Part 21 (PAR) Event # 50539

Rep Org: WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION Notification Date / Time: 10/15/2014 18:10 (EDT)

Supplier: ALLEN BRADLEY

Event Date / Time: 08/12/2014 15:08 (CDT)

Last Modification: 10/15/2014

Region: 4 Docket #:

City: KILLONA Agreement State: Yes

County: License #:

NRC Notified by: CARL RICH Notifications: MARK HAIRE R4DO

HQ Ops Officer: DANIEL MILLS PART 21 GROUP EMAIL

Emergency Class: NON EMERGENCY

10 CFR Section:

State: LA

21.21(d)(3)(i) DEFECTS AND NONCOMPLIANCE

PART 21 - DEFECTIVE ALLEN BRADLEY RELAY

"This is a non-emergency notification from Waterford 3 required under 10 CFR PART 21 concerning the deviation of a dedicated basic component from manufacturing specifications, which could have possibly caused a substantial safety hazard.

"On 04/23/2014, Control Room Emergency Filtration Unit A automatically started unexpectedly. It was determined that the equipment inadvertent actuation occurred due to an Allen Bradley Type 700RTC relay failure. The failure mode resulted in the associated equipment actuating as it would to perform its safety function, not adversely impacting steady state plant operations. The failed relay was replaced on 4/25/2014.

"Independent failure analysis performed by Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) on an Allen Bradley model 700RTC000020U1 relay that failed in service at Waterford 3 Nuclear Station (WF3) identified that the relay's L22 coil was electrically open. Detailed destructive analysis of the L22 coil revealed corrosion of the winding in multiple locations. Corrosion products removed from various locations near the failure site on the L22 coil contained significant concentrations of chlorine. The independent failure analysis concluded the in-plant failure observed at Waterford 3 was caused by corrosion near the start end of the relay's L22 coil winding. The source of the corrosive material that damaged the winding was not apparent; however, based on review of storage practices at Waterford 3, it is likely that it was introduced during manufacture of the coil. On 8/12/2014, Waterford 3 engineering determined this relay condition was a PART 21 deviation. The qualifying vendor (Qual Tech NP) has been contacted and they have provided the completed failure analysis to the manufacturer (Allen Bradley).

"Entergy concluded that for the application of this relay where a malfunction occurred, it did not result in a substantial safety hazard. However, on 10/10/2014, at approximately 1233 CDT, Entergy completed an evaluation concluding that had this relay type been installed, with the same deviation, in other safety related normally

JE19 NRR

| NRC FORM 361 (12-2000) REACTOR PLANT U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OPERATIONS CENTER | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| EVENT NOTIFICATION WORKSHEET | | | | | | | | | |
| EN # 50539 | | | | | | | | | |
| NRC OPERATION TELEPHONE NUMBER: PRIMARY 301-816-5100 or 800-532-3469*, BACKUPS [1st] 301-951-0550 or 800-449-3694*, [2nd] 301-415-0550 and [3rd] 301-415-0553 *Licensees who maintain their own ETS are provided these telephone numbers. | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION TIME FACILITY OR ORGANIZATION 1710 CDT Waterford | | | TINU | Carl Rich | | | | CALL BACK# | |
| | | | 3 | | | 504-739-6496 | | סו | |
| EVENT TIME & ZONE | EVENT DATE | POWER/I | POWER/MODE BEFORE | | | POWER/MODE AFTER | | | |
| 1508 CDT 08/12/2014 | | | 100% / MODE 1 | | | 100% / MODE 1 | | | |
| EVENT CL | 1-Hr. N | 1-Hr. Non-Emergency 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1) | | | (v)(A) Safe S/D Capability | | AINA | | |
| GENERAL EMERGENCY GEN/AAEC | | | TS Deviation ADEV | | | (v)(B) RHR Capability A | | AINB | |
| SITE AREA EMERGENCY SIT/AAEC | | | lon-Emergen | cy 10 CFR 50. | .72(b)(2) | (v)(C) Control of Rad Release AINC | | AINC | |
| ALERT | ALE/AAEC | <u> </u> | TS Required S | J/D | ASHU | (v)(D) Accider | t Mitigation | AIND | |
| UNUSUAL EVENT | UNU/AAEC | (iv)(A) | | | ACCS | (xii) Offsite I | | AMED | |
| 50.72 NON-EMERGENCY (see next columns) | | | RPS Actuation | (scram) | ARPS | | omm/Asmt/Resp | ACOM | |
| PHYSICAL SECURIT | • | (xi) | (xi) Offsite Notification APRE -Hr. Non-Emergency 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3) | | | 60-Day Optional 10 CFR 50.73(a)(1) | | | |
| MATÉRIAL/EXPOSU | RE B??? | 8-Hr. NC | on-Emergend | y 10 CFR 50.7 | 2(D)(3) | Invalid Specified S | | AINV | |
| FITNESS FOR DUTY | HFIT | (ii)(A) | Degraded Cor | dition | ADEG | _ | ied Requirement | (Identify) | |
| OTHER UNSPECIFIE | · , | (ii)(B) | | | AUNA | | 1(d)(3)(i) Defect | NONR | |
| ☐ INFORMATION ONLY NNF ☐ (iv)(A) Specified System Actuation AESF ☐ NONR | | | | | | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION This is a non-emergency notification from Waterford 3 required under 10 CFR PART 21 concerning the deviation of | | | | | | | | | |
| On 04/23/2014, Control Room Emergency Filtration Unit A automatically started, unexpectedly. It was determined that the equipment inadvertent actuation occurred due to Allen Bradley type 700RTC relay failure. The failure mode resulted in the associated equipment to actuate as it would to perform its safety function, not adversely impacting steady state plant operations. The failed relay was replaced on 4/25/2014. Independent failure analysis performed by Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) on an Allen Bradley model 700RTC000020U1 relay that failed in service at Waterford 3 Nuclear Station (WF3) identified the relay's L22 coil was electrically open. Detailed destructive analysis of L22 coil revealed corrosion of the winding in multiple locations. Corrosion products removed from various locations near the failure site on L22 coil contained significant concentrations of chlorine. The independent failure analysis concluded the in-plant failure observed at Waterford 3 was caused by corrosion near the start end of the relay's L22 coil winding. The source of the corrosive material that damaged the winding was not apparent; however, based on review of storage practices at Waterford 3, it is likely that it was introduced during manufacture of the coil. On 8/12/2014, Waterford 3 engineering determined this relay condition was a PART 21 Deviation. The qualifying vendor (Qual Tech NP) has been contacted and they have provided the completed failure analysis to the manufacturer (Allen Bradley). Entergy concluded that for the application of this relay where a malfunction occurred, it did not result in a substantial safety hazard. However, on 10/10/2014, at approximately 12:33 CDT, Entergy completed an evaluation concluding that had this relay type been installed, with the same Deviation, in other safety related normally energized applications, it could possibly have resulted in a substantial safety hazard, and thus a PART 21 Defect. The Waterford 3 Site VP was informed 10/14/2014. | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTIFICATIONS | fication was made at YES NO WIL | | OT, received NYTHING UNI | | | Ils [Ref. WF3 C | CR-2014-2199]. ⊠ NO | | |
| NRC RESIDENT STATE | | | OT UNDERST | | ⊠ YES | | NO (Explain | ahove) | |
| LOCAL | | | UNCTION AS I | | ΕΖΙ 1Ε 2 | | L.J NO (Explain | a5046) | |
| OTHER GOV AGEN | | M | ODE OF OPERATI | ON | ESTIMATE | ED . | ADDITIONAL INFO | | |
| MEDIA/PRESS RELEASE | | UI | UNTIL CORRECTED: N/A | | RESTART DATE: N/A | | YES NO | | |
| NRC FORM 361 (12-2000) | | | | | | | | | |