Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards

140th Meeting

Docket Number: (not applicable)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Thursday, March 27, 2003

Work Order No.: NRC-852 Pages 1-22

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR WASTE (ACNW)
5	140TH MEETING
6	+ + + +
7	THURSDAY,
8	MARCH 27, 2003
9	+ + + +
10	ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND
11	+ + + +
12	The Advisory Committee met at the Nuclear
13	Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, Room
14	T2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, at 8:30 a.m., George M.
15	Hornberger, Chairman, presiding.
16	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
17	GEORGE M. HORNBERGER, Chairman
18	RAYMOND G. WYMER, Vice Chairman
19	B. JOHN GARRICK, Member
20	MILTON N. LEVENSON, Member
21	MICHAEL T. RYAN, Member
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ACNW STAFF PRESENT:
2	JOHN T. LARKINS, Executive Director, ACRS/ACNW
3	SHER BAHADUR, Associate Director, ACRS/ACNW
4	NEIL COLEMAN, ACRS Staff
5	HOWARD J. LARSON, Special Assistant, ACRS/ACNW
6	MICHAEL LEE, ACNW Staff
7	RICHARD K. MAJOR, ACNW Staff
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	ERIC POGUE
10	CHRIS McKINNEY
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 2 8:52 A.M. 3 CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: The meeting will 4 come to order. This is the third day of the 140th 5 meeting of the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste. My name is George Hornberger, Chairman of 6 7 the ACNW. The other members of the committee present are Raymond Wymer, Vice Chairman; John Garrick, Milton 8 9 Levenson, and Michael Ryan. 10 Today, the Committee will (1) discuss the 11 NRC/EPA Memorandum of Understanding related to the decommissioning and decontamination of contaminated 12 sites; (2) discuss the preliminary results of the 13 14 self-assessment survey; and (3) discuss a possible 15 update of the Committee's 2002/2003 Action Plan. Howard Larson is the designated federal 16 17 official for today's initial session. meeting is being conducted 18 This accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory 19 Committee Act. We have received no written comments 20 21 or requests for time to make oral statement from 22 members of the public regarding today's sessions. Should 23 anyone wish to address the 24 Committee, please make your wishes known to one of the

Committee's staff. It is requested that the speakers

1 use one of the microphones, identify themselves and speak with sufficient clarity and volume so that they 2 can be readily heard. 3 4 And with that, we move right into the 5 first topic on our agenda which is the NRC/EPA MOU and 6 Ray Wymer is in charge. 7 DR. WYMER: Okay, as we all know, there 8 are some areas of overlap between what EPA governs and 9 what the NRC governs and this has led to some conflicts and inefficiencies in the past. 10 11 Apparently, now there has been developed 12 an MOU, a Memorandum of Understanding, an Eric Pogue is going to tell us about that this morning and what 13 14 it means, what the resolutions are, what 15 agreements are and what the exceptions are, I think. MR. POGUE: I think everybody should have 16 17 a copy of the handouts. There's my topic points and also the actual MOU. 18 19 My contact information is on the first 20 slide. 21 (Slide change.) 22 MR. POGUE: As far as a little bit of 23 background, in 1983, EPA amended CERCLA. Related to 24 that, EPA stated that they wouldn't list under CERCLA

any active NRC licensees so there's a general internal

1 policy with any NRC sites that are currently under a 2 license, but that didn't extend to the licenses that 3 were terminated. 4 In 1992, there was an MOU between NRC and 5 EPA, but that's really just a general coordination MOU, it's not as specific as the current 2002 MOU 6 7 we've been discussing. In 1997, NRC promulgated the License 8 Termination Rule and kind of the conflict is in 1997 9 EPA's quidance fall under the License Termination Rule 10 11 which basically said that sites cleaned up to that 12 level might not be protective and they might need to revisit those sites and possibly even list them under 13 14 CERCLA. 15 Subsequent to that, there's multiple 16 Congressional reports. Congress directed both 17 agencies to enter into an MOU to reach an agreement so that to address the jurisdiction issue. 18 And also in 2000, there's a GAO report 19 20 which basically speaks to the conflict between the 21 different agencies. 22 (Slide change.) 23 The next slide, this is just MR. POGUE: 24 kind of obvious, but the problems of deregulation. It

lists the conflicts between the Agency, inefficient

use of resources, staff time and licensee time dealing with the two sets of regulations, lack of finality for licensees. That's a concern that Congress has that the licensees can clean up our standard and still be concerned another agency is going to come in and require more clean up and all of this erodes public confidence and the two agencies involved in and disagreeing in front of the public.

That's partly why we entered into the MOU. It was finalized on October 9, 2002. The Chairman signed it. The MOU establishes a framework for agency coordination, but the vast majority of NRC licensees, this is going to eliminate deregulation.

The supplement to that, however, is it doesn't completely eliminate the threat of deregulation. And Congress in House Report that's referenced there and subsequently again this February, basically said that the MOU doesn't go far enough and the language is really directed just to EPA, but they tell EPA that the point of the MOU is to completely eliminate EPA's involvement in NRC sites, unless NRC actually requests their involvement. So they've directed EPA to enter into an amended MOU with NRC and there's really no action on that at this point. also EPA now has to report on the 28th of every month

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to discuss the process on the amended MOU. But no action on that yet.

And then the last point is something we've tried to make multiple times at public meetings and things we've done. This doesn't change the requirements for our licensees at all. Our licensees are still responsible to clean up to our Subpart E license termination rule.

The specific provisions in the MOU is that NRC agrees to consult with EPA for any sites that have ground water contamination that we expect will exceed the EPA mcls at the time of license termination. Sites that have soil concentrations again at license termination that will exceed the values that are listed in Table 1 of the MOU and then finally for sites that we believe will go restricted release or use alternative --

DR. GARRICK: Are you going to say something about what kind of evidence was necessary to have those requirements exist? Because isn't it dependent upon an analysis of the site as to whether there's a groundwater issue or as to whether there's a need for EPA involvement? Who does the analysis that is the -- there's a decision making document for requesting EPA involvement.

1	MR. POGUE: Basically, we would use
2	licensee submittals at decommissioning plan, for
3	example, what would be there plan level. When we get
4	into the actual consultation, then we might look at
5	actual site measurements or later in the process look
6	at final site measurements and things like that. But
7	it's their plan level. So at DCGL, they submit for
8	soil for example, would be that's how you look at
9	it. We haven't actually got into this stage yet.
10	DR. GARRICK: I see, but some sort of
11	assessment of performance assessment or a safety
12	analysis report or something has to be the basis for
13	
14	MR. POGUE: Or decommissioning plan.
14 15	MR. POGUE: Or decommissioning plan. DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision
15	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision
15 16	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted?
15 16 17	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly
15 16 17 18	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly said that this is not a new request for licensees.
15 16 17 18	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly said that this is not a new request for licensees. It's just an agreement between the two agencies, so
15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly said that this is not a new request for licensees. It's just an agreement between the two agencies, so NRC staff would be responsible. The site project
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly said that this is not a new request for licensees. It's just an agreement between the two agencies, so NRC staff would be responsible. The site project manager for all of our decommissioning sites have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. GARRICK: And who make the decision that EPA should be consulted? MR. POGUE: NRC Staff. We've explicitly said that this is not a new request for licensees. It's just an agreement between the two agencies, so NRC staff would be responsible. The site project manager for all of our decommissioning sites have copies of the MOU and have been briefed on it and they

1	MR. POGUE: That's an excellent question.
2	DR. LEVENSON: Do you go your own ways?
3	MR. POGUE: That's where we're at right
4	now. In the MOU, it initially says that NRC will
5	identify the sites that trigger these values and share
6	that with EPA. Again, we haven't gotten to this stage
7	yet. Probably a letter would go to EPA. We talk
8	about the sites. The EPA I mean the MOU states
9	that the consultation should take 90 days. So it
10	would be a consultation between NRC and EPA technical
11	staff about the actual site and hopefully, EPA would
12	have no concerns of the site after they learn the
13	realistic how it's going to be cleaned up.
14	MR. MAJOR: So does it apply to the sites
15	that are currently undergoing some kind of license
16	termination activity? I mean is there a backfit rule
17	or is it just any respective site is the only ones it
18	applies to?
19	MR. POGUE: Prospective, I believe. I
20	don't think Chris?
21	MR. McKINNEY: It applies to everybody.
22	There is no grandfathering under it.
23	MR. POGUE: At least as of right now,
24	there's not a plan to go back and look through
25	MR. McKINNEY: Not terminated sites, but

1 site that's actually going -undergoing any 2 decommissioning activities or planning to, whether 3 under the LTR or under the site decommissioning 4 management plan. 5 DR. LEVENSON: The context of my question was there was an MOU between EPA and NRC, a very 6 7 formal one, published in the Federal Register several years ago covering questions of things like how do you 8 9 sample and do you have to sample if a waste has both RCRA material and radioactive material and it doesn't 10 11 function at all because EPA basically disavows it. 12 I've been involved a little bit and that comes up in connection with WIP and it exists and it's 13 14 very formal and it's signed, but it doesn't mean 15 anything. MR. BAHADUR: I was just reading the MOU, 16 17 Section D which is the EPA responsibilities and the way staff has addressed that question is if the NRC 18 19 requests EPA consultation, then the EPA is supposed to 20 give the original notification of its views in 90 21 days. 22 The idea is at that time the EPA would 23 either say yeah, it's okay, or if it is not all right, 24 then I think given this 90-day period that definition

will be made by EPA, but was sent to the NRC.

1 The final decision would still be in the 2 hands of the NRC. MR. POGUE: As far as the NRC licensees, 3 4 that's correct. 5 MR. BAHADUR: Right, and it says very clearly that the CERCLA would not be applied to NRC 6 7 licensees. MR. POGUE: That's correct. And the last 8 sentence of Bullet 2, NRC responsibilities is that if 9 10 NRC does not adopt the recommendations provided by the 11 EPA, NRC will inform EPA of the basis for its decision 12 not to do so. Go it goes along with --COURT REPORTER: Mr. Poque, will you raise 13 14 your mic a little. Sometimes you fade off. 15 MR. POGUE: Sorry. DR. GARRICK: Are you going to be able to 16 17 give us some examples of activities that are going on and how many of them involve the EPA and how many of 18 19 them don't and what you expect in the future? 20 What are we talking about in terms of --21 MR. POGUE: Unfortunately, we're a little 22 bit early for that right now because we just started 23 this in October and really there is multiple months of 24 meetings explaining it and that sort of things, so we 25 do not see the point where we have a formal list of

1 sites that we communicate with. 2 You haven't applied it to a DR. RYAN: 3 case yet? 4 MR. POGUE: No. We're at a stage where 5 our project managers are looking at their sites and trying to come up with a list to start the process. 6 7 DR. RYAN: Thanks. 8 MR. POGUE: So in the next few months, I 9 imagine, that will happen. 10 I'm going to ask a related DR. RYAN: 11 question and it's not right on the point of the MOU, 12 but follows up on Levenson's question. How is the activity coming along where 13 14 people are addressing, I think it's NRC and EPA, very 15 dilute concentrations of perhaps RCRA constituents in radioactive material or very small quantities of 16 17 radioactive material in RCRA waste and trying to address that? Is that on the table with regard to 18 19 site clean-up or is that just simply a 20 management question? 21 MR. McKINNEY: Right now it's a waste 22 management question. There is discussions between the 23 two about possibly going with the rulemaking on mixed 24 waste to allow them to go both directions. Of course,

EPA has already put in one that allows small amounts

MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	1	of RCRA material to go to a low level waste site.
DR. RYAN: It just struck me as a waste disposition issue that's well one the table. I just wondered if it was on the table with regard to decommissioning and what's left behind. MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued. DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	2	That one has already been put into place. The reverse
disposition issue that's well one the table. I just wondered if it was on the table with regard to decommissioning and what's left behind. MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued. DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	3	one had not.
wondered if it was on the table with regard to decommissioning and what's left behind. MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued. DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	4	DR. RYAN: It just struck me as a waste
decommissioning and what's left behind. MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued. DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	5	disposition issue that's well one the table. I just
MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued. DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	6	wondered if it was on the table with regard to
DR. RYAN: Thanks. MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	7	decommissioning and what's left behind.
MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide. (Slide change.) MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	8	MR. McKINNEY: That hasn't been pursued.
MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	9	DR. RYAN: Thanks.
MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess, the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	10	MR. POGUE: Go on to the next slide.
the outreach activities that I discussed and this really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	11	(Slide change.)
really took up the first view months of MOU and publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	12	MR. POGUE: This just summarizes, I guess,
publication. The press release, a couple of Federal Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	13	the outreach activities that I discussed and this
Register notices. The MOU is available on line and we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	14	really took up the first view months of MOU and
we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	15	publication. The press release, a couple of <u>Federal</u>
public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	16	Register notices. The MOU is available on line and
questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	17	we've also mailed it out to stakeholders. There's a
meeting was just answering questions about how it would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	18	public meeting here at NRC in November and a lot of
would be implemented. And then also staff has participated in a lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	19	questions. Most of the meeting, the majority of the
22 And then also staff has participated in a 23 lot of state and organizational meetings to explain 24 how the MOU applied.	20	meeting was just answering questions about how it
lot of state and organizational meetings to explain how the MOU applied.	21	would be implemented.
how the MOU applied.	22	And then also staff has participated in a
	23	lot of state and organizational meetings to explain
Implementation, once again	24	how the MOU applied.
	25	Implementation, once again

1 DR. GARRICK: I'm curious. Is the public showing much interest in this method, the Memorandum 2 3 of Understanding? 4 MR. POGUE: I would say licensees are the 5 most interested because they're concerned that this is an additional requirement or that it would actually 6 7 fully get in more than they were before. So I think that's the biggest concern. 8 The states are also interested because the 9 10 MOU doesn't extend their agreement states, so there 11 are some initial interest with the agreement states. 12 Initially asking if they could have the MOU extended to cover the agreement states and that's still not 13 14 resolved and on-going, but the organization of 15 agreement states is --16 GARRICK: Was there any movement 17 within the public for greater EPA participation in 18 this whole process? 19 MR. POGUE: I guess I would characterize 20 it as I know individuals in the public meeting 21 actually went so far to say that there 22 regulation because you advantage а have to 23 connection of two agencies instead of just one. guess, at least on an individual basis, some people 24

did actually say they'd like the involvement.

1 DR. WYMER: It doesn't sound like that's 2 moving in the direction of getting rid of dual 3 regulation. 4 MR. POGUE: So the implementation, again 5 after the public meetings and that sort of thing, working on guidance development. Right now we have --6 7 it's really what we call the licensing letter, but it's a letter from the NMSSS office director to the 8 9 different program offices review their to decommissioning sites. 10 This is what I was talking 11 about, to get a list of sites that triggered the MOU 12 so we can start the consultation. That's the next step. And then also under 13 14 quidance development, under the MOU we have to 15 implement formal agency guidance on how we're going to So that's probably going to be 16 apply the MOU. 17 decommissioning and guidance in the next few months. We're working on that. 18 19 And then the last step of implementation 20 is the site specific consultation which we haven't 21 done yet, so that's upcoming in the next few months, 22 I imagine. 23 (Slide change.) 24 MR. POGUE: And the last slide is just, 25 next steps, continued coordination under the MOU, move

on to site specific consultation and then the last
thing is that NRC has stated over and over that we're
going to continue to request legislation to completely
eliminate the dual regulation because as Congress has
pointed out, the MOU doesn't go as far as we would
like because they're still have the EPA
involvement.
DR. WYMER: It looks to me like the real
heart of this whole thing is the MOU table. That's
what determines whether or not
MR. POGUE: For soil concentration.
DR. WYMER: Yes, for soil concentration.
You don't have something comparable for water.
MR. POGUE: It's the MCL.
DR. WYMER: The MCL. Those are the
CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: So looking at those
tables, the soil concentrations, are they just from
tables that have existed for quite some time?
MR. McKINNEY: This is Chris McKinney.
I'm with the Division of Waste Management. They're
based on EPA's calculations to meet their risk level
and it's based on their current methodology they have
through their website of the radiation, it's the
preliminary radiation guidelines calculator they have.
That does everything but groundwater for the different

1	pathways and it's equivalent for them to a 10^{-4} risk
2	level. Is for most all of those values are equal to
3	it. Radium 226 and Thorium-230 are listed at 5
4	picocurie per gram for their weight and the total
5	uranium is based on chemical hazards.
6	DR. RYAN: Chris, what's the basic
7	exposure pathway in that calculator? I'm not sure
8	MR. McKINNEY: It's a very simplified all-
9	pathway calculator. It was everything from food
10	pathways, external, and inhalation, soil ingestion.
11	DR. RYAN: It's just noteworthy to me that
12	Plutonium-259 and Tritium-228, they're about the same.
13	That's kind of intuitive.
14	MR. McKINNEY: Right. It's just different
15	pathways for different radionuclides, but also the
16	fact is that the ground water they don't have an
17	irrigation pathway for retardation of ground water, so
18	
19	DR. RYAN: Is there a drinking water
20	pathway?
21	MR. McKINNEY: No. The drinking water
22	pathway and everything else is covered by the MCL is
23	separate.
24	DR. RYAN: That's fine.
25	MR. McKINNEY: It doesn't have long-term

1 build up built into it at all. It is -- what is the 2 concentration hazard to date. 3 DR. RYAN: And I appreciate the fact that 4 it's simply. 5 MR. McKINNEY: Right. It has some merit. 6 DR. RYAN: 7 DR. LEVENSON: How many states have now 8 been delegated authorization under RCRA? Do you know off-hand? 9 10 MR. POGUE: I'm not sure. 11 DR. LEVENSON: Because this MOU has no 12 impact at all on all of those states where the regulation has been delegated to the state. 13 14 not bound by this. 15 MR. McKINNEY: The MOU is mostly 16 regards between CERCLA and the NRC, not necessarily 17 the RCRA program and EPA has overview over most of the 18 CERCLA ones, states even. DR. WYMER: Mike, did you have a comment? 19 20 MR. LEE: Yes, my recollection of the RCRA 21 requirements is that RCRA, within the legislation 22 itself, it empowers -- EPA empowers the states to 23 implement the regulations through the passing of the 24 regulations themselves. I don't believe there's any 25 specific or special authorizing provisions other than

1 those. 2 MR. Right, but RCRA only McKINNEY: applies to one's license by it, meanwhile CERCLA can 3 4 go after any licensed or formerly licensed site and 5 that's what's the cause of dual regulation is. DR. WYMER: So this really applies to the 6 7 little -- it's really effective, it accomplishes something for the little sites of which there are 8 9 many. The big, more troublesome sites are still dual 10 regulated. 11 MR. POGUE: Yes, and I guess also a lot of 12 these have chemical problems anyway, so EPA would still be involved because this just applies to them. 13 14 MR. McKINNEY: Radiological. 15 MR. POGUE: Yes. Okay, are there any other --16 DR. WYMER: 17 DR. GARRICK: I'm just curious. In these tables, do you know if -- and maybe this question was 18 19 asked while I was having a side conversation, but do 20 you know if these are done on the basis of an 21 individual risk, that is to say, the differing history 22 and residential, in some cases, as many orders of 23 magnitude? 24 MR. McKINNEY: It's done on individual

risk, but also the type of pathways that are available

1	can make cause the orders of magnitude. If your
2	residential is completely the cause by the food
3	pathway, the growing of food, well, that pathway is
4	not in an industrial scenario. So
5	DR. GARRICK: There isn't much in the
6	average resident either.
7	MR. McKINNEY: No, they don't have a lot,
8	but it's still, for some of them are driven by that.
9	DR. GARRICK: Yes, okay. Because in the
10	industrial/commercial from an exposure standpoint you
11	would think that there would be much large
12	populations.
13	MR. McKINNEY: Yes, but it's individual
14	risk of a 10^{-4} .
15	DR. GARRICK: All right, I'll have to ask
16	my friends about that.
17	I'm not convinced. It's very interested.
18	Okay.
19	DR. WYMER: Well, thanks, Eric.
20	MR. POGUE: Thank you.
21	DR. WYMER: George, it's yours.
22	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: Thank you, Raymond.
23	I don't think we need to be on the record from now on,
24	do we?
25	DR. WYMER: I don't think so.

1	CHAIRMAN HORNBERGER: So we will this
2	will end the official recorded session.
3	(Whereupon, at 9:13 a.m., the meeting was
4	concluded.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	