Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Grand Gulf License Renewal

Public Meeting: Afternoon Session

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Port Gibson, Mississippi

Date: Tuesday, January 31, 2012

Work Order No.: NRC-1404 Pages 1-31

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS
5	LICENSE RENEWAL AND
6	ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING PROCESS
7	GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION
8	LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION
9	+ + + +
10	Tuesday, January 31, 2012
11	+ + + +
12	City Hall Chambers
13	Port Gibson City Hall
14	1005 College Street
15	Port Gibson, Mississippi
16	+ + + +
17	2:00 p.m.
18	+ + + +
19	BEFORE: BILL MAIER, Facilitator
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AGENDA

	<u> </u>
2	<u>ITEM</u> <u>PAGE</u>
3	Welcome and Purpose of Meeting3
4	Overview of the License Renewal Process9
5	Overview of the Environmental Review Process19
6	Public Comments
7	Closing
8	Adjourn
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

NEAL R. GROSS

$\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$

MR. MAIER: Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you for coming to today's meeting and showing an interest in what your government's business is as it affects you and relates to the environment around the Grand Gulf Nuclear Plant.

My name is Bill Maier, and I work in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's, or NRC's, regional office in Arlington, Texas. It's my pleasure to serve as the facilitator for this afternoon's meeting.

The purpose of this meeting is twofold:

First it is intended to provide information to you about the process by which the NRC will review the license renewal application of Entergy Operations for the Grand Gulf Nuclear Plant to operate for an additional 20 years.

During that first portion of the meeting, the various NRC officials who will be reviewing that application will provide information to you about the process and the expected time line for the review.

After that presentation, we will provide an opportunity for you to ask questions of the various NRC presenters and officials present to clarify the information they presented.

We hope that the folks present will be

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

able to answer all the questions asked, but if they can't, I will ensure that the question is captured, and we'll get your contact information to get an answer back to you.

And if you think of a question that you would like to have answered but didn't get to the microphone to ask it, the NRC staff will be remaining behind after the meeting is over, to discuss any questions you may have then.

The second and the most important part of the meeting is intended to provide an opportunity for you to give information and comments to the NRC about what you want the NRC to consider during the review of the potential environmental impacts of an additional 20 years of operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Plant.

Today's meeting is just one way of providing that information. If you did not come prepared to this meeting to provide comments and the information provided by the NRC folks gives you ideas later that you wish to provide to the NRC, there are other methods available within the period specified for providing comments, and the NRC presenters will go into detail about how to do that.

There are also feedback forms available -- and here's one of them (indicating) --

NEAL R. GROSS

for you to fill out, to tell the NRC about how the meeting went and how it could be improved. The NRC is always looking to improve its meeting, and your feedback is important.

You can fill it out as the meeting is going on or at the end and get it back to one of us, who I'll introduce shortly, or you can take it home, and you can fill it out and mail it back to the NRC. Postage is prepaid. And I'll mention these again before the meeting is adjourned.

At this point I'd like to provide some brief introductions of some of the NRC staff who is here and who would be glad to accept your completed meeting feedback forms. I'll ask them to stand briefly as I mention them.

There are two individuals here who are going to do the lion's share of the meeting. Nate Ferrer: Nate is the project manager coordinating the NRC review of the safety aspects of the Grand Gulf license renewal application; and David Drucker: David is the project manager coordinating the NRC review of the environmental aspects of the application.

Also representing the NRC are Dave Wrona;
Dave is the chief of the Environmental Projects Branch
in the NRC's Division of License Renewal at NRC

NEAL R. GROSS

headquarters; and Dennis Morey. Is Dennis here? He stepped out. We'll identify Dennis later.

Dennis is the chief of the Safety Projects Branch in that same division. Also here is Laura Uselding. Laura is the public affairs officer in the NRC's Region IV office in Arlington, Texas. And the resident inspector, Blake Rice, is here, and he is supporting this meeting.

We also -- you may have noticed that two deputies are standing in the doorway. Deputies Smith and Claiborne are here. They are here for your protection. It makes good sense in a public meeting such as this one to have someone to turn to if you get into difficulty and need some help, so we welcome you gentlemen for being here.

At this point I would like to introduce two of the most important people here tonight. Mr. Bob Smylie is working the sound system here. Bob, would you raise your hand? And Ms. Penny Bynum is transcribing the meeting to capture it into written form, word for word, and enter it into the public record.

This lets the NRC reviewers know what comments were provided orally and lets the world know that your comments were provided and that the NRC

NEAL R. GROSS

needs to consider them. Ms. Bynum is recording the meeting from the microphones, and because of this, there are certain rules that need to be followed:

First one is, only one person speaks at a time. More than one person speaking at the same time can result in important information being lost in the transcription process. Second rule: Side conversations, please take them outside the room. They can also disrupt the recording process.

From this point on, all cell phones and other devices that make audible alarms need to be either turned off or set to vibrate. I'll be the first one to start that.

Let me say that again: All cell phones and other devices that make audible alarms need to be set to vibrate or turned off.

And most importantly, any speaker who is being recognized at the time must have me come to them with the hand mike and speak into it. This is vitally important to ensure that the most important information that you have to provide is accurately captured.

In order for persons who have comments to provide to be properly recognized, the NRC has provided these yellow cards that are filled out and

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

given to me. So far we haven't gotten anybody to fill out a yellow card, but I'm hoping that somebody will fill out a yellow card and register.

But you're not required to fill out a yellow card if you have comments later to make. We'll recognize other folks that may not have filled out yellow cards, but yellow cards for a large number of comments will ensure that the folks that have come prepared for comments will be able to get those comments on the record.

If you need to get a card, I will be bringing blank cards around during the presentations and the question period, and wave to me if you need to fill one out, if you would like to make a comment for the NRC to consider in this review. I will call people up in the order that I receive their yellow cards.

It is very important that you fill out the card clearly so that I don't mispronounce your name and get it into the record wrongly. When I recognize you by name, you can come up and make your comment.

Based on how long this meeting is expected to last and how many yellow cards I have received so far, we don't anticipate that there will

NEAL R. GROSS

be a limit for comments, but if a lot of cards do get filled out, then we may have to put a time limit on the time for folks to make comments.

Okay. Now there's some logistical information I'd like to make you aware of about the room and the building that we're in. There are restrooms at the bottom of the staircase here. They are at the bottom of the staircase and behind it, towards the back of the building.

There's a water fountain across from those restrooms on the first floor. It's next to the elevator. In the event of an emergency, you're asked to go either down this staircase here outside this door and out the door to the back of the building and congregate in the parking lot, or there is also an external staircase from the room that's across the hall from us. There's a door that goes out to an external staircase where we could leave the building that way.

Are there any questions on what I've gone over so far?

(No response.)

MR. MAIER: Okay. If there are no other questions, please silence your cell phones, and we'll begin the NRC presentation.

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FERRER: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Nate Ferrer, and as Bill mentioned, I'm the safety project manager at the NRC coordinating the staff's review of the Grand Gulf license renewal application.

I'd like to thank you all for coming to the meeting this afternoon. Today we'll be providing an overview of the license renewal review process, which includes both a safety and an environmental review.

We will describe ways in which the public can participate in the renewal process, and I'd like to reiterate what Bill said, about the most important part of today's meeting being -- is that we'll be receiving comments that you may have on the scope of the environmental review. So I hope the information we provide today will help you understand the license renewal process and the roles that you can have in that process.

And before I get into the discussion on the license renewal, I'd like to take a minute to talk about the NRC in terms of what we do and what our mission is.

The NRC is a federal agency that regulates the civilian use of nuclear material. The

NEAL R. GROSS

Atomic Energy Act authorizes the NRC to grant 40-year operating licenses for nuclear power reactors.

I'd like to highlight that the 40-year term was based primarily on economic considerations and antitrust factors and not on safety or technical limitations. The Atomic Energy Act also allows for license renewal, which is what we're here to talk about today.

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, or NEPA, established a national policy for considering the impact of federal decision-making, such as license renewal, on the human environment. Dave Drucker will be discussing NEPA in greater detail during his portion of the presentation.

The NRC's mission is to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment, and we accomplish this mission through a combination of regulatory programs and processes such as establishing rules and regulations, conducting inspections, issuing enforcement actions, and assessing licensee performance. We also evaluate operating experience from nuclear plants across the country and internationally as well.

The NRC has resident inspectors at all

NEAL R. GROSS

operating nuclear power plants, and Bill introduced Blake Rice, one of the resident inspectors here at Grand Gulf. And these inspectors are considered the eyes and ears of the NRC, that carry out our mission on a daily basis, and they're on the front lines of ensuring acceptable safety performance and compliance with regulatory requirements.

I would like to mention a few very important areas of NRC oversight that routinely come up during our interactions with members of the public. NRC staff address these areas of performance every day as part of the ongoing regulatory oversight provided for all operating power reactors.

They include current safety performance, emergency planning, and security. For specific information on current performance of Grand Gulf, please use the link on the slide, which is also in your handout.

The NRC monitors and provides regulatory oversight of activities in these areas on an ongoing basis under the current operating license; therefore, we do not reevaluate them in the license renewal process. And that's not to say they are not important; we just don't duplicate the regulatory process for license renewal.

NEAL R. GROSS

Another issue that is addressed under our ongoing regulatory oversight is the NRC's response to the accident at the Fukushima Dai-Ichi power plant in Japan. Since the accident at Fukushima, the NRC has taken multiple steps to ensure the safe operation of nuclear power plants both now and in the future.

As part of its initial response to the accident, the NRC inspected all of the nation's nuclear power plants in order to assess disaster readiness and compliance with current regulations.

The NRC then issued the Near-Term Task Force Report, which provided near-term recommendations and a suggested framework for us to move forward in the longer term.

This report was issued on July 12, and the results were discussed in a public meeting on July 28. As a result, the Near-Term Task Force presented 12 overarching recommendations for improvement, and these recommendations are applicable to operating reactors, regardless of their status in the license renewal process.

The NRC has prioritized these recommendations, and the Commission has recommended staff to move forward on those that can be pursued without delay, and the staff plans to issue related

NEAL R. GROSS

orders and demands for information by March of this year. And it's important to note that to date, the NRC has not identified any issues as part of these activities that call into question the safety of any nuclear facility.

I'll reemphasize also that this review process is going on independent of license renewal, and any changes that are identified as necessary will be implemented for all licensees. More information on the NRC's post-Fukushima activities can be found on the NRC's website by clicking on the Japan Nuclear Accident-NRC Actions link on the home page, directly through the web address on this slide. There's also a limited number of copies of the Near-Term Task Force Report available on the back table.

At this point I'll move on to Grand Gulf and the license renewal process. The NRC received Grand Gulf's application for license renewal on November 1, 2011, requesting an additional 20 years of operation.

The current operating license for Grand Gulf expires in 2024, and I'll note that licensees can submit an application for license renewal after they have operated for 20 years. The NRC has determined that 20 years of operation provides enough operating

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

experience information for the staff to make an informed decision on license renewal.

The first step of the license renewal process is to perform an acceptance and sufficiency review of the application. The purpose of this review is to determine if the applicant has provided all of the required information.

Required information includes things such as technical information about plant structures and components and how the applicant proposes to manage the aging of these structures and components.

Technical specifications define the operating parameters of the plant, and the application indicates if any changes or additions to the technical specifications are necessary to manage the effects of aging.

The application also includes an environmental report, which is the applicant's assessment of the environmental impacts of continued operation.

If the application has all of the required information, then it is considered acceptable and is put on the NRC's formal docket, and the staff will perform a full review.

In terms of Grand Gulf, the license

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

renewal application was formally accepted by the NRC on December 16 of 2011.

flow chart highlights This that license renewal process involves two parallel reviews: the safety review and the environmental review, and each evaluate separate aspects the application. This also all of the shows considerations in the Commission's decision of whether to renew an operating license.

I'll explain the dotted line, which shows the hearing process. And this process may be conducted if interested stakeholders submit concerns or contentions, and their request for a hearing is granted.

The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, which is an adjudicatory panel, will conduct the hearings. The Commission considers the outcome of these hearings in its decision on whether or not to renew the license.

At this point I'll describe the safety review in a little more detail. There are two main principles that guide the license renewal safety review. The first is that our current regulatory process is adequate to ensure all operating plants maintain an acceptable level of safety.

NEAL R. GROSS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The second principle is that the same rules that apply under the current license will apply during the additional 20 years of operation and, in addition, a renewed license will include conditions that must be met to ensure that applicants adequately manage the effects of aging and maintain the same acceptable level of safety for the additional 20 years of operation or, in other words, what's being done today will continue, plus a lot more, to ensure the continued safe operation of the plant.

The safety review focuses on several types of systems, structures, and components. We look at safety-related systems, structures, and components, and we also look at nonsafety-related equipment that could affect safety-related systems, structures, and components if they failed.

An additional category that we look at are systems, structures, and components that are relied upon for compliance with the regulations that are listed on the slide.

The safety review focuses on the effects of aging on all of these different types of systems, structures, or components, and the staff's main objective in this review is to determine if the effects of aging will be adequately managed by the

NEAL R. GROSS

applicant.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now that I've described what reviewing during the process, I'll talk about how we perform that review. The NRC has team of approximately 30 technical reviewers and contractors review the application and supporting documentation from our headquarters in Washington, DC.

The staff also comes onsite for three weeks to conduct audits in which we verify the technical basis of the application and confirm the applicant's programs and activities are consistent with how they're described in the application.

In addition, a team of specialized inspectors from our regional office in Texas travel to the site to verify that the aging-management programs are being implemented or planned consistent with the application.

staff documents the basis and conclusion of its review in safety evaluation а In a final step in the safety review process, there's independent review by the an Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. This committee is a group of scientists and nuclear safety experts who serve as a consulting body to the Commission.

The committee performs an independent

NEAL R. GROSS

review of the license renewal application as well as the staff's safety evaluation report and inspection findings and makes a recommendation to the Commission regarding license renewal.

This slide shows the important milestones for the safety review process. It's important to note that these dates are tentative, and if any significant issues arise during the process, delays may result.

I'll also note that the safety evaluation report, which is indicated as final SER on the slide, is publicly available, and the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards meetings, or ACRS, as they're shown on the slide, are open to the public, and they're held at our headquarters in Washington, DC.

This concludes the description of the safety review, and Dave Drucker will now discuss the environmental review.

MR. DRUCKER: Thank you, Nate. And good afternoon. My name is David Drucker, and my focus is on the environmental review.

This review is performed in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, commonly referred to as NEPA. NEPA established a national policy for considering environmental impacts and provides the basic architecture for federal

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

environmental reviews.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All federal agencies must follow a systematic approach in evaluation of potential impacts of their significant actions and also assess alternatives to those actions.

NEPA process involves public participation and public disclosure. The NRC's environmental regulations implementing the requirements of NEPA are contained in 10 CFR Part 51. Our environmental review considers the impact license renewal and any mitigation for those impacts considered significant.

We also consider the impacts of alternatives to license renewal, including the impacts of not issuing a renewed license. We document our review in an Environmental Impact Statement which is made publicly available.

Ultimately purpose the the of environmental review is to determine whether the impacts license environmental of renewal are reasonable and, in combination with other reviews, to make a recommendation to the Commission whether to renew the license or not.

For a license renewal review, the NRC environmental staff looks at a wide range of potential

NEAL R. GROSS

impacts. Additionally, we consult with various federal, state, and local officials, as well as leaders of Indian nations.

Examples include the US Fish & Wildlife Service, Environmental Protection Agency, Louisiana and Mississippi state historic preservation officers, Louisiana and Mississippi tribal nations with historic ties to the area around the plant. We gather pertinent information from these sources and ensure it is considered in our analysis.

The environmental review begins with the scoping process, which is an assessment of the specific impacts and significant issues that the staff should consider in preparing the Grand Gulf Environmental Impact Statement.

Currently this is where we are in the process. Information that we gather from you today and in the next few weeks will be considered and included in the Environmental Impact Statement.

We've recognized that some impacts are similar if not identical at all nuclear power plants, so to improve efficiency, we developed a Generic Environmental Impact Statement that addresses a number of impacts common to all nuclear power plants. The staff supplements that Generic Environmental Impact

NEAL R. GROSS

Statement with a site-specific Environmental Impact
Statement in which we address issues that are specific
to Grand Gulf.

The staff also reexamines the conclusions reached in the Generic Environmental Impact Statement to determine if there is any new and significant information that would change those conclusions.

The scoping period started December 29, 2011, when the notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and conduct scoping was published in the Federal Register.

The NRC will accept comments on the scope of the environmental review until February 27, 2012. In general we are looking for information about the environmental impacts from the continued operation of Grand Gulf.

You can assist this process by telling us, for example, what aspects of your local community we should focus on; what local environmental, social, and economic issues the NRC should examine during our review, and what other major projects are in process or planned in the area, and what reasonable alternatives are most approximately for this region.

These are just some of the examples of the input we seek through the environmental scoping

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

process. We don't know your community as well as you do, so your comments help to ensure a thorough review.

Public comments are a very important part of the environmental review process, so how do we use your comments? All of your comments to us, whether provided verbally during this meeting or in a written letter, fax, or email, are considered and addressed. We respond to each comment as part of the Environmental Impact Statement.

The Environmental Impact Statement is one of the factors, as well as several others shown here on this slide, that influences the Commission's decision to review the license or not.

Now, in addition to providing verbal and written comments at this meeting, there are other ways that you can submit comments. You can submit comments online using the federal rulemaking website at the regulations.gov website. You just need to enter the key word NRC-2011-0262, and this will bring you to a list of Federal Register notices.

The top one will say, Entergy Operations,
Inc., Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental
Impact Statement and Conduct Scoping Process for Grand
Gulf Nuclear Station Unit 1.

Then there's an icon off to the right-

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

hand side of that page that will enable you to submit comments. If you have any problems with that, please feel free to give me a call, and I can walk you through it.

Also you can fax comments to the number shown on the slide, and please reference Grand Gulf License Renewal on the fax if you do so.

Please note that the comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, so please do not include any information in comments that you do not want publicly disclosed.

And as I mentioned before, the deadline for comments is February 27, 2012.

Also, written comments can be mailed to the chief of our Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch at the address provided on the slide. And finally, if you happen to be traveling to Washington, DC, you can provide written comments in person during business hours.

This slide shows important milestones. As Nate said, these dates are subject to change based on the progress of the review. The opportunities to submit contentions for a hearing closes on February 27, 2012, and also the opportunity to submit environmental comments closes on February 27, 2012.

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Please note that a draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement is scheduled to be issued for public comment in December of 2012, with an associated public meeting to receive your comments on this preliminary document.

And Nate and I are the primary points of contact at the NRC for license renewal issues for Grand Gulf. Our contact information is provided on this slide, and it's also in the handout that was available at the table when you first walked in.

A hard copy of the license renewal application and the environmental report is currently sitting on the table just outside of the back of the room here. It also may be found at the library shown on the slide. The draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement will also be available at this library when it is published for comment.

These documents will also be on the NRC's website at the web address shown at the bottom of this slide, which is also contained in your handout.

As you came in, you were asked to fill out a registration card at the reception table. If you've included your address on that card -- these are the blue cards -- we will mail a CD copy of the draft and the final EIS to you.

NEAL R. GROSS

This concludes my presentation, but before we start the comment period, I just want to make sure, if there's any questions on what I've presented or what Nate presented, this is the opportunity to talk about what we've presented, and next we'll follow on with a comment period. Looking for questions?

(No response.)

MR. DRUCKER: No, I don't see any. So at this time I really would like to thank you all for participating in today's meeting, and I want to turn the mic back over to Bill Maier.

MR. MAIER: Thank you, David.

Before we get to any questions or comments -- I guess David's already done the screening for the questions, but David had talked about the handout. Here's a copy of the handout that hopefully you got a copy of.

It has a lot of those web links as well as the addresses for getting comments in, and here's an example of a blue card. And a lot of folks have been asking me if copies of the slides are available, and I know they will be available in a meeting summary, and if folks fill out this blue card, will they be able to get copies of that meeting summary and

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

copies of the slides? MR. FERRER: Yes. I'll send them all. MAIER: Okay. Are there any questions for the NRC folks? Oh, before we do start, I'd also like to take a moment for two more introductions. Dennis Morey: Dennis is the branch chief 8 of the Safety Branch; he wasn't here when I introduced him earlier. Dennis, would you stand up for a second. 10 (Pause.) 11 MR. MAIER: Thank you. 12 And way in the back is Laura Uselding. Laura is with the Office of Public Affairs, and she's 13 the public affairs officer at the regional office in 14 15 Arlington. 16 there any questions for the folks? Any at all? Any questions of any kind? 17 I have gotten no yellow cards, so there 18 19 is nobody who is really registered to provide comments 20 to the NRC. Is there anybody who, absent a yellow 21 card, would like to make a comment or would like to 22 step up and either read a comment or have me come 23 around with the hand mic? 24 I see a hand.

(Pause.)

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. HILLEGAS: I'll just ask a question
of the safety person. Do you consider it safe to have
an evacuation route that runs from past the
plant on the one road back past the plant to I
should have said perhaps beyond the if one travels
past the beyond the plant and then needs to
evacuate, that the route is back past the plant? Is
that a safe evacuation?
MR. FERRER: In terms of safe evacuation,
that falls in line with one of the issues that we
regulate on an ongoing basis, emergency planning, and
there's periodic evaluations of those types of things,
such as evacuation routes, that are done at the site.
And if there's ever any determination
that there needs to be improvements to those plans,
then those are made, as I said, on an ongoing basis.
Does that answer your question?
MS. HILLEGAS: Was that yes or a no?
MR. FERRER: That's a yes.
MS. HILLEGAS: That it is safe.
MR. FERRER: That's correct.
MR. MAIER: Any additional questions?
Any comments that you'd like the NRC to consider in
their environmental review? Anything that folks would
like to make sure is included in that?

(Pause.)

MR. MAIER: I don't know what else we can do today, gentlemen. I think we may be at the end of the meeting. I'd like to thank the folks who came for showing up for this. I hope that at least the portion of the meeting where the NRC presented information was helpful and informative.

And I see another question.

MS. HILLEGAS: Excuse me. I did remember that I have one other kind of question, and I don't know whether I have -- okay. I don't think I have the information to ask the question well.

But I saw a very recent report that discussed the -- a problem found -- was it by the NRC or by some other body? -- with the boiling water reactor of the same type that is used at Grand Gulf.

Would whoever knows how to respond to that please describe what was found and what is being done after that determination?

MR. MAIER: It's a question about the type of reactor at Grand Gulf and a problem? Are you aware of what that problem might be related to or --

MS. HILLEGAS: I don't remember precisely how it was stated, and I just realized that I don't have the right piece of paper with that information on

NEAL R. GROSS

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's -- I found it on line, though, looking up Grand Gulf. If anybody has a computer, I could find it again in a minute. It's an article that has been published in the past few weeks if not just this month.

No one here has heard about any problem that's been discovered about boiling water reactors?

MR. MAIER: Laura, are you clued in with anything?

I think Laura will try to make herself available to you afterwards and try to get your information. If there's more information you wanted to ask, maybe, Laura, you can give her your contact information, and she can get a question to you somehow.

MS. HILLEGAS: I'll be back.

MR. MAIER: Okay. Great. We'll see you tonight, then.

Yes, that's an interesting and important point. There will be a second meeting tonight. It will begin at seven o'clock in the evening here in this very room, and the NRC staff will make themselves available in an open-house type format for an hour before that meeting, so that will start at 6:00 p.m.

NEAL R. GROSS

If there are no other questions or no other comments, I think we may be at the point of ending this meeting. We would like to thank Mayor Reeves and the staff here for providing the room and the venue for conducting the meeting.

Thank you to Bob and thank you to Penny for your services. Thank you to the deputies from the Sheriff's office; appreciate you being here.

And is there anything else that anybody would like to say before we close out?

(No response.)

MR. MAIER: Once again, as I mentioned in the opening comments, the NRC will make themselves available if anybody has comments or questions that they'd like to -- well, questions that they'd like to ask of the NRC staff. Maybe we can get some of those questions answered.

If there's nothing else that anybody would like to say, I'll go ahead and thank you for all coming.

(Whereupon, at 2:40 p.m., the public meeting was concluded.)

Τ

(

NEAL R. GROSS