Official Transcript of Proceedings **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

DSEIS Meeting for Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1: Evening Session Title:

Docket Number: NRC-2011-0262

Location: Port Gibson, Mississippi

Date: Wednesday, January 29, 2014

Work Order No.:NRC-549 Pages 1-16

> NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. **Court Reporters and Transcribers** 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC MEETING ON DRAFT
5	SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL
6	IMPACT STATEMENT FOR GRAND
7	GULF NUCLEAR STATION
8	EVENING SESSION
9	Wednesday, January 29, 2014
10	Hearing Room
11	Port Gibson Hall
12	1005 College Street
13	Port Gibson, Mississippi
14	
15	The above-entitled hearing was conducted
16	at 7:00 p.m.
17	BEFORE: BOB HAGAR, Facilitator
18	NRC STAFF:
19	DAVID DRUCKER
20	BRIAN D. WITTICK
21	RICHARD SMITH
22	BLAKE RICE
23	VICTOR DRICKS
24	

1	$\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$
2	MR. HAGAR: We're kind of doing an
3	abbreviated meeting. My name is Bob Hagar. I am a
4	member of the NRC's facilitation corps, and my job
5	here is to facilitate this meeting, and what that
6	means is to give you guys an opportunity to speak;
7	well, basically to make sure that anybody who wants
8	to say something in this meeting has an opportunity
9	to say it without interruption.
10	But before we get started, there's some
11	details about the meeting that you need to know;
12	that is that the meeting is going to be in three
13	parts. Part one is when the NRC staff is going to
14	go through this presentation to talk about the
15	subject matter.
16	Part two is when you are going to have an
17	opportunity to ask questions to clarify this
18	material, and NRC staff will answer those
19	questions. And then part three of the meeting is
20	going to be a time when you can provide the
21	feedback that this presentation is asking for, or
22	you can say anything else you want to say without
23	interruption. So that is one of the details. The
24	meeting is going to be in three parts.

1	The second detail about the meeting that
2	is important is that the NRC has to produce a
3	transcript of this meeting. And to do that, we are
4	recording this meeting. And so to make sure the
5	recording is clear, we have to set up some ground
6	rules. One ground rule is that if you are going to
7	speak, you have got to speak into a microphone.
8	That's why I am using a microphone, even though
9	this room is small enough I don't need one. We
10	need it to record what I say.
11	So if you are going to speak, you need to
12	speak into a microphone too. And if you need to
13	speak, you raise your hand. I will bring the
14	microphone to you. One that's one.
15	The second thing is that only one person
16	can speak at a time, so please don't interrupt a
17	speaker, because if we if two people speak at
18	the same time, from the recording we can't tell
19	what anybody said.

1	And third is we can't have any background
2	noise, so we can't have side conversations and
3	can't have electronic noise. So please right now,
4	if you haven't already, turn off your personal
5	electronics. So I guess that's all you need
6	to know about the meeting. So are you okay with
7	the ground rules? You understand the ground rules?
8	You're okay with that?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	MR. HAGAR: Right. I'm going to turn the
11	meeting over to David Drucker then. Now, he's the
12	first speaker. When he gets done, I will come back
13	for the question-and-answer period, and after that,
14	we'll move into phase three of the meeting. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. DRUCKER: Thanks, Bob. And thank you
17	all for coming out tonight. My name is David
18	Drucker, and I'm the project manager for the
19	environmental review of the Grand Gulf Nuclear
20	Station license renewal application.
21	I just want to introduce and if you
22	all want to switch seats, please do. I'm sorry
23	about the pole being in our way, but whatever; make
24	yourself comfortable there.

1	I want to introduce first of all, my
2	supervisor, Brian Wittick. He is sitting on my
3	immediate left. He has come with me from
4	Rockville, Maryland. And to his left is Richard
5	Smith. And he's the senior resident inspector at
6	Grand Gulf. And then sitting out here in the
7	audience is Victor Dricks, and Victor is the public
8	affairs officer for Region IV, and he has come out
9	from Arlington, Texas. So that is the NRC
10	contingent that is with us tonight.
11	I hope the information we provide with
12	this presentation will help you understand what we
13	have done so far and the role you can play in
14	helping us make sure that the final Environmental
15	Impact Statement is accurate and complete.

1	So I would like to emphasize that the
2	environmental review is not yet complete. I would
3	like to start off by briefly going over the agenda
4	and purpose of this presentation, at which time, I
5	will discuss the NRC's regulatory role, the
6	preliminary findings of our environmental review,
7	which addresses the impacts associated with
8	extending the operating license of the Grand Gulf
9	Nuclear Station for an additional 20 years. And I
10	will present the current schedule for the remainder
11	of the environmental review and how you can submit
12	comments outside this meeting. At the end
13	of this presentation, there will be time for
14	questions and answers on the environmental review
15	process and, most importantly, time for you to
16	present your comments on the Draft Supplemental
17	Environmental Impact Statement, which we call the
18	SEIS, or the Draft SEIS.
19	And here is a copy of it right here.
20	There's hard copies out on the table in the hallway
21	and also CDs. If you want, you can take them with
22	you tonight.

1	The NRC was established to regulate the
2	civilian use of nuclear materials, including
3	facilities producing electric power. The NRC
4	conducts license renewal reviews for plants whose
5	owners wish to operate them beyond their initial
6	license period. NRC license renewals
7	address safety issues related to managing the
8	effects of aging and environmental issues related
9	to an additional 20 years of operation. In all
10	aspects of the NRC's regulation, our mission is
11	threefold: to ensure adequate protection of public
12	health and safety, to promote common defense and
13	security, and to protect the environment.
14	We are here to discuss the potential
15	site-specific impacts of license renewal for Grand
16	Gulf. The Generic Environmental Impact Statement,
17	or GEIS, examines the possible environmental
18	impacts that could occur as a result of renewing
19	licenses of individual nuclear plants. The GEIS
20	establishes and bounds the significance of
21	potential impacts.
22	The analysis in the GEIS pertains to
23	operating power plants. For each type of
24	environmental impact, the GEIS establishes general
25	findings covering as many plants as possible.

1	For some environmental issues, the GEIS
2	found that a generic evaluation was not sufficient
3	and that a plant specific analysis was required.
4	The site-specific findings for Grand Gulf are
5	contained in the Draft Supplemental Environmental
6	Impact Statement, or Draft SEIS, published in
7	November 2013.
8	This document contains analyses of all
9	applicable site-specific issues, as well as a
10	review of issues covered by the GEIS, to determine
11	whether the conclusions in the GEIS are valid for
12	Grand Gulf. In this process, the NRC staff also
13	reviews the environmental impacts of potential
14	power generation alternatives to license renewal.
15	For each issue identified, an impact
16	level was assigned. The NRC established three
17	levels of significance for potential impacts:
18	small, moderate and large, as defined on the slide.
19	For small impact, the effects are not
20	detectable or are so minor, that they will neither
21	destabilize nor noticeably alter any important

22

attribute of the resource.

1	For a moderate impact, the effects are
2	sufficient to alter noticeably but not to
3	destabilize important attributes of the resource.
4	For a large impact, the effects are clearly
5	noticeable and are sufficient to destabilize
6	important attributes of the resource.
7	For protected species and habitats, that
8	resource, the impact significance determination
9	language is specific to the authorizing legislation
10	which is the Endangered Species Act. So we don't
11	use small, moderate and large for protected species
12	and habitat, and you'll see on the next slide what
13	we do use.
14	This slide lists the site-specific issues
15	that NRC staff reviewed for the continued operation
16	of Grand Gulf during the proposed license renewal
17	period. Overall, the impacts of license renewal on
18	all these issues were found to be small, which
19	means the effects are not detectable and are so
20	minor that they will neither destabilize nor
21	noticeably alter any important attribute of the
22	resource.

resource.

1	This slide provides a summary of our
2	findings with respect to cumulative impacts
3	associated with Grand Gulf. Cumulative impacts
4	include the effects on the environment from other
5	past, present, and the reasonably foreseeable
6	future human actions. These effects not
7	only include the operation of Grand Gulf but also
8	the impacts from activities unrelated to Grand
9	Gulf, such as future urbanization or other energy
10	producing facilities in the area. This analysis
11	considers potential impacts through the end of the
12	current license term as well as the 20-year renewal
13	license term.
14	While the level of direct and indirect
15	impacts of Grand Gulf on aquatic and terrestrial
16	resources are small, as shown on the previous
17	slide, the cumulative impacts, when combined with
18	all other sources, would be moderate for aquatic
19	and terrestrial resources. This is primarily due
20	to historical Mississippi River modifications. And
21	in all other areas considered, the staff
22	preliminarily concluded that cumulative impacts are
23	small.

1	The National Environmental Policy Act
2	mandates that each Environmental Impact Statement
3	consider alternatives to any proposed major federal
4	action. A major step in determining whether
5	license renewal is reasonable or not is comparing
6	the likely impacts of continued operation of the
7	nuclear plant with the likely impacts of
8	alternative means of power generation.
9	Alternatives must provide an option that
10	allows for power generation capability beyond the
11	term of the current nuclear power plant operating
12	license to meet future generating needs. In the
13	Draft SEIS, NRC staff initially considered 16
14	different alternatives, and then we chose four of
15	these alternatives to be analyzed in depth, and
16	they are listed on the slide.
17	Finally, the NRC staff considered what
18	would happen if no action is taken and Grand Gulf
19	shuts down at the end of its current license
20	without a specific replacement alternative. This
21	alternative would not provide power generation
22	capacity nor would it meet the needs being

fulfilled by Grand Gulf.

1	The NRC's preliminary conclusion is that
2	the environmental impacts of renewal of the
3	operating license for Grand Gulf would be smaller
4	than those feasible and commercially viable
5	alternatives.
6	The no-action alternative would have
7	small environmental impacts in most areas, with the
8	exception of socioeconomic impacts, which would be
9	small to large. Continued operation would have
10	small environmental impacts in all areas. The
11	staff preliminarily concluded that continued
12	operation of the existing Grand Gulf Nuclear
13	Station is the environmentally preferred
14	alternative.
15	Based on the review of likely
16	environmental impacts from license renewal as well
17	as potential environmental impacts of alternatives
18	to license renewal, the NRC staff's preliminary
19	recommendation in the Draft SEIS is that the
20	adverse environmental impacts of license renewal
21	for Grand Gulf are not great enough to deny the
22	option of license renewal for energy-planning
23	decision makers

1	For the term beyond the 20-year period of
2	extended operation, the NRC addresses the
3	management of spent nuclear fuel in a waste
4	confidence decision and rule. Previous license
5	renewal Supplemental EIS noted that the
6	environmental impacts of temporary storage of
7	nuclear fuel for the period following the reactor
8	operating license term were addressed by this rule.
9	This Draft SEIS does not discuss
10	potential environmental impacts of storing spent
11	fuel for an extended period after the plant shuts
12	down. That issue will be addressed in the NRC's
13	waste confidence Environmental Impact Statement and
14	rule.
15	The draft rule and EIS were issued in the
16	fall of 2013, and the public had the opportunity to
17	provide comments. The final EIS and rule is
18	expected to be issued in the fall of 2014.
19	Additional information on the waste confidence
20	ruling and EIS can be found on the NRC public web
21	link at the link listed on the slide.

1	In August 2012, the Commission decided
2	that the Agency will not issue licenses dependent
3	upon the waste confidence decision until the waste
4	confidence rule is completed. However, the
5	Commission directed the staff to proceed with
6	licensing reviews and proceedings.
7	If the results of the waste confidence
8	EIS and rule identify information that impacts this
9	analysis in this Supplemental EIS for Grand Gulf,
10	the NRC staff will perform the appropriate review
11	for those issues and may supplement the SEIS before
12	the NRC makes a final licensing decision as to
13	whether or not to renew Grand Gulf's license. If
14	no changes are required, the NRC staff will base
15	its decision on the final Supplemental EIS, the
16	waste confidence EIS and rule, regional
17	inspections, and the safety evaluation report.
18	I would like to reemphasize that the
19	environmental review is not yet complete. Your
20	comments today and all written comments received by
21	the end of the comment period on February 11 will
22	be considered by the NRC staff as we develop the
23	final SEIS, which we currently plan to issue in
24	September 2014.

1	Those comments that are within the scope
2	of the environmental review and provide new and
3	significant information can help to change the
4	staff's findings. The final SEIS will contain the
5	staff's final recommendation on the acceptability
6	of license renewal based on the work we have
7	already performed and any new and significant
8	information we receive in the form of comments
9	during this comment period.
10	I am the primary contact for the
11	environmental review, and John Daily is the primary
12	contact for the safety review, and his our
13	contact information is up on the slide. Copies of
14	the Draft SEIS are available on CD and hard copy in
15	the entryway.
16	And in addition, the Henrietta Person
17	Memorial Library has a hard copy available for
18	review, and you can also find electronic copies of
19	the Draft SEIS, along with other information about
20	the Grand Gulf license renewal review, online at
21	the website shown. And in addition, I just want to
22	say that copies of these slides are available
23	outside in the hallway for pickup, too.

1	NRC staff will address written comments
2	in the same way we address spoken comments received
3	today. You can submit written comments, either
4	online or via conventional mail. To submit written
5	comments online, visit the website regulations.gov
6	and search for Docket ID NRC-2011-0262.
7	If you have written comments today, you
8	may give them to any NRC staffer. I would
9	appreciate them. If you're going to provide them
10	to any NRC staffer, please give them to me. And
11	this concludes my presentation. So I am turning it
12	back over to Bob. MR. HAGAR: All right.
13	That was part one of the meeting. This is part
14	two. Do you have any questions about any of the
15	topics that David discussed?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. HAGAR: Okay. Body language in the
18	negative says no. Okay.
19	So now we move to part three of the
20	meeting. Do you have any comments addressing this
21	environmental impact license renewal or about
22	license renewal in general? Do you want to say
23	anything?

(No response.)

1	MR. HAGAR: Okay. Again, body language
2	in the negative. I am going to say that no one has
3	any questions in part three of the meeting.
4	So with that, David, do you have any
5	closing comments?
6	MR. DRUCKER: Yes. I would like to thank
7	Bob Hagar for facilitating today's meeting, coming
8	all of the way out from Texas to do that. And I
9	would like to thank our resident inspector who is
10	here this evening. We had the other one was
11	Blake Rice was out here this afternoon.
12	I would also like to thank Victor Dricks
13	for coming out to support this, from Region Four.
14	Thanks to Bob Smiley, our AV guy. Sue, thank you
15	for transcribing. And thanks mostly to my boss for
16	taking me out here in this terrible weather. So
17	that's it. Thanks.
18	MR. HAGAR: All right. Thank you for
19	your attention. This meeting is adjourned.
20	(Whereupon, 7:20 p.m., the meeting was
21	concluded.)
22	
23	
24	
25	