that our employees understand what ownership means; and 1 2 more importantly, to support them, to give them the support 3 they need to be stewards of the program. Oversight. That's a management monitoring of 4 5 activities. Our effectiveness, not just time, but quality 6 time. I get a lot of feedback from my supervisors about 7 that, relative to they would like more time with this. We need to be more effective when we're out in the field. 8 9 Also that's being looked at from the higher organization as far as oversight roles. 10 11 Outside of the company, we have company nuclear 12 review board oversight. We have internal quality assurance oversight. We're looking at their roles also and that's 13 14 what our new Vice President of Oversight is tasked with. 15 Standards, that's very important. Two activities relate to standards. Expectations are what we 16 17 communicate. Standards is what we accept. You have to 18 make sure our standards are consistent with your 19 expectations. We have to make sure our expectations and 20 standards are industry best. So, it's up to us, this team 21 up here, to enforce standards. Our people will do what we 22 ask of them. We have to ask them to do the right thing. 23 The other issue of standards is standards of 24 industry excellence. As we described, there are opportunities where as industry moved ahead, had constant 25

improvement, we stayed with some of our programs, 1 2 processes. So, we're going to get on the process of 3 researching the industry, finding those good opportunities and essentially being on the constant improvement 4 treadmill. 5 6 Decision-making, that's the final one. That was real important as you go through the timeline. You can see 7 8 where decisions were made, different organizations made

9 decisions, how we got here. So, how we look at things, how

10 we ask questions, how we even characterize our questions

11 are very important.

12 Perfect example is, we were talking avoidability for

13 quite a bit. We used to have the engineers provide

14 operability justification. That's a good word, rather

15 benign; however, from the engineer, when he heard

16 operability justification, what he hears is, I want you to

17 justify operability, i.e. with the input being

18 operability.

19 We've already changed that culture. We're changing

20 that name. We're making it operability determination. We

21 want the engineer to determine if this equipment is

22 operable or not, and we even reward them when they say, I

23 can not justify operability. That to me is a strong,

24 strong character when you come back like that.

25 We need to reward those good behaviors. So,

decisions as far as why the service structure modification 1 2 is deferred has a very interesting story behind that. We 3 need to look at how we go forward. Our daily meetings, we challenge each other. We're 4 more open. We're not afraid to challenge. We ask harder 5 6 questions; making sure we understand the issues and more importantly, making certain we provide the support for our 7 8 people to be successful. 9 Through this program's actions, we'll reassure our safety focus and verify we have organizational tools needed 10 11 for success. 12 Questions? MR. DEAN: 13 That was a 14 mouthful. You know, much like a baseball team or hockey 15 team that's going bad or having problems and they eventually get rid of the manager; you all come in and you 16 17 change a number of managers and made some alignment at 18 management level. What it comes down to is, is really 19 imbedding the standards all the way through the staff. 20 Looking at the restart plan and some other things, I 21 see a lot going on relative to managerial issues. What I'm 22 interested in hearing is how do you plan on, on assuring 23 that you have embedded our expectation and standards? How 24 are you going to measure that in terms of ensuring that, that the message that you want to convey is understood and 25

1 acted upon consistently?

2	MR. MYERS: I think as we go
3	through this process, we move back on the chart on page
4	25. We build in oversight review groups. Our engineering,
5	here, all our engineering products go through engineering
6	assessment. And as we look at these products, we look for
7	those standards.
8	So, we've got trained people under standards;
9	establish new standards, and continue to look at those
10	standards as we go through the building block of discovery
11	on the other programs; restart station, the review board.
12	Once again, all those things go through there and we'll
13	continue to look through those standards.
14	And finally, over at the very end, we have restart.
15	We have Restart Oversight Panel. We created that, a group
16	of industry experts, that we're using to continuously bring
17	the programs in and present the programs as we go through
18	this and provide feedback to us.
19	This is not a one-time shot process. This is going,
20	this is going to take us not only at startup, it's going to
21	take us after startup, too. And we have to get them back
22	to the highest industry standards. It's going to take time
23	to do that.
24	MR. MENDIOLA: I don't think I

25 understood. How are you going to, if you will,

1 institutionalize this for long-term gain?

2	MR. POWERS: One of the areas
3	we plan, is the engineering drives a lot of engineering
4	programs and where all the plan is, Engineering Assessment
5	Board is a piece of the oversight that Lew described.
6	The Engineering Assessment Board looks at, it's
7	going to look at the output of all these building blocks,
8	technical perspectives. It's also going to look at
9	modification. It's going to look at products, calculations
10	and corrective action.
11	The way we're going to use to ingrain this for the
12	future, for the long term, is starting up this board
13	initially, core deposit of outside supervisors to solve our
14	expertise product, instead of just planning, demonstrate
15	question-asking characteristics.
16	And they're going to get this board up, and get it
17	moving. And it's supplemented by staff from the floor.
18	So, if you're reviewing, let's say reviewing a electrical
19	topic, we have an independent electrical engineer on
20	board on that review.
21	As we move forward, we'll be mentoring the staff as
22	I, as they sit on the board, to be critical thinkers, to
23	ask critical questions, to ingrain that into the practices
24	as part of the process, which are not on the engineering
25	documents. This is something we've done at the other

- 1 stations; Perry Station, for example. Really given some
- 2 benefit.
- 3 As we go through the restart, then we'll be
- 4 releasing the contractors, once we've achieved our
- 5 objectives and got performance of the board and questioning
- 6 attitude well established. And the individuals there will
- 7 have been mentors, there will be an onus for the
- 8 Engineering Assessment Board who continues forward as the
- 9 chairman. And, that, I think, is a very effective way to
- 10 assure that the, that questioning attitude is in the
- 11 culture ingrained.
- 12 If we were to rely solely on boards, they come and
- 13 they go. We want something that's going to last. So, this
- 14 is the concept that I have developed as part of the new
- 15 process in the engineering area.
- 16 MR. ESHELMAN: From a bigger
- 17 picture, this is an area where we need to lead.
- 18 Leaderships need to be out front. We need to lead by
- 19 example. That means bringing in expertise, looking out in
- 20 the industry, identifying these high standards, bringing
- 21 them back, communicating it to our people.
- 22 And through communication, then next, then we do
- 23 follow-up. We need to be out observing our people. Making
- 24 sure that they understand our communications and therefore
- 25 fulfilling what we expect of them. And then the coaching

process. So, this is truly a leadership message. 1 2 Our daily meetings is the start of it. That's when 3 management team gets together. We set the standards for people; not just for program performance, procedures; it's 4 5 our standards of behavior comes from the management team. 6 MR. MENDIOLA: I hear what you're 7 saying. I don't mean to interrupt what you were saying 8 before, but I'm curious to make sure you are not following, 9 if you will, a timetable, and that we reach this amount of time, we restart it, we're done, you contractors can go. 10 11 What I'm after is to be sure that the long term run, 12 that you've reached the point where you are, what's 13 happening on-site is satisfactory to you, but at the same 14 time not satisfactory to you and that you're seeking to 15 reach a higher level of excellence. 16 MR. MYERS: Once you get the 17 maintenance program, who uses the other plan is management 18 program and corrective program. We've been on corrective 19 actions all our -- for example, you ask the question, how 20 could the operators be doing containment walking past the 21 time switching and causing the rust, and not identify 22 that. 23 We expect our management program to pick that up 24 early. And the Corrective Action Program has found this problem, so it will go long, long after startup. You know, 25

it's not something we can just hit one time and walk away. 1 2 We've got to thoroughly understand it and change with the 3 ages. 4 MR. GROBE: I just really appreciate Bill and Tony's questions, because I have 5 6 several questions of the same ilk. On slide 30, could you see last bullet there; 7 8 "Management Root Cause - Discovery". I think you have an 9 ongoing kind of a global effort that is looking at all the data you collected. So, I've been hesitant to engage in 10 11 this issue yet, because you're still looking at it, and I 12 would like to look at what you completed. On page 31, slide 31, you conclude that "Management 13 14 ineffectively implemented processes". There is no doubt 15 that the management is accountable to the organization, if people implement processes. And I was glad to hear the 16 17 words you used, Dave, was managers need. 18 If you go to slide 32. Dave, you put oversight in 19 the context of the new Vice President of Oversight. Talked 20 about ownership and standards and decision-making. I put 21 these ownership standards and decision-making as the guy in 22 the field with a wrench. Oversight is the first-line 23 supervisor. 24 And, you know, it's the same question I think Bill

25 and Tony are asking. How, haven't seen the details of how

you're going to get first-line supervisor, the workers in 1 2 the field aligned with your expectations and your 3 standards, because they're the ones that implement the programs. It's not the managers that implement the 4 5 programs. 6 And how you're going to measure that? How you're going to assess where you're at, where you have problems, 7 8 where you need to fix things, and how you're going to 9 measure how you're making progress? 10 It's very difficult questions. I appreciate that, but they're very important. 11 12 MR. MYERS: You know, I think, do you have time? 13 14 MR. FAST: I was just going to say 15 our supervisors model the performance of our leaders, our managers. And if our managers are not in the field helping 16 17 to resolve issues and understand those issues, that's the 18 supervisor's model. That's why when we go back to that 19 lead by example, it's our direct involvement in the field, 20 walking and talking and understanding, helping to set the 21 standards, that models behavior for our supervisors. That 22 ultimately becomes the model of success for high performing 23 organizations. 24 MR. GROBE: I agree with you

that you need to set the expectations and model those

expectations, and there is a number of different authors 1 2 that have written all sort of books on organizational 3 effectiveness, but I think the nirvana of organizational effectiveness is that none of you show up at work one day 4 and everything happens the same as it would have happened 5 6 if you weren't there. And I'm not, I haven't yet seen the plan on how you're going to get there and how you're going 7 8 to measure progress. 9 MR. BERGENDAHL: We don't have it. 10 MR. GROBE: I know. I'm very 11 interested. And we're talking about hardware. Hardware is 12 essential to assess and fix. I don't mean to diminish the amount of effort you're putting into it. It takes a lot of 13 effort. But it's not easy. This is the hard part. 14 15 I'm keenly interested in seeing that root cause and how you're going to fix this and how you're going to 16 17 measure progress. 18 MR. BERGENDAHL: I will answer the 19 question that you and Tony asked, but the starting point 20 indicated that series of sessions where Dave and I are 21 meeting with every supervisor on site. We go through the 22 timeline, and exactly how we made decisions, how we 23 provided oversight and identify where we did not meet 24 expectations and what the expectations are. 25 Spent several hours with every supervisor on-site

# MARIE B. FRESCH & ASSOCIATES 1-800-669-DEPO

establishing that baseline. Followed up then with the 1 2 senior managers here. Will meet in small groups of 3 employees until we've met with everyone on-site and do the exact same thing. Make sure we get a common starting point 4 of understanding the difference between where we have been 5 6 and where we need to be. So, we'll set that standard and then our management 7 8 oversight in monitoring will ensure that it's being 9 reinforced by the first-line supervisor. 10 MR. MYERS: Again, once we finish, 11 our management will sit down and lay out specific actions 12 over the long term that we're going to check. We're going to change and take, change behaviors and monitor the 13 14 effectiveness of that. So, it's not only, maybe training 15 programs, maybe it's monitoring programs. You know, once we finish that, we'll decide on those things and we'll use 16 17 that for the long term. We'll monitor the performance. 18 That's what we're doing. 19 MR. MENDIOLA: Like a lot of 20 groups, a lot of licenses like yourself have sat across 21 from groups like us, and had these very same conversations 22 and said these very same things a number of times; and to 23 various degrees it's been a lot of successes and there has 24 been a lot of not very good successes. 25 I can just say after some experience in this matter,

2 right slides; now it comes time to go out and do what you 3 say you plan on doing. And, my issue is quite simply, is that you have to 4 do it, you know, immediately. You have to do it 5 6 vesterday. Have to do it today. Have to do it tomorrow. 7 And since you've set this new organization up, the 8 different phases obviously on your side of the table, there 9 has been some opportunities, if you will, to take on these four focus areas. And I think you need to be sure that you 10 11 are doing everything you can, if you will, this new way and 12 get away from the old way; and make sure that your organization, your people, your procedures and all these 13 14 plans that you've made are being implemented on the site. 15 We've had a few discussions with, and a few technical issues have come up in the last few days and we 16 17 would like to think that your organization is working, if 18 you will, under the new way, and approaching designs. We 19 have some questions in our mind outside looking in that 20 that's happened. And we'll obviously be following up. 21 MR. MYERS: It was a sobering 22 experience. It was a new way to look at it. Instead of 23 sitting back and waiting for the situation to control us, 24 we have taken a more proactive approach.

25 And we're trying to do that after that morning

I mean, you've said all the right words and made all the

1	meeting. And that was too late. We should have, it should
2	have been that night. That I understand.
3	MR. MENDIOLA: Everybody has a
4	role and responsibility in this and everyone has to do it.
5	MR. MYERS: I understand.
6	MR. GROBE: Any other
7	questions or comments in this area?
8	MR. DEAN: The only question
9	I have is, do you have a sense when your collective
10	assessment on the detailed root cause that you'll be
11	prepared to talk with us on that?
12	MR. MYERS: Sometime after the
13	end of this month, I plan on wrapping that up at the end of
14	the month sometime, it looks like, as a team.
15	One thing, I'm sponsoring the team and I'm not
16	pushing the admission of the group, but what we've done on
17	the root cause is we have the team players that are
18	performing the root cause. All our experience is root
19	cause people.
20	We're also bringing in industry expertise from time
21	to time. I've got that lined up a couple different days
22	from some peer performance groups from other utilities.
23	And then we're bringing in also an outside consultant,
24	various phases, to look at, challenge our root causes, who
25	we find

25 we find.

1	So, this is not an easy issue. And we're going to	
2	take it on in a very serious manner. Hope to be through	
3	with that sometime the end of this month. Maybe next month	
4	we should be ready to present it to you.	
5	MR. GROBE: Lew, the meeting	
6	that you had Monday, I can't remember what that panel is	
7	called; the Restart Oversight Panel?	
8	MR. MYERS: Restart Oversight	
9	Panel, yeah.	
10	MR. GROBE: You indicated	
11	earlier today that the next meeting, maybe it was	
12	yesterday, the days are running together, that the next	
13	meeting is like the second Monday in July?	
14	MR. MYERS: I think so.	
15	15th. 15th, we have the next meeting scheduled.	
16	MR. GROBE: Maybe it would be	
17	best to have our next panel meeting the following day. And	
18	that way, some of us that are interested come out and view	
19	the functioning of that panel and then have our oversight	
20	panel. That might align.	
21	Okay, we'll be talking about that schedule.	
22	MR. MYERS: I think that	
23	would, I would be able to present the management root cause	
24	issues to that panel at that time, you know, so that would	
25	work out.	

1	MR. GROBE: O	kay. I think
2	we've been at it for two and a half h	ours. Do you want to
3	go more?	
4	MR. MYERS: N	0.
5	MR. GROBE: I G	certainly
6	appreciate the dialogue.	
7	Lew, did you have any closing	remarks that you want
8	to make?	
9	MR. MYERS: W	vell, you know,
10	our desire today, we hope we've do	ne this for the public.
11	We've got a lot of conversation betw	ween us and the
12	regulators, but we would demonstra	ate that our management
13	team will take the needed actions to	assess all of our
14	technical issues to restart the plant	to the best of our
15	ability; willing to see the plant oper	rates safely and
16	reliable and well proved and therefore	ore trust, because right
17	now the plant shutdown in a situation	on like this, it hurts
18	the employee population.	
19	And then we'll work hard to re-	gain public and
20	regulatory confidence. We took a s	step forward. And that
21	desire after today, that would be a v	vin.
22	Since our last meeting, we made	le a lot of changes.
23	We put together the restart plan that	t was only in draft.
24	We put the individual plans into pla	ace. We've all racked
25	out heads. We talked about at the l	ast meeting, we were

# MARIE B. FRESCH & ASSOCIATES 1-800-669-DEPO

studying, that at the time, the technical feasibility of 1 2 buying a new head or repairing it. We walked away and 3 basically from the head repair now and bought a new head. Major change in direction. 4 5 From a technical program standpoint, we're reviewing 6 our technical programs already, so we're in the discovery 7 phase already. 8 Containment condition. We're already into 9 implementation phase. We're still doing discovery, but we're outfitting things like duct work already. So, we're 10 11 somewhere in between. 12 System health plan; we've already been through a lot of system reviews and we've created a whole bunch of works, 13 14 mods. 15 One of the things we're looking at is a new cavity seal. Major mod in the plant that kept some of the leakage 16 17 from running down the side of the vessel, you know. So, 18 we're looking at that mod, but we're creating a bunch of 19 work and mods there. 20 From a restart plan, we have the restart plan 21 basically in place. 22 And the management human performance plan, we told 23 you we've been through a lot of reviews with corrective 24 actions. We've had industry experts in. And then we have detail management review assessment going on. Root cause, 25

#### MARIE B. FRESCH & ASSOCIATES 1-800-669-DEPO

1	if you will. And we'll complete that before the next
2	meeting.
3	So, since our last meeting, we've made a lot of
4	progress. And before our next meeting, we'll make more
5	progress. Thank you.
6	MR. GROBE: Okay, thanks,
7	Lew.
8	I just wanted to comment that I know that we've
9	asked a plethora of very intrusive questions and I think
10	the dialogue has been very helpful. I apologize that this
11	ran long. I think it's very important to get through these
12	last two cornerstones or building blocks. I'm sorry. And,
13	we'll do that early in July, and cover those
14	comprehensively.
15	Again, I just want to thank you for your staying
16	power, your ability to respond to our questions candidly
17	and openly. I think that's very healthy and we'll continue
18	providing our thoughts and insights. And I think the plant
19	has made significant progress in planning its work, and has
20	begun to accomplish some of these plans, begun to implement
21	some of these plans.
22	My experience in the past is that it's absolutely
23	crucial to develop the plan first and get a very solid plan
24	and then go implement it. That way you don't have to redo
25	work. You can go back and redo activities once you realize

the plan wasn't sufficient and comprehensive. 1 2 So, I appreciate the fact that these are still 3 living documents and you're going to be updating them shortly. We look forward to seeing those revisions. 4 5 MR. MYERS: Thank you. 6 MR. GROBE: Any other comments? Bill? 7 8 At this time, I commented earlier today we had a 9 meeting at 10:00 this morning, and it went for about a half an hour and they took a break before they had public 10 11 comments. 12 We didn't have that situation. We've been at it for quite awhile. My recommendation is we not take a break and 13 14 we provide for public comments. 15 We have a meeting at 7:00. If some of you have comments, but were planning on coming back at that time, I 16 17 would ask you to save them for that period of time, because 18 I'm sensitive to the fact that we're impacting on dinner hour, but I'm eager to hear feedback. 19 20 So, I would invite you to come forward and speak at 21 the microphone, if you would, please. And I believe on the 22 podium we have a sign-in sheet. 23 MR. HOWARD WHITCOMB: In respect to 24 your request, at the dinner hour and so forth, is it my

25 understanding that the same individuals are going to be

1 back here at 7:00?

2	MR. GROBE: No, that's not
3	correct. The evening meeting is going to be NRC staff and
4	might be some of the public. I'll provide a brief overview
5	of what was discussed this afternoon, and then respond to
6	any questions.
7	For those folks that want to have a detailed
8	understanding of everything that transpired this afternoon,
9	the transcript will be available in a couple of weeks, and
10	they can read the transcript.
11	MR. WHITCOMB: Well, I would like
12	to ask one quick question to First Energy, since they're
13	not going to be back this evening.
14	To Mr. Myers, you've heard Mr. Fast describe a
15	situation in which he felt it necessary to invite certain
16	of his management out into the plant, and undergo one of
17	these teachable moments. I've never heard that before,
18	but I think I understand what that means.
19	Mr. Myers, what would be your assessment at this
20	point as to why was that necessary?
21	MR. MYERS: You know, I think
22	our plant ran well and did well for many years. Probably
23	one of the better plants in the country. Sometimes it's
24	easy to get complaisant with that, because the plant has
25	performed so well. And, our managers were not getting into

the industry as often as they should. Looking for the 1 2 highest standards of performance. 3 There is minimum standards of performance, what I call regulatory performance; and then there is a margin 4 above that. And we need to start teaching how to have the 5 6 margin above that. And that's not in all cases, but it is 7 in some cases. 8 Like the operator is walking into the containment, 9 finding rust on stuff. We needed to address that one apparently some time ago. Missed opportunities that we've 10 had in some areas are just a reflection of letting our 11 12 guard down, our standards down. And that's, that's the reason I didn't blame it on the people. That's a 13 14 management problem, because it's something we always have 15 to guard against and that's complaisancy. Would you consider 16 MR. WHITCOMB: 17 that to be a management problem from the plant management, 18 plant manager level all the way down to the lowest levels 19 of supervision? 20 MR. MYERS: Yes. 21 MR. WHITCOMB: Okay. Now, you 22 also indicated that you don't have a plan yet completely 23 developed as to how you address that, but it is your 24 intention to address that? 25 MR. MYERS: Yes.

1 MR. WHITCOMB: Okay. Mr. Fast, 2 you indicated earlier in your VT-2 inspections, the five 3 individuals that had prior experience. Are they somehow required to be part of the team that's out there in the 4 field with the inexperienced people? 5 6 MR. MYERS: Before you go on to Mr. Fast, I would like to clarify a statement. Don't 7 8 look at our performance at the Davis-Besse plant. It's 9 still, in a lot of areas, it's still performing at some of the highest industry standards. Our industrial safety 10 11 records are outstanding. Protection technicians are 12 outstanding. 13 There is still of lot of areas at our plant that I 14 would say are as good or leading the industry. There are 15 some areas like in the, that we're seeing, that some of our standards may have slid some. Operators not getting into 16 17 containment results as they should. 18 So, I would not classify our performance as mediocre 19 from top to bottom. There is some pockets of areas that we 20 probably need improvement. Generally, our overall 21 maintenance, the general condition of the plant appears to 22 be good. So, I don't want to classify the plant as just 23 falling apart. It's, the plant is in very good material 24 condition still all in all.

25 MR. WHITCOMB: But you would

1 agree with me, sir --

2	MR. GROBE: Howard, this point	
3	in time was intended not necessarily for questioning the	
4	utility, but it's intended for the NRC staff to receive	
5	input from the public, to respond to questions from the	
6	public. And, if First Energy has additional information	
7	that they want to present in response to a question, that's	
8	fine, but that's not the way we structured our meetings.	
9	This time period was intended for members of the	
10	public to ask us questions; us meaning the NRC, and to	
11	provide us their insights. And if First Energy wants to	
12	provide another forum, a different forum for members of the	
13	public to directly ask them questions, that's fine, but	
14	that's wasn't the intention of this portion of the	
15	meeting.	
16	MR. WHITCOMB: All right. Well,	
17	then, I'll be back at 7:00 and I'll direct to the NRC any	
18	other comments that I have at that time.	
19	MR. GROBE: Okay.	
20	MR. GUNTER: I'm Paul Gunter.	
21	I'm with Nuclear Information Services.	
22	I guess my concern is directed to the Nuclear	
23	Regulatory Commission to statements we just heard here from	
24	First Energy. Does the NRC really believe that First	
25	Energy radiation standards are some of the highest in the	

country after the most recent recorded incidents where 1 2 employees walked out of there with hot particles? 3 That seems, it seems to fly in the, there is a whole list of information that we get from the utility that seems 4 to fly in the face of what we just experienced. 5 6 And even most recently, how is the public confidence 7 to be restored, not only in this plant, but in the 8 regulator? And that's the challenge that is before this 9 regulator. 10 If any of us are caught running through Oak Harbor 11 and exceeding the speed limit, jeopardizing public health 12 and safety, there are consequences. This utility, particularly this management, should not be allowed to 13 14 proceed without some consequence from the regulator. 15 And the question to the regulator, the challenge to you, is what consequence will you mete out to this kind of 16 17 system, this management that's been going on for years? 18 MR. GROBE: Thanks, Paul. I think you asked two questions. The first one concerned the 19 20 recent event involving hot particles; and, I think that's 21 an excellent question. 22 I'm not sure what Mr. Myers was referring to. I believe there is a number of different indicators that give 23 24 you an insight into performance into radiation protection program. Some of those include, for example, overall 25

exposure to the workers at the plant. And there is a whole
 series of other indicators. We have performance indicators
 that are posted on our web site that we look at. And the
 plants have many more indicators that they use to measure
 their performance.
 With respect to the hot particle event, that's an

7 issue where we conducted a special inspection. The results
8 of that inspection are undergoing evaluation, and should be
9 issued shortly. And those findings of the inspection will
10 be characterized correctly.
11 There are a number of issues that were identified
12 that were not good performance in the area of radiological

13 protection. They were very narrowly focused on how the

14 licensee handled individuals who perceived internal

15 contamination; and that's part of radiation protection

16 program and part of the risks at a nuclear plant.

17 It's possible for nuclear worker to receive what's

18 called an uptake of radioactive materials. And when a

19 worker does have radio uptake of radioactive materials, it

20 complicates the ability to determine whether or not they

21 have radioactive materials on the external of themselves.

22 So, there is a number of issues there and we're

23 evaluating the issues of that inspection and violation with

24 respect to that issue.

25 In respect to overall plant performance, the

# MARIE B. FRESCH & ASSOCIATES 1-800-669-DEPO

findings, AIT inspection, there is a number of inspections 1 2 to be done, referred to some of them earlier today. There 3 is a number of ongoing inspections right now. One of them is conducting an evaluation of extended condition inside 4 containment. 5 6 Don Jones is out at Midland watching radiography and evaluating that, and we'll see that activity. There is a 7 8 couple of folks in the region that are continuing to work

9 on following up the results of the Augmented Inspection

10 Team. And concurrent in that, we have quite a few people

11 working, both agency people and contractors, on evaluating

12 the safety significance of this event.

13 And, once we complete the follow-up on the Augmented

14 Inspection Team and the Safety Inspection Analysis, we'll

15 be able to characterize the violations that have occurred

16 and the risk significance of those violations and deal with

17 those appropriately within our procedures.

18 But those are excellent questions and I appreciate

19 your input. Thank you.

20 Are there others?

21 Nobody else has any questions?

22 Okay. Great. Thanks.

23 I certainly appreciate your attention to this

24 meeting. As I mentioned earlier, we're going to have a

25 meeting that will convene in this room at 7:00. The

1	outcome of that meeting will be to communicate, to
2	communicate the result of the meeting that occurred this
3	morning. That was a meeting of the Lessons Learned Ta
4	Force, and then also to communicate the results of this
5	meeting this afternoon, and field questions from the publ
6	that they may have.
7	Thank you very much.
8	(Off the record.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Marie B. Fresch, Registered Merit Reporter and
3	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly
4	commissioned and qualified therein, do hereby certify that
5	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
6	proceedings as taken by me and that I was present during
7	all of said proceedings.
8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
9	affixed my seal of office at Norwalk, Ohio, on this
10	27th day of June, 2002.
11	
12	
13	
14	Marie B. Fresch, RMR
15	NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO
16	My Commission Expires 10-9-03.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	