ANSI/ANS-2.8 WG Status "Determining External Flood Hazards for Nuclear Facilities"

> Federal Agencies PFHA Workshop January 29 - 31, 2013

> > Dr. Yan Gao - WG Chair (WEC) Presented by Mr. Ray Schneider -WG Member (WEC)



ANS-2.8



- Background
- Objective/Scope
- Probabilistic Approach
- Present Status
- Q & A




Background

- Historical ANSI/ANS-2.8 (1992) "Determining design basis flooding at Nuclear Power sites" administratively withdrawn
 - Scope considered flooding caused by
 - Precipitation, snowmelt and any resulting dam failures
 - Seismically-induced and "sunny day" dam failures
 - Surge and seiche and attendant wind generation
 - Local intense precipitation (pluvial flooding)
 - Ice effects, and
 - A reasonable combination of these events.
 - Intent to establish a methodology for a design basis flood hazard with "virtually no risk of exceedance" (i.e. Probable Maximum Floods (PMFs), Probable Maximum Hurricanes (PMH), etc.) deterministically defined bounding values with anticipated mean as low as < 10-6/yr mean probability of exceedence
 - Excluded consideration of tsunamis
 - Appendix B (not formally part of standard) estimated expected frequencies of recommended combinations
 - Withdrawn in 2002 by ANSI rules and not subsequently updated



Background - continued

- ANSI/ANS-2.8-201X being developed to fill an important nuclear standards gap
 - Reflect nuclear site flooding events since 1992
 - Insights from Hurricane Katrina surges
 - Record Mississippi and Red River floods
 - Combined flooding events at a European coastal site
 - Include surge effects of tsunamis
 - Integrate consideration of "climate change" effects
 - Reflect state-of-the-art enhancements in technology, computation methods and capabilities in fluid dynamics and hydrology
 - Extend application from power reactors to all nuclear facilities which required design basis flood estimation as a function of return periods





Objective

- Develop ANSI/ANS voluntary consensus standard for determining a full range of external flood hazards
- Serve as a reference for siting nuclear facilities, SSC design, and evaluation of flood protection features
 - Deterministic methods screening process
 - Deterministic methods focus on bounding flood hazards (when possible and desirable)
 - Probabilistic risk informed methods for treating frequencybased hazard requirements and understanding hazard uncertainties
- Identify "best practices" and technologies for performing the above assessments using new flood data and technical knowledge gained over past 20 years





- Riverine flooding
 - Rainfall, snowmelt
 - Controlled and uncontrolled releases from upstream dams
- Upstream dam failure
 - Hydrologic
 - Non-hydrologic (seismic, intrinsic, other)
- Hurricane-induced storm surge
- Wind- and earthquake-generated seiche
- Tsunami
 - Seismically-initiated
 - Landslide-initiated



Outside of Scope

- Low water
- Dispersion, dilution and travel time of accident release of effluents
- Local intense precipitation (ANS-2.31 under development)
- Groundwater (Addressed in ANSI/ANS-2.17)
- Internal or external flooding from failure of pipes or tanks
- Standard does not specify:
 - Requirements regarding acceptability of any particular hazard frequency or hazard profile
 - Guidance on appropriateness of facility flood protection or



mitigation systems


Probabilistic Approach - example

Riverine Flood

- Riverine Probabilistic Flood Hazard Analysis (PFHA)
- Probabilistic Aleatory Modeling
- Probabilistic Epistemic Uncertainty Modeling



Typical Results of Probabilistic Approach





Present Status – 21-Member WG

- Y. Gao (Chair), Westinghouse Electric Company
- R. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Company
- M. McCann, Jack Benjamin & Associates, Inc
- J. Kanney, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- C. Mazzola, Shaw Environmental Inc.
- J. Stevenson, J.D. Stevenson Associates
- K. Bryson, Chair, ANS-25 Subcommittee
- K. Ng, Bechtel Power Corp.
- J. Hunt, B&W technical Services Y-12
- J. August, CORE Inc.
- Q. Hossain, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- V. Anderson, Nuclear Energy Institute
- J. Stedinger, Cornell University
- R. Rishel, *Duke Energy*
- D. Finnicum, Westinghouse Electric Company
- G. Love, *BWSC Co*.
- L. Cieslik, *HDR Co*.
- G. Meyers, Department of Energy HS-32
- P. Watts, *Applied Fluids Co.*
- V. Titov, NOAA/Pacific National Environmental Laboratory
- G. Gaillot, Shaw Environmental Inc.



Present Status – continued

- Working draft actively being generated
- Methods and approaches reflect current industry practice and capabilities
- This PFHA Workshop will provide extremely important insights into method selection and application
- Compilation of WG member inputs in process
- Completion target later this year



Questions??

