



U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

REGULATORY GUIDE

DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY STANDARDS

July 1973

REGULATORY GUIDE 8.8

INFORMATION RELEVANT TO MAINTAINING OCCUPATIONAL RADIATION EXPOSURE AS LOW AS PRACTICABLE (NUCLEAR REACTORS)

A. INTRODUCTION

Paragraph 20.1 (c) of 10 CFR Part 20 states that licensees should, in addition to complying with the limits set forth in that part, make every reasonable effort to maintain radiation exposures, and releases of radioactive materials in effluents to unrestricted areas, as far below the limits specified in that part as practicable. This guide outlines the information needed in license applications and safety analysis reports (SAR) for nuclear reactors concerning the maintenance of occupational doses as low as practicable (ALAP).

B. DISCUSSION

The objective of efforts to ensure that occupational exposures are ALAP is to further reduce avoidable exposures and thereby reduce the low risks that are presumed to result from small doses. It has long been recognized by radiation control professionals that it is prudent to avoid unnecessary exposure and to hold doses as low as practicable. Practicability is determined by the state of technology and the economics of improvements in relation to the benefits from these improvements.

The available data suggest that past efforts have been relatively successful in that, generally, in AEC licensed activities, occupational exposures have been well below the applicable limits of 10 CFR Part 20.^{1,2} Thus, the provisions of this guide are not intended to precipitate dramatic departures from past practice. Rather, they are intended to promote a more formal approach to keeping doses ALAP, to identify and promote continuance of good practices, and to promote further improvements where practicable.

The assumption of linearity between dose and response, recommended again by the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) committee,³ indicates concern about both population dose and individual doses. Thus it is not sufficient merely to control the maximum dose to individuals; the total dose to the group (measured in man-rem) must be kept as low as practicable. It would be inappropriate to hold the individual doses to a fraction of the applicable limit if this resulted in the irradiation of more people and increased the total man-rem dose.

Effective control of radiation exposure involves the following major considerations:

1. Management commitment and support;
2. Careful design of facilities and equipment; and
3. Good radiation protection practices, including good planning and the proper use of appropriate equipment by qualified, well-trained personnel.

C. REGULATORY POSITION

Detailed information, as outlined in subsequent sections of this guide, should be provided in the license application about each of the above major considerations.

1. Management Philosophy and Organization

Maintaining occupational exposures at the lowest practicable level requires management commitment. A clear statement of operating philosophy regarding occupational radiation exposure should be included in the license application (or SAR) and reflected in the licensee's facility design, policy documents, and written operating procedures and close and continuing management followup.

USAEC REGULATORY GUIDES

Regulatory Guides are issued to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the AEC Regulatory staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, or to provide guidance to applicants. Regulatory Guides are not substitutes for regulations and compliance with them is not required. Methods and solutions different from those set out in the guides will be acceptable if they provide a basis for the findings requisite to the issuance or continuance of a permit or license by the Commission.

Published guides will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information or experience.

Copies of published guides may be obtained by request indicating the divisions desired to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Attention: Director of Regulatory Standards. Comments and suggestions for improvements in these guides are encouraged and should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Staff.

The guides are issued in the following ten broad divisions:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Power Reactors | 6. Product |
| 2. Research and Test Reactors | 7. Transmutation |
| 3. Fuels and Materials Facilities | 8. Occupational Health |
| 4. Environmental and Siteing | 9. Antitrust Review |
| 5. Materials and Plant Protection | 10. General |

A specific individual (i.e., the health physics chief or manager) should be given explicit responsibility and authority for ensuring that exposures are ALAP. He should be directly responsible to someone at a high management level. The health physics group should not be a part of operations- or production-oriented divisions.

A member of upper management should be given responsibility for ensuring that the ALAP policy is implemented. He should conduct periodic reviews of procedures and practices for achieving ALAP exposures.

2. Personnel Qualification and Training

The individual responsible for recommending and implementing the radiation control program (i.e., the health physics chief or manager) should be a professional of recognized competence in this field, preferably with power reactor experience. Where this individual does not have qualifications equivalent to those required for certification by the American Board of Health Physics, he should be supported by and have available immediate access to one (or more) consultant and/or staff member who is so qualified and who is in the facility at least once a month. Company commitment to this principle should be stated in the license application.

Any person whose duties entail entering restricted areas or directing the activities of others who enter restricted areas should be instructed in the fundamentals of health physics and should be made aware of, and given the authority to implement, the licensee's commitments for maintaining doses ALAP in his areas of responsibility. His training should be commensurate with his duties and responsibilities as well as the degree of radiation hazard anticipated. Personnel policies should include screening to ensure that radiation workers are responsible and conscientious and qualified to perform their duties safely.

Personnel whose duties do not entail entering restricted areas should be (1) made aware of the reasons for keeping out of restricted areas and (2) denied access to restricted areas.

Personnel responsible for the design or approval of facilities including restricted areas or equipment for use in restricted areas should (1) receive instructions in the fundamentals of health physics including the importance of maintaining doses ALAP and (2) have ready access to and use a competent professional health physicist.

3. Facility and Equipment Design

Radiation exposures may be minimized by proper design of facilities and equipment. This requires a definite commitment by the applicant to provide

preliminary and periodic design reviews by competent health physicists (with the support of other specialists) before and during construction specifically to ensure that occupational exposures will be ALAP.

Since a major portion of the occupational radiation dose is received during maintenance, inservice inspection, refueling, and nonroutine operations (including activities complicated by leakage and spillage of radioactive materials), these activities warrant special attention during design. Also, decommissioning can involve serious radiation exposures and should be considered during design. Designs should be reviewed to ensure that provisions have been included to achieve ALAP exposures in these situations. Specifically, the license application (at the PSAR stage) should provide information demonstrating that:

- a. Equipment which may require servicing will be designed and located to minimize service time;
- b. Instruments requiring in situ calibration will be located in the lowest practicable radiation fields;
- c. Equipment and components requiring servicing will be located in or designed to be movable to the lowest practicable radiation fields;
- d. Best available valves, valve packing, and gaskets will be used to minimize leakage and spillage of radioactive materials;
- e. Penetrations of shielding and containment walls by ducts and other openings will be designed to minimize exposure and that shield design specifications will limit void content;
- f. Radiation sources and occupied areas will be separated if possible (in particular, pipes or ducts containing potentially highly radioactive fluids will not pass through occupied areas);
- g. Precautions will be provided (1) to minimize the spread of contamination and (2) to facilitate decontamination in the event spillage occurs;
- h. Interior surfaces as well as the layout of ducts and pipes will be designed to minimize buildup of contamination;
- i. Systems which may become contaminated will be designed to include provisions for flushing or remote chemical cleaning prior to servicing;
- j. The ventilation system will be designed to ensure control of airborne contaminants, especially during maintenance operations when the normal air flow patterns may be disrupted (e.g., open access portals);
- k. Wherever practicable, radiation and airborne contamination monitoring equipment with remote readout will be included in areas to which personnel normally have access (where special conditions warrant, portable instrumentation may be substituted);
- l. The ventilation system will be designed for easy access and service to keep doses ALAP during alterations, maintenance, decontamination, and filter changes;

m. Where practicable, shielding will be provided between radiation sources and areas to which personnel may have normal or routine access, and shielding will be designed for maintaining doses ALAP;

n. Movable shielding and convenient means for its utilization will be available for use where permanent shielding is needed but impractical;

o. Adequate shielding will be provided for radioactive wastes;

p. Remote handling equipment will be provided wherever it is needed and practicable;

q. All design features for radiation control will be designed to accommodate maximum expected (technical specification limit) failures such as fuel element cladding and steam generator failures; and

r. Sampling sites will be located so exposures will be ALAP during such routine operations as sampling offgas, primary coolant, and liquid waste.

4. Plans and Procedures

Considerable dose reduction may be achieved through a carefully conceived and properly implemented planning and procedures program. As stated previously, a major portion of the occupational radiation dose is received during the activities of maintenance, inspection, refueling, and nonroutine operations. It is therefore essential that approaches to these activities involve a program of careful planning and preparations, use of well-trained and qualified personnel, and utilization of specific exposure reduction techniques as circumstances allow. Procedures governing implementation of such a program should be developed and included as routine operating procedures. As such, the license applications should include (1) at the PSAR stage, a commitment to and guidelines for providing these procedures and (2) at the FSAR stage, a description of the procedures to be utilized for maintaining exposures ALAP. The procedures proposed in the applications should project exposures for various groups as well as identify sources, source strength, radiation levels, and contamination levels and include plans to:

a. Minimize source strength and contamination levels by flushing tanks, lines, etc., prior to performing the operation;

b. Minimize radiation levels in the work area by use of permanent and/or movable shielding;

c. Minimize airborne contamination by proper use of the ventilation system, including purging area before entering temporary ducts into the work area, and other modifications as appropriate;

d. Further minimize inhalation of radioactive materials by the proper use of state-of-the-art respiratory protection;

e. Ensure that the task is completed with the least practicable time in the radiation field (the availability and use of all appropriate tools and equipment, as well as the conduct of "dry runs," are especially important);

f. Complete the task with the fewest people in the radiation field consistent with safe operation;

g. Cope as expeditiously as possible with fires, spills, equipment failure, and other accidents which may occur;

h. Use remote handling equipment and other special tools which can help reduce external dose;

i. Provide adequate supervision and monitoring to ensure that procedures are followed, that the planned and proper precautions are taken, and that all the radiation hazards are identified;

j. Provide personnel monitoring equipment such as direct reading pocket dosimeters or pocket alarm meters, which will permit early evaluation of individual doses and the association of personnel exposure with specific operations (see Regulatory Guide 8.4);

k. Provide contamination control procedures to achieve ALAP exposures;

l. Ensure that radiation and contamination monitoring instruments are tested and calibrated correctly and frequently enough to provide a high degree of confidence in the data they provide (see Regulatory Guide 8.6);

m. Conduct postoperational debriefings to improve plans, identify shortcomings, and determine whether ALAP was achieved;

n. Maintain records including exposure data, contamination problems, airborne hazards, and internal exposure data as shown by bioassay analyses and whole body counters that will be helpful in providing guidance for future similar operations (see Regulatory Guide 8.7);

o. Perform as much work as practicable outside radiation areas;

p. Minimize personnel radiation exposures by planning for access to and exit from work areas and by providing service lines and work area communications prior to beginning the work;

q. Consider the use of special tools or jigs which could reduce radiation exposure through simplification, reduction in time, or reduction of mistakes;

r. Post radiation levels in the work area so that the areas of highest and lowest radiation level are clearly identifiable;

s. Minimize discomfort of workers so that efficiency will be increased and less time will be spent in radiation areas; and

t. Estimate total man-rem to be expended on large jobs and set man-rem goals.

REFERENCES

1. A. W. Klement, Jr., C. R. Miller, R. P. Minx and B. Shleien. "Estimates of Ionizing Radiation Doses in the United States 1960-2000." Environmental Protection Agency Report ORP:CSD 72-1, August 1972.
2. "Fourth Annual Report of the Operation of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission's Central Repository of Individual Radiation Exposure Information," USAEC Report, September 1972.
3. C. L. Comar, Chmn. NAS-NRC BEIR Committee. "The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation," National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Washington, D.C. 1972.