

# **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** February 1977 **REGULATORY GUIDE OFFICE OF STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT**

### **REGULATORY GUIDE 7.6**

## STRESS ALLOWABLES FOR THE DESIGN **OF SHIPPING CASK CONTAINMENT VESSELS**

## A. INTRODUCTION

Sections 71.35 and 71.36 of 10 CFR Part 71. "Packaging of Radioactive Material for Transport and Transportation of Radioactive Material Under-Certain Conditions establish requirements that packages used to transport radioactive materials must meet under normal and hypothetical accident, conditions. This guide describes design criteria acceptable to the NRC staff for use in the structural analysis of the containment vessels of type B packages used to transport irradiated nuclear fuel. Alternative design criteria may be used if judged acceptable by the NRC staff in meeting the structural requirements of \$\$71.35 and 71.36 of 10 CFR Part 71.

# **B. DISCUSSION**

At present, there are no design standards that can be directly used to evaluate the structural integrity of the containment vessels of shipping casks for it radiated fuels. However, Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code\* containsarequirements for the design of nuclear power plant composition nents. The staff has adapted portions of Section dil of the ASME Code to form acceptable design criteria for shipping cask containment vessels. In this guide, the design criteria for shipping cask containment vessels for normal conditions (andefined in 10 CFR Part 71) are similar to the design criteria in Section III of the ASME Code for classify components under nor-mal conditions and the design criteria for accident conditions are similar to those for faulted conditions in the ASME Code.

The design criteria presented here are based primarily on linear elastic analyses. Linear elastic analyses are simpler than true elastic-plastic analyses,

· Copies may be obtained from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017.

#### **USNRC REGULATORY GUIDES**

Regulatory Guides are issuell to describe and make available to the public method acceptable to the NRC staff of unplementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, to defineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, or to provide qualance to applicants; Regulatory Guides are not substitutes for regulations, and compliance with them is not required. Methods and solutions different from those set out in the guides will be acceptable if they provide a basis for the findings requisite to the issuance or continuance at a permit or beensy by the Commission,

Comments and suggestions for improvements in these quides are encouraged at al times, and guides will be revised, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to relight new information or experience. However, comments on this guide, if received within about two months after its issuance, will be particularly useful in willuating the need for an early revision.

and they allow the use of superposition in summing loading effects. Design stress intensities are used because established material values for this use exist in the ASME Code and because this approach is based on the maximum shear stress theory, which has been shown to be a conservative estimate of the stress combinations that cause plastic deformation relative to experimental data.

In current designs for the containment vessels of fuel casks, the nature of the temperature and pressure loads and the containment vessel material (stainless steel) are such that creep and brittle fracture are not considered to present problems. Thermal ratchetting is not considered to causes difficulties in cylindrical containment vessels.

Regulatory Positions 3 and 7 ensure that failure he to gress unrestrained yielding across a solid section does not occur. Secondary stresses (i.e., stresses that are self-limiting) are not considered to cause gross unrestrained yielding but are considered in fatigue and shakedown analysis.

Regulatory Position 4 ensures that fatigue failure does not occur, and Regulatory Position 5 ensures that the structure will shake down to elastic behavior. after a few cycles. Both of these positions deal only with the stress range of normal operation. A reduction in the allowable stress for life exceeding 10° eycles is specified in Regulatory Position 4 since use of the 10° cycle value for greater lives may not preserve an adequate design margin for all cases.

Regulatory Position 8 places a limit on the extreme range of the total stresses due to initial fabrication and the normal operating and accident states of the containment vessel.

The following terms are presented with the definitions used in this guide;

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commussion, U.S. Nuclear Rena Autory Commission, Washington, D.C. (20555, Attention - Diriketing and Server Branch

The mides are issued in the following ten broad divisions

- Power Reactors
- Research and Test Beactors Fuels and Materials Facilities
- 3
- 4. Environmental and Siting 5. Materials and Plant Protection
- 9. Antitrust Review 10. General

6 Products

Transportation 8. Occupational Health

Requests for some comes of issued mides (which may be reproduced) or for place Washington, D.C. 20555. Attention: Director, Division of Document Commission, divisions should be made in writing to the U.S. No lear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Attention: Director, Division of Document Common. of future audes in specific



1. Stress intensity is defined as twice the maximum shear stress and is equal to the largest algebraic difference between any two of the three principal stresses.

2. A primary stress is a stress that is necessary to satisfy the laws of equilibrium of forces and moments due to applied loadings, pressure loadings, and body (inertial) loadings. Primary stresses are not selflimiting because local yielding and minor distortions do not reduce the average stress across a solid section.

3. A secondary stress is a stress that is self-limiting. Thermal stresses are considered to be secondary stresses since they are strain-controlled rather than load-controlled, and these stresses decrease as yielding occurs.

The bending stress at a gross structural discontinuity, such as where a cylindrical shell joins a flat head, is generally self-limiting and is considered to be a secondary stress. However, when the edge moment at the shell and head junction is needed to prevent excessive bending stresses in the head, the stress at the junction is considered to be a primary stress. The bending stress at a joint between a rectangular shell and a flat head is unrestrained by hoop effects and will be considered to be a primary stress.

4. Primary membrane stresses are the average normal primary stresses across the thickness of a solid section. Primary bending stresses are the components of the normal primary stresses that vary linearly across the thickness of a solid section.

5. The alternating stress intensity,  $S_{alt}$ , is defined as one-half the maximum absolute value of  $S_{12}^{\prime}$ ,  $S_{23}^{\prime}$ ,  $S_{31}^{\prime}$ , for all possible stress states i and j where  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and  $\sigma_3$  are principal stresses and

$$S_{12} = (\sigma_{1i} - \sigma_{1j}) - (\sigma_{2i} - \sigma_{2j})$$
  

$$S_{23} = (\sigma_{2i} - \sigma_{2j}) - (\sigma_{3i} - \sigma_{3j})$$
  

$$S_{31} = (\sigma_{3i} - \sigma_{3j}) - (\sigma_{1i} - \sigma_{1j})$$

 $\sigma_1$ , etc., follow the principal stresses as their directions rotate if the directions of the principal stresses at a point change during the cycle.

6. The phrase stresses caused by stress concentrations refers to increases in stresses due to local geometric discontinuities (e.g., notches or local thermal "hot spots"). These stresses produce no noticeable distortions.

7. Type B quantity is defined in §71.4(q) of 10 CFR Part 71. Normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions are defined in Appendices A and B, respectively, to 10 CFR Part 71. 8. Containment vessel is defined as the receptacle on which principal reliance is placed to retain the radioactive material during transport.

### C. REGULATORY POSITION

The following design criteria are acceptable to the NRC staff for assessing the adequacy of designs for shipping cask containment vessels in meeting the structural requirements in §§71.35 and 71.36 of 10 CFR Part 71.

1. The values for material properties, design stress intensities ( $S_m$ ), and design fatigue curves for Class 1 components given in Subsection NA of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code should be used for the materials listed in that subsection. For materials not listed there, the method discussed in Article III-2000 of Subsection NA should be used to derive design stress intensity values. ASTM material properties should be used, if available, to derive design stress intensity values. The values of material properties that should be used in the structural analysis are those that correspond to the appropriate temperatures at loading.

2. Strain-rate-sensitive material properties may be used in the evaluation of impact loading if the values used are appropriately considered in a dynamic timedependent analysis and can be suitably justified in the license application.

When strain rate sensitivity is considered in the structural response to a combination of static and dynamic loads, the static portion of the stresses and strains should be analyzed separately using static material properties and should meet the static design criteria. The total stress and strain state resulting from both static and dynamic loads should meet the design criteria for which strain-rate-sensitive material properties (e.g., yield strength) are substituted for static values.

3. Under normal conditions the value of the stress intensity resulting from the primary membrane stresses should be less than the design stress intensity,  $S_m$ , and the stress intensity resulting from the sum of the primary membrane stresses and the primary bending stresses should be less than 1.5S<sub>m</sub>.

4. The fatigue analysis for stresses under normal conditions should be performed as follows:

a. Salt is determined (as defined in the "Discussion"). The total stress state at each point in the normal operating cycle should be considered so that a maximum range may be determined.

b. The design fatigue curves (Figures 1-9.0) of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code should be used. These curves include the maximum mean stress effect. c. Salt should be multiplied by the ratio of the modulus of elasticity given on the design fatigue curve to the modulus of elasticity used in the analysis to obtain a value of stress to be used with the design fatigue curves. The corresponding number of cycles taken from the appropriate design fatigue curve is the allowable life if only one type of operational cycle is considered. If two or more types of stress cycles are considered to produce significant stresses, the rules for cumulative damage given in Article NB-3222.4 of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code should be applied.

d. In the analysis of high cycle fatigue where the number of cycles exceeds 10° cycles, the ASME design fatigue curves should be extended using a 4% decrease in the allowable stress per decade, starting from the 10° cycle value. High cycle fatigue could be a potential problem due to vibration during transportation.

e. A value of 4 should be used as the maximum stress concentration factor in regions where this factor is unknown.

5. The stress intensity,  $S_n$ , associated with the range of primary plus secondary stresses under normal conditions should be less than  $3S_m$ . The calculation of this stress intensity is similar to the calculation of  $2S_{alt}$ ; however, the effects of local stress concentrations that are considered in the fatigue calculations are not included in this stress range.

The  $3S_m$  limit given above may be exceeded if the following conditions are met (these conditions can generally be met only in cases where the secondary bending stresses are a substantial portion of the total stress):

a. The range of stresses under normal conditions excluding stresses due to stress concentrations and secondary bending stresses yields a stress intensity,  $S_n$ , that is less than  $3S_m$ .

b. The value  $S_a$  used for entering the design fatigue curve is multiplied by the factor  $K_e$ , where:

$$K_{e} = 1.0 (S_{n} \le 3S_{m})$$
  
= 1.0 +  $\frac{(1 - n)}{n(m - 1)} (\frac{S_{n}}{3S_{m}} - 1) (3S_{m} < S_{n} < 3mS_{m})$   
=  $\frac{1}{n} (S_{n} \ge 3mS_{m})$ 

 $S_n$  is as described in a.

The values of the material parameters m and n are given for the various classes of materials in the foilowing table:

	m	n	I max.°F
Low Alloy Steel	2.0	0.2	700
Martensitic Stainless Steel	2.0	0.2	700
Carbon Steel	3.0	0.2	700
Austenitic Stainless Steel	1.7	0.3	800
Nickel-Chromium-Iron	1.7	0.3	800

c. The temperatures do not exceed those listed in the above table for the various classes of materials.

d. The ratio of the minimum specified yield strength of the material to the minimum specified ultimate strength is less than 0.80.

6. Buckling of the containment vessel should not occur under normal and accident conditions.

7. Under accident conditions, the value of the stress intensity resulting from the primary membrane stresses should be less than the lesser value of  $2.4S_m$  and  $0.7S_u$  (ultimate strength); and the stress intensity resulting from the sum of the primary membrane stresses and the primary bending stresses should be less than the lesser value of  $3.6S_m$  and  $S_u$ .

8. The extreme total stress intensity range between the initial zero stress state, fabrication, normal operation, and accident conditions should be less than twice the adjusted value (adjusted to account for modulus of elasticity at the highest temperature) of  $S_a$  at 10 cycles given by the appropriate design fatigue curves.

A value of 4 should be used as the maximum stress concentration factor in regions where this factor is unknown.

9. In some cask designs, shielding materials apply loads through differential thermal expansion or supply additional strength to the containment vessel. In such cases, shielding materials that have low yield strengths (e.g., lead) may be structurally analyzed using an elastic-plastic technique while the inner shell is analyzed by a linear elastic analysis. When uranium is used for shielding and is needed to add strength to the containment vessel, the fracture behavior of the uranium shielding should be considered.



# **D. IMPLEMENTATION**

The purpose of this section is to provide information to applicants and licensees regarding the NRC staff's plans for using this regulatory guide.

Except in those cases in which the applicant or licensee proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the Commis-

sion's regulations, the design criteria described herein will be used by the staff after October 1, 1977, in assessing the adequacy of designs for containment vessels of packages for shipping irradiated fuel with respect to the structural requirements in §§71.35 and 71.36 of 10 CFR Part 71. When alternative criteria are proposed, the applicant or licensee should demonstrate that their use satisfies the requirements of §§71.35 and 71.36 of 10 CFR Part 71.



AND FEES PAID CLEAR REGULAT COMMISSION





# UNITED STATES EAR HEGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON; D. C. 20555 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

# PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300