FOIA Resource

Case No.

Date Rec'd

2014-0256 5/21/14

From:

David Lochbaum <nobody@www.nrc.gov>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 21, 2014 11:47 AM

To:

FOIA Resource

Subject:

WWW Form Submission

Specialist:

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by

David Lochbaum () on Wednesday, May 21, 2014 at 11:47:25

through the IP(b)(6)

using the form at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/foia/foia-submittal-form.html

and resulted in this email to foia.resource@nrc.gov

Company/Affliation: Union of Concerned Scientists

Address1: PO Box 15316

Address2:

City: Chattanooga

State: TN

Zip: 37415

Country: United States

Country-Other:

Email: dlochbaum@ucsusa.org

Phone: 423-468-9272

Desc: 1) All of the corrective action documents and miscellaneous documents listed under the List of Documents Reviewed section of NRC inspection report 05000443/2012010 (ML13221A172).

- 2) All of the License Renewal Basis Documents, Technical Reports, Reference Documents, and Corrective Action Documents listed under the List of Documents Reviewed section of NRC inspection report 050000443/2011007 (ML111360432).
- 3) All of the documents listed under Section 1R12: Maintenance Rule Implementation under the List of Documents Reviewed section of NRC inspection report 05000443/2011002 (ML111330689).
- 4) All final communication plans (not drafts, markups, or emails concerning draft en route to final plans) developed by the NRC on concrete degradation at Seabrook, Crystal River 3, and Davis-Besse.

FeeCategory:	Educational
--------------	-------------

MediaType:

MediaType Other Description:

Expedite_ImminentThreatText:

Expedite UrgencyToInformText:

Waiver_Purpose: UCS has been monitoring the ASR concrete degradation issue at Seabrook. UCS will review the requested documents to add to our awareness of concrete degradation and aging management issues.

Waiver_ExtentToExtractAnalyze: The requested records fall into two categories: (1) documents reviewed by NRC inspectors at Seabrook, and (2) documents prepared by the NRC to communicate to external stakeholders about concrete degradation. UCS will review the first set of records to better understand the information presented in the NRC inspection reports. These reports are summaries - our review of the requested documents will add to what we learned by reading the summaries. Our review of the second set of documents will hopefully confirm our understanding of the concrete degradation issues is sufficiently broad, or identify gaps in our understanding.

Waiver_SpecificActivityQuals: UCS will review the requested records and provide commentaries. Typically, our approach is to highlight things that are working well and things that are not with the goal of guiding more things in the future towards the former and away from the latter. Our reports, blog posts, etc. are written for broader audiences, minimizing the amount of acronyms and technical jargon.

Waiver_ImpactPublicUnderstanding: The NRC inspection reports have long been publicly available. The documents cited in them that UCS requests represent potentially new information. And while the NRC inspection reports have been publicly available, they are written to a template format that is not very user-friendly for members of the public who have not worked in the nuclear industry for many years. UCS's commentaries may cover the same ground, and may provide some new information, but will definitely convey this information in a far more user-friendly way.

Waiver_NatureOfPublic: Nearly 140 million Americans live within 50 miles of operating nuclear reactors. If even one-tenth of a percent of them have interest in the aging reactors, that's 140,000 people who might find UCS's user-friendly commentaries on concrete degradation and aging management useful.

Waiver_MeansOfDissemination: UCS issues annual reports on the NRC and nuclear plant safety, weekly blog posts on nuclear power safety issues, and periodic presentations to the NRC Commissioners, Congressional oversight committees, and other audiences on nuclear power safety issues. UCS will likely include perspectives gained from our review of the requested documents in commentaries via one of more of these communication vehicles.

Waiver_FreeToPublicOrFee: Materials posted to the UCS website and blog (<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> and allthingsnuclear.org are available for viewing/downloading free of charge.

Waiver_PrivateCommericalInterest: None.