Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste 141st Meeting

Docket Number: (not applicable)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Wednesday, April 23, 2003

Work Order No.: NRC-892

Pages 238-297

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	238
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + + +
4	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR WASTE (ACNW)
5	141ST MEETING
6	+ + + +
7	WEDNESDAY,
8	APRIL 23, 2003
9	+ + + +
10	ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND
11	+ + + +
12	The Advisory Committee met at the Nuclear
13	Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, Room
14	T2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, at 8:30 a.m., George M.
15	Hornberger, Chairman, presiding.
16	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
17	GEORGE M. HORNBERGER, Chairman
18	RAYMOND G. WYMER, Vice Chairman
19	B. JOHN GARRICK, Member
20	MILTON N. LEVENSON, Member
21	MICHAEL T. RYAN, Member
22	
23	
24	
25	

	239
1	ACNW STAFF PRESENT:
2	SHER BAHADUR, Associate Director, ACRS/ACNW
3	NEIL COLEMAN, ACNW Staff
4	TIMOTHY KOBETZ, ACRS Staff
5	HOWARD J. LARSON, Special Assistant, ACRS/ACNW
6	MICHAEL LEE, ACRS Staff
7	RICHARD K. MAJOR, ACRS/ACNW Staff
8	RICHARD SAVIO, ACRS Staff
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	JOHN T. GREEVES
12	JANET R. SCHLUETER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	240
1	I N D E X
2	Opening Statement
3	Update on NRC Division of Waste Management
4	Activities
5	Discussion of Self-Assessment Survey
6	Results
7	Adjourn
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	241
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(8:31 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: The meeting will
4	come to order. This is the second day of the 141st
5	meeting of the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste.
6	My name is George Hornberger, Chairman of the ACNW.
7	The other Members of the Committee present are John
8	Garrick, Milton Levenson and Michael Ryan.
9	Today, the Committee will hear
10	presentations and hold discussions with the Director,
11	the Division of Waste Management on recent DWM
12	activities of interest; (2) discuss the results of the
13	Committee's self-assessment survey; and (3) discuss a
14	possible update to the Committee's 2002-2003 action
15	plan.
16	Mike Lee is the Designated Federal
17	Official for today's initial session. This meeting is
18	being conducted in accordance with the provisions of
19	the Federal Advisory Committee Act. We have received
20	no written comments or requests for time to make oral
21	statements from members of the public regarding
22	today's session.
23	Should anyone wish to address the
24	Committee, please make your wishes known to one of the
25	Committee's staff. It is requested that speakers use

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	242
1	one of the microphones, identify themselves and speak
2	with sufficient clarity and volume so that they can be
3	readily heard.
4	John, it's a pleasure to have you here, as
5	usual, and before start, I did want to warn you that
6	John Garrick and I have an appointment to see
7	Commissioner McGaffigan at 9:30, so about 9:20, we
8	will get up, not to be rude to you, if you're in the
9	middle of something, but just that we have another
10	engagement. So that gives you an idea of our time
11	pressure.
12	DR. GREEVES: That's good and 9:20 is
13	probably a good amount of time.
14	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: The floor is yours.
15	DR. GREEVES: Good morning. It's actually
16	been some time since we've had this kind of a session
17	and I'd like to remedy that in the future and have
18	them more often. It's been about a year, I think,
19	since I came down and talked to you like this.
20	MEMBER GARRICK: Where have you been?
21	(Laughter.)
22	DR. GREEVES: Busy.
23	(Laughter.)
24	DR. GREEVES: And we've done this before.
25	It's just been too long.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	243
1	I'd like to refer to it as kind of a top
2	10 discussion, come down and visit with you and it
3	turns out I've got 9 items today. I think this type
4	of venue is good. I believe you have in front of you
5	a few little pieces of paper, handouts and you can see
6	the nine items that I want to go through. They're not
7	necessarily in any order of importance and some of
8	them are real quick. So with some luck, we can use
9	the time wisely between now and 9:30 and get through
10	these topics.
11	I would encourage some dialogue on the
12	topic. This isn't about me coming up here and giving
13	a presentation and running through all of it and
14	stopping and going backwards. I just thought this
15	list would be a good one. There are some other things
16	on my mind, but there will be other meetings.
17	So I thought we'd go this way and first,
18	just kind of news. I think most of you are familiar
19	with some of the organizational changes that Marty and
20	Margaret made recently. And this is a little hard to
21	see, you've got a copy in front of you. But
22	MEMBER GARRICK: You've successfully
23	designed on that we can't read.
24	(Laughter.)
25	DR. GREEVES: You have a copy right in

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	244
1	front of you. So I try and make these presentations
2	easy of me, so I grab as much material that's handy
3	and this is a little bit informal, but I'll take some
4	ribbing about the chart.
5	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: We've never do that,
6	John.
7	DR. GREEVES: I've been here before.
8	(Laughter.)
9	DR. GREEVES: You'll note on there that
10	John Linehan has a new position, Business and Program
11	Integration. There's been a lot more activity with
12	the LSN. You know about that process and it's seen
13	that it really needs a point person on that, so John
14	Linehan has taken over that role and he's quite busy
15	in that process.
16	The program management function that John
17	had before is now Mel Leach and you'll see Mel there
18	on the chart. So Mel's quite capable and this will be
19	good for him to get involved with the programmatic
20	issues that John has taken care of in the past.
21	Just sort of moving a little further to
22	the right, you'll see that Don Cool is a Senior Level
23	Advisor on Health Physics issues. That really an
24	Agency resource and it's resourced to all of the
25	divisions and I'm in fact, utilizing Don's support in

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1a couple of the topics that I'll go over here today.2I think it's working out well and I'll look forward to3that.4In IMNS, you'll note that Charlie Miller5moved from Spent Fuel Program Office over to6Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and7Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's25probably going to be some more in about a year. Any		245
3that.4In IMNS, you'll note that Charlie Miller5moved from Spent Fuel Program Office over to6Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and7Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	1	a couple of the topics that I'll go over here today.
4In IMNS, you'll note that Charlie Miller5moved from Spent Fuel Program Office over to6Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and7Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	2	I think it's working out well and I'll look forward to
5moved from Spent Fuel Program Office over to6Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and7Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	3	that.
6Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point to that position.10I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.14is good for him, good for the organization and you can look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	4	In IMNS, you'll note that Charlie Miller
7Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	5	moved from Spent Fuel Program Office over to
8Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper9moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	6	Industrial Medical Nuclear Safety as the Director and
 moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point to that position. I hate to lose Larry, as you well know. He's done a good job working for me, but I think this is good for him, good for the organization and you can look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel Program Office activities. Dan Gillen has moved into the position that Larry had. It's right here in my organization and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards. Over here. So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's 	7	Trish Hollahan is his Deputy.
10there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	8	Just sort of other aspects, Larry Camper
11to that position.12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	9	moved over to the SFPO position and he's a Deputy
12I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.13He's done a good job working for me, but I think this14is good for him, good for the organization and you can15look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel16Program Office activities.17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	10	there to Bill Brach. There's a pen here. I'll point
 He's done a good job working for me, but I think this is good for him, good for the organization and you can look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel Program Office activities. Dan Gillen has moved into the position that Larry had. It's right here in my organization and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards. Over here. So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's 	11	to that position.
 14 is good for him, good for the organization and you can 15 look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel 16 Program Office activities. 17 Dan Gillen has moved into the position 18 that Larry had. It's right here in my organization 19 and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste 20 issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety 21 and Safeguards. Over here. 22 So it's always good to know who the 23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's 	12	I hate to lose Larry, as you well know.
15 look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel Program Office activities. 17 Dan Gillen has moved into the position that Larry had. It's right here in my organization and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards. Over here. 22 So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	13	He's done a good job working for me, but I think this
16 Program Office activities. 17 Dan Gillen has moved into the position 18 that Larry had. It's right here in my organization 19 and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste 20 issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety 21 and Safeguards. Over here. 22 So it's always good to know who the 23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	14	is good for him, good for the organization and you can
17Dan Gillen has moved into the position18that Larry had. It's right here in my organization19and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste20issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety21and Safeguards. Over here.22So it's always good to know who the23players are and where they are and I think these moves24by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	15	look forward to interacting with him on Spent Fuel
18 that Larry had. It's right here in my organization and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards. Over here. 20 So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	16	Program Office activities.
19 and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste 20 issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety 21 and Safeguards. Over here. 22 So it's always good to know who the 23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	17	Dan Gillen has moved into the position
20 issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety 21 and Safeguards. Over here. 22 So it's always good to know who the 23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	18	that Larry had. It's right here in my organization
and Safeguards. Over here. So it's always good to know who the players are and where they are and I think these moves by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	19	and you'll be seeing a lot of Dan Gillen on the waste
22 So it's always good to know who the 23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	20	issues. And Susan Frant moved to Fuel Cycle Safety
23 players are and where they are and I think these moves 24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	21	and Safeguards. Over here.
24 by Marty make a stronger organization and there's	22	So it's always good to know who the
	23	players are and where they are and I think these moves
25 probably going to be some more in about a year. Any	24	by Marty make a stronger organization and there's
	25	probably going to be some more in about a year. Any

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

246 1 management team evolves with time. Some of our responsibilities are changing over time, so we'll be 2 back as those play out over about the next year to 3 4 keep you informed on those. 5 So I think you probably are aware of these, but I don't think anybody had come down and 6 7 given you a little bit of a cue card and shown you 8 exactly where people were, but it's good for you to 9 know who the players are and what the topics are associated with that. 10 11 With that, the second topic I wanted to 12 into was a little bit of a feedback process qet between the Committee and NMSS in part. I know you're 13 14 doing your self-assessment process. I had a chance to 15 visit with John Larkins and the staff. I think that was quite useful for both of you. I'd like to thank 16 you for recognizing the performance of the Division in 17 Performance Assessment Workshop. 18 terms of the Ι 19 think that was a success. I got good feedback on that 20 and we certainly enjoy hearing that, so specifically 21

the team that worked that were recognized, Tim McCartin, Andy Campbell, one of your own, and Dave Esh, Chris Grossman. We appreciate that feedback. We also look for where we fall short of the mark, we want to hear from you and we want to improve what we do

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

247 1 from time to time, so I think it's been constructive. 2 I think we need to stay on top of these key technical 3 issues. I would look forward to future exchanges on 4 igneous activity. 5 Igneous activity is going to be with us for some time. We need to work that and I'd like to 6 7 engage you more on that over time. Container lifetime, another example of one 8 9 of the ones you mentioned in your recent Committee I think both of us need to focus on, for 10 briefing. example, those two key technical issues in future 11 12 meetings. A topic that comes up and in fact, you 13 14 mentioned it in your opening remarks yesterday, 15 periodic exchange of staff. We welcomed Andy that the point in time Andy came back. I think it was good for 16 him. It was a good process. Tim's going to be coming 17 It's probably a little bit of a 18 to work on us. 19 surprise for you. And John Larkins and I are trying 20 to work a rotational process that is a little less 21 surprising and a little bit more manageable and I 22 think that's to all of our benefits. So I just wanted 23 to give you some feedback that we're trying to work 24 that issue and it's a healthy process. It's a 25 different kind of experience working for the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

manage that process.

1

2

3

4

5

7

So I'm done on that feedback topic. 6 Unless there's any questions, I'll just keep on 8 moving.

9 The third topic is the SRM for the 10 Commission waste briefing. I expect you all are quite 11 familiar with that particular SRM and the Commission 12 wanted a lot more definition on the 293 KTIs and what the status of it and you really can't do that in the 13 14 briefing of the Commission. There was a lot of 15 question back and forth. I think some of you probably attended that briefing. So Janet, who is with me this 16 17 morning, Lawrence Kokajko are working very hard in responding to that particular SRM. 18 We recently 19 provided the first part of that process.

20 The second part which is risk insights, 21 it's my understanding we owe some more at the end of 22 May and you brought these topics up in your briefing 23 on March 20th with the Commission. And the critique 24 was that we need to be more quantitative. Staff 25 recognizes that and we do need to be more quantitative

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	249
1	in assessing how we do these KTIs and what the value
2	of one versus another is. So that's good feedback.
3	We agree with your comments on that and we would
4	encourage you to work with us on that process.
5	Tim McCartin has taken a leading role in
6	that assessment process and to the extent you have
7	staff that are on point on this, we'd like to engage
8	them and keep you posted and come back and brief you
9	because that is a challenge, putting a quantitative
10	approach on these KTIs. It's not as easy as we'd like
11	it to be, and I know you recognize that. So if you've
12	got any pointers on that and it would be good for us
13	to come back and brief you on that topic to see how
14	far along we are. So we thank you for those comments
15	and I would look forward to some follow-up meetings
16	with that subject.
17	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: I believe it's on
18	the June agenda.
19	DR. GREEVES: It's on the June agenda.
20	Tim gave us a gosh, I can't remember what month it
21	was, but he gave us a very preliminary look several
22	months ago and we have given feedback. We were, as I
23	think you probably know, impressed that we had given
24	Tim kudos on the approach that was being taken. And
25	so we have been trying to keep abreast of what's going

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	250
1	on and give sort of real time feedback when we can.
2	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: And we look forward
3	to that. It is a bit of a challenge and as good as
4	Tim is, we're going to perfect on this.
5	DR. GREEVES: I didn't say Tim was good.
6	(Laughter.)
7	MEMBER GARRICK: Let's not get too mushy
8	here.
9	(Laughter.)
10	DR. GREEVES: It's a topic you did
11	highlight in your briefing with the Commission and
12	frankly, I agreed with that point, that we do need to
13	be more quantitative and we'll look forward to the
14	June session to move this along.
15	So I'm up to the fourth topic which is
16	Performance Confirmation Working Group. As I said, I
17	think these working groups are very healthy. We had
18	a successful working group with the Performance
19	Confirmation. I got a lot of feedback from people,
20	other people, other stakeholders that that was very
21	well done, including your comments. So that's a
22	standard.
23	I will say we probably felt like we rushed
24	that one a little bit, the notification of getting
25	started on that, but we worked our way through that.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	251
1	At this point, we have the Performance Confirmation
2	Working Group meeting scheduled in July, got plenty of
3	notice on that one and we're enthusiastic about doing
4	that, although I get some late arriving information
5	that we may not have the level of participation we
6	were all looking forward to. So
7	MEMBER RYAN: John, just a quick update
8	and it just occurred yesterday, so it's brand new. It
9	looks like that participation will be at a higher
10	level than we had at first it seemed low, but now
11	it looks like it's going to be a little bit more
12	significant. So that's looking up.
13	DR. GREEVES: Okay, I'll leave that right
14	there. Either way, we're fully engaged on the topic.
15	This Performance Confirmation is a topic that's going
16	to transition us. We've been in pre-licensing. We're
17	now transitioning to an application in December.
18	That's the schedule. There's going to be some
19	transitions. In this topic, performance confirmation,
20	is one of the tools that will carry us there because
21	there's going to be performance confirmation for a
22	long time. So we are engaged and pleased to be part
23	of that workshop. To the extent it's fully attended
24	that would be good. We'll work at it if it's a more
25	limited audience.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	252
1	So I just wanted to that's all I have
2	on performance confirmation. We look forward to it
3	and we'll work with your staff on whatever the plans
4	are and the agenda for that topic.
5	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: John, just a quick
6	question. Because, as you said, performance
7	confirmation has such a long-term aspect, have you had
8	any discussions with Research, the Office of Research
9	or have you thought about what role they might play in
10	interfacing with you on these plans? Again, just
11	because of the long-term nature, I was wondering if
12	there was a role.
13	DR. GREEVES: You know, it's always good
14	to have people who are not quote inside the tent
15	looking at this issue. I think that is a challenge.
16	We really should engage them, even more than we have
17	at this point. So I need to follow up on that with
18	MS. SCHLEUTER: I'm taking notes.
19	DR. GREEVES: It's good to talk about it
20	now. We have a little time to address it.
21	As you know, there's very limited work
22	done by Research on this program, so that doesn't mean
23	the thinking, the engagement can't occur and I think
24	that John Larkins and I should talk about adding those
25	types of thoughts to the process. We'll engage

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	253
1	Research on that and see what they can bring to the
2	table. They're certainly doing research in other
3	areas. It's the concepts. It's the testing. How do
4	you do long-term testing? And those types of
5	experiences could be brought to the table for this
6	particular workshop, so we'll take a note on that.
7	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: Good.
8	DR. GREEVES: Okay, I'm up to item 5, the
9	License Termination Rule. We have briefed you on this
10	topic several times by the staff. I've talked about
11	it in some of these types of sessions.
12	We sort of evolved. This particular rule
13	was in place in 1997 and essentially, I think this
14	country has a leadership role in setting that
15	standard. Other countries have come along and put in
16	place a standard that looks something like this. I'll
17	talk at the end about the what I'll call
18	international standards. But what we have now is
19	experience. We've got five plus years' experience
20	implementing a rule. You write a rule, you really
21	learn about that rule when you try and implement it
22	and we have worked on this paper which you'll see
23	shortly. It's a follow-up from a paper we delivered
24	earlier to the Commission and we told them that we're
25	going to look at some implementation issues for this

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

rule and they touch on A type issues which include, 2 I'll go over a couple of these. Restrictive release 3 institutional controls. This has been a tough topic. 4 Maybe you've followed what's going on with Sequoyah 5 Fuels.

difficulty 6 We've had engaging the 7 restricted release institutional control topic for these uranium, thorium -- uranium and thorium is 8 forever. You've got millions of cubic feet of that 9 stuff. It's basically institutional control. We've 10 11 had difficulty engaging that topic. The licensees 12 have had trouble coming up with ways to achieve institutional control. It's basically permanent when 13 14 you've got uranium and thorium. So we talk about that 15 in the paper.

16 Realistic exposure scenarios. When we started working with this rule in 1997, there was 17 almost an automatic default to the resident farmer. 18 19 We've got a lot of tables we can do, work It's easy. 20 Well, when you think about it, how many of them up. 21 are around? We've been challenged by the licensees. 22 We've been challenging ourselves. Well, the point is 23 the resident farmer is not a realistic scenario at many different sites and locations. So you will be 24 25 seeing some of our thinking on realistic exposure

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

	255
1	scenarios. It's a point that the Committee has
2	expressed in terms of, for example, even the high
3	level waste program. What is the real estimate of
4	what's going on?
5	So you'll see some of that. You'll see
6	some of the experience and you'll see where we think
7	we need to head. In fact, we're already there. It's
8	a matter of building it into our guidance.
9	There's a topic alternative release
10	criteria for uranium and thorium. You look around the
11	world and what criteria do people apply to mill
12	tailings, uranium sites, thorium sites, radium sites?
13	It's very difficult, anybody with any experience, it's
14	very difficult to work with 250 millisieverts, 25
15	millirem for a site like that.
16	The Canadians, the French, the Germans are
17	all looking at 1 millisievert, 100 millirem is kind of
18	the threshold for action on those types of sites. So
19	we were challenged to address that question. So
20	you'll see some feedback on where we are on that.
21	These so-called legacy sites, these are
22	sites that we started setting up a fabric for
23	decommissioning in the 1980s in this country. We
24	started with financial assurance and then built some
25	steps which were almost completed by the License

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	256
1	Termination Rule in 1997. Well, there were lots of
2	licensees that had licenses, 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, and
3	things went through the floor. We got ground
4	contamination. We got ground water contamination and
5	there are some pretty heavy financial assurance issues
6	associated with those.
7	Well, part of the question is how do you
8	cut off future legacy sites. You need a good
9	financial assurance system in place to counter that.
10	And we think we need to do a few more things on that
11	front, so you'll be seeing that.
12	Also, related to that a lot of the problem
13	occurs when a licensee has a spill or a leak. If
14	they've got loose contamination, have a spill, a leak,
15	it goes down into the ground. How do you trigger a
16	change in the financial assurance picture if you have
17	those kinds of events? This is something we're
18	thinking about and expressing in this particular
19	paper.
20	Just a couple of others, 20-2002, this is
21	the on-site disposal vehicle that is in our
22	regulations. And how do you use that tool, what do
23	you you read that and there are no standards
24	written in 20-2002. You won't see 25 millirem in
25	there. You won't see 100 millirem in there. How do

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

5 And the last one in this list is disposition of solid materials. 6 It's a topic that 7 you're quite familiar with and we do have a workshop coming up in May 21-22. There's a lot of interest in 8 9 that workshop. A lot of the international group that I meet with regularly is, in fact, coming to that 10 11 meeting, attending, so I would encourage participation 12 to whatever level is available to the Committee.

Anyhow, I look forward to being able to 13 14 engage you on this report. There are elements of it 15 that we should come in and brief you on and get some feedback from you on, so I think it's on our schedule 16 17 coming up reasonably soon. So in fact, my notes say it's in May, so hopefully I can have the staff come 18 19 Larry Camper was the lead on this. Robert back. Johnson and other staff were lead on this, so I'm 20 21 going to look to Dan Gillen to drive this through. I 22 think it's a good piece of work and I would appreciate 23 some feedback from the Committee on that and if all 24 goes well, we'll be briefing you in May on some of the 25 details.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

258 1 The backdrop of this is after this 2 analysis, is there any rulemaking that is needed? Are there any gaps in what our thoughts are on that. 3 The 4 guidance that we have out there, as I said, the 1997 5 rule went in place. We had some guidance that went We had almost no experience implementing 6 with it. 7 that guidance. Well, we have experience now, so we're 8 going to have go back in, retune some of that 9 especially talking about realistic quidance, So we'd like some feedback from you on 10 scenarios. 11 that. We also need to inform our stakeholders on 12 where we are on this process. We would likely 13 14 exercise one of our regulatory issue summary documents 15 So we're excited about talking to to utilize that. 16 you guys about this next month. It's a lot, but I 17 think if there's a topic or two in here that you're more interested in than some others, we can engage in 18 19 a focused way. 20 I'm done on that topic. That was probably

21 my longest topic.

22 CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: John, just a quick 23 question on that. It sounds to me like you're, from 24 the sound of it that this is mostly an information 25 briefing for us, that you're interested in our

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	259
1	reactions to it, but probably not looking for a
2	letter.
3	DR. GREEVES: Let's talk about that,
4	because we're making some recommendations.
5	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: Okay.
6	DR. GREEVES: Maybe I can talk to John
7	about that. A letter, not a letter. I don't know.
8	I'd one, encourage you to read the paper and two,
9	after you do that much we can talk about a letter.
10	There's some recommendations in here and the
11	Commission may, in fact, want your insight on some of
12	these. So I think the first step is read the paper
13	and we'll talk a little further. Is that fair?
14	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: Yes, that is. That
15	sounds like there may be a letter in this. I just
16	wanted to make sure.
17	MR. BAHADUR: Yes, I discussed this with
18	John earlier and he did mention once we receive the
19	paper and we have read it, it will be easier for us to
20	
21	DR. GREEVES: It could be a subset of the
22	paper too. There's like eight items in here. And so,
23	we can sort that out.
24	Next topic I wanted to go into, just give
25	you an update on, we've talked about West Valley in

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

4 based on all of that, they were able to come out with
5 the final policy statement, February of 2002.
6 Recently, just kind of the update for you

7 and we have an on-going dialogue with the stakeholders including obviously the Department of 8 up there, 9 Energy. In thinking this through, Larry Camper and I This is not classic were looking for a vehicle. 10 11 This act puts the responsibility on the licensing. 12 Department of Energy to go into what really was a commercial site and clean up the legacy from that fuel 13 14 cycle facility there.

15 So it is not licensing. It's not a But we were looking for a tool to help us 16 hearing. 17 use our techniques and so we went to the Department of 18 Energy and said submit the we want you to 19 decommissioning plan and it's the tool that will kind 20 of unify our review. We know how to review a 21 decommissioning plan. Separately, they're doing an 22 EIS, but they've agreed to do a decommissioning plan 23 for the West Valley Project and that will just invoke 24 our normal techniques that we use for other 25 decommissioning plans.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

	261
1	So they expect to submit to us a
2	decommissioning plan about the same time that they
3	complete their environmental impact statement. So
4	they responded to us and agreed to do that.
5	Just talking about the schedule, on March
6	13th, the Department noticed their intent for the
7	decommissioning of this particular facility. They had
8	a scoping meeting, April 9 and 10. We participated
9	with that. We're a cooperating agency in that process
10	and we actually look forward to reviewing the EIS
11	development and separately reviewing the
12	decommissioning plan which would be on the preferred
13	alternative.
14	We continue to interact with the
15	stakeholders at this particular site. I think you're
16	probably familiar with most of them. NYSERDA actually
17	owns the property. They're a major stakeholder. They
18	pay part of the expense up there. They have a
19	citizen's task force that meets periodically. We
20	participate in those meetings.
21	The state regulator, NYSDEC, also is
22	involved and on May 22nd, in fact, there will be a
23	meeting with the Citizens Task Force that we will
24	participate in.
25	So that's moving along and I think the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 last item on West Valley that I wanted to point out 2 was that there has been legislation introduced in both 3 the House and the Senate proposing transferring 4 authority for this site from NYSERDA which owns this 5 property to the Department of Energy. A big piece of the issue up there is any on-going institutional 6 7 control, who would horsepower that institutional 8 control? That's been the big debate up there. 9 So I'm going to stop at that point and just update you and you can likely look forward to --10 11 MEMBER GARRICK: What's the citizen 12 group's view on who should institutionally control that site? 13 14 DR. GREEVES: I can't speak for them. 15 I'll give you my best understanding. In fact, the Citizens Task Force has a list of positions which I'll 16 17 follow up and get that to you, but generally, the big picture I would characterize it, the citizens' 18 19 position to start with is get it out of here. Move 20 it. And short of that, the position is we like both. 21 We like lots of company, DOE, NYSERDA, we like them 22 both on site. So put yourself in the Citizens Task Force. First, get it out of here. And then second, 23 24 until it's out of here, I want as much government, as 25 I can, on the ground providing institutional control.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

262

	263
1	
2	Is that an answer to your question? And
3	we'll get you the list of their position on various
4	issues. They're very active. It's an on-going
5	healthy dialogue.
6	Separately, Larry and his staff have what
7	they call a regulator's roundtable. We meet
8	periodically, and there's a lot of regulators that
9	touch this site, including EPA. And NYSDEC, ourselves
10	and actually there's another arm of the State of New
11	York, I think it's the Department of Health, so we
12	meet periodically and go over the issues and how we're
13	going to move forward. It's, as you know, quite a
14	complex site. So it's been around almost my whole
15	career, so I enjoy some day coming to a resolution, or
16	at least a path forward on this one.
17	I expect we'll be back talking to you on
18	the decommissioning plan. I think that's going to be
19	a major piece of work and we'll keep you informed and
20	to the extent appropriate, we'll engage you on it.
21	Okay, I'm up to Item 7. The Waste
22	Convention. Another chart you probably can't read.
23	Okay.
24	The United States has been working on this
25	for some time. It took a number of years to get into

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

264 1 place and in fact, it's been in place for about a year 2 and a half and to ratify this, it had to go through Senate Foreign Relations Committee and be ratified by 3 4 the Senate. And the good news is that we were able to 5 achieve that recently. We were able to sign, the President signed on April 9th, in fact, this month and 6 7 it was deposited with the Secretary in Vienna on April 11th. There's been a lot of work going into this and 8 9 the way this process works, this is a Convention on 10 Radioactive Waste and Spent Fuel Management. It's what people call an incentive convention and the 11 12 parties come together every three years and make a national report. 13 14 It turns out this is the year of the 15 It will occur in November. And as part of meeting. 16 that process, a national report is due on May 5th to be deposited in Vienna. 17 The Department of Energy is lead on this 18 19 particular convention, the Convention on Nuclear 20 Safety about reactor safety which was about reactor 21 safety. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission was on 22 lead. The Chairman hosted a delegation and went over

to a meeting last year. This one, since it covers a broader topic, in this country, the majority of radioactive waste, in fact, is with the Department of

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Energy. So they have lead on this particular project. They have lead on the national report. They're being supported by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Environmental Protection Agency and as I said, there will be a November meeting of the parties.

And the chart that you have in front of 6 7 you, just to give you a little idea how this works, they come up with what they call country groups. 8 You 9 can see 30 some countries on this list and they'll do what amounts to a peer review type meeting with five 10 11 groups. And you can see the United States is in Group 12 The way they do this is they count up the number 1. of reactors and Group 1, the top group in Group 1 is 13 14 the country with the number of reactors. Well, 15 fortunately, we were able to sign up just in time. In 16 fact, Margaret Federline was over to the planning meeting for this that particular week in April and so 17 we were able to take part in this. 18

You can see the list of the countries 19 20 there and I just thought it would be useful to keep 21 you informed of the status of this. It is an 22 international event and we will be at the table at 23 this point, produce a national report and down below 24 you see that, for example, Patty Bubar from Department 25 of Energy will be the Chairperson for Group 4. So we

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

	266
1	will participate as part of the team at this
2	particular event and I just wanted to give you a
3	little background on it. Didn't know how familiar you
4	were with it.
5	Questions?
6	MEMBER LEVENSON: Is Russia not a
7	participant?
8	DR. GREEVES: They didn't sign, correct.
9	If you don't see them up there, that means that they
10	have not ratified. You'll note that Japan and China
11	are not on the list. I understand Japan is very close
12	and my colleagues that I talk to in China talk as if
13	they, too, are going to sign.
14	You can be a late ratifier. You see these
15	boxes down here across from where you see Ireland.
16	It's expected that there will be a couple of
17	additional ratifiers by the November meeting. Your
18	rights are diminished if you're a late ratifier. So
19	I'm not sure exactly who else will ratify, but and
20	this meeting will occur in another three years. I
21	think the meeting is going to be quite useful. It's
22	probably going to be a bit uneven this first time
23	around, but it's going to be a test for a topic I'll
24	talk about shortly, these international standards that
25	are out there.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	267
1	So we look forward to it. Any questions
2	on this?
3	All right, then I'll move up to the next
4	topic which is the ICRP recommendations. You're quite
5	familiar with the process.
6	We, in 1999, started to get involved with
7	the topic of protection in the environment. There
8	were some voices raising that question. There was a
9	specialist meeting at IAEA that we participated in.
10	We also were active with the ICRP on some of the NEA
11	interactions. NEA is running a series of meetings on
12	this topic. In fact, the NEA has an expert group that
13	provides a focus for comments to be provided to the
14	ICRP.
15	The staff believes that the assumptions
16	made in ICRP 60 that if the humans are protected, that
17	the environment protections remains valid. This is
18	kind of the question that's out there. Does that
19	assumption remain valid and that's what's being
20	tested. Our observation is there's a general lack of
21	scientific information that would be beneficial to
22	protect the environment in a similar manner with
23	radionuclides. There's no evidence out there that we
24	know of that's showing that you need to focus on
25	species. If you do focus on species, it would be

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

quite a complex process and the question is what's
being harmed now is kind of the question that people
need to be examining.
I will point out that the Department of
Energy is taking an active role in developing their
own screening methods to try and test out what would
be the tools that we would even use to evaluate doses
to biota. We, the NRC, are working with DOE and EPA
in developing some computer codes that might be used
with this. The so-called RESRAD biota does
incorporate some screening techniques that would allow

12 you to evaluate such site specific evaluations. So 13 some of that developmental work led by the Department 14 of Energy is going on.

15 We had some staff attend the recent NEA 16 Forum in Spain and we do note that the recommendations 17 coming out of that meeting indicate that national 18 flexibility should be allowed in terms of viewing 19 these complex issues associated with the environment. We're also encouraged that the ICRP decided to further 20 21 development of the basis for reference organisms 22 concept would not be rushed. They talk about a 2005 23 set of recommendations. It would be tough to bring 24 something forward on this topic in the 2005 time frame. 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

||

1 So I think the ICRP thinking is evolving 2 on this and I think these meetings that are occurring We plan to stay 3 around the world are helpful. 4 involved in these international developments. Don 5 Cool is our point person on this, in most of these meetings. Tim Harris on my staff is focused on the 6 7 implementation of the environmental aspects and there's also a meeting coming up in October 8 in Stockholm, Sweden on this topic and it's sort of the 9 roll up of what's been going on with these various NEA 10 11 meetings. 12 So I know some of you have been paying attention to this and I just wanted to give you some 13 14 feedback at what the staff activities are and over 15 time, I think we would enjoy talking to you about it. MEMBER RYAN: John, I appreciate that. I 16 17 think that's a very important activity to follow and be involved in. It's interesting that the ICRP would 18 19 recommend that flora and fauna need radiation 20 protection standards. I guess it's very puzzling to 21 think about that because what are you protecting them 22 We think about cancer and other things as against? 23 the endpoint for humans, but I'm not too sure how I'd worry about a cancer in a tuber, like a potato, for 24 25 example.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

269

	270
1	So it's hard to think about, but
2	hopefully, their revisitation and your participation
3	will move the ball along.
4	One thing I think it's important too, is
5	to help bring forward what now is the 60-year-old or
6	50-year-old record of radiation biology. Much flora
7	and fauna work was done very early on in radiation
8	biological days, Russell's, and others, folks that
9	looked at DNA mass and other issues as the foundation
10	for what, I think, some view you mentioned the
11	claim that if we protect man, we protect the
12	environment. That's a sweeping statement, but I think
13	it's one that is certainly not unfounded. There is a
14	body of evidence that helps to support that. It may
15	not have been done in the last four years, but it
16	certainly is out there to look and somewhat of an old
17	record, so I'd encourage that we help bring that back
18	to light and as part of the discussion.
19	DR. GREEVES: Don, can you add anything?
20	I think that we'd probably be looking at that, but I
21	think it's important to make sure we bring those
22	issues to the table in October to the Stockholm
23	meeting.
24	Don?
25	MR. COOL: This is Don Cool. I'm now the

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

Senior Advisor for Health Physics issues. You've pointed out one of the things that I think people are really grappling and struggling with right now. During the meeting in Spain a couple of weeks ago, Lars-Erik Holm, who is the Vice Chairman of ICRP and who headed up the Task Group, was acknowledging some of these issues. Part of the process that they now seem to be engaged in which, in essence, slows down a bit, and will continue the attempt to develop the activities, is to try and understand how a system which they would like to construct as a parallel process could effectively deal with trying to assess impacts in the environment. They acknowledge that assessing an impact in some representative species, does not give a representation of an assessment of the environment itself. So they are at least making some noises that are the sorts of things that we would like them to consider. They do still seem to be on a track of trying to develop this very parallel process of some reference levels of concern or where they would want to be taking some further examination.

It would appear that rather than individual effects, they are looking at population effects, but the levels that they would probably be coming up with would be a different set of levels in

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(202) 234-4433

271

1 terms of quantities of radioactive material or doses. 2 And I think there will be a number of 3 opportunities to try and continue to engage with them 4 around those, to try and understand that. Your point 5 on a lot of the early work is very correct. I'm not sure the extent to which they have brought that in. 6 7 That's certainly one of the things that we'll be trying to keep in their forefront is the whole body of 8 9 evidence and to try and have them moving in a direction which is complementary to, rather than 10 setting up some new independent system that everyone 11 12 then trying to adjust to. There some is are significant challenges in the area. 13 14 MEMBER RYAN: I agree, Don. Thanks for 15 that update. In addition to what you pointed out, it's also interesting to think about if we're talking 16 about flora and fauna and large eco systems, that is 17 big fractions of the earth, looking at the natural 18 19 radiation environment and then looking at man-made 20 radiation environments added to that, the man-made 21 radiation environment is a trivial addition to the 22 natural environment. 23 So if there is a radiation dose issue, it 24 is principally with background radiation, not with 25 manmade additional radioactive material radiation. So

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

272
	273
1	that aspect of it, I think, is something that is very
2	important to bring forward.
3	My own view is that this is not well
4	conceived from the ICRP. I'm glad they're revisiting
5	it and thinking about what they put forward in this
6	consultation paper, but it's and I'm thrilled to
7	hear you're tracking it so well and addressing these
8	key points.
9	DR. GREEVES: You know, we will be
10	attending the Stockholm meeting, so maybe separately,
11	Mike, we could spend a little time with you on it.
12	MEMBER RYAN: Excellent, thank you.
13	DR. GREEVES: It sort of leads in, before
14	I lose the Chairman, the last topic, international
15	standards. There's, I think, a fabric forming out
16	there internationally and Carl Paperiello is on the
17	Radiation Safety Committee at IAEA. I participate on
18	the Waste Safety Committee. And a lot of these issues
19	run through that particular environment.
20	It's starting to come together. I think
21	you're probably familiar with the EC Concepts on
22	Clearance and Exemption. The EC countries, in fact,
23	do this type of disposition of solid materials.
24	There's some examples over there. I've visited sites
25	in Germany. I know you traveled over there too. You

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

probably saw the same things.

1

2 fact, Germany has Radiation In а Protection Ordinance and in this is what looks like a 3 4 standard for site release and a standard for release 5 of material off of an operating site, so you see some of that. And they did it down to concentrations 6 7 becquerels per gram, etcetera. And the IAEA is putting together a set of standards, a global set of 8 9 standards including the three that you actually see up here, geologic disposal. This one is out for what's 10 11 called member state comment. They have a standard, a 12 proposed standard on geologic disposal and we, the United States, would be commenting on that. 13

14 Another one that's out there is DS-162. 15 This is intervention. This is like the islands in the Pacific, things like that, where you have an as-found 16 17 condition and you need to under an ALARA process, bring something 18 it down to that's much more 19 reasonable, so they have that out for comment.

And then the last one is what's called 20 21 DS-161. This one has been very difficult to bring 22 There's a lot of debate, internationally, on forward. 23 you approach management of radioactive how do So I just wanted to bring it to your 24 materials. 25 attention and let you know there's a lot going on out

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	275
1	there and we are participating in these meetings and
2	we already talked about the ICRP 2005 recommendations
3	which Don is following very closely.
4	So if you've got any questions on what is
5	going on internationally in terms of what we're
6	involved in, maybe in a follow-up meeting I could do
7	a bit more on that topic because it really it's a
8	global community at this point. I think the Waste
9	Convention in November would benefit from having this
10	fabric in place to conduct that peer review. It's not
11	completely in place yet.
12	The next convention, being three years
13	from November, I think would really benefit from
14	having that fabric in place.
15	MEMBER RYAN: John, I agree. I think it
16	is very dynamic. I mean the EC Safety Directive 6 on
17	clearance and these new activities you just mentioned
18	and other interests here in the U.S. on those kinds of
19	concepts. It will be real interesting to stay updated
20	on it because it is very dynamic at this point, isn't
21	it?
22	DR. GREEVES: I find it helps me to
23	discuss it with other people to help shape the U.S.
24	views on these topics, so if I get a chance like this,
25	I like to bring it up. In fact, at an NEI

(202) 234-4433 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

4 MEMBER RYAN: And as you just noted, I mean they do flow into regulatory questions on decommissioning and site release and all of those things, so it's very important to touch the 8 fundamental department as it happens.

DR. GREEVES: A worry that I have is that 9 10 some stakeholders just seize on the lowest number and 11 you can just -- you really do need a graded approach. 12 There really are sites out there that are intervention You cannot bring them down to 25 millirem. 13 sites. 14 And there's a need for geologic disposal. I mean this 15 country, Finland, Sweden are the three that are sort of out in front, but you look at the EC, how many 16 countries is that? Are they going to have some 20 17 some geologic disposal repositories? I don't think 18 19 But they really do need some support on an so. 20 international standard showing okay, what is the 21 standard? What is the bar? And how are we going to 22 address that.

23 So we're fully engaged on that and some 24 follow-up session we can give you a bit more feedback, 25 if that would work.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

5

6

7

(202) 234-4433

276

	277
1	MEMBER RYAN: It sounds like you've got
2	lots to do.
3	DR. GREEVES: Yes. Just need to keep the
4	staff happy.
5	Those were the topics that I wanted to get
6	through and I think we used the time effectively. I'm
7	happy to go back over any one of them or address other
8	topics.
9	MEMBER RYAN: It's a great update.
10	MEMBER LEVENSON: John, on the matter of
11	international issues and repositories, there's sort of
12	an off-balance sheet and it's not involving any
13	governments except the Russians. There's a workshop
14	being held in Russia next month on Russia's offer to
15	host and international repository and the
16	participation includes IAEA, most European countries,
17	Taiwan, Japan, South Korea. It's funded by the
18	Russell Foundation to explore the technical, legal and
19	political issues. The Russians propose to use an old
20	uranium mine on the theory that since the uranium and
21	its by-products haven't moved from there for a million
22	years, they don't have to do the detailed geology if
23	you put staff back in there, it's going to stay put.
24	That's going to be kind of an interesting meeting, I
25	think, to see what comes out of those kinds of

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	278
1	discussions.
2	DR. GREEVES: Well, it would be
3	interesting to see Russia on this chart at some point
4	in time because that's where I think some of the
5	credibility is going to come.
6	MEMBER LEVENSON: That's why I asked about
7	that.
8	DR. GREEVES: Well, everybody is watching,
9	but at some point in time let's look for Russia to be
10	on this chart and when a country, you join this
11	convention, you're signing up the safety procedures
12	that call for you to do it the right way. And there
13	would be a peer review of what you're doing
14	internationally.
15	MEMBER LEVENSON: Well, one of the topics
16	on the agenda is how would what standards would
17	apply. They're aiming this at a lot of small
18	countries, so to avoid this issue of their being 20 or
19	30 repositories scattered around the world.
20	DR. GREEVES: And that makes some sense.
21	McCombie is involved in this international repository
22	business and he is a great speaker and he puts
23	together some really good charts and he overlays the
24	European area with a map showing and he overlays it
25	with the United States and he says the United States

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	279
1	needs two repositories. Are we going to have 20 some
2	repositories in the same geographic area, so a lot of
3	thought has to go into this.
4	MEMBER LEVENSON: While it's nominally not
5	in the mainstream, the co-chairman from the Russian
6	side, Lavarov, who is on Putin's staff, so maybe a
7	little bit of status.
8	DR. GREEVES: So are you attending?
9	MEMBER LEVENSON: I'm the other co-chair.
10	DR. GREEVES: Okay, wonderful. Good
11	advertising here. We'll see if we can stir up some
12	business.
13	MEMBER LEVENSON: The Russell Foundation
14	specified there be two co-chairmen, one American and
15	one Russian, so it didn't become just a drifting
16	thing. It would have focus.
17	DR. GREEVES: When is the meeting?
18	MEMBER LEVENSON: May 14th, 15th and 16th
19	in Moscow and then a visit to the site.
20	DR. GREEVES: I would enjoy meeting with
21	you separately just to tell you what I know about the
22	international standard setting process because any
23	country eventually is going to have to point back at
24	these international standards. So maybe separately,
25	we can visit.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 MEMBER LEVENSON: Mike, do you have any 2 other questions? 3 MEMBER RYAN: No, thanks, John. That was 4 an excellent briefing on your activities and I'll look 5 forward to hearing more about what's cooking. 6 DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on 7 another occasion. 8 MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? 9 Anybody have a question for John? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you very much. 12 DR. GREEVES: Thank you. 13 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has 14 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next 15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the 17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it no		280
3 MEMBER RYAN: No, thanks, John. That was 4 an excellent briefing on your activities and I'll look 5 forward to hearing more about what's cooking. 6 DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on 7 another occasion. 8 MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? 9 Anybody have a question for John? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you very much. 12 DR. GREEVES: Thank you. 13 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has 14 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next 15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the 17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later. <td>1</td> <td>MEMBER LEVENSON: Mike, do you have any</td>	1	MEMBER LEVENSON: Mike, do you have any
 an excellent briefing on your activities and I'll look forward to hearing more about what's cooking. DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on another occasion. MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? Anybody have a question for John? (No response.) Thank you very much. DR. GREEVES: Thank you. MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. I guess I'm not sure what was on the agenda. George just said go ahead with it. MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The intent was that we tell you what we found out in our self-assessment and get your comments and soft concurrence on the paper. If you want we really should have all four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can catch John and George separately later. 	2	other questions?
5 forward to hearing more about what's cooking. 6 DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on 7 another occasion. 8 MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? 9 Anybody have a question for John? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you very much. 12 DR. GREEVES: Thank you. 13 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has 14 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next 15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the 17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	3	MEMBER RYAN: No, thanks, John. That was
6 DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on 7 another occasion. 8 MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? 9 Anybody have a question for John? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you very much. 12 DR. GREEVES: Thank you. 13 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has 14 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next 15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the 17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 Oncurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	4	an excellent briefing on your activities and I'll look
7 another occasion. 8 MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff? 9 Anybody have a question for John? 10 (No response.) 11 Thank you very much. 12 DR. GREEVES: Thank you. 13 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has 14 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next 15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the 17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 If you want we really should have all 22 If you want to do it now, I can 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	5	forward to hearing more about what's cooking.
8MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff?9Anybody have a question for John?10(No response.)11Thank you very much.12DR. GREEVES: Thank you.13MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has14indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next15scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21Concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	6	DR. GREEVES: Okay, well, we'll be back on
9Anybody have a question for John?10(No response.)11Thank you very much.12DR. GREEVES: Thank you.13MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has14indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next15scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21Concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	7	another occasion.
10(No response.)11Thank you very much.12DR. GREEVES: Thank you.13MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has14indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next15scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	8	MEMBER LEVENSON: How about the staff?
11Thank you very much.12DR. GREEVES: Thank you.13MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has14indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next15scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	9	Anybody have a question for John?
12DR. GREEVES: Thank you.13MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has14indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next15scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	10	(No response.)
 MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. I guess I'm not sure what was on the agenda. George just said go ahead with it. MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The intent was that we tell you what we found out in our self-assessment and get your comments and soft concurrence on the paper. If you want we really should have all four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can catch John and George separately later. 	11	Thank you very much.
 indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. I guess I'm not sure what was on the agenda. George just said go ahead with it. MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The intent was that we tell you what we found out in our self-assessment and get your comments and soft concurrence on the paper. If you want we really should have all four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can catch John and George separately later. 	12	DR. GREEVES: Thank you.
15 scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment. 16 I guess I'm not sure what was on the agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	13	MEMBER LEVENSON: I think George has
16I guess I'm not sure what was on the17agenda. George just said go ahead with it.18MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The19intent was that we tell you what we found out in our20self-assessment and get your comments and soft21concurrence on the paper.22If you want we really should have all23four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can24catch John and George separately later.	14	indicated he would like us to go ahead with the next
17 agenda. George just said go ahead with it. 18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	15	scheduled item which is, I think, the self-assessment.
18 MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The 19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	16	I guess I'm not sure what was on the
19 intent was that we tell you what we found out in our 20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	17	agenda. George just said go ahead with it.
20 self-assessment and get your comments and soft 21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	18	MR. SAVIO: It was on the agenda. The
21 concurrence on the paper. 22 If you want we really should have all 23 four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can 24 catch John and George separately later.	19	intent was that we tell you what we found out in our
If you want we really should have all four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can catch John and George separately later.	20	self-assessment and get your comments and soft
four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can catch John and George separately later.	21	concurrence on the paper.
24 catch John and George separately later.	22	If you want we really should have all
	23	four of you here, but if you want to do it now, I can
DE MEMDED I EVENGONI, What is shat Gassies to 1	24	catch John and George separately later.
25 MEMBER LEVENSON; THAT'S WHAT George told	25	MEMBER LEVENSON: That's what George told

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	281
1	me, to proceed ahead.
2	MR. SAVIO: I have extra copies if anybody
3	I guess except for Mike, you all have seen this
4	work being done before. We have an IOU to the
5	Commission to do periodic reports on our
6	self-assessments.
7	MEMBER RYAN: You're reported and I've
8	heard your reports on this activity this year a little
9	bit, so I'm familiar, thanks.
10	MR. SAVIO: Okay. To go through it,
11	there's a background paragraph. It simply recounts
12	what we've done and what the history of this is. The
13	next section records what we've done in response to
14	the commitments that we made in the last paper which
15	is two years old now. There's the addition of the
16	fifth ACNW member with health physics expertise that
17	we've, in response to comments that weren't taking
18	enough initiative involving stakeholders in the
19	agendas in our discussions. We've there's the
20	second bullet.
21	And we
22	MEMBER LEVENSON: On that second item,
23	we're talking about identifying just one workshop.
24	Because of the date of this, when it's going to be
25	issued, there have been several additional workshops.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	282
1	Shouldn't they be added?
2	MR. SAVIO: Yes. Those were given as
3	examples. We can lengthen that last performance
4	confirmation being a good one.
5	MEMBER LEVENSON: Yes, transportation. I
6	think that there's not 20, so rather than just an
7	example of one, I think we should list.
8	MR. SAVIO: Yes. Out of kind of the
9	controversy over not inviting the State of Nevada, I
10	didn't put that as an example, but
11	MEMBER LEVENSON: They've been invited.
12	They were here yesterday, all day.
13	MR. SAVIO: Okay, teleconferencing. The
14	last time we did it we had comments to the effect that
15	we had most of our meetings in Washington and we
16	should provide to the degree we could, other ways of
17	people participating in the meetings and we have used
18	teleconferencing extensively over the past period.
19	One of the commenters suggested that we go
20	to internet based broadcasting of ACNW meetings like
21	the Commission doesn't. That, I think, is not
22	something that we can do with the resources that we
23	have.
24	MEMBER LEVENSON: Does the ACRS do that?
25	MR. SAVIO: No. In fact, they make

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	283
1	relatively infrequent use of teleconferencing. It's
2	mostly to connect the regions in.
3	The next section is a description of the
4	other process which I'll skip through. The next page,
5	results of ACNW self-assessment for 2001 and 2002, it
6	gets to the heart of the paper for this time.
7	The first paragraph is introductory. It
8	notes that we depend on our action plan and that we're
9	planning on revising it in the near future and we're
10	in the process of preparing a plan for involvement in
11	the review of the license application. The notes that
12	we're going to use, the MOU, as our guidelines for
13	dealing with predecisional matters.
14	MEMBER LEVENSON: I wonder whether we
15	discuss that we're in the process of preparing a plan
16	for its involvement in the review of the license
17	application, whether we shouldn't add a few words. We
18	were preparing that for the Commission's approval.
19	MR. SAVIO: Yes. Okay.
20	MEMBER LEVENSON: I think that would be
21	MR. SAVIO: Okay, okay.
22	MEMBER LEVENSON: We're not assuming we
23	have the right to decide what that's going to be.
24	MR. SAVIO: Okay, we'll add that. The
25	feedback that we had was generally positive. The next

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	284
1	paragraph speaks to the reports, the notes that we
2	use, communications with the Commission and the EDO,
3	a published action plan to guide our way through that.
4	The next paragraph is the first
5	sentence of that for Mike's benefit is a little bit of
б	a legacy. At one point, in the past, ACNW is
7	criticized for not being currently informed. We added
8	that sentence to note that we were still doing the
9	appropriate things.
10	There was feedback to the effect that both
11	the ACRS and ACNW were not providing sufficient
12	attention to the actions that were taken and as a
13	result of the recommendations, we've inserted a
14	commitment that we'll be more judicious in the future.
15	Communications between the Committee and
16	the Commissioners and NRC senior management were
17	judged to be very good and we were encouraged to
18	continue them. You've just finished up with a
19	discussion with John Greeves.
20	There was comments that you should focus
21	on high level issues. The message there was that the
22	individuals that made these comments said that they
23	felt you added the most value when you weren't buried
24	in detail.
25	MEMBER RYAN: Dick, I just wonder for the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

	285
1	sake of clarity, I'm being picky here, but high level
2	has a special meaning. Don't you mean it should be
3	"top level"?
4	MR. SAVIO: Top level, yes.
5	MEMBER RYAN: I'm just trying to make sure
6	we don't miscommunicate.
7	MR. SAVIO: That's fine.
8	MEMBER LEVENSON: We don't want to over-
9	irradiate them.
10	MR. SAVIO: Appreciate it.
11	MEMBER RYAN: That's what you mean, it's
12	top level, global.
13	MR. SAVIO: Broad issues, yes. There was
14	comments from a few stakeholders to the effect that
15	ACNW needed to recognize the limitations of risk
16	assessment. I'm sorry I don't have John around to
17	react to that.
18	MEMBER RYAN: I'll react to it. I think
19	there's three things, at least two that are on the
20	I'm sorry, there are three things on the agenda. We
21	just finished the TSPA/TPA workshop which I think
22	spoke specifically to risk assessment methodology.
23	We're going to it's still in the planning stages.
24	We have a performance confirmation workshop which will
25	get into the same area of risk assessment and we even

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	286
1	have some advance planning on other topics that are in
2	the risk assessment area. So I appreciate the
3	comment, but I think perhaps we can recognize that
4	we've kind of taken that forward with those things.
5	And maybe we ought to identify that as something we've
6	done.
7	Again, our action plan speak to other
8	activities in those areas, but those are some concrete
9	things that we've specifically done that addressed
10	that.
11	MR. SAVIO: I'll add a bit of a response
12	to it, rather than just encoding it.
13	MEMBER LEVENSON: See, one of the problems
14	that exists here is that stakeholders only see the
15	past, what we've done. They probably aren't privy to
16	our future meetings and future topics that are already
17	scheduled.
18	MR. SAVIO: Igneous activity was the topic
19	that was given as an example for that particular
20	comment.
21	MEMBER RYAN: Well, again, there's been,
22	there was additional follow-up. I mean I kind of
23	jumped into the Committee at that point, but there was
24	a follow-up meeting on igneous activity and additional
25	input and I think from the point this was probably,

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	287
1	stakeholders are probably given their observations,
2	other things have been done. And that's not to
3	discount the criticism or the observation. It's
4	simply to say from our part that other things have
5	been done since that information was obtained.
6	Mike?
7	MR. LEE: One thing we could consider
8	doing and for the future is as we project future
9	activities we could put that information up on the web
10	under the various tiers, consistent with the action
11	plan so that stakeholders in the future could see
12	what's on the plate over let's say the next year or
13	something like that.
14	MR. SAVIO: In fact, in another part of
15	this, we had our action plan posted on the web. When
16	the website was taken down and our chief information
17	officer took over the web design, was taken off again.
18	And we intend to get it put back on there and that's
19	some place in this paper. I forget where it is. We
20	say that it was one of the things that we intended to
21	do with the action plan. Even the operating plan, we
22	never have gotten as far as to get that thing posted
23	on the web.
24	Interactions between ACNW and the
25	stakeholders have been judged to be open,

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

288 1 professional, no complaints in that regard. 2 The ACNW staff's work in coordinating these meetings and prepping up the participants and 3 4 acting as an intermediary between the ACNW and the 5 folks that are going to be at these meetings were judged as being very important to the effectiveness of 6 7 these meetings. There was a comment about scheduling a need for scheduling meetings well in advance when 8 there was going to be discussions of complex issues 9 and the need for -- as much as possible, identifying 10 11 what the Committee's objectives were and what their 12 concerns were. External stakeholders continue to view, 13 14 comment favorably on ACNW's willingness to provide a 15 forum for the discussion of their views. The only glitch was the negative comments that we received from 16 17 Nevada about the transportation workshop. I note that we subsequently provided an opportunity for them to 18 19 address the Committee. 20 MEMBER LEVENSON: In order to be precise, 21 it's really the State of Nevada, I think, as opposed 22 to generically Nevada stakeholders. 23 MR. SAVIO: I was trying to -- we tried to 24 avoid too tight an identification of the individuals 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	289
1	MEMBER LEVENSON: Well, since
2	MR. SAVIO: But I can do that if you like.
3	MEMBER LEVENSON: Well, since both
4	Senators and one Congressman from the State of Nevada
5	wrote letters to the Chairman during the public
6	record, there's no reason for not making it clear this
7	was the State of Nevada.
8	MR. SAVIO: Okay.
9	MEMBER LEVENSON: I think that what they
10	objected to was that they weren't asked to provide a
11	participant to the workshop because they had
12	representatives at the workshop and everyone there was
13	asked, given an opportunity to speak.
14	So to be precise
15	MR. SAVIO: I said now providing
16	invitations to speak, but
17	MEMBER LEVENSON: It should be
18	participants.
19	MR. SAVIO: Being provided a slot on the
20	agenda.
21	MEMBER LEVENSON: Right.
22	MR. SAVIO: Okay.
23	MEMBER RYAN: I think the important part
24	is the last part which is the follow-up to that is a
25	follow-up working session for all the States'

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

representatives.

1

17

19

2 MR. Okay, the reaction SAVIO: to stakeholders to ACNW meetings in Nevada continues to 3 4 be very positive. There was, however, not a lot of 5 support for the activities like we had at Pahrump. Ιf you want to characterize them as public outreach or 6 7 public education, there certainly was a lot of support for meeting in Nevada, discussing issues that were of 8 interest to the stakeholders out there and involving 9 them in the preparations for the meeting and asking 10 11 them to contribute to the agenda planning and to the 12 actual discussions. The next one has to do with the website. 13 14 MEMBER LEVENSON: Before we leave that 15 other one, have we really committed to allowing the public to decide what our agenda is? I was certainly 16

18 participate is one thing.

not privy to any such discussion.

MR. SAVIO: Yes.

20MEMBER LEVENSON: But our agenda is really21set by the Commission.

22 MR. SAVIO: The intent here was that we 23 would ask for feedback, that the ACNW and the 24 Commission would still set their own agenda, taking 25 into consideration --

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

For them to

	291
1	MEMBER RYAN: You know, I think if you
2	make the point that Nevada stakeholders contribute to
3	the meetings, that's probably what you want to say.
4	MR. SAVIO: Yes.
5	MEMBER LEVENSON: Contribute or
6	participate in. Agenda planning is a very specific
7	thing that we're certainly not going to put things on
8	the agenda or take them off because of comments from
9	stakeholders.
10	MR. SAVIO: Yes, there was a few requests
11	that they be allowed to suggest a topic for your
12	consideration.
13	MEMBER LEVENSON: They may suggest that,
14	but this says opportunities will be provided. That
15	means we're accepting that. I don't accept that.
16	MR. LARSON: I read that as relative to
17	the previous sentence, that enhanced interactions with
18	the Nevada stakeholders, somewhere in there. That's
19	what that really referred to, Dick.
20	When we had meetings out there, technical
21	meetings, in Nevada that involved public outreach that
22	Nevada be asked I don't know if that's what you're
23	intention was.
24	MR. SAVIO: Yes. There's not anything
25	that we haven't done

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	292
1	MEMBER RYAN: If you just avoid the phase
2	"agenda planning" that will solve it. That has
3	MR. SAVIO: Okay.
4	MEMBER RYAN: That has special meaning for
5	the Committee overall, and for the Commission's
6	direction of the Committee and all that. Anybody can
7	offer any topic and can offer the ACNW advice on
8	things they ought to look at during a meeting. So I
9	mean there is that opportunity to contribute ideas to
10	what we should look at, but I think just avoiding
11	those key words might help clarify it.
12	Just avoiding the words "agenda planning."
13	Something to the effect, "to contribute and
14	participate in these meetings."
15	MR. SAVIO: Okay.
16	MEMBER RYAN: Contribute to and
17	participate in is the point.
18	MR. SAVIO: Okay. The second one was with
19	regard to our website and we have had difficulties
20	since the Chief Information Officer group took over.
21	We're going to have to do some more homework to make
22	sure that we're not promising more than what we can
23	deliver on that last sentence. We'll staff that one
24	out.
25	MEMBER RYAN: Well, getting the action

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

293 1 plan and the agenda for meetings and so forth lined up 2 in some way on the web might be a helpful way to go 3 about it. 4 MR. LARSON: But even as Tim discussed 5 yesterday, there are several ways theoretically you can access the agenda, but it doesn't work that way. 6 7 I probably would get several -- well, I know I got 8 three or four calls on this meeting where people said 9 the web site said the agenda was available, but they couldn't get it. So I just sent it to them. We have 10 11 to do some work. 12 MR. SAVIO: Even myself, I find that it's issue here the individuals that aren't 13 the 14 Washington-based often need a few weeks to decide 15 whether to come to a meeting or not. There's operational issues like trying to get low cost air 16 17 fares by getting tickets ahead of time. I think we get the point. 18 MEMBER RYAN: 19 MR. SAVIO: And the very last one was 20 simply a commitment at the suggestion of the Office of the EDO to revisit our MOU to take advantage of any 21 22 lessons learned that we might have had. 23 We got a lot more feedback on ACRS 24 activities and what we had at ACNW. I'll leave that 25 for your reading and the last paragraphs in the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	294
1	summary simply closes the memo up.
2	MEMBER RYAN: Thanks, sir.
3	MR. SAVIO: And I'll talk to George and
4	John and get their feedback.
5	MR. LARSON: The reason why I said
6	continue to record it was Sher and John and George and
7	John Garrick weren't here, so if they want to look at
8	it later on, because it's due in what May 31st?
9	MR. SAVIO: Yes.
10	MR. LARSON: You've got to get approval at
11	this meeting, essentially between
12	MR. SAVIO: Yes, what we have done and what
13	we'll do this time is after everybody has had a shot
14	at this thing, send it to all of the Members of ACRS
15	and ACNW and give them an opportunity to look at it
16	again before we finally date-stamp it.
17	MR. LARSON: Did any of the feedback from
18	the Nevada stakeholders, Dick, say okay, you had the
19	meeting in Pahrump and you had more people there to
20	listen and participate than you had people in the
21	audience, even though it was fairly well publicized in
22	the paper.
23	Were there any suggestions on how to
24	increase and broaden stakeholder participation other
25	than they wanted to send words in with the agenda

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	295
1	MR. SAVIO: One stakeholder suggested that
2	we could talk to the professional stakeholders,
3	coordinate more. It seemed to be that it wasn't for
4	lack of homework that you got such a small audience.
5	I mean we didn't, I think, look at the television
6	schedules to see if there was interesting topics on
7	the airing that night or anything of that nature, but
8	there was an awful lot of preparation and it wasn't a
9	lot of attendance.
10	There is a lot of interest in the ethical
11	discussions that we have, typically, in Las Vegas.
12	MEMBER RYAN: Well, we're scheduled for a
13	break until
14	MEMBER LEVENSON: Until George and John
15	get back.
16	MEMBER RYAN: Which will what should we
17	target roughly?
18	MEMBER LEVENSON: 10:15?
19	MEMBER RYAN: It says break until 10:45.
20	MEMBER LEVENSON: Okay. We've got some
21	homework to do, some draft letters to work on.
22	MEMBER RYAN: Right.
23	MEMBER LEVENSON: 10:45.
24	MEMBER RYAN: So we should reconvene at
25	10:30.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	296
1	MR. SAVIO: I'm sorry to interrupt. We
2	have two stakeholders here if we care to ask them for
3	any additional comments.
4	Does anybody have any comments?
5	PARTICIPANT: Engelbrith * (9:51:14),
6	Clark County. Just a comment on the agenda. I don't
7	think it's anybody's intention to tell the ACNW what
8	to discuss, but it would be of little interest to
9	people in Nevada if you come over and you have your
10	whole meeting on decommissioning of West Valley or
11	issues like that.
12	So if you do have a meeting in Nevada, i
13	would suggest that you at least look at issues that
14	concern high level waste during your meeting, rather
15	than all the other broad subjects that you're
16	concerned with.
17	MEMBER LEVENSON: And specific wording.
18	If we said stakeholders can provide comments on
19	agenda, that's different than to say agenda planning.
20	That's the word that bothers me.
21	MEMBER RYAN: And I think that's a great
22	comment. If we are in Nevada or we're in New York, if
23	we look for opportunities to make the agenda
24	appropriate for to maximize stakeholder
25	participation at a location, that's wonderful. I

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

297 1 think that's a good thing to capture. And we can 2 certainly make that --3 MR. SAVIO: I'll capture that. 4 MEMBER RYAN: Right on target. PARTICIPANT: That and get your agenda so 5 we get it early and it stays the same. 6 7 MEMBER RYAN: We would like that also. 8 (Laughter.) 9 MEMBER LEVENSON: Stays the same. We're not always in control of. 10 11 MEMBER RYAN: That's not easy to do. 12 Anything else? So we'll reconvene at 10:30 assuming--13 MEMBER LEVENSON: And we do not need the 14 official record. 15 MEMBER RYAN: Right. We're going to talk about the 16 MR. LEE: 17 action plan, when we reconvene. So do you want the reporter for the action plan? 18 I don't know. Do we? 19 MEMBER RYAN: MEMBER LEVENSON: I don't think we need --20 21 MEMBER RYAN: No. 22 (Whereupon, at 9:53 a.m., the meeting was 23 adjourned.) 24 25