0	2,205,396
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	3,169,030	615,300	454,080	0	4,238,410
MAIN STEAM	305,293	29,300	30,960	0	365,553
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	3,074,433	380,900	268,320	0	3,723,653
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	834,182	87,900	206,400	0	1,128,482
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	520,289	117,200	82,560	0	720,049
OTHER TG BLDG	20,884,642	3,486,700	13,250,880	0	37,622,222
RAD WASTE BLDG	10,341,273	1,054,800	6,625,440	0	18,021,513
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	889,435	87,900	10,165,200	0	11,142,535
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	400,020	468,800	4,571,760	0	5,440,580
TG BLDG – CLASS A	600,482	58,600	6,862,800	0	7,521,882
TG BLDG – CLASS B	269,991	307,650	3,085,680	0	3,663,321
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	518,311	58,600	5,923,680	0	6,500,591
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	232,969	278,350	2,662,560	0	3,173,879
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	2,115,554	2,534,450	1,785,360	0	6,435,364
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	636,386	761,800	536,640	0	1,934,826
OTHER	748,184	893,650	629,520	0	2,271,354
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	154,797	14,650	144,480	0	313,927
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE					295,707
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES					435,756
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>81,586,583</b>	<b>21,916,400</b>	<b>80,743,680</b>	<b>2,545,981</b>	<b>187,524,107</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)					8,063,537
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)					22,949,577
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)					127,200
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS</b>					<b>218,664,421</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-15 PWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	346,560	513,380	296,020	381,900	1,537,860
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	364,800	540,400	311,600	960	1,217,760
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	36,480	54,040	31,160	27,000	148,680
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	36,480	54,040	31,160	27,000	148,680
UPPER CORE BARREL	18,240	27,020	15,580	20,100	80,940
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	45,600	67,550	38,950	50,250	202,350
GUIDE TUBES	54,720	81,060	46,740	40,500	223,020
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	291,840	432,320	249,280	321,600	1,295,040
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	54,720	81,060	46,740	60,300	242,820
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	36,480	54,040	31,160	40,200	161,880
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	45,600	67,550	38,950	50,250	202,350
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	9,120	13,510	7,790	10,050	40,470
LOWER CORE FORGING	100,320	148,610	85,690	110,550	445,170
MISC INTERNALS	72,960	108,080	62,320	80,400	323,760
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	2,276,352	661,990	1,519,050	4,680	4,462,072
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	46,694	13,510	31,160	96	91,460
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	383,040	162,120	62,320	192	607,672
PRESSURIZER	328,320	108,080	62,320	192	498,912
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	36,480	13,510	23,370	72	73,432
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	109,440	27,020	15,580	48	152,088
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	364,800	108,080	62,320	192	535,392
STEAM GENERATORS	1,948,214	432,320	249,280	768	2,630,582
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	300,960	94,570	54,530	168	450,228
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	4,797,850	1,364,510	3,201,690	9,864	9,373,914
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	43,512,523	10,753,960	28,893,110	89,016	83,248,609
FILTER CARTRIDGES	28,728	81,060	327,180	283,500	720,468
SPENT RESINS	182,400	270,200	155,800	201,000	809,400
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	636,120	405,300	7,244,700	22,320	8,308,440
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	287,280	405,300	3,271,800	675,710	4,640,090
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	857,280	1,269,940	732,260	0	2,859,480
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	1,418,715	135,100	942,590	0	2,496,405
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE					192,515
SITE AVAILABILITY CHARGES					422,517
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>59,029,116</b>	<b>18,549,230</b>	<b>48,142,200</b>	<b>2,508,878</b>	<b>128,844,456</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)					5,540,312
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)					22,099,781
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)					127,200
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>					<b>156,611,748</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-16 BWR Burial Costs at the Washington Site (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	32,207	189,140	218,120	836,293	1,275,759
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	16,103	94,570	109,060	140,700	360,433
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	48,310	108,080	62,320	836,293	1,055,003
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	12,883	81,060	93,480	120,600	308,023
JET PUMPS	45,090	270,200	311,600	574,993	1,201,882
TOP FUEL GUIDES	77,297	972,720	560,880	0	1,610,897
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	35,428	216,160	241,490	0	493,078
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	151,373	1,891,400	1,090,600	0	3,133,373
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	25,766	270,200	171,380	0	467,346
SAC SHIELD NEUTRON-ACTIVATED MATL	289,862	189,140	109,060	0	588,062
REACT. WATER REC	283,421	67,550	46,740	0	397,711
SAC SHIELD CONTAMINATED MATERIAL	998,414	513,380	296,020	0	1,807,814
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	11,388,365	2,296,700	7,556,300	0	21,241,365
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	154,593	13,510	15,580	0	183,683
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	54,752	27,020	15,580	0	97,352
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	32,207	13,510	7,790	0	53,507
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	103,062	27,020	46,740	0	176,822
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	41,869	13,510	23,370	0	78,749
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	199,683	67,550	54,530	0	321,763
POOL LINER & RACKS	1,227,083	243,180	288,230	0	1,758,493
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	1,397,780	378,280	841,320	0	2,617,380
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	4,570,161	621,460	3,038,100	0	8,229,721
TURBINE	4,528,292	1,107,820	2,165,620	0	7,801,732
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	1,169,111	175,630	342,760	0	1,687,501
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	2,373,650	567,420	342,760	0	3,283,830
MAIN STEAM	228,669	27,020	23,370	0	279,059
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	2,302,794	351,260	202,540	0	2,856,594
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	624,814	81,060	155,800	0	861,674
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	389,704	108,080	62,320	0	560,104
OTHER TG BLDG	15,642,899	3,215,380	10,002,360	0	28,860,639
RAD WASTE BLDG	7,745,763	972,720	5,001,180	0	13,719,663
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	666,200	81,060	7,673,150	0	8,420,410
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	299,621	432,320	3,450,970	0	4,182,911
TG BLDG – CLASS A	449,770	54,040	5,180,350	0	5,684,160
TG BLDG – CLASS B	202,227	283,710	2,329,210	0	2,815,147
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	388,222	54,040	4,471,460	0	4,913,722
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	174,497	256,690	2,009,820	0	2,441,007
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	1,584,580	2,337,230	1,347,670	0	5,269,480
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	476,662	702,520	405,080	0	1,584,262
OTHER	560,400	824,110	475,190	0	1,859,700
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	115,945	13,510	109,060	0	238,515
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE					278,732
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES					422,517
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>61,109,530</b>	<b>20,210,960</b>	<b>60,948,960</b>	<b>2,508,878</b>	<b>145,479,577</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)					6,255,622
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)					22,949,577
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)					127,200
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS</b>					<b>174,811,975</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-17 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	785,840	785,840
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,751,200	1,751,200
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	0	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	0	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	0	124,080	124,080
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	0	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	0	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	0	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	0	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	0	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	0	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	0	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,161,728	5,161,728
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	0	105,882	105,882
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,838,760	1,838,760
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,576,080	1,576,080
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	0	248,160	248,160
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	827,200	827,200
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,352,284	9,352,284
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	0	682,440	682,440
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,879,334	10,879,334
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	98,666,555	98,666,555
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	0	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	0	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,010,143	5,010,143
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	0	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,088,546	2,088,546
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,216,998	3,216,998
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>12,865,000</b>	<b>5,550,000</b>	<b>400,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>34,223,750</b>	<b>3,166,500</b>	<b>142,563,388</b>	<b>198,848,638</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-18 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	0	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	0	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	0	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,212	29,212
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	0	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	0	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	80,334	80,334
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	0	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	58,424	58,424
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	657,275	657,275
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	0	642,669	642,669
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,263,948	2,263,948
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,823,618	25,823,618
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	350,547	350,547
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	124,152	124,152
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	73,031	73,031
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	233,698	233,698
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	94,940	94,940
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	452,790	452,790
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,782,466	2,782,466
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,169,528	3,169,528
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,363,041	10,363,041
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,737,789	21,737,789
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,651,011	2,651,011
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,382,355	5,382,355
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	518,517	518,517
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,221,687	5,221,687
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,999,382	2,999,382
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	883,670	883,670
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,470,959	35,470,959
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	17,563,858	17,563,858
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,510,638	1,510,638
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	0	4,078,411
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,019,872	1,019,872
TG BLDG – CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	0	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	880,311	880,311
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	0	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	516,031	516,031
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	0	17,957,041
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,270,732	1,270,732
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	262,910	262,910
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>16,703,806</b>	<b>19,575,000</b>	<b>1,245,000</b>	<b>520,000</b>	<b>71,218,365</b>	<b>2,988,901</b>	<b>145,089,394</b>	<b>257,340,466</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-19 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	785,840	785,840
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	1,751,200	1,751,200
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER CORE BARREL	128,682	185,690	489,686	0	61,767	0	865,825
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	321,705	464,225	1,224,215	0	154,418	0	2,164,563
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	124,080	124,080
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	2,058,912	2,971,040	7,834,976	0	988,278	0	13,853,206
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	386,046	557,070	1,469,058	0	185,302	0	2,597,476
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	298,859	371,380	14,935,423	0	143,452	0	15,749,114
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	321,705	464,225	2,448,430	0	154,418	0	3,388,778
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	81,607	92,845	244,843	0	39,171	0	458,467
LOWER CORE FORGING	886,651	1,021,295	1,530,000	0	425,593	0	3,863,539
MISC INTERNALS	718,880	742,760	1,224,000	0	345,062	0	3,030,702
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	5,161,728	5,161,728
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	105,882	105,882
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	1,838,760	1,838,760
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	1,576,080	1,576,080
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	248,160	248,160
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	827,200	827,200
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	9,352,284	9,352,284
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	682,440	682,440
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	10,879,334	10,879,334
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	98,666,555	98,666,555
FILTER CARTRIDGES	441,126	557,070	1,469,058	0	52,935	0	2,520,189
SPENT RESINS	1,617,480	1,856,900	4,896,860	0	776,390	0	9,147,630
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	5,010,143	5,010,143
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	2,426,220	5,570,700	321,300	0	194,098	0	8,512,318
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	2,088,546	2,088,546
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	3,216,998	3,216,998
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>9,687,873</b>	<b>14,855,200</b>	<b>38,087,849</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,520,886</b>	<b>142,563,388</b>	<b>208,715,196</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE							77,190
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>							<b>208,792,386</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.



**Table B-20 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	299,456	2,599,660	3,427,802	0	143,739	0	6,470,657
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	131,774	1,299,830	428,400	0	63,252	0	1,923,256
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	392,451	742,760	1,958,744	0	188,376	0	3,282,331
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	29,212	29,212
JET PUMPS	318,105	3,713,800	4,896,860	0	152,690	0	9,081,455
TOP FUEL GUIDES	545,322	6,684,840	17,628,696	0	261,755	0	25,120,613
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	80,334	80,334
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,067,923	12,998,300	34,278,020	0	512,603	0	48,856,846
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	58,424	58,424
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	657,275	657,275
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	642,669	642,669
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	2,263,948	2,263,948
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	25,823,618	25,823,618
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	350,547	350,547
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	124,152	124,152
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	73,031	73,031
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	233,698	233,698
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	94,940	94,940
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	452,790	452,790
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	2,782,466	2,782,466
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	3,169,528	3,169,528
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	10,363,041	10,363,041
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	21,737,789	21,737,789
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	2,651,011	2,651,011
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	5,382,355	5,382,355
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	518,517	518,517
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	5,221,687	5,221,687
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	2,999,382	2,999,382
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	883,670	883,670
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	35,470,959	35,470,959
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	17,563,858	17,563,858
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	1,510,638	1,510,638
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	11,762,405	5,942,080	338,895	0	940,992	0	18,984,373
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	1,019,872	1,019,872
TG BLDG – CLASS B	7,719,078	3,899,490	228,735	0	617,526	0	12,464,830
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	880,311	880,311
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	6,983,928	3,528,110	197,370	0	558,714	0	11,268,122
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	516,031	516,031
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	7,220,394	4,827,940	12,731,836	0	1,272,125	0	26,052,295
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	1,270,732	1,270,732
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	262,910	262,910
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>36,440,837</b>	<b>46,236,810</b>	<b>76,115,358</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,711,773</b>	<b>145,089,394</b>	<b>308,594,171</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE							100,223
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>							<b>308,694,394</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-21 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	WASTE VENDOR CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	785,840	785,840
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	1,751,200	1,751,200
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
UPPER CORE BARREL	24,352	29,300	20,640	33,880	0	108,172
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	60,880	73,250	51,600	84,700	0	270,430
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	124,080	124,080
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	389,632	468,800	330,240	542,080	0	1,730,752
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	73,056	87,900	61,920	101,640	0	324,516
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	48,704	58,600	41,280	67,760	0	216,344
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	60,880	73,250	51,600	84,700	0	270,430
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	12,176	14,650	10,320	16,940	0	54,086
LOWER CORE FORGING	133,936	161,150	113,520	186,340	0	594,946
MISC INTERNALS	97,408	117,200	82,560	135,520	0	432,688
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	5,161,728	5,161,728
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	105,882	105,882
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	1,838,760	1,838,760
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	1,576,080	1,576,080
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	82,720	82,720
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	248,160	248,160
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	827,200	827,200
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	9,352,284	9,352,284
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	682,440	682,440
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	10,879,334	10,879,334
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	98,666,555	98,666,555
FILTER CARTRIDGES	38,354	87,900	433,440	475,020	0	1,034,714
SPENT RESINS	243,520	293,000	206,400	338,800	0	1,081,720
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	5,010,143	5,010,143
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	383,544	439,500	4,334,400	1,117,759	0	6,275,203
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	2,088,546	2,088,546
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	3,216,998	3,216,998
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE						0
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES						435,756
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>1,566,442</b>	<b>1,904,500</b>	<b>5,737,920</b>	<b>3,185,139</b>	<b>142,563,388</b>	<b>155,393,145</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)						551,680
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)						372,126
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)						127,200
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>						<b>156,444,151</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-22 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2018 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	VENDOR CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	42,999	205,100	288,960	1,117,759	0	1,654,818
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	21,500	102,550	144,480	237,160	0	505,690
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	64,499	117,200	82,560	1,117,759	0	1,382,017
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	29,212	29,212
JET PUMPS	60,199	293,000	412,800	880,599	0	1,646,598
TOP FUEL GUIDES	103,198	1,054,800	743,040	0	0	1,901,038
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	80,334	80,334
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	202,096	2,051,000	1,444,800	0	0	3,697,896
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	58,424	58,424
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	657,275	657,275
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	642,669	642,669
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	2,263,948	2,263,948
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	25,823,618	25,823,618
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	350,547	350,547
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	124,152	124,152
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	73,031	73,031
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	233,698	233,698
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	94,940	94,940
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	452,790	452,790
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	2,782,466	2,782,466
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	3,169,528	3,169,528
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	10,363,041	10,363,041
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	21,737,789	21,737,789
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	2,651,011	2,651,011
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	5,382,355	5,382,355
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	518,517	518,517
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	5,221,687	5,221,687
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	2,999,382	2,999,382
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	883,670	883,670
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	35,470,959	35,470,959
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	17,563,858	17,563,858
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	1,510,638	1,510,638
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	400,020	468,800	4,571,760	0	0	5,440,580
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	1,019,872	1,019,872
TG BLDG – CLASS B	269,991	307,650	3,085,680	0	0	3,663,321
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	880,311	880,311
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	232,969	278,350	2,662,560	0	0	3,173,879
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	516,031	516,031
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	636,386	761,800	536,640	0	0	1,934,826
OTHER	0	0	0	0	1,270,732	1,270,732
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	262,910	262,910
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE						0
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES						435,756
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>2,033,855</b>	<b>5,640,250</b>	<b>13,973,280</b>	<b>3,353,276</b>	<b>145,089,394</b>	<b>170,525,812</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)						1,093,766
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)						572,105
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)						127,200
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS</b>						<b>172,318,883</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-23 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	748,220	748,220
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,667,600	1,667,600
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER CORE BARREL	200,000	150,000	5,000	0	440,000	60,000	0	855,000
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	1,100,000	150,000	0	2,137,500
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,140	118,140
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	3,200,000	2,400,000	80,000	0	7,040,000	960,000	0	13,680,000
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	600,000	450,000	15,000	0	1,320,000	180,000	0	2,565,000
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	400,000	300,000	10,000	0	13,420,000	120,000	0	14,250,000
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	500,000	375,000	12,500	0	2,200,000	150,000	0	3,237,500
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	100,000	75,000	2,500	0	220,000	30,000	0	427,500
LOWER CORE FORGING	1,100,000	825,000	27,500	0	1,375,000	330,000	0	3,657,500
MISC INTERNALS	800,000	600,000	20,000	80,000	1,100,000	240,000	0	2,840,000
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,914,624	4,914,624
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	0	100,813	100,813
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,750,980	1,750,980
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,840	1,500,840
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	0	236,280	236,280
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	787,600	787,600
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,905,818	8,905,818
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	0	649,770	649,770
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,358,515	10,358,515
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	0	93,943,156	93,943,156
FILTER CARTRIDGES	315,000	0	15,000	0	1,320,000	31,500	0	1,681,500
SPENT RESINS	2,000,000	0	50,000	0	4,400,000	600,000	0	7,050,000
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,772,295	4,772,295
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	3,150,000	0	150,000	0	288,750	315,000	0	3,903,750
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,933,839	1,933,839
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,062,992	3,062,992
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>12,865,000</b>	<b>5,550,000</b>	<b>400,000</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>34,223,750</b>	<b>3,166,500</b>	<b>135,687,762</b>	<b>191,973,012</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-24 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Texas Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	IRRADIATED HARDWARE HANDLE SURCHARGE	CASK HANDLE SURCHARGE	WEIGHT SURCHARGE	CURIE CHARGE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	353,146	1,050,000	70,000	0	3,083,000	141,258	0	4,697,404
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	176,573	525,000	35,000	0	385,185	52,972	0	1,174,730
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	529,719	600,000	20,000	0	1,802,000	211,888	0	3,163,607
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,814	27,814
JET PUMPS	494,404	1,500,000	100,000	0	4,412,880	197,762	0	6,705,046
TOP FUEL GUIDES	847,550	5,400,000	180,000	0	15,928,800	339,020	0	22,695,371
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	76,488	76,488
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	1,659,786	10,500,000	350,000	0	33,479,000	663,914	0	46,652,701
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	55,628	55,628
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	625,810	625,810
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	0	611,903	611,903
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,155,568	2,155,568
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	24,587,381	24,587,381
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	333,765	333,765
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,209	118,209
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	69,534	69,534
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	222,510	222,510
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	90,395	90,395
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	431,114	431,114
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,649,262	2,649,262
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,017,795	3,017,795
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,866,938	9,866,938
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,700,055	20,700,055
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,524,100	2,524,100
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,124,689	5,124,689
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	493,695	493,695
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,971,713	4,971,713
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,856,195	2,856,195
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	0	841,367	841,367
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	33,772,881	33,772,881
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	0	16,723,035	16,723,035
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,438,320	1,438,320
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	3,285,317	0	160,000	0	304,563	328,532	0	4,078,411
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	971,049	971,049
TG BLDG – CLASS B	2,217,404	0	105,000	0	205,563	221,740	0	2,749,707
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	838,168	838,168
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	1,913,345	0	95,000	0	177,375	191,335	0	2,377,055
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	0	477,807	477,807
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	5,226,561	0	130,000	520,000	11,440,000	640,480	0	17,957,041
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,209,899	1,209,899
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	250,324	250,324
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>16,703,806</b>	<b>19,575,000</b>	<b>1,245,000</b>	<b>520,000</b>	<b>71,218,365</b>	<b>2,988,901</b>	<b>138,133,410</b>	<b>250,384,482</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-25 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	748,220	748,220
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	1,667,600	1,667,600
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER CORE BARREL	119,547	172,506	456,902	0	57,383	0	806,338
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	298,868	431,265	1,142,255	0	143,456	0	2,015,844
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	0	118,140	118,140
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	1,912,752	2,760,096	7,310,432	0	918,121	0	12,901,401
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	358,641	517,518	1,370,706	0	172,148	0	2,419,013
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	277,667	345,012	13,935,511	0	133,280	0	14,691,470
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	298,868	431,265	2,284,510	0	143,456	0	3,158,099
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	75,820	86,253	228,451	0	36,394	0	426,918
LOWER CORE FORGING	823,778	948,783	1,422,500	0	395,414	0	3,590,475
MISC INTERNALS	667,840	690,024	1,138,000	0	320,563	0	2,816,427
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	4,914,624	4,914,624
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	0	100,813	100,813
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	1,750,980	1,750,980
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,840	1,500,840
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	0	236,280	236,280
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	0	787,600	787,600
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	0	8,905,818	8,905,818
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	0	649,770	649,770
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	0	10,358,515	10,358,515
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	0	93,943,156	93,943,156
FILTER CARTRIDGES	409,806	517,518	1,370,706	0	49,177	0	2,347,207
SPENT RESINS	1,502,640	1,725,060	4,569,020	0	721,267	0	8,517,987
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	4,772,295	4,772,295
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	2,253,960	5,175,180	298,725	0	180,317	0	7,908,182
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	0	1,933,839	1,933,839
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	3,062,992	3,062,992
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>9,000,186</b>	<b>13,800,480</b>	<b>35,527,718</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,270,975</b>	<b>135,687,762</b>	<b>197,287,121</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE							77,190
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>							<b>197,364,311</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-26 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the South Carolina Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	BASE DISPOSAL CHARGE	CASK HANDLING	CURIE SURCHARGE	LINER DOSE RATE	DOSE RATE SURCHARGE	VENDOR CHARGES	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	278,198	2,415,084	3,198,314	0	133,535	0	6,025,131
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	122,430	1,207,542	398,300	0	58,766	0	1,787,038
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	364,587	690,024	1,827,608	0	175,002	0	3,057,221
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	0	27,814	27,814
JET PUMPS	295,523	3,450,120	4,569,020	0	141,851	0	8,456,514
TOP FUEL GUIDES	506,611	6,210,216	16,448,472	0	243,173	0	23,408,472
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	0	76,488	76,488
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	992,112	12,075,420	31,983,140	0	476,214	0	45,526,886
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	0	55,628	55,628
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	625,810	625,810
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	0	611,903	611,903
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	0	2,155,568	2,155,568
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	0	24,587,381	24,587,381
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	0	333,765	333,765
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	118,209	118,209
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	0	69,534	69,534
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	222,510	222,510
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	0	90,395	90,395
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	0	431,114	431,114
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	0	2,649,262	2,649,262
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	0	3,017,795	3,017,795
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	0	9,866,938	9,866,938
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	0	20,700,055	20,700,055
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	0	2,524,100	2,524,100
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER							
HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	5,124,689	5,124,689
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	0	493,695	493,695
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	4,971,713	4,971,713
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	0	2,856,195	2,856,195
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER							
HEATERS	0	0	0	0	0	841,367	841,367
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	33,772,881	33,772,881
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	0	16,723,035	16,723,035
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	1,438,320	1,438,320
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	10,927,273	5,520,192	315,084	0	874,182	0	17,636,731
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	971,049	971,049
TG BLDG – CLASS B	7,171,023	3,622,626	212,664	0	573,682	0	11,579,995
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	838,168	838,168
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	6,488,068	3,277,614	183,503	0	519,045	0	10,468,230
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	0	477,807	477,807
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	6,707,745	4,485,156	11,879,452	0	1,181,804	0	24,254,157
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	1,209,899	1,209,899
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	0	250,324	250,324
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>33,853,570</b>	<b>42,953,994</b>	<b>71,015,556</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,377,254</b>	<b>138,133,410</b>	<b>290,333,784</b>
ATLANTIC COMPACT COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE SURCHARGE							100,223
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS (INSIDE COMPACT)</b>							<b>290,434,007</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.

**Table B-27 PWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE PWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	WASTE VENDOR CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	748,220	748,220
VESSEL HEAD & BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	1,667,600	1,667,600
UPPER CORE SUPPORT ASSM	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER SUPPORT COLUMN	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
UPPER CORE BARREL	18,240	27,020	15,580	20,100	0	80,940
UPPER CORE GRID PLATE	45,600	67,550	38,950	50,250	0	202,350
GUIDE TUBES	0	0	0	0	118,140	118,140
LOWER CORE BARREL <sup>(a)</sup>	291,840	432,320	249,280	321,600	0	1,295,040
THERMAL SHIELDS <sup>(a)</sup>	54,720	81,060	46,740	60,300	0	242,820
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	36,480	54,040	31,160	40,200	0	161,880
LOWER GRID PLATE <sup>(a)</sup>	45,600	67,550	38,950	50,250	0	202,350
LOWER SUPPORT COLUMN	9,120	13,510	7,790	10,050	0	40,470
LOWER CORE FORGING	100,320	148,610	85,690	110,550	0	445,170
MISC INTERNALS	72,960	108,080	62,320	80,400	0	323,760
BIO SHIELD CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	4,914,624	4,914,624
REACTOR CAVITY LINER	0	0	0	0	100,813	100,813
REACTOR COOLANT PUMPS	0	0	0	0	1,750,980	1,750,980
PRESSURIZER	0	0	0	0	1,500,840	1,500,840
R.Hx,EHx,SUMP PUMP,CAVITY PUMP	0	0	0	0	78,760	78,760
PRESSURIZER RELIEF TANK	0	0	0	0	236,280	236,280
SAFETY INJECTION ACCUM TANKS	0	0	0	0	787,600	787,600
STEAM GENERATORS	0	0	0	0	8,905,818	8,905,818
REACTOR COOLANT PIPING	0	0	0	0	649,770	649,770
REMAINING CONTAM. MATLS	0	0	0	0	10,358,515	10,358,515
CONTAMINATED MATRL OTHR BLD	0	0	0	0	93,943,156	93,943,156
FILTER CARTRIDGES	28,728	81,060	327,180	283,500	0	720,468
SPENT RESINS	182,400	270,200	155,800	201,000	0	809,400
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	4,772,295	4,772,295
COMBUSTIBLE WASTES – CLASS B	287,280	405,300	3,271,800	716,520	0	4,680,900
EVAPORATOR BOTTOMS	0	0	0	0	1,933,839	1,933,839
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	3,062,992	3,062,992
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE						0
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES						422,517
<b>SUBTOTAL PWR COSTS</b>	<b>1,173,288</b>	<b>1,756,300</b>	<b>4,331,240</b>	<b>1,944,720</b>	<b>135,687,762</b>	<b>145,315,827</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)						414,007
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)						372,126
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)						127,200
<b>TOTAL PWR COSTS</b>						<b>146,229,160</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.



**Table B-28 BWR LLW Disposition Costs Using a Combination of Non-Compact Disposal Facility and the Washington Disposal Facility (2016 dollars)**

REFERENCE BWR COMPONENT	VOLUME CHARGE	SHIPMENT CHARGE	CONTAINER CHARGE	CONTAINER DOSE RATE CHARGE	VENDOR CHARGE	DISPOSAL COST
STEAM SEPARATOR	32,207	189,140	218,120	836,293	0	1,275,759
FUEL SUPPORT & PIECES	16,103	94,570	109,060	140,700	0	360,433
CONTROL RODS/INCORES	48,310	108,080	62,320	836,293	0	1,055,003
CONTROL RODS GUIDES	0	0	0	0	27,814	27,814
JET PUMPS	45,090	270,200	311,600	695,593	0	1,322,482
TOP FUEL GUIDES	77,297	972,720	560,880	0	0	1,610,897
CORE SUPPORT PLATE	0	0	0	0	76,488	76,488
CORE SHROUD <sup>(a)</sup>	151,373	1,891,400	1,090,600	0	0	3,133,373
REACTOR VESSEL WALL	0	0	0	0	55,628	55,628
SAC SHIELD Neutron-Activated Matl	0	0	0	0	625,810	625,810
REACT. WATER REC	0	0	0	0	611,903	611,903
SAC SHIELD Contaminated Matl	0	0	0	0	2,155,568	2,155,568
OTHER PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	0	0	0	0	24,587,381	24,587,381
CONTAINM. ATMOSPHERIC	0	0	0	0	333,765	333,765
HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	118,209	118,209
LOW PRESSURE CORE SPRAY	0	0	0	0	69,534	69,534
REACTOR BLDG CLOSED COOLING	0	0	0	0	222,510	222,510
REACTOR CORE ISO COOLING	0	0	0	0	90,395	90,395
RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL	0	0	0	0	431,114	431,114
POOL LINER & RACKS	0	0	0	0	2,649,262	2,649,262
CONTAMINATED CONCRETE	0	0	0	0	3,017,795	3,017,795
OTHER REACTOR BUILDING	0	0	0	0	9,866,938	9,866,938
TURBINE	0	0	0	0	20,700,055	20,700,055
NUCLEAR STEAM CONDENSATE	0	0	0	0	2,524,100	2,524,100
LOW PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	5,124,689	5,124,689
MAIN STEAM	0	0	0	0	493,695	493,695
MOISTURE SEPARATOR REHEATERS	0	0	0	0	4,971,713	4,971,713
REACTOR FEEDWATER PUMPS	0	0	0	0	2,856,195	2,856,195
HIGH PRESSURE FEEDWATER HEATERS	0	0	0	0	841,367	841,367
OTHER TG BLDG	0	0	0	0	33,772,881	33,772,881
RAD WASTE BLDG	0	0	0	0	16,723,035	16,723,035
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	1,438,320	1,438,320
REACTOR BLDG – CLASS B	299,621	432,320	3,450,970	0	0	4,182,911
TG BLDG – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	971,049	971,049
TG BLDG – CLASS B	202,227	283,710	2,329,210	0	0	2,815,147
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	838,168	838,168
RAD WASTE & CONTROL – CLASS B	174,497	256,690	2,009,820	0	0	2,441,007
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS A	0	0	0	0	477,807	477,807
CONCENTRATOR BOTTOMS – CLASS B	476,662	702,520	405,080	0	0	1,584,262
OTHER	0	0	0	0	1,209,899	1,209,899
POST-TMI-2 ADDITIONS	0	0	0	0	250,324	250,324
HEAVY OBJECT SURCHARGE						0
SITE AVAILABILITY CHAEGES						422,517
<b>SUBTOTAL BWR COSTS</b>	<b>1,523,387</b>	<b>5,201,350</b>	<b>10,547,660</b>	<b>2,508,878</b>	<b>138,133,410</b>	<b>158,337,202</b>
TAXES & FEES (% OF CHARGES)						868,763
TAXES & FEES (\$/UNIT VOL.)						572,105
ANNUAL PERMIT FEES (3 YRS)						127,200
<b>TOTAL BWR COSTS</b>						<b>159,905,270</b>

<sup>(a)</sup> GTCC Material: Assumes a low-density, distributed packaging scheme and final disposal as LLW. High-density packaging, ISFSI storage, and geologic repository disposal could reduce disposal costs.



## APPENDIX C

### BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS ON THE INTERNET

For use in the adjustment formula in Chapter 3, the labor indexes for the first quarter of 2018 and the producer price indexes for March 2018 were obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data on the Internet.

These dates were chosen to agree, to the extent possible, with the effective dates of the waste burial rate schedules. Instructions for accessing and obtaining the specific indexes used in this report follow below.

#### **Bureau of Labor Statistics Internet Data Page**

To obtain reports of producer price indexes and labor indexes, proceed as follows:

1. Enter the URL: <http://www.bls.gov/data/>
2. Click on the item labeled *Series Report*.
3. In the box labeled *Enter series id(s) below*, type in the following six series identifications (IDs), one ID per line:

<u>Series ID</u>	<u>Producer Price Indexes</u>
<b>wpu0543</b>	(Industrial electric power—used in calculation of $P_x$ , per Section 3.3)
<b>wpu0573</b>	(Light fuel oils—used in calculation of $F_x$ per Section 3.3)

Labor Indexes (Used in the calculation of  $L_x$ , per Section 3.1)

<b>CIU2010000000210I</b>	(Total compensation, private industry, Northeast region)
<b>CIU2010000000220I</b>	(Total compensation, private industry, South region)
<b>CIU2010000000230I</b>	(Total compensation, private industry, Midwest region)
<b>CIU2010000000240I</b>	(Total compensation, private industry, West region)

4. Click the button labeled *Next*.
5. In the box labeled *Select view of the data*, use *Table Format* and *Original Data value*.
6. In the box labeled *Select the time frame for your data*, specify the years you want and time period.
7. Click on the button labeled *Retrieve Data* and the six tables of data you requested will be displayed.



**APPENDIX D**  
**REPRESENTATIVE EXAMPLES OF DECOMMISSIONING COSTS FOR**  
**2006 THROUGH 2018**

In Section 3.5 of this revision and the five previous revisions of NUREG-1307, decommissioning costs for four typical situations were developed. Results of these calculations are summarized below.

*Example 1 (No Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facilities)*

Reactor Type: BWR						
Thermal Power Rating: 3400 MWt						
Location of Plant: Midwest Compact						
LLW Burial Location: Before 2008–South Carolina (Non-Atlantic Compact); 2008 to 2012–Unknown (Generic LLW Disposal Site); Beginning 2016–No LLW Compact Disposal Facilities						
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2018</u>
L <sub>x</sub>	2.13	2.23	2.29	2.39	2.57	2.70
E <sub>x</sub>	2.206	2.853	2.181	2.795	1.632	2.340
B <sub>x</sub>	10.206	11.198	12.540	14.160	13.132	13.422
Decommissioning Cost (Millions)	\$529	\$578	\$612	\$679	\$644	\$677

*Example 2 (Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only)*

Reactor Type: Pressurized-Water Reactor (PWR)						
Thermal Power Rating: 3400 MWt						
Location of Plant: Texas Compact						
LLW Burial Location: Texas (Texas Compact); 2018 is the first use of the Texas compact as an example calculation for Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only						
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2018</u>
L <sub>x</sub>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.58
E <sub>x</sub>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.320
B <sub>x</sub>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8.508
Decommissioning Cost (Millions)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$404

Example 3 (Combination of Compact-Affiliated and Non-Compact Disposal Facilities)


Reactor Type: PWR						
Thermal Power Rating: 3400 MWt						
Location of Plant: Atlantic Compact						
LLW Burial Location: South Carolina (Atlantic Compact) and Non-Compact Disposal Site						
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2018</u>
L <sub>x</sub>	2.21	2.33	2.41	2.52	2.75	2.89
E <sub>x</sub>	2.152	2.746	2.139	2.704	1.645	2.320
B <sub>x</sub>	8.600	9.872	12.280	13.885	10.971	11.607
Decommissioning Cost (Millions)	\$379	\$425	\$477	\$530	\$464	\$497

Example 4 (Compact-Affiliated Disposal Facility Only)

Reactor Type: Boiling-Water Reactor (BWR)						
Thermal Power Rating: 3400 MWt						
Location of Plant: Northwest Compact						
LLW Burial Location: Washington						
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2018</u>
L <sub>x</sub>	2.11	2.23	2.29	2.38	2.60	2.77
E <sub>x</sub>	2.206	2.853	2.181	2.795	1.632	2.340
B <sub>x</sub>	11.702	23.185	7.423	6.704	7.290	9.118
Decommissioning Cost (Millions)	\$571	\$934	\$460	\$457	\$473	\$555

## APPENDIX E LOW-LEVEL WASTE COMPACTS

The figure and table below identify the composition of all LLW compacts as of May 2010



(source: NRC, <http://www.nrc.gov/waste/llw-disposal/licensing/compacts.html>).

<b>Compact</b>	<b>Affiliated States</b>			
Northwest	Alaska	Idaho	Oregon	Washington <sup>(a)</sup>
	Hawaii	Montana	Utah	Wyoming
Southwestern	Arizona	California <sup>(b)</sup>	North Dakota	South Dakota
Rocky Mountain	Colorado	New Mexico	Nevada	
Midwest	Indiana	Minnesota	Ohio	Wisconsin
	Iowa	Missouri		
Central	Arkansas	Louisiana	Nebraska <sup>(b)</sup>	Oklahoma
	Kansas			
Texas	Texas <sup>(a)</sup>	Vermont		
Central Midwest	Illinois <sup>(b)</sup>	Kentucky		
Appalachian	Delaware	Maryland	Pennsylvania <sup>(b)</sup>	West Virginia
Atlantic	Connecticut <sup>(b)</sup>	New Jersey <sup>(b)</sup>	South Carolina <sup>(a)</sup>	
Southeast	Alabama	Georgia	Tennessee	Virginia
	Florida	Mississippi		
Unaffiliated States	District of Columbia	Michigan <sup>(b)</sup>	New York <sup>(b)</sup>	Rhode Island
	Massachusetts <sup>(b)</sup>	New Hampshire	Puerto Rico	North Carolina <sup>(b)</sup>
	Maine			

(a) Current Host State (3 States)

(b) Selected Host State (10 States)



**APPENDIX F**  
**COMMENT RESOLUTION MATRIX**

Please see file in ADAMS Accession Number ML19031C869.







**BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET**

(See instructions on the reverse)

**NUREG-1307, Rev. 17**

2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Report on Waste Burial Charges: Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities  
Final Report

3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED

MONTH

YEAR

February

2019

4. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER

5. AUTHOR(S)

PNNL, Steven Short and Mike Toyooka,  
NRC, Emil Tabakov, Kosmas Lois, Richard Turtill

6. TYPE OF REPORT

Technical

7. PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive Dates)

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, provide Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address; if contractor, provide name and mailing address.)

Division of Inspection and Regional Support  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington DC 20555-0001

9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, type "Same as above", if contractor, provide NRC Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address.)

Same as above

10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Emil Tabakov, Kosmas Lois, Richard Turtill

11. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)

NUREG-1307, Revision 17, "Report on Waste Burial Charges: Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities", explains the formula acceptable to the NRC for determining the minimum decommissioning fund requirements for nuclear power reactors, as required by the NRC's regulations. Specifically, this report provides adjustment factors, and updates to these values, for the labor, energy, and waste burial components of the minimum formula

12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS (List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)

low-level waste, decommissioning, charges, burial, waste, low-level waste, Rev. 17, NUREG-1307, Clive, Texas Compact Waste Facility, CWF, formula, PWR, BWR, 10 CFR 50.75, minimum amounts, LLW, burial, disposal facility, decommissioning trust fund, minimum formula, cost

13. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

unlimited

14. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

(This Page)


unclassified

(This Report)

unclassified

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE



Federal Recycling Program





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001  
OFFICIAL BUSINESS





**NUREG-1307, Rev. 17  
Final**

**Report on Waste Burial Charges: Changes in Decommissioning Waste  
Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities**

**February 2019**